



## besluitenlijst

---

### Faculteitsraad GMW

Datum  
10/10/2023

Corsanummer  
23.27348

Edith Ruisch-de Vries  
T 050 36 36419  
edith.ruisch-de.vries@rug.nl

#### Aanwezig

C.W.A.M. Aarts, H.T.A. Amsing, S. Bensink, J. Bos, M.C. Buigel-de Witte, N. Hansen, J.R. den Hartigh, K.O.W. Helmerhorst, S.A. Huizinga, L.S. Krook (voorzitter), T.D. Lekkerkerk, M.N. Mascareño Lara, W.I. Pechler (vertaler), T.J.L. Ros, W.K.H. Slik, A.E. Steman, J. Visser, B. Wagner, A. Westgeest

Rijksuniversiteit Groningen  
Faculteit Gedrags- en  
Maatschappijwetenschappen  
Grote Rozenstraat 15  
9712 TG Groningen

#### Afwezig

K. Csölle, F. Giardini, S.I. Pallagi, L.C.M. Schuiling

---

- 01**            **Opening, adaption agenda and minutes**  
The agenda and minutes were adopted.
- 02**            **Written questions following the minutes of**
- 02.01**        **The meeting of the Faculty Council**  
- Den Hartigh: Isn't University Design for Learning (UDL) much broader than the recording of lectures? Amsing explains that UDL means designing your education in such a way that as much students as possible can participate without special facilities. GMW opted for the 2 week margin as compromise, not to drive all students away from classes because they can watch them at home. If there are any good suggestions for UDL, we can look into it.  
- Bos asks for an update concerning BHV. Buigel: A few staff members applied to become a BHVer, We will recruit for more BHVers to bring the organisation up to the necessary standard.
- 02.02**        **The meetings of the Faculty Board**
- 03**            **Topics for discussion**  
No topics for discussion.
- 04**            **Announcements from the Chair and the Deputy Chair**  
No announcements.
- 05**            **Announcements from the Faculty Board**  
- Amsing: The kick-off meeting of the D&I programme was interesting. There will be a D&I brainstorm session tomorrow afternoon, you are warmly invited to participate.  
- Aarts: Tonight, there is an edition of the Publieksacademie and also a Studium Generale lecture.
- 06**            **Faculty Budget 2024-2027 (opinion/gevoelen)**



The FC is very concerned about the additional measures to be taken by shifting the o-line to 2026, as required by the CvB. As far as the FC is concerned, this shift leads to additional cost-cutting measures whose impact is unclear. The council expects a significant increase in workload, whereas in recent years so much effort has been made to reduce the workload.

For now, the FC only gives positive opinion on the 2024 budget and temporarily postpones its assessment on the remaining part of the 2024-2027 multi-year budget.

The council sends a letter to the CvB asking to reconsider the shift of the o line from 2027 to 2026.

**07                    Composition of the Programme Committees (advise student faction)**

The student faction gives positive advice on the student members of the programme committees.

**08                    Questions**

No additional questions.

**09                    Closing**

16.00hrs.



### Written questions FC BSS 10 October 2023

- Why are other staff members not invited to attend the informative meetings about the new Tenure Track policy? We consider the new policy relevant for all academic staff. How can we make sure we collect opinions from as many academic staff members as possible about this new policy?

*Before the summer, we have collected input from a variety of faculty members in a series of sessions for personnel. This input has been used to rewrite and expand the documents on tenure track and personnel policies in our faculty. We are now presenting the latest versions to those faculty members who have formal supervisory tasks, and to Yess-BSS for a final check. We value the opinions of Yess-BSS at this stage since they represent early career researchers for whom the proposed policies are especially important.*

- We would like to request access to the documents that will be discussed during these meetings (regardless of our individual presence in the meetings)

*We are now sending all draft documents to the participants in the planned sessions. In order to avoid confusion, the documents will be formally presented to the faculty council after these sessions for discussion in the faculty council meeting.*

### Questions FB meeting 31-08

- 05.02 What was the problem taking place with BA and MA data handling? What is the state of the discussion in this regard?

*De regulations for data handling in research done by students differ between Departments and are not always clear. Kees and Hilda will talk to the chair of the working group Toon Kuppens to develop a faculty wide policy.*

- 5.03 three of the tracks deviated from the OER-en, did students experience negative effects because of this?

*No, the opposite. The rules were less restrictive.*

- 07.01 How can the FB make sure that the next opening of the academic year is available to everyone who would like to attend? Many staff members and students were unable to attend due to the restrictive space.

*The FB will set up a committee to organize next year's opening aiming to put more time for preparation and consultation into it.*

### Questions FB meeting 14-09



- 04.01 Could we see a final report on the onboarding project?

*Yes, see attachment.*

- 06.01 Could the FB make available the document on Universal Design for Learning? Can we also get more information about this point? For which people does this apply, who is eligible for this and are there guidelines from universal design for learning?

*The policy is already distributed among students and staff.*

- 05.00 Is there a shortage of BHV-ers during the alarm? Two of the emergency exits were closed during this emergency. How is the FB planning to tackle the shortage of BHV-ers

*We notice that, following the post-coronavirus period, hybrid working, and staff changes, the BHV organization requires extra attention. An initiative is underway to gain a better understanding of which BHV-ers are available during the week, and a recruitment drive has been launched from the central BHV organization to find new colleagues. This has already resulted in new registrations. Additionally, an initiative from the faculty is being set up to emphasize the importance of a robust BHV organization. At the end of November, we are organizing a meeting with the Arbowerkgroep to discuss this topic.*

#### Questions FB meeting 21-09

- 06.02 What are the ways in which this policy might affect the start of new programmes? Can we also get some more information about this?

*The new policy means that it will be harder to start new programmes. New programmes have to show that they are necessary additions to the existing programmes within the Netherlands and fit within the profile of the university. The policy wants to stimulate innovation within existent programmes (instead of setting up new ones) and stimulate cooperation and alignment between universities in developing programmes.*

- 07.04 Are we included in the program of the visit of the Rector Magnificus? What are the main goals of the visit?

*Jacquelien Scherpen plans a short visit to our faculty of about 2 hours. In contact with her personal assistant, we are busy shaping this program and we will try to involve several representatives of our community, as good as possible. As soon as we have more information, we will inform the FC.*