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ABSTRACT
A recent systematic literature and meta-analysis reported relative efficacy of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX) for the treatment of toxoplasmic encephalitis (TE) in HIV-infected adults. Here, we estimated relapse rates during secondary prophylaxis with TMP-SMX, and further explored differences in relapse rates prior to introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) and the widespread adoption of HAART. A systematic search of PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials yielded 707 studies whereby 663 were excluded after abstract screening, and 38 were excluded after full review leaving 6 studies for extraction. We performed double data extraction with a third-party adjudicator. Study designs varied with only one randomized study, four prospective cohorts and one retrospective cohort. Relapse rates were transformed using the Freeman-Tukey method and pooled using both fixed-effect and random-effects meta-analysis models. The TMP-SMX relapse rate was 16.4% (95% CI = 6.2% to 30.3%) based on random-effects models. When the disaggregated pre-HAART studies (n = 4) were included, the relapse rate was 14.9% (random effects; 95% CI = 3.7% to 31.9%). Analysis of two post-HAART studies indicated a relapse rate of 19.2% (random effects; 95% CI = 2.8% to 45.6%). Comparing the relapse rates between pre- and post-HAART studies were contrary to what might be expected based on known benefits of HAART therapy in this population. Nevertheless, cautious interpretation is necessary considering the heterogeneity of the included studies and a limited number of subjects receiving TMP-SMX reported in the post-HAART era.

Background
A recent systematic literature and meta-analysis reported by Hernandez et al. [1], reported relative efficacy of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX) for the treatment of toxoplasmic encephalitis (TE) in patients with AIDS [1]. Building on the work of Hernandez et al. [1], we quantified TE relapse rates during secondary prophylaxis with TMP-SMX. Defining relapse rates is an important element of patient management as it represents additional mortality and morbidity and costs for the healthcare system, as well as hospitals. This is particularly challenging in scenarios where readmission within defined periods of time are not reimbursed by insurers. Therefore, readmission costs are paid by the hospital without reimbursement.

The aim of this study was to conduct a systematic literature review and meta-analysis to estimate relapse rates associated with TMP-SMX in secondary prophylaxis following improvement of an episode of TE. We further assessed relapse rates in the pre- and post-highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) era.

Methods
Search strategy
The study was conducted following PRISMA guidelines [2]. PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) were searched up to November 11, 2016. The search strings for the three databases are available as supplementary data (Appendix S1). To be eligible for inclusion, studies had to include data in patients with HIV infection or AIDS, receiving secondary prophylaxis with TMP-SMX after resolution of a prior TE event. Studies needed to report...
on relapse outcomes during maintenance treatment and be published in peer-reviewed journals in English, Spanish, Portuguese, German or French. Studies in primary prophylaxis were excluded. Review articles, letters to the editor (not being research letters), comments, case reports, and preclinical studies were also excluded. Study eligibility for inclusion was assessed in duplicate by two independent reviewers, and in cases of discrepancy, a third reviewer was consulted to reach consensus.

Data extraction
Data extraction was performed in duplicate on all included studies to limit human error. A third reviewer resolved discrepancies in extracted data between the two independent reviewers. Non-English studies were translated and extracted. Extracted data included: first author, publication year, study design, demographics, acute and maintenance treatment regimens, number of patients evaluated for relapse, incidence of relapse, and time of follow-up. HAART status of studies was determined by the period of patient enrollment in the study (not publication date), either before or after 1996, and the reported background anti-retroviral therapy administered to patients. The meta-analysis consisted of six studies representing 235 patients receiving TMP-SMX as a secondary prophylaxis for TE (Table 1).

Quality assessment
The study quality assessment tools of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) for quality assessment of Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies and Controlled Intervention Studies were used to assess the quality of included studies [3].

Statistical analyses
The primary outcome was rate of relapse associated with TMP-SMX maintenance therapy. Relapse rates were transformed using the Freeman-Tukey method and pooled using both fixed-effect (inverse variance method) and random-effects (DerSimonian and Laird method) meta-analysis models. The estimate of heterogeneity was taken from the inverse variance model and was quantified by the $I^2$ consistency score. The $I^2$ describes the percentage variation across studies attributed to heterogeneity rather than chance. High $I^2$ values (over 75%) suggests considerable heterogeneity between studies. Scores over 50% are moderate and over 75% suggest the need to consider estimates from random-effects models [4]. The choice to present fixed or random effects results was based on heterogeneity determined by $I^2$. The analysis was performed using StatsDirect (Altrincham, UK) statistical program version 2.8.0 (27 October 2013). To simplify interpretation independent proportions were converted to percentages reflecting relapse rates.

Results

Characteristics of studies
From the initial 707 identified studies, 663 were excluded based on abstract screening and removal of duplicates. An additional 38 were rejected after full text review for the following reasons: being an abstract only ($n = 5$), not administering a TMP-SMX based regimen ($n = 15$), not providing relapse rates ($n = 5$), being an epidemiology or a switching study ($n = 3$), not evaluating TE ($n = 1$), being a primary prophylaxis study ($n = 3$), being a review article ($n = 2$), not being published in English, French, Spanish, German or Portuguese ($n = 2$), and inclusion of inappropriate patient population ($n = 2$).

Study designs varied with only one randomized study, four prospective cohorts and one retrospective cohort [5678910]. No substantial differences were found in administered doses of TMP-SMX for both the acute and maintenance treatment phase across studies, except for Chaddha et al. [8] in which patients received a combination of TMP-SMX plus pyrimethamine. Four studies were either fully or partly performed in the pre-HAART era ($n = 135$) and two studies were conducted entirely in the post-HAART era ($n = 100$). The follow-up for pre-HAART studies was considerably shorter than the follow up for post-HAART (pre-HAART: 3 – 9 months; post-HAART 31 – 36 months).

Quality assessment
The quality of all ($n = 6$) included studies was rated as fair, with a risk of bias due to lack of blinding, nevertheless the reported relapse outcomes were considered of sufficient quality to be included in the meta-analysis.

Meta-analyses
The forest plot of the six included studies illustrates the range of relapse rates observed in the analysis Figure 1. The combined TMP-SMX relapse rate was 16.4% (95%CI = 6.2% to 30.3%) using a random-effects model. In a sub analysis in which only the pre-HAART studies ($n = 4$) were included, the relapse rate was 14.9% (random effects; 95% CI = 3.7% to 31.9%) with $I^2 = 79.4$% (95% CI = 11.7% to 90.4%). Analysis of two post-HAART studies indicated a relapse rate of 19.2% (random effects; 95% CI = 2.8% to 45.6%), $I^2$ values unassessable due to limited number of studies ($n = 2$) Table 2.

Discussion
Pyrimethamine plus sulfadiazine is the preferred regimen in secondary prophylaxis and pyrimethamine plus...
Table 1. Summary of study characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author (year)</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>TMP-SMX based therapy</th>
<th>No. patients evaluated for relapse, n</th>
<th>Relapse, n (%)</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-HAART</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torre (1998) [5]</td>
<td>Randomized</td>
<td>$N = 77$&lt;br&gt;Male: 57 (75%)&lt;br&gt;Mean age: 33.2 yrs ± 5.6&lt;br&gt;TMP-SMXn = 40</td>
<td>TMP-SMX (10 mg/kg/day) plus sulfadiazine (50 mg/kg/day)&lt;br&gt;TMP-SMX (5 mg/kg/day) plus sulfadiazine (25 mg/kg/day)</td>
<td>37&lt;br&gt;1 (3%)</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torre (1998) [10]</td>
<td>Retrospective cohort</td>
<td>$N = 71$&lt;br&gt;Male: 58 (81.7%)&lt;br&gt;Mean age: 30.5 yrs ±4.9</td>
<td>TMP-SMZ (10 mg/kg/day), plus SMX (50 mg/kg/day)&lt;br&gt;TMP-SMZ (5 mg/kg/day), plus SMX (25 mg/kg/day)</td>
<td>71&lt;br&gt;5 (7%)</td>
<td>9 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smadja (1998) [9]</td>
<td>Open, prospective trial</td>
<td>$N = 18$&lt;br&gt;Male: 11 (61%)&lt;br&gt;Median age: 39 yrs</td>
<td>TMP-SMX&lt;br&gt;First 48 h: TMP (640 mg/day) plus SMX (3.2 g/day)&lt;br&gt;Next two weeks: TMP (480 mg/day) plus SMX (2.4 g/day)&lt;br&gt;Until disappearance of active lesions: TMP (320 mg/day) plus SMX (1.6 g/day)</td>
<td>17&lt;br&gt;7 (41%)</td>
<td>n.r.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaddha (1999) [8]</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>$N = 11$&lt;br&gt;Male: 10 (91%)&lt;br&gt;Mean age: 32 ± 4 yrs</td>
<td>PYR ± TMP-SMX&lt;br&gt;PYR (200 mg loading dose followed by 75 mg/day) plus TMP (20 mg/kg/day) plus SMX (100 mg/kg/day)</td>
<td>10&lt;br&gt;2 (20%)</td>
<td>3–6 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post-HAART</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duval (2004) [7]</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>$N = 17$&lt;br&gt;Male: 13</td>
<td>NR&lt;br&gt;TMP-SMX</td>
<td>17&lt;br&gt;1 (6%)</td>
<td>31 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beraud (2009) [6]</td>
<td>Observational prospective cohort</td>
<td>$N = 83$&lt;br&gt;Male: 56 (67.5%)&lt;br&gt;Mean age: 39.8 ± 11.0 yrs</td>
<td>TMP-SMX (10–50 mg/kg/day) plus SMX (50–250 mg/kg/day)&lt;br&gt;TMP-SMX (160 mg/day), plus SMX (800 mg/day)</td>
<td>83&lt;br&gt;25 (30%)</td>
<td>Mean 36.1 ± 36.9 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.r., not reported; PYR, pyrimethamine; TMP-SMX, trimethoprim plus sulfamethoxazole.
post-HAART which is contrary as one would expect HAART to confer benefits. We attribute this to the heterogeneity in the clinical study data based on $I^2$ scores and a limited number of post-HAART evaluations using TMP-SMX ($n = 100$). In addition, although not included in the present systematic review and meta-analysis, poor adherence to TMP-SMX secondary prophylaxis seem to be the most probable cause of TE relapse [6]. Although it is tempting to compare relapse rates between interventions, as these studies represent independent assessments, a direct comparison is inappropriate here. The present analysis identified high $I^2$ value (83%) with large interval (CI = 58.3% to 90.4%) reflecting a considerable heterogeneity among the included studies, which makes it difficult to draw conclusions. On this basis we have presented the random effect results.

Similar to the analysis reported by Hernandez et al. [1], we included a wide range of study designs in our analysis to reflect real-world outcomes for the management of secondary prophylaxis [1]. Because the focus of our analysis was on relapse rates, it was necessary that studies reported follow-up over the maintenance period and reported relapse outcomes. We believe this is an added dimension to the results reported by Hernandez., and can inform relapse rates for TMP-SMX but cautious interpretation is necessary due to the heterogeneity observed. Larger randomized clinical trials are important to obtain a conclusive answer regarding relapse rates associated with TMP-SMX for secondary prophylaxis of HIV-related TE.
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