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We synthesized a cross-conjugated polymer containing ketones in the backbone and converted it to a linearly conjugated, cationic polyarylmethine via a process we call "spinless doping" to create a new class of materials, conjugated polyions. This process involves activating the ketones with a Lewis acid and converting them to trivalent cations via the nucleophilic addition of electron-rich aryl moieties. Spinless doping lowers the optical band gap from 3.26 to 1.55 eV while leaving the intrinsic semiconductor properties of the polymer intact. Electrochemical reduction (traditional doping) further decreases the predicted gap to 1.18 eV and introduces radicals to form positive polarons; here, n-doping produces a p-doped polymer in its metallic state. Treatment with a nucleophile (NaOMe) converts the cationic polymer to a neutral, non-conjugated state, allowing the band gap to be tuned chemically, post-polymerization. The synthesis of these materials is carried out entirely without the use of Sn or Pd and relies on scalable Friedel–Crafts chemistry.

1 Introduction

The utility of conjugated polymers as electro-optical materials is their unique combination of semiconducting and mechanical properties; they can be processed from solution into devices with similar functionality to their more brittle inorganic counterparts. Devices like field-effect transistors and organic photovoltaics utilize conjugated polymers in their undoped, semiconducting state, while sensors and other devices utilize their doped, metallic state(s). Virtually all conjugated polymers can be converted from neutral intrinsic semiconductors to charged, metallic states by the addition of nucleophiles during post-polymerization modification, and spinless doping, however, they were transient, existing only while held at cathodic potentials under air-free conditions.

This paper describes the stabilization of permanent charges via "spinless doping," a process in which a cross-conjugated polykette is converted to a linearly conjugated polymer that is a charged, intrinsic semiconductor by the addition of nucleophiles during post-polymerization modification. Unlike the previous polykettes, which were doped only transiently, these conjugated polyions (CPIs) are robust, air-stable, and exhibit dynamic band gaps. They separate the influences of the unpaired electrons that result from traditional reox doping from the inclusion of charges and show dramatic physical changes, including solubility, yet the position of the conduction band (determined electrochemically) remains almost unchanged from their cross-conjugated precursors. Although the polymers presented in this paper represent a proof-of-concept and have not yet been optimized for device applications, they are low band gap (~1.5 eV) intrinsic semiconductors that are synthesized entirely without Pd or Sn (Scheme 1). The monomers are prepared by nucleophilic displacement and the polymers by the same Friedel–Crafts polycondensation that was initially used to prepare poly(ether ketone), an engineering...
plastic, on a pilot scale.\textsuperscript{3–7} (It was later replaced with a process allowing for better, higher-boiling solvents.) Spinless doping is accomplished with Lewis acid activation and treatment with \(N,N\)-dimethylaniline. Thus, CPIs are built on scalable chemistry, which is an important feature of a conjugated polymer in the context of technological applications as an optoelectronic material;\textsuperscript{8,19} the lack of a scalable, industrially relevant synthetic route precludes commercial/technological applications.

Structurally, the CPIs presented in this paper are polyarylmethines (PAMs); however, PAMs are traditional conjugated polymers that must be redox doped and are, therefore, not related to CPIs beyond structural similarities.\textsuperscript{11–16} Electronically, CPIs resemble conjugated polymers with trivalent atoms in the backbone such as boron\textsuperscript{17–21} or nitrogen.\textsuperscript{22} In fact, CPIs are isoelectronic with boron-containing polymers in that the carbocations possess an empty p-orbital, but they are charged because of the position of carbon in the periodic table. Nitrogen-containing polymers possess a full p-orbital, which means that they can potentially undergo spinless doping by protonation, however, the protonation of a full p-orbital will create an sp\textsuperscript{3} hybridized nitrogen, disrupting the conjugated backbone. There are also cation-containing polymers with imidizolium units in the backbone, however, these charges do not reside in the conjugation pathway of the backbone.\textsuperscript{23,24}

Thus, while CPIs are structurally and electronically similar to PAMs and boron/nitrogen-containing polymers, they combine the properties of these different polymers in a unique way to form a new class of conjugated polymers with dynamically tunable band-gaps in the semi-conducting state (i.e., the conjugation length can be controlled post-synthetically and without redox) due to the presence of stable cations in the conjugated backbone.

2 Experimental

2.1 General

All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification unless otherwise indicated. NMR spectra were measured using a Varian AMX400 (400 MHz) instrument at 25 °C. FT-IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Nexus FT-IR fitted with a Thermo Scientific Smart iTR sampler. GPC measurements were done on a Spectra Physics AS 1000 series machine equipped with a Viskotek H-502 viscometer and a Shodex RI-71 refractive index detector. The columns (PLGel 5 m mixed-C) (Polymer Laboratories) were calibrated using narrow disperse polystyrene standards (Polymer Laboratories). Samples were made in THF or CHCl\textsubscript{3} at a concentration of \(\sim 2.5\) mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}. UV/Vis measurements were carried out on a Jenway 6715 spectrometer in 1 cm fused quartz cuvettes with concentrations of 0.03–0.1 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1} in CH\textsubscript{3}Cl\textsubscript{2}. PFK and PFC were also measured in an acidic environment by dissolving a few drops of H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} in CH\textsubscript{3}Cl\textsubscript{2}. EPR spectra were recorded on a Magneometr MiniScope MS400 using a quartz capillary at a concentration of 0.5–1 mM in THF and dichloroethane.

2.2 Monomer

9,9-Dihexyl-9H-fluorene (1).\textsuperscript{25} Fluorene (2.5 g; 15.04 mmol) was dissolved in 150 mL THF in a dried three-necked round-bottom flask. The solution was then cooled to \(-78\) °C with stirring and 22.6 mL of \(n\)-BuLi in hexane (1.6 M) rendering the solution orange. After stirring for 2 h, 1-bromohexane (6.2 g; 37.6 mmol) in 25 mL THF was added dropwise over 15–20 min. The reaction mixture was quenched by pouring it over ice H\textsubscript{2}O before extracting with ether (2 x 150 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with DI H\textsubscript{2}O, brine, dried over Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, to yield the crude produce as a pale yellow oil. The produce was then dissolved in a small amount of ether and purified by column chromatography on silica gel and \(n\)-heptane as the eluent, before being dried in vacuo for 24 h at 200 mTorr to afford 1 as a colorless/white semi-solid (4.04 g; 80% yield).\textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) \(\delta\) 7.70 (d, \(J=6.2\) Hz, 2H), 7.36–7.27 (m, 6H), 1.99–1.92 (m, 4H), 1.15–1.00 (m, 12H), 0.76 (t, \(J=7.1\) Hz, 6H), 0.66–0.55 (m, \(J=11.3\) Hz, 4H). FT-IR (ATR) 3064, 3039, 3013, 2954, 2925, 2855, 1940, 1902, 1866, 1794, 603, 1583, 1476, 1447, 1377, 1340, 1287, 1217, 1154, 1127, 1104, 1030, 1005, 932, 890, 863, 771, 733, 636, 620 cm\textsuperscript{-1}.

2.3 Polymers

Poly\([\text{9,9-dihexylfluorene}]_n\)-alt-(1,4-phenylene)dimethanone (PFK). AlCl\textsubscript{3} (6.004 g; 45.024 mmol) and LiCl (10 wt% of AlCl\textsubscript{3}) were added to 200 mL of freshly distilled CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} in a dried three-necked round-bottom flask. A second dried flask was added 1 (5.021 g; 15.08 mmol) and terephthaloyl chloride (3.047 g; 15.08 mmol), to 100 mL dry CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}. The Lewis acid solution was cooled to 0 °C and the monomer solution was slowly added by cannula, under vigorous stirring, resulting in a deep red slurry and the reaction mixture was then refluxed for 72 h under argon. Cooled down to rt the reaction mixture was quenched by pouring it out over stirring 1 N HCl/ice in an Erlenmeyer flask before extracting with CH\textsubscript{3}Cl\textsubscript{2} (200 mL). The organic layer was washed with aqueous NaOH and HCl solutions and finally with brine. Any insolubles were removed by filtration. The organic phase was concentrated under dryness, redissolved in a minimal amount of hot THF and precipitated into a large excess of ice-cold CH\textsubscript{3}OH (1000 mL) and the precipitate was concentrated by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for
20 min and filtered over a soxlet timble. The produce was purified further via continuous soxlet extraction with CH₂OH, CH₃COCH₃, and CHCl₃ to afford PFK from the CHCl₃ fraction, after removal of the solvent by rotary evaporation, as a yellow powder (2.76 g; 40%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.37–7.27 (m, 10H), 2.28–1.74 (m, 4H), 1.36–0.81 (m, 12H), 0.86–0.39 (m, 10H). FT-IR (ATR) 3054, 2955, 2854, 1657, 1607, 1562, 1464, 1403, 1347, 1258, 1201, 1095, 1013, 949, 796, 745, 661 cm⁻¹. GPC (THF) Mₙ 4000 g mol⁻¹, Mₘ 12000 g mol⁻¹, PDI = 3.2.

2.4 Polymer-analogous reaction

Poly[(9,9-diethylflourene-alt-1,4-phenylenebis(N,N-dimethylaniline)methylmethyl)] (PFC). AlCl₃ (512 mg; 3.84 mmol) and PFK (369 mg; 0.768 mmol based on the repeat unit) were added in vacuo affording PFC-OMe as a yellow solid (315 mg; 60%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.18–6.35 (m, 16H), 3.03–2.66 (m, 9H), 2.17–1.38 (m, 7H), 1.17–0.82 (m, 12H), 0.81–0.37 (m, 10H). FT-IR (ATR) 3293, 3033, 2924, 2854, 1794, 1657, 1607, 1562, 1518, 1464, 1403, 1347, 1258, 1201, 1095, 1013, 949, 796, 745, 723 cm⁻¹.

2.5 Cyclic voltammetry

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was carried out with a Autolab PGSTAT100 potentiostat in a three-electrode configuration where the working electrode was ITO-coated glass (7 mm × 50 mm × 0.7 mm, 10Ω sq⁻¹, from PG&O), the counter electrode was a platinum wire, and the pseudo-reference was an Ag wire that was calibrated against ferrocene (Fe/Fc⁻). Polymer films of PFK and PFC were spin-coated at a concentration of ~20 mg mL⁻¹ from CH₂Cl₂ on pre-cleaned (soap, sonicate in DI H₂O 2 × 5 min, acetone 10 min, isopropanol 10 min, dry at 110 °C 10 min) and plasma oxidized (5 min) ITO-coated glass at 500–1000 rpm with 200 rpm ramp for 1 minute. Prior to spin coating, the solutions were filtered through a Gelman GHP Acrodisc 0.45 μm membrane filter. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded at 200 mV s⁻¹ with 0.1 M LiClO₄ in either acetonitrile or propylene carbonate.

2.6 DFT calculations

Geometries of PFC, PFC-Rad⁺, PFK, and PFK-H⁻ were optimized using Density Functional Theory (DFT) (B3LYP/6-31G**) with GAMESS-UK²⁶ without any symmetry constraints. For the radical PFC-Rad⁺, unrestricted B3LYP was used. Subsequently, the vertical excitation energies were calculated using time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT) (B3LYP/6-31G**) with DALTON.²⁷ The lowest 15 excited states were calculated for each system.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Spinless doping

We define spinless doping as the introduction of charges into the band structure of a conjugated polymer without redox and without the spontaneous formation of unpaired spins. Spinless doping is not simply the addition of charges, but specifically the introduction of charges in the conjugated backbone via closed-shell, two-electron processes. Therefore, any unpaired electrons in the band structure must form spontaneously; for example, by spin un-pairing due to internal redox.¹ We distinguish between two types of spinless doping; transient, where charges are generated in situ and the resulting CPI cannot be isolated²⁴,²⁶ and permanent, where the charges are generated by stabilizing trivalent carbocations via addition/dehydration.

Fig. 1 is a cartoon describing the differences between traditional redox doping and spinless doping. The left column shows the band structure of a CPI as cations are introduced into the backbone, in this case by masking/unmasking the cations with methoxide/acid, as is depicted for PFC in Scheme 1. Under acidic conditions, when there are no nucleophiles present to trap the cations, the polymer is fully conjugated and the band gap is minimized, as is shown by the red structure in the middle column. As the concentration of nucleophiles (OCH₃⁻) in the solution is increased, the polymer becomes more disordered, until at high concentrations the polymer is essentially non-conjugated, as is shown by the yellow structure in the middle column.
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Fig. 1: A cartoon depicting the mechanism of the change in band gap of CPIs as a function of treatment with methoxide/acid compared to traditional doping. Circles indicate the methine groups that separate the aromatic groups, which are represented by squares. Conjugation is indicated in red and purple. Diagrams of the valence and conduction band structures are shown for the traditional redox doping and spinless doping. The left column shows the band structure of a CPI as cations are introduced into the backbone, in this case by masking/unmasking the cations with methoxide/acid, as is depicted for PFC in Scheme 1. Under acidic conditions, when there are no nucleophiles present to trap the cations, the polymer is fully conjugated and the band gap is minimized, as is shown by the red structure in the middle column. As the concentration of nucleophiles (OCH₃⁻) in the solution is increased, the polymer becomes more disordered, until at high concentrations the polymer is essentially non-conjugated, as is shown by the yellow structure in the middle column.
increases, the cations are trapped as sp³ carbon centers (e.g., methyl ethers). This process decreases the average conjugation length and increases the band gap until the polymer is rendered completely non-conjugated, represented by the white structure in the middle column. Traditional redox doping, by contrast, begins from a semiconducting polymer. The purple structure in the middle column is formed by reduction, which introduces charges via the addition of single electrons. This redox process also lowers the band gap, but not by affecting the average conjugation length. Rather, as is shown in the right column, it creates mid-gap states that typically lead to band gaps in the near-IR.

One of the most important differences between the CPI depicted in red and the redox-doped polymer shown in purple, is that the CPI – despite bearing charges in the band structure – can still be redox doped (by reduction). We previously observed this process via spectrophotometry in transient CPIs. In this paper, we rely on a combination of experiment and calculation to show that the same effect is present in PFC. However, proving that spinless doping does not induce spontaneous spin un-pairing and that CPIs are semiconductors is straightforward. We measured the EPR spectra of both PFK and PFC and both gave no detectible signal, however, it is difficult to prove a negative. Likewise, conductivity alone is not evidence of semiconducting or metallic properties. Thus, the bulk of the Results and discussion describes the preparation and characterization of PFK and PFC and the characterization of the influences of spinless doping, which vary considerably from the well-known effects of traditional redox doping.

3.2 Synthesis and characterization

We synthesized PFC via a Lewis acid mediated polymer analogous reaction of N,N-dimethylaniline on the cross-conjugated fluorene-based precursor polymer PFK. This introduction of permanent cations is spinless doped; it is an electrophilic addition/dehydration, not a redox process. We prepared PFK via the Friedel–Crafts polycondensation of fluorene 1 with terephthaloyl chloride mediated by AlCl₃ and LiCl in dry CH₂Cl₂ according to Scheme 1 and described in more detail in the Experimental. A byproduct of the Friedel–Crafts polycondensation reaction is large quantities of AlOH₃, which is a known flocculant and which can be challenging to separate from the desired polymer. Therefore, we attempted to minimize the AlCl₃ loading as much as possible and increase reaction times under highly inert conditions. We varied the Lewis acid loading for PFK from three to ten equivalents without negatively affecting the degree of polymerization, provided the solvents and reagents were dried and the reactions were performed under strict anhydrous conditions. Lower Lewis acid loadings resulted in a better controlled reaction and an easier isolation of PFK, because even with three equivalents a near-equal amount of AlOH₃ is formed per gram of polymer. Furthermore, we varied the polymerization time from one to three days under an Ar atmosphere. The lower Lewis acid loading and/or longer reaction times resulted in a trade-off between the degree of polymerization and polydispersity, where longer reaction times typically increase the polydispersity index (PDI) and peak molecular weight (Mₚ), but not the number average molecular weight (Mₙ).

We determined Mₙ = 4000–5200, Mₚ = 8000 g mol⁻¹, and PDI = 2.5–3.2 for PFK by gel permeation chromatography (GPC). See ESI† for a discussion of the batch-to-batch variability of Mₙ and Mₚ, the effects of reaction temperature, and a comparison against model compounds. As is almost always the case, these polymers have lower values of Mₙ than thermoplastics and block co-polymers and, thus, can be called oligomers by comparison. We prefer the term polymer because the electronic properties of conjugated polymers saturate after only a few repeats. Thus, these materials are optically and electronically equivalent from n = 5–∞ and are, therefore, more appropriately called polymers in the context of this paper. (The mechanical properties, which do vary with molecular weight, do not affect the optical or electrochemical properties.) Moreover, our estimates of Mₙ are conservative and represent the lower bounds of the real molecular-weight distribution, rather than directly reporting the number produced by the GPC without interpretation. The most common method for determining Mₙ (using an optical detector) relies on dn/dc values to relate concentration to changes in the refractive index, which are then compared against a standard calibration curve. Using the common TriSEC method and dn/dc values calculated by the instrument yielded values of Mₙ ~24 000 g mol⁻¹. Since dn/dc values are virtually unknown for conjugated polymers, they cannot be verified against published values. Furthermore, volumetric/gravimetric errors, including the material that is removed by filtration, that precipitates during the measurement, or that aggregates in solution, causes a large increase in the reported values of Mₙ. This problem is exacerbated by rigid conjugated polymers where simply measuring a GPC at elevated temperatures to reduce aggregation can change Mₙ by an order of magnitude or introduce bi-modality, which renders Mₙ meaningless. From our conservative estimate of Mₙ and PDI, we determined Pₙ (the number of repeats) to be ~9–40, which is relatively low, but not atypical for a conjugated polymer. Increasing the reaction temperature (see ESI†) increased Mₚ from 8000 to 31 000 g mol⁻¹, but increased the PDI.

To convert PFK to PFC, we redissolved the polymer in dry CH₂Cl₂ and performed a Lewis acid mediated electrophilic substitution on N,N-dimethylaniline of the activated ketones. However, when we performed the polymer analogous reaction method on PFK with three equivalents of AlCl₃, and five equivalents of N,N-dimethylaniline for one day, the conversion was low. Therefore, we repeated the polymer analogous reaction with five equivalents of AlCl₃ and ten equivalents of N,N-dime-thylaniline for three days. Although polymer analogous reactions are never quantitative, the quality of the resulting PFC was unambiguously higher than the first attempt. This two-step conversion enabled us to qualitatively follow the conversion of the polymer analogous reaction spectroscopically. To render PFC sufficiently soluble in organic solvents, and to prevent overly strong binding of counter-ions, we isolated PFC as the BF₄⁻ salt by first trapping free PFC with methoxide to form the non-conjugated methyl ether (i.e., with CH₃O⁻ as shown in
Scheme 1), dissolving it in aqueous HBF₄, and repeatedly extracting it with CH₂Cl₂.

We encountered difficulties obtaining clean ¹H-NMR spectra of PFC due to problems with locking and shimming (see ESI† for examples) possibly due to the combination of the ionic and polymeric nature of CPIs driving aggregation in organic solvents (PFC is sparingly soluble in CDCl₃). Thus, we followed the process of spinless doping by FT-IR analysis, which clearly shows two carbonyl modes present in PFK at 1654 cm⁻¹ and 1725 cm⁻¹. Fig. 2 compares the FT-IR spectra of PFK to PFC. The intensities of these (presumed) ketone stretches decrease markedly as the ketones of PFK are converted to cations in PFC. We correlated these bands with absorption bands in the UV-Vis, allowing us to follow the process of spinless doping semiquantitatively and, importantly, proving that the post-polymerization modification proceeds in high yield. The FT-IR spectra of model ketones and cations (single repeat-units) are shown in the ESI† to highlight the shifts that occur upon polymerization, and the very different spectra arising from the small-molecule analogs and PFC/PFK.

3.3 Band gap measurements

Fig. 3 and 4 show the absorption spectra of PFK and PFC respectively. The absorption spectra of PFK are shown in the neutral, cross-conjugated, semiconducting form and in the charged, transient spinless doped, protonated state (the solid and dashed lines in Fig. 3 respectively). Protonation shifts the band gap of PFK from 3.2 to 2.3 eV in a process analogous to that shown in Fig. 1 except that instead of converting between sp³ methyl cations and sp³ alcohols, the cations are converting cross-conjugation to linear conjugation. We measured the absorption spectra of as-prepared PFC and in acidified CH₂Cl₂ [the dashed and dotted lines in Fig. 4 respectively]. By comparing the FT-IR spectra to the UV-Vis spectra, we ascribe the shoulder in the absorption spectrum of PFC at 430 nm to cations resulting from the protonation of residual ketone (similar to protonated PFK). This peak only appears in acidified CH₂Cl₂, which also has the effect of red-shifting λmax by 48 nm and lowering the band gap from 1.77 to 1.55 eV. This shift is the result of maximizing the average conjugation length by converting the small amount of residual ketones from cross-conjugated carbonyls to conjugated methines and increasing the delocalization of the permanent carboxylations, as is depicted.
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Fig. 2 FT-IR spectra showing PFK before (white) and after post-polymerization modification which converts it to PFC (light gray) by spinless doping with near completion, as indicated by the strong reduction of the carbonyl resonance mode at 1654 cm⁻¹ and the complete disappearance of the carbonyl mode at 1725 cm⁻¹, as highlighted by dashed lines.
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Fig. 3 Absorption spectra of PFK in neutral and acidified CH₂Cl₂ depicting a bathochromic shift of λmax by 120 nm upon transient acid doping, turning the color of the polymer from yellow to deep red. We ascribe λmax of PFK in neutral CH₂Cl₂ to weakly interacting fluorene units through cross-conjugating ketones. After the transient acid doping the polymer becomes fully conjugated resulting in the observed bathochromic shift.
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Fig. 4 Absorption spectra of PFC as trapped as the methyl ether PFC-OMe (CH₂Cl₂, solid line), the BF₄⁻ salt (CH₂Cl₂, dashed line), and the fully protonated state (acidified CH₂Cl₂, dotted line). We ascribe λmax of PFC-OMe to the absorption of fluorene chromophores not conjugated to each other. The BF₄⁻ salt of PFC has a λmax at ~540 nm and a shoulder at ~435 nm, indicating that the residual ketones are only sparsely protonated by HBF₄. In acidified CH₂Cl₂ the residual ketones are protonated resulting in an additional bathochromic shift of ~48 nm, the appearance of an additional peak at ~430 nm, and broadening of the absorption tail (i.e., lowering of the band gap).
in Fig. 1 as the all-red structure. We also measured PFC-OMe (the solid line in 5) by trapping PFC with methoxide, corresponding to the all-white structure in Fig. 1. The absorption spectrum of PFC-OMe cuts off at 400 nm (~3 eV) and shows no signs of residual cations (or protonated residual ketone). These absorption spectra demonstrate the dynamism of the band gap of PFC and its dependency on the number of conjugating cationic methines. This tunability is a unique property of CPIs that results in changes to the hybridization of carbon atoms in the backbone and should not be confused with the protonic acid doping of PANI.

To further elucidate the effect of spinless doping we measured cyclic voltammograms (CV spectra) of spin-cast films of PFK and PFC on ITO, which served as the working electrode. We used Pt wire as a counter electrode and an Ag/Ag⁺ pseudo reference electrode calibrated with ferrocene. Measurements of PFK were straightforward; we cast films from CHCl₃ and measured them in degassed CH₂CN. We chose LiClO₄ as an electrolyte to facilitate the movement of cations in and out of the films as they were cycled. Measurements on PFC, by contrast, were confounded by wetting issues. PFC is only sparingly soluble in CHCl₃, giving very thin films, while thicker films cast from THF did not adhere to the electrode. Due to the ionic backbone, PFC is readily (and violently exothermically) soluble in acetonitrile, thus we performed the CV measurements in propylene carbonate (PC), however, LiClO₄ is considerably less soluble in PC. Worse, films of PFC tend to de-wet in PC and float off of the electrode, particularly when reduced. These experimental complexities forced us to perform CV measurements under different conditions for PFC and PFK, which can complicate direct comparison.

The results of the CV measurements are shown in Fig. 5 and 6. PFK shows two reduction waves at −1.7 V and −1.9 V (taken from the second scan) and a semi-reversible re-oxidation wave at ~−1.1 V with a shoulder at ~−0.8 V. We could not suppress charging effects sufficiently to resolve both re-oxidation waves clearly; neutral PFK is insulating enough that upon re-oxidation, charges become trapped in the film and their sudden expulsion gives rise to a small, aberrant peak (i.e., retarded re-oxidation). We observed the exact same effect in previous studies on n-dopable polyketones. The intensity of the redox waves appears to grow upon increasing the number of cycles suggesting retarded switching, which we ascribe to the reorganization of the polymer film as counterions diffuse into it. Larger shifts have been observed for n-type polymers, but it is observed in thin films of conjugated polymers more frequently than it is reported, as the tendency is to show only one exemplary trace.

The reduction wave of PFC (Fig. 6), taken from the second scan, lies at −1.7 V. The entire reduction wave gradually shifts to more negative potentials and broadens to one feature upon increasing the number of scans until it stabilizes at ~−2.0 V. PFC re-oxidizes at a potential of ~−0.8 V. These results indicate that the cations in PFC are more difficult to reduce than the neutral state of PFK, demonstrating the remarkable stability of charges in CPIs. Not only are they delocalized into a band structure, but the electron-donating nature of the pendant dimethylaniline groups adds further stabilization. Qualitatively, the CV spectra of PFK show the expected two-electron reduction of cross-conjugated polyketones, while the CV spectra of PFC have the broad features that are typical of the reduction (or oxidation) of a band structure. If PFC comprised isolated cations in a “string of pearls”, we would expect sharp reduction peaks.

From the optical and electrochemical data, we calculated the positions of the valence and conduction bands (i.e., the bands
3.4 DFT calculations

An ideal experiment to prove that PFC is an intrinsic semiconductor would be to measure the absorption spectra as a function of reduction (spectroelectrochemistry). The expectation is for the absorption band to shift from the visible into the near IR, exactly as most normal conjugated polymers behave. Unfortunately, the poor mechanical stability of films of PFC at cathodic potentials precluded the collection of usable absorption data. Thus, we turned to DFT calculations for insight. The experimental design is as follows; we have absorption data for PFK in the neutral and protonated form, absorption data for PFC, and electrochemical data for PFK and PFC. From these data we calculated the optical band gaps and reduction onsets, from which we estimate the absolute positions of the valence and conduction bands. If we can replicate the optical gaps using DFT calculations, we can conclude that they behave as “normal” conjugated polymers in that their properties are predictable and that, for example, PFC does not undergo PISUM. We then calculate the expected optical band gap of the redox-doped state of PFC that is formed upon reduction, i.e., we deliberately add an un-paired spin. (Interestingly, this is a case of n-doping to produce a p-doped conjugated polymer). If that band gap is significantly red-shifted, it is strong evidence that PFC is both spinless and is an intrinsic semiconductor because it means that our model systems are accurate reflections of the materials that we are measuring. The structures of protonated PFK (PFK-H⁺) and the reduced form of PFC (PFC-Rad), which we measured, and the isolated model dimers PFC²⁺, PFC-Rad¹⁺, and PFK-H⁺²⁺, which we calculated, are shown in Scheme 2. The isolated model dimer of PFK is the deprotonated (neutral ketone) form of PFK-H⁺²⁺.

The calculated spectrum for PFK under neutral conditions comprises a minimum band gap (E_g opt) of 3.41 eV and a main peak (λ_max) at 3.55 eV. These calculated peaks are blue-shifted from the experimental data by ~0.3 eV because we performed the calculations on isolated model dimers (without counterions), but they otherwise fit the experimental data perfectly, with the exception of an under-estimation of E_g opt for PFK-H⁺²⁺. When protonated, E_g opt and λ_max decrease to 2.04 eV for PFK-H⁺²⁺, which underestimates the experimental values likely because, experimentally, PFK-H⁺ is in equilibrium and never fully protonated. These data are shown in detail in the ESI+. We calculated E_g opt = 1.83 eV and λ_max = 2.33 eV for PFC²⁺ as a model for PFC in its fully cationic state. These calculations correspond to the experimentally-derived gaps in the fully protonated state of PFC (in acidified CH₂Cl₂), i.e., when all of the residual ketones are protonated and the average conjugated length of PFC is maximized. We calculated E_g opt = 1.18 eV for PFC-Rad¹⁺ as a model for PFC in the redox-doped state, where one cationic site per repeat unit is replaced by a radical. That value corresponds to a maximum absorption of 1030 nm, which is well into the near IR and in close agreement with our previous transient-doping study on a related polyketone (Fig. 7).³⁰ The experimental and calculated data are summarized in Table 1.

The close agreement between DFT calculations and experimental values demonstrates that CPIs behave as normal,
intrinsic semiconducting polymers; their electronic properties are completely predictable. Thus, CPIs are in fact simply an intrinsic semiconductor polymer with charges in the valence band (i.e., conjugated backbone). These data are very strong evidence that spinless doping does not lead to spontaneous spin un-pairing; if spontaneous spin un-pairing occurred, the experimental and calculated band gaps would not be in such close agreement. Moreover, the deliberate addition of unpaired electrons would not lead to a dramatic lowering of the gap.

4 Conclusions

The polymers presented in this paper are proofs of concept for the stabilization of closed-shell cations in the backbones of conjugated polymers. These charges are added via spinless doping, which chemically converts a cross-conjugated precursor polymer to a conjugated polymer; a conjugated, semiconducting polymer bearing charges in the conjugated backbone. These polymers effectively dissociate charge and spin. In traditional redox doping, polaron, which comprise both spin and charge, are created in the band structure, shrinking the band gap by creating mid-gap states. Similarly, the charges in CPIs have little effect on the absolute positions of the valence and conduction bands, which are influenced more strongly by the electron-rich groups used to stabilize the cations. However, in the absence of spin, no mid-gap states are created, thus CPIs can still undergo traditional redox doping to create (in principle) positive polarons (p-doping) through electrochemical reduction. Although we were unable to observe this phenomenon optically, DFT calculations show that, indeed, CPIs behave as normal conjugated polymers and that traditional redox doping does lead to a p-doped polymer with a significantly reduced band gap.

The key feature of CPIs is that their electronic states can be altered by chemical modification and/or redox. Unlike PANI, they are readily processible and do not undergo PISUM. A fully cationic CPI can be rendered non-conjugated by treatment with a base or nucleophile and the band gap was tuned by exposure to acid. This phenomenon is unique to CPIs and has implications for patterning, sensing, tunable absorption, and any application that takes advantage of the dynamic, post-synthetic modification of the band gap of a semiconducting polymer. The conversion of the cross-conjugated precursor polymer to the CPI via spinless doping also inverts the solubility by turning a hydrophobic backbone into a charged, hydrophilic backbone. In this paper that transformation rendered the CPI sparingly soluble in CH₃Cl (and only as the BF₄⁻ salt), but further manipulation of the pendant groups will allow for tunable orthogonal solubility.

Unlike virtually all light-harvesting polymers, CPIs are synthesized entirely without the use of Sn or Pd, relying on inherently scalable chemistry. (The poly[3-alkylthiophene)s and poly[alkoxy phenylene-vinylenes] are notable exceptions and, not coincidentally, have been among the most commercially successful conjugated polymers.) Yet, even PFC has a band gap of 1.55 eV, which can be tuned further by altering the backbone and the aryl groups used to stabilize the cations. While this paper only characterizes the influences of spinless doping, we are confident that, through the same synthetic tailoring that is commonplace in traditional conjugated polymer chemistry, CPIs will afford a variety of new conjugated materials with potential applications in organic-electronic devices.
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