SLC1A3 contributes to L-asparaginase resistance in solid tumors
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Abstract

L-asparaginase (ASNase) serves as an effective drug for adolescent acute lymphoblastic leukemia. However, many clinical trials indicated severe ASNase toxicity in patients with solid tumors, with resistant mechanisms not well understood. Here, we took a functional genetic approach and identified SLC1A3 as a novel contributor to ASNase resistance in cancer cells. In combination with ASNase, SLC1A3 inhibition caused cell cycle arrest or apoptosis, and myriads of metabolic vulnerabilities in tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, urea cycle, nucleotides biosynthesis, energy production, redox homeostasis, and lipid biosynthesis. SLC1A3 is an aspartate and glutamate transporter, mainly expressed in brain tissues, but high expression levels were also observed in some tumor types. Here, we demonstrate that ASNase stimulates aspartate and glutamate consumptions, and their refilling through SLC1A3 promotes cancer cell proliferation. Lastly, in vivo experiments indicated that SLC1A3 expression promoted tumor development and metastasis while negating the suppressive effects of ASNase by fueling aspartate, glutamate, and glutamine metabolisms despite of asparagine shortage. Altogether, our findings identify a novel role for SLC1A3 in ASNase resistance and suggest that restrictive aspartate and glutamate uptake might improve ASNase efficacy with solid tumors.
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Introduction

Treating cancer with amino acid deprivation schemes has achieved limited clinical success so far. Only in acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), the incorporation of L-asparaginase (ASNase) has significantly increased the overall survival rates to ~90% (Broome, 1961; Müller & Boos, 1998; Pui et al., 2009). ALL cells are auxotrophic for asparagine, which was deaminated and depleted by the enzyme ASNase, resulting in cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in ALL cells without affecting normal tissues (Kidd, 1953; Broome, 1961; Ueno et al., 1997; Pui et al., 2009). Notably, ASNase has a dual asparagine and glutamine deaminase activity; however, its glutaminase activity was not required for anticancer effect in asparagine synthetase (ASNS)-negative cancer cells (Chan et al., 2014). The therapeutic progress of ASNase in ALL had greatly encouraged its further application for solid tumors. However, many clinical trials reported intolerable toxicity in patients (Haskell et al., 1969; Hays et al., 2013). ASNS expression has been proposed as a marker for clinical prediction of ASNase resistance (Schfer et al., 2000); however, treatment of ALL with ASNase is still effective even though ASNS is expressed (Stams, 2003; Krall et al., 2016; Vander Heiden & DeBerardinis, 2017). Interestingly, aspartate metabolism was also predicted to contribute to ASNase sensitivity according to a previous study (Chen et al., 2011). Overall, with the exception of ASNS, little is known about the specific resistant mechanisms to ASNase, which has hindered the attempts to broaden ASNase’s benefits to patients with solid tumors (Kidd, 1953; Haskell et al., 1969; Hays et al., 2013; Vander Heiden & DeBerardinis, 2017).

Our previous work has found that ASNase treatment of PC3, a prostate cancer cell line, triggered asparagine shortage accompanied by increased asparagine production through upregulation of ASNS, as indicated by ribosomal and transcriptional profiling (Loayza-Puch et al., 2016). This pinpointed a feedback loop under asparagine depleted conditions. Yet, PC3 cells remained proliferative despite of...
asparagine shortage, suggesting the involvement of other mechanisms responsible for ASNase resistance as upregulated ASNS was not sufficient for asparagine replenishment. Therefore, we used a functional genetic screen in PC3 cells to explore potential vulnerabilities in solid cancer cells to ASNase treatment. We identified SLC1A3, an aspartate/glutamate transporter, as a novel contributor to ASNase resistance, as well as tumor initiation and progression in a mice model for breast cancer metastasis.

Results

A genome-wide CRISPR-Cas9 screen identifies SLC1A3 as a novel contributor to ASNase resistance in PC3 cells

To determine the optimal ASNase concentration required for performing a genome-wide functional screen, we tested a series of ASNase concentrations in PC3 cells. Figure 1A shows that ASNase at a concentration of 0.3–0.5 U/ml moderately inhibited cell proliferation. As this dosage is within the range used for asparagine depletion in some ALL patients according to previous research (Riccardi et al., 1981; Avramis & Panosyan, 2005), we performed the screen and in vitro validation under this condition. Due to its essential role in asparagine synthesis, ASNS gene was used as a positive control for the screen. As expected, CRISPR-Cas9 knockout (KO) of ASNS sensitized PC3 cells to ASNase treatment but did not affect cell proliferation under mock treatment (Fig 1B).

Next, we transduced a genome-wide CRISPR-Cas9 library, consisting of 76,441 single-guide RNAs (sgRNAs) targeting 19,114 genes, into PC3 cells, which were further divided into mock- and ASNase-treated conditions (Fig 1C). Following 20 days of culturing, cells were harvested and subjected to deep sequencing of integrated sgRNAs and MAGeCK bioinformatics analysis of individual sgRNA abundance. Intriguingly, in addition to the expected ASNS gene, this analysis proposed four additional genes (FDR < 0.003, Fig 1D), whose loss of function may impair PC3 cell proliferation following ASNase treatment. Follow-up validations using individual CRISPR vector transductions and cell competitive growth assays successfully validated three out of the four additional hits: EIF2AK4 (GCN2, general control nonderepressible 2), SLC1A3, and SLC25A1 (Figs 1D and EV1A), highlighting the reliability of the screen. Notably, EIF2AK4 was also predictable due to its role in regulating general nutrient deprivation responses (Bumpo et al., 2009; Ye et al., 2010). The other two hits (SLC1A3 and SLC25A1) are both from the solute carrier family (SLC). SLC1A3 functions as a high-affinity aspartate and glutamate transporter, whose loss of function triggered a marked reduction in cell survival and proliferation following ASNase treatment (Figs 1E and EV1B), and SLC25A1 functions as a mitochondria citrate carrier, whose loss of function also caused inhibitory effects on cell survival and proliferation in the presence of ASNase, but to a more moderate extent when compared with that of SLC1A3 (Fig EV1A). Due to the relatively strong synergistic effect, from now on, we only focused on the role of SLC1A3 in the context of ASNase.

SLC1A3 is mainly expressed in brain tissues (Fig EV1C), critical for the termination of excitation neurotransmission (Kanai et al., 2013). Recent studies have highlighted the importance of SLC1A3-mediated aspartate uptake for cancer cell proliferation under hypoxia and crosstalk between cancer cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts in the tumor niche (Alkan et al., 2018; Garcia-Bermudez et al., 2018; Sullivan et al., 2018; Tajan et al., 2018; Bertero et al., 2019). We also observed elevated SLC1A3 RNA levels in several tumor types from the TCGA database (especially kidney renal clear cell carcinoma (KIRC, \(P = 5.5 \times 10^{-30}\)), kidney renal papillary cell carcinoma (KIRP, \(P = 2.1 \times 10^{-16}\)), liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC, \(P = 3.2 \times 10^{-10}\)), and stomach adenocarcinoma (STAD, \(P = 6.1 \times 10^{-5}\)) (Fig EV1D).

To examine the function of SLC1A3, we tested its cellular aspartate/glutamate transporting function using a radioactive labeled amino acid uptake assay as previously described (Loayza-Puch et al., 2017). As predicted, SLC1A3 loss of function reduced both aspartate and glutamate uptake in PC3 cells (Fig 1F), also leading to decreased endogenous aspartate (~8-fold) and glutamate (~1.5-fold) levels (Fig 1G). Following ASNase treatment in control PC3 cells, we observed strong depletions of both asparagine and glutamine (Fig 1G), in concordance with its known dual functions. This was followed by a significant reduction in endogenous aspartate and glutamate levels (Fig 1G), indicating a stimulated demand for aspartate and glutamate. Consequently, in SLC1A3-KO PC3 cells, aspartate and glutamate levels were further depleted under ASNase treatment (~16-fold for aspartate and ~3-fold for glutamate, Fig 1G). This observation suggests that SLC1A3-mediated aspartate and glutamate import is required for the maintenance of sufficient intracellular aspartate and glutamate pools to survive ASNase treatment. Of note, the endogenous glutamine level was significantly depleted in SLC1A3-KO PC3 cells, but this had no effect on cell proliferation in the absence of ASNase (Fig 1G and E). To directly test the functions of aspartate and glutamate in the context of ASNase, we supplemented SLC1A3-KO PC3 cells with cell-permeable forms of aspartate and glutamate (esterified). Figure 1H shows that both esterified aspartate and esterified glutamate, but not esterified leucine (control), can restore SLC1A3-KO PC3 cell proliferation in the presence of ASNase. Lastly, we examined a possible role of SLC1A3 to ASNase treatment in vivo. We subcutaneously implanted control and SLC1A3-KO PC3 cells into Balb/c nude mice (cAnn/N/R) and examined tumor growth in the absence and presence of ASNase. Figure EV1E shows that loss of SLC1A3 in combination of ASNase treatment impeded tumor growth. Altogether, we conclude that SLC1A3 expression negates the impact of ASNase on PC3 cell survival, proliferation, and tumor growth.

SLC1A3 mRNA levels correlate with ASNase sensitivity in different cancer cells

Because SLC1A3 transports both aspartate and glutamate (Fig 1F and G), we mainly used aspartate uptake as a functional readout for SLC1A3 in further study. We investigated the correlations between SLC1A3 mRNA level, aspartate uptake, and sensitivity to ASNase treatment in a panel of prostate and breast cancer cell lines. As predicted, we observed a general trend where relatively high SLC1A3 mRNA levels indicated high basal aspartate uptake capability (Fig 2A and B). The exceptions in our cohort were LNCAp, SUM159PT, and BT549 cells, with low SLC1A3 mRNA level but high basal aspartate uptake capacity. This can be explained by the relatively high expression of other aspartate/glutamate transporters in these cells (Fig 2C). Accordingly, SLC1A3-KO reduced aspartate uptake level only in SLC1A3-expressing cancer cells (Fig 2A and B). Interestingly, the sensitivity profiles of the tested cancer cell lines to
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ASNase treatment were generally consistent with the impact of SLC1A3-KO on aspartate uptake, with the exception of BT549 cells (Fig 2B and D). To further confirm the correlation between aspartate/glutamate uptake capacity and ASNase sensitivity, we used SLC1A3-deficient MCF7 cells (a breast cancer cell line) and DU145 cells (a prostate cancer cell line), and established two cancer cell lines overexpressing SLC1A3: MCF7-V5-SLC1A3 and DU145-V5-SLC1A3. Figure 2E-G verified SLC1A3 ectopic expression, its subcellular localization to the plasma membrane, and its capacity to uptake up aspartate in those two cell lines. Importantly, acquired ASNase resistance was observed in both cell lines after the ectopic expression of SLC1A3 (Fig 2H). In line with the above results, the addition of cell-permeable aspartate and glutamate, but not esterified leucine, to DU145 cells, restored cell proliferation under ASNase conditions (Fig 2I). Taken together, we conclude that SLC1A3-mediated aspartate/glutamate uptake promoted ASNase resistance.

Combination of SLC1A3 inhibition and ASNase induces metabolic vulnerabilities that impede cancer cell proliferation

Next, we assessed chemical SLC1A3 inhibition in the context of ASNase. We mainly compared two SLC1A3 inhibitors, the selective non-substrate blocker UCPH-101 (Abrahamsen et al., 2013) and TFB-TBOA (Shimamoto et al., 2004). By aspartate uptake assay, we observed that the inhibitory activity of TFB-TBOA was far more potent than that of UCPH-101, even reaching a nanomolar level (Fig EV2A). Therefore, we used TFB-TBOA for further experiments. Notably, while TFB-TBOA and ASNase, respectively, had either no or mild effect on PC3 cell proliferation, their combinational treatment effectively hindered cell proliferation and cell cycle progression (Figs 3A and B, and EV2B–D). In addition, TFB-TBOA completely restored the adverse effect of ASNase in DU145-V5-SLC1A3 cells but had no influence on DU145 wild-type cells (Fig 3A and C). Interestingly, while the combinational treatment impaired cell cycle progression in PC3 cells, it caused apoptosis in DU145-V5-SLC1A3 cells (Figs 3C and EV2E).

Next, we investigated the effects of combined ASNase and SLC1A3 inhibition on intracellular amino acids and key metabolites levels by liquid-chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS). In concordance with SLC1A3-KO, SLC1A3 inhibition by TFB-TBOA promoted further depletions of aspartate and glutamate pools in PC3 cells in the presence of ASNase (Fig 3D). However, in contrast to SLC1A3-KO, TFB-TBOA did not perturb aspartate, glutamate, and glutamine levels, probably due to the short drug exposure time compared with the genetic manipulation. Notably, combined ASNase and SLC1A3 inhibition induced a marked reduction in argininosuccinate from the urea cycle (Fig 3E). This effect can be reasoned by the lack of aspartate availability as a substrate for argininosuccinate synthesis (Rabinovich et al., 2015). Moreover, nucleotide synthesis and tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle replenishments were also impaired (Fig 3E), probably due to the deficit of aspartate as previously described (Ahn & Metallo, 2015; Rabinovich et al., 2015). We also observed that combinational treatment disturbed the NAD+/NADH homeostasis, an important indicator for cellular energy assessment and redox status (Fig 3E). And strong lactate depletion was detected, at least partly due to the depletion of NADH (Fig 3E). Moreover, levels of carnitine metabolites (important transporters for lipid metabolism) were also perturbed under combinational conditions (Fig 3E). Above all, in SLC1A3-expressed PC3 cells, ASNase and TFB-TBOA impact metabolites involved in the urea cycle, nucleotides synthesis, energy production by TCA cycle and glycolysis, as well as redox homeostasis and lipid metabolism. These metabolic alterations further explain why PC3 cells resist ASNase treatment but become vulnerable once SLC1A3 is either genetically depleted or chemically blocked.

We also probed the key metabolites in DU145 and DU145-V5-SLC1A3 cells. In DU145 cells (lacking SLC1A3 expression), ASNase alone was sufficient to induce a similar metabolic profile as obtained in PC3 treated with ASNase and SLC1A3 inhibition (Fig EV2F). Ectopic expression of SLC1A3 negated these adverse effects, and accordingly, the addition of TFB-TBOA restored those perturbations in DU145-V5-SLC1A3 cells (Fig EV2F).

Then, we inquired whether ASNase treatment promotes a special usage of cellular aspartate/glutamate. For that purpose, we conducted metabolic flux studies using [13C5,15N] L-aspartate and [13C4,15N] L-glutamate in DU145-V5-SLC1A3 cells. Notably, as observed before (Sullivan et al., 2018), exogenous labeled aspartate was barely incorporated into the intracellular asparagine pool (Fig EV3A). Instead, both labeled aspartate and glutamate were actively used to replenish downstream metabolisms, such as TCA cycle, urea cycle, and nucleotide synthesis. However, following ASNase treatment, the relative profiles of labeled metabolites
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remained generally similar to that of mock-treated cells, except for increased incorporation into glutamine from labeled glutamate (Fig EV3B and C). Thus, ASNase treatment did not induce significant perturbations in the general metabolic usage of aspartate and glutamate in cancer cells.

**Gene expression analysis indicates the novel role of SLC1A3 in ASNase resistance**

To interrogate the influence on differential gene expression profiles by SLC1A3 and ASNase, we performed transcriptome analysis in three cancer cell lines: PC3 (endogenous expression of SLC1A3), DU145 wild type (SLC1A3 negative), and DU145-V5-SLC1A3 (ectopic expression of SLC1A3). Consistent with the compromised cell cycle progression in PC3 cells (Figs 3B and EV2B–D), genes related to cell cycle progression were inhibited following ASNase treatment (Fig 3C). Relative SLC1A3 mRNA levels to GAPDH were determined by RT-qPCR and presented as mean ± SD. The P-value was calculated by two-tailed paired t-test in Prism. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. a.u. indicates arbitrary unit.

Next, we set up experiments to examine the role of SLC1A3 in tumor response to ASNase treatment in vivo. As a first step, we investigated the impact of ASNase treatment on asparagine and glutamine levels in mice with human breast cancer xenografts. We orthotopically injected human breast cancer cells (SUM159PT) to mammary fat pads of NOD-Scid IL2Rg-null (NSG) mice, allowed tumors to develop to ~250 mm³, and then systemically injected 60 U ASNase per day for 5 consecutive days. Remarkably, we detected very strong ASNase-induced depletions of asparagine not only in the blood, but also in the mammary fat pad tissues and even within the growing tumors (Fig 5A). However, unlike the glutamine effect of ASNase in vitro, here we detected very modest perturbation in glutamine levels (Fig EV5A). This is probably due to the instant glutamine replenishment under in vivo conditions. ASNase treatment could potentially disturb tumor growing environment, at least in the perspective of asparagine.

Next, we employed 4T1, a highly malignant mouse breast cancer cell line, for the assessment of the influence of SLC1A3 on ASNase efficacy in vivo. This cell line does not express SLC1A3 (www.biogps.org), does not take up aspartate, and accordingly shows high sensitivity to ASNase treatment (Fig EV5B). As expected, ectopic expression of SLC1A3 (4T1-V5-SLC1A3) promoted exogenous aspartate uptake and restored 4T1 proliferation in the presence of ASNase in vitro (Fig EV5B). We therefore implanted 4T1 and 4T1-V5-SLC1A3 cells into the mammary fat pad of either mock- or ASNase-pretreated NSG mice and measured tumor development. Intriguingly, while the growth of tumors derived from parental 4T1 cells was impaired by ASNase at an early stage (days 9 and 12), SLC1A3-expressing tumors showed no significant differences

**SLC1A3 expression promotes tumor progression and ASNase resistance in a mouse model for breast cancer metastasis**

which might also be determined by aspartate/glutamate bioavailability.

Altogether, we conclude that the transcriptomic changes (Fig 4A–C) are in concordance with metabolic perturbations (Figs 3E and EV2F) and cellular outcomes (Fig 3A–C), indicating a novel role of SLC1A3 in cancer cell survival following ASNase treatment.
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between ASNase and mock treatment (Figs 5B and EV5C). Moreover, consistent with recent reports (Garcia-Bermudez et al., 2018; Sullivan et al., 2018), implantation of SLC1A3-expressing 4T1 cells resulted in relatively faster tumor growth compared to that of parental 4T1 cells (Fig EV5D). Once tumors reached the volume of ~500 mm³, mastectomy was performed to remove the primary tumors. The amino acid analysis of the harvested tumor samples by mass spectrometry revealed almost complete depletion of asparagine by ASNase, regardless of SLC1A3 expression status, and slightly reduced aspartate levels in parental 4T1 cells derived tumors following ASNase treatment (Fig 5C). Intriguingly, we also observed depleted glutamine and glutamate levels in control tumors (Fig 5C). This might relate to the absence of SLC1A3 or other aspartate/glutamate transporters in 4T1 cells, which might decelerate glutamine replenishment in the presence of ASNase, at least in this model. Of note, the introduction of SLC1A3 into 4T1 cells (4T1-V5-SLC1A3) increased intratumor aspartate and glutamate levels, and further negated aspartate and glutamine depletions by ASNase treatment at the cost of glutamate consumption (Fig 5C).

Following mastectomy, mice survival rate was scored. In agreement with the effect of ASNase on primary tumor establishment, mice bearing tumors derived from parental 4T1 cells survived better early after ASNase treatment than mock-treated mice (Fig EV5E, left). In contrast, ASNase treatment had no effect on the survival rate of mice with SLC1A3-expressing tumors, even at early stage (Fig EV5E, right).

Recently, the bioavailability of asparagine was reported to govern breast cancer metastasis, and ASNase could reduce breast cancer metastasis (Knott et al., 2018). From our results above, SLC1A3-mediated aspartate/glutamate imports could affect ASNase treatment. Therefore, we next assessed whether SLC1A3 expression could negate the inhibitory effect of ASNase on cancer cell invasion in a mouse metastasis model for human breast cancer cells as described recently (Knott et al., 2018). For this purpose, we used MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells whose metastasis burden was reduced by ASNase (Knott et al., 2018). Similar to 4T1 cells, MDA-MB-231 cells hardly expressed SLC1A3 (Fig 2A), did not take up asparate, and were highly sensitive to ASNase (Fig EV5F). Consistent with above results (Fig 2G and H), SLC1A3 expression increased aspartate uptake and promoted MDA-MB-231 cell proliferation in the presence of ASNase (Fig EV5F). We therefore introduced MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-231-V5-SLC1A3 cells intravenously into NSG mice and assessed the invasive burdens in lung and liver. As previously reported (Knott et al., 2018), ASNase treatment reduced metastasis of parental MDA-MB-231 cells to the lung (Fig 5D). In contrast, the introduction of SLC1A3 increased metastatic burdens and overcame the inhibitory effect by ASNase (Fig 5D). Thus, we conclude that SLC1A3 expression induces tumor progression and ASNase resistance.

Discussion

Although asparagine deprivation by ASNase was discovered as an effective treatment in lymphomas approximately 5 decades ago, its clinical implementation to other tumor types failed (Clarkson et al., 1970; Pui et al., 2009; Hays et al., 2013). The resistant mechanism to ASNase treatment in solid tumors was mainly attributed to the activation of the general amino acid sensing machinery (GCN2) and asparagine synthesis by ASNS via the GCN2-ATF4-ASNS axis (Scherf et al., 2000; Bunpo et al., 2009; Ye et al., 2010; Nakamura et al., 2018). However, the expression of ASNS in ALL did not compromise ASNase effectivity (Vander Heiden & DeBerardinis, 2017), indicating that the ubiquitous activation of the GCN2-ATF4-ASNS axis in response to nutrient deprivation might be essential, but not sufficient to induce ASNase resistance. Very recently, protein degradation was proposed to contribute to ASNase resistance in ALL (Hinze et al., 2019); however, its contribution in the context of solid tumors is not known yet. Here, we described the
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identification of SLC1A3, an aspartate/glutamate transporter, as a novel contributor to ASNase resistance and metastasis in cancer cells. As SLC1A3 is specifically expressed in brain tissues, this expression pattern may be beneficial to guide ASNase treatment in solid tumors.

ASNase could break down both asparagine and glutamine, even though its glutaminase activity was not required for ASNS-negative cancer cells (Chan et al., 2014). Moreover, ASNase was found effective in treating solid tumors with intrinsic loss of ASNS (Li et al., 2019). We observed that in cell culture conditions, both asparagine and glutamine were robustly depleted by ASNase (Fig 1G). However, in vivo conditions, asparagine was far more effectively depleted than glutamine (Figs 5C and EV5A), probably due to the abundant bioavailability and timely replenishment of glutamine that reduced the effect of glutaminase activity of ASNase. The importance of asparagine to tumor cell survival was further highlighted in recent studies. Ye et al. (2010) have demonstrated the importance of asparagine synthesis via GCN2-ATF4 axis for tumor cell survival during nutrient deprivation. And it has been demonstrated the essential role of asparagine in promoting cancer cell proliferation and breast cancer metastasis (Krall et al., 2011). Our results that ASNase resistance in the survival of cancer cells during ASNase treatment could hinder cancer cell proliferation by inducing either cell cycle arrest or apoptosis, which was observed in ALL cells following ASNase treatment (Kidd, 1953; Broome, 1961; Ueno et al., 1997; Pui et al., 2009). Metabolic and transcriptomic profiles of cancer cells treated with ASNase and SLC1A3 inhibition indicated numerous defects in many critical processes (Figs 3E and EV2F). Intriguingly, in addition to the well-known engagements in urea cycle, nucleotide synthesis, and TCA cycle replenishments (Rabinovich et al., 2015; Sullivan et al., 2015; Van Vranken & Rutter, 2015), aspartate and glutamate metabolisms might also directly or indirectly influence energy production, redox homeostasis, and lipid metabolism following ASNase treatment (Fig 5E).

Our metabolomic and “diricore” analyses indicated that ASNase-treated SLC1A3-expressing cancer cells and tumors still present asparagine shortage (Fig 5A and C; Loayza-Puch et al., 2016). Consistent with a previous study (Sullivan et al., 2018), our metabolic flux assays demonstrated that asparagine pool was not efficiently replenished by labeled asparagine (Fig EV3A). In mammalian cells, the lack of asparaginase activity prohibits asparagine utilization for the production of other amino acids or metabolic intermediates and the role of asparagine became essential when glutamine was depleted, even though it was only for protein synthesis (Pavlova et al., 2018).

Homologues of SLC1A3 (SLC1A1, SLC1A2, SLC1A6, and SLC17) can also transport aspartate/glutamate (Kanai et al., 2013). It remains to be further investigated whether these transporters also contribute to ASNase resistance in some cancer cells. The compound TFB-TBOA could potently inhibited SLC1A3, which leads to the negation of SLC1A3 in ASNase resistance in vivo. However, in vivo tests with TFB-TBOA showed poor pharmacokinetics activity (a sharp drop in serum levels 7 h postinjection, data not shown). Future pharmacological manipulation of TFB-TBOA might be needed to improve its in vivo performance.

Altogether, using a genome-wide functional genetic approach, we identified SLC1A3, an aspartate/glutamate transporter, as a key determinant in the survival of cancer cells during ASNase treatment. We pinpointed the role of aspartate/glutamate in fueling metabolic pathways related to urea cycle, nucleotide, energy production, redox
homeostasis, lipid metabolism, and glutamine biosynthesis, in this process. Our results show that solid tumors are amendable to systemic administration of ASNase, opening the possibility of expanding ASNase benefit to solid tumors.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

The human prostate cancer cell lines (PC3, DU145, LNCaP, and 22RV1) were bought from ATCC and cultured in RPMI (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The human breast cancer cell lines (MCF7, MDA-MB-231, and MDA-MB-468) were cultured in high glucose DMEM. SUM159PT cells (archived in the laboratory) were cultured in high glucose DMEM. HEK-293T packaging cell line for lentivirus O. v. Tellingen (Amsterdam, the Netherlands) and cultured in DMEM (high glucose). BT549 cells (archived in the laboratory) were cultured in RPMI with insulin (final concentration of 5 µg/ml) and hydrocortisone (sigma, final concentration of 1 µg/ml). Cells were seeded in 96-well plate (Greiner, 655090), and three images per well were taken every 4 h by the IncuCyte imaging system (Essen Bioscience). Cell confluence per well was calculated by averaging the mapped areas for those three images. Experiments were performed with independent triplicates.

Generation of SLC1A3 expression plasmid

SLC1A3 cDNA was amplified from the pLX304-SLC1A3 plasmid kindly gifted by Roderick Beijersbergen (Amsterdam, the Netherlands) using the following primer sequences:

- Forward primer: 5'-ACAGCGTCTTAGAGCTTACGCTAGCTCATTAC-3'
- Reverse primer: 5'-CGACAGTTAGCCAGAGAGCTCAGGCCGCGCCGCCGCTGT-3'.

The resulting product was digested using XbaI (Roche) and NotI (Thermo Fisher Scientific) restriction enzymes and ligated into a pLenti backbone (Korkmaz et al., 2016) with compatible sticky ends.

Lentivirus production and infection

A third-generation lentivirus packaging system consisting of pCMV-5SV-G (Addgene#8454), pRSV-Rev (Addgene #12253), and pMDLg/pRRE (Addgene #12252) was co-transfected with lentivector v2 (Addgene: #52961) containing sgRNA. Transfection was performed in HEK-293T cells using PEI (polyethylenimine, Polysciences), and medium was refreshed after 18 h. Virus was harvested 48 h after transfection by snap-frozen and stored at −80°C. Target cells were selected with either puromycin (1 µg/ml) or blasticidin (5 µg/ml) according to the need of the experiments. The selective option when no surviving cells remained in the nontransduction control plate and cells were switched to normal culture medium.

CRISPR-Cas9 genome-wide screen in PC3 cells and MAGeCK analysis

PC3 cells were transduced with lentivirus pools containing sgRNAs of a genome-wide CRISPR-Cas9 Brunello library (Doench et al., 2016; addgene #73179) at a multiplicity of infection (moi) of ~0.3 and ~1,000 × representations for each guide. After 2–3 days of recovery from puromycin (1 µg/ml) selection, cells were split into two different conditions: One was subjected to ASNase treatment (0.3 U/ml, ITK) for 20 days, and the other to mock treatment. Two independently replicates were included. Subsequently, genomic DNA was isolated using the phenol–chloroform extraction protocol and sgRNAs were amplified using a two-step PCR protocol for next-generation sequencing. Libraries were sequenced in an Illumina HiSeq-2500 sequencer, and raw reads were demultiplexed and analyzed using the in-house perl script XCALIBR (https://github.com/NIK-GCF/xcalibr). The individual sgRNAs abundance was further analyzed using MAGeCK (Li et al., 2014) pipeline to find genes statistically depleted during the screening. The MAGeCK software was ran with default options, and the 1,000 non-targeting sgRNAs included in the CRISPR-Cas9 library were used for control normalization.

First PCR forward primer: 5'- ACA CTC TTT CCC TAC ACG ACC CTC TTC CGA TCT NNN NNN GGC TTT ATA TAT CTT GGT GAA AGG ACG -3' and first PCR reverse primer: 5'- GTG ACT GGA GTT CAG ACG TGT CCT CGT CTT CAC TAC TGA CGG GCA CGC GAG CCA ATT CC -3'. The forward primer contained a barcode (NNNNNN) that enabled multiplexing.

Second PCR forward primer: 5'- AAT GAT AGG GCC ACC ACC GAG ATC TAC ACT CTC ACC CAC GAC CCT CTG GTT CCT CGG GAC ATA CGA GAT CGA TGT GTG ACT GGA GTT CAG ACG TGT CCT CGT CTT CCC ACC TAT -3' and reverse primer: 5'- CAA GCA GAA GAC GCC GAC ATA CGA GAT CGA TGT GTG ACT GGA GTT CAG ACG TGT CCT CGT CTT CCC ACC TAT -3'.

Competitive cell proliferation assay

PC3 parental cells were stably transfected with pLKO-H2B-GFP and mixed with plenti2-sgRNA transfected PC3 cells (GFP-negative) at a ratio of ~3:7 and seeded into 12-well plates in the absence or presence of ASNase (0.3 U/ml). Cells were split every 3–5 days, and the ratio of GFP-negative cells among the mixed population was measured by flow cytometry (Calibur, BD Biosciences). GFP-negative cell counts at each timepoint were normalized to day 0 when the cells were initially mixed.

Radioactive aspartate uptake assay

Cells were counted and seeded 1 day before the assay in 12-well plates as described (Loayza-Puch et al., 2017). After washed twice with PBS, cells were incubated, respectively, with [3H] L-leucine (in sodium-free uptake buffer) and [3H] L-aspartate (in PBS) for 5 min. Next, cells were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and collected with 0.1 M NaOH. The counts for radioactivity were measured by a
liquid scintillation analyzer on LSC2910 PerkinElmer Counter. Leucine uptake was used for normalization.

**BrdU labeling**

For PC3 cells, a final concentration of 10 μM bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU, Sigma) was added to the medium and incubated for 25 min. Cells were harvested and fixed with 70% cold ethanol at 4°C for 30 min. RNase A treatment (final concentration at 0.5 mg/ml) at 37°C for 30 min was applied. Cells were resuspended in freshly prepared HCl/0.5% Triton solution (for DNA denaturation) at room temperature for 20 min and then neutralized by 0.1 M Na2B4O7. After washed once with PBS/Tween, cells were incubated with 1:40 diluted anti-BrdU antibody (Dako) at RT for 30 min. Cells were incubated with FITC-conjugated anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 secondary antibody (1:500, Dako) at RT for 30 min in the dark. After washing another 2X, cells were then resuspended in PI (20 μg/ml) solution and ready for FACS assay (at least 10,000 cells were gated for each condition).

**Metabolite profiling and isotope tracing**

1.5 × 10^5 cells were seeded in 6-well plates and treated for 72 h as indicated. After washed twice with cold PBS, cells were subjected to 1 ml lysis buffer composed of methanol/acetone/II2O (2:2:1) for metabolites extractions. The lysates were collected and centrifuged at 16,000 g (4°C) for 15 min, and the supernatant was transferred to a new tube for further liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (LC-MS) analysis. The LC-MS analysis procedure and parameters were used as described before (Loayza-Puch *et al*., 2017). Metabolites were identified and quantified using LCQuan software (Thermo Scientific) on the basis of exact mass within 5 ppm and further validated by concordance with retention times of standards. Peak intensities were normalized based on median peak intensity of total metabolites or on essential amino acids. For Fig 5A, 10 μl of serum was diluted in 1 ml lysis buffer. For Fig 5A and C, 50–100 mg mammary fat pad tissues and tumors were ground in a mortar under liquid nitrogen, and metabolites were extracted by adding 500 μl lysis buffer and sonicated for 10 min before centrifugation.

For isotope tracing experiment, 2.5 × 10^5 DU145-V5-SLClA3 cells were seeded in 6-well plates. Next day, cells were exposed to either mock or ASNase (0.2 U/ml) for 48 h and then supplemented with either 1.5 mM [13C5,15N] L-aspartate (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, CNLM-544-H) and 1.5 mM unlabeled glutamate (Sigma, G8415) or 1.5 mM [13C6,15N] L-glutamate (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, CNLM-544-H) and 1.5 mM unlabeled aspartate (Bioconnect 47203.01) for 8 h. Then, the cells and the medium were harvested for further analysis as described above.

**Total RNA isolation**

Total RNA was isolated using Trisure reagent (Bioline) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, cells were washed twice with PBS and 1 ml Trisure was added for homogenization. After centrifuge, the aqueous phase was transferred to a new tube and mixed with cold isopropyl alcohol for RNA precipitation by centrifuging at 4°C for 1 h. RNA pellet was washed twice with 75% ethanol and finally dissolved in RNase-free water.

**Reverse transcription and quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR)**

Reverse transcription was performed with Tetro Reverse Transcriptase kit (Bioline) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 2 μg of total RNA was used as templates for each reaction. qPCR products were prepared using a SensiFAST SYBR No-ROX kit (Bioline) according to the instructions and performed in the Light Cycler 480 (Roche). Primers are listed in Table EV1.

**Western blot analysis**

Cells were washed twice with PBS and lysed with 2 × SDS buffer (4% SDS, 20% glycerol and 125 mM Tris PH 6.8). Next, protein levels were quantified by Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific). Lysates were loaded into a separating 7.5% SDS–PAGE gel, and protein was transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. After blocking with 5% milk/PBS-Tween 20 (0.2% solution), the membrane was incubated with mouse-anti-V5 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Proteins were visualized using the secondary fluorescently-labeled antibodies goat anti-mouse IRDye 680 RD (LI-COR Biosciences) and scanned on the Odyssey infrared imaging system (LI-COR Biosciences).

**Immunofluorescence assay**

Cells were grown on glass coverslips, washed twice with PBS, and fixed with 2% PFA for 10 min at room temperature. Next, cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton/PBS solution, blocked with 5% FBS for 1 h, and incubated with mouse-anti-V5 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Alexa-488-conjugated rabbit anti-mouse secondary antibodies. Coverslips were mounted on glass slides using Vectorshield containing DAPI. Images were taken with Leica confocal microscope SP5.

**TruSeq standard mRNA sample preparation**

Stranded-specific libraries were generated using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA sample preparation kit (Illumina) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 2 μg of total RNA was polyA-selected using oligo-dT beads and the RNA was fragmented, random primed, and reverse transcribed using SuperScript II Reverse Transcriptase kit (Invitrogen). Second-strand complementary DNA was then synthesized, 3’-adenylated and ligated to Illumina sequencing adapters, and subsequently amplified by 12 cycles of PCR. The sequencing libraries were analyzed on a 2100 Bioanalyzer using a 7500 chip (Agilent) and pooled equimolarly into a 30 nM multiplex sequencing pool.

**Deep sequencing**

Samples were sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq2500 sequencer generating 65-nucleotide single-end reads.

**RNA-seq analysis**

Sequenced reads were aligned to the human genome (hg19) using TopHat v2.0.8 (Trapnell *et al*., 2009). Only uniquely mapped reads were retained for further analysis. SAMTOOLS v0.1.19 (*Li et al*,
IncuCyte® Caspase-3/7 Green apoptosis assay

Cells were pre-seeded in 96-well plate (Greiner, 655090) 48 h before the addition of Caspase-3/7 Green Apoptosis reagent (Essen Bioscience, 4440). The green signals were captured every 4 h, and apoptotic cells were counted.

Animal studies

All mice experiments were approved by the Netherlands Cancer Institute Animal Experimental Committee. For Fig EV1E, xenografts were induced by subcutaneous injection of $4 \times 10^6$ PC3 control (sgNon-targeting) and sgSLC1A3 cells (monoclonal #4-1) in one flank of Balb/c nude mice ($n = 8$) and treatment started when tumors reached 50 mm$^3$. For Fig 5A, $4 \times 10^6$ SUM159PT cells were resuspended in 50 µl PBS and injected into the mammary gland #4 of NOD-SCID IL2R-null (jax) (NSG) mice. After tumor volumes reached $\sim 250$ mm$^3$, mice ($n = 3$ per group) were administrated either with mock (saline) or ASNase (60 U per day) for 5 consecutive days by intraperitoneal injections. Serum, mammary fat pad tissues, and tumors were collected and snap-frozen for LC-MS analysis when the mice were sacrificed. For Fig 5B, $1 \times 10^5$ 4T1 cells and 4T1-V5-SLC1A3 cells were respectively resuspended in 20 µl 1:1 mix of PBS and growth factor reduced Matrigel (Geltrex™, Gibco) and injected into 1 mammary fat pad per mouse. Mice were pretreated for 2 days either with saline or ASNase (60 U per day) before tumor cell introduction, and the treatment was performed every day until the mice were sacrificed ($n = 13$ per group except for the group of 4T1 treated with ASNase, $n = 12$). Primary tumors were surgically removed once the tumor volumes reached 450–550 mm$^3$, and mice underwent breathing challenges every day. For Fig 5D, $5 \times 10^4$ MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-231-V5-SLC1A3 cells (in 50 µl PBS) were, respectively, injected into the tail veins of 2 days of pretreated NSG mice ($n = 8$ per group) and mice were sacrificed 22 days post-tumor cells introduction.

All the experiments were using NSG mice 6–8 weeks old (except for Fig EV1E), and mice were weighed every 2 or 3 days to monitor weight loss. For ASNase treatment, mice were intraperitoneally administrated 60 U ASNase every day till the end of the experiments. Tumor volumes were calculated by the formula $V = \frac{1}{2}(LW^2)$, where $L$ is length and $W$ is width of the primary tumor.

Histopathology analysis of lung and liver invasion

Lung and liver tissues were collected and fixed in formalin fixative and embedded in paraffin. The immunohistochemistry (IHC) of vimentin (DAKO, M0725, dilution: 1:4,000) was conducted on 4-µm-thick sections according to standard procedures. The stained slides were examined blindly by a pathologist, and the number of tumorous lesions (more than 10 cancer cells) was scored in each of the sections. The sections were reviewed with a Zeiss Axioskop2 Plus microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Jena, Germany), and images were captured with a Zeiss AxioCam HRC digital camera and processed with AxioVision 4 software (both from Carl Zeiss Vision, München, Germany).

Statistics

Data analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (version 7). The statistical tests used are described in figure legends. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, ***$P < 0.001$. For the mass spectrometry analysis of amino acids in tumor samples, no statistics methods were used to predetermine sample size. For animal experiments, an estimate was made for the number of mice needed, without power calculation.

TCGA datasets analysis

Expression data from tumor and normal tissue samples were downloaded for every project available at ICGC data portal (http://dcc.icgc.org; release 27). For consistency, only expression data from pipeline “RNAseqV2_RSEM_genes” were considered. The downloaded normalized expression data were scaled to TPM (transcripts per million reads) and log2 transformed. Only projects with more than 10 normal samples were considered. All analyses were done using R-language. The statistical comparison between normal and tumor samples was done using a Wilcoxon sum rank test with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

Data availability

The deep sequencing datasets generated in this study have been deposited in GEO database under accession number: GSE134074 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE134074). All other data generated that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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