Dear Editor,

Many patients are treated for their depressive symptoms with antidepressants. After discontinuation of all classes of antidepressants, the risk of depressive symptoms is higher than in patients who continue treatment [1–3]. However, tapering of antidepressants remains common in clinical practice because (a) many patients experience unwanted side effects; (b) prolonged use of antidepressants may worsen the long-term course of the depression [4, 5]; and (c) a substantial proportion of patients is able to taper without an increase in depressive symptoms [1–3]. Real-time monitoring of the risk of depressive symptoms during the tapering of medication may be useful. If the risk is increasing, tapering could be put on hold until the risk decreases, or stopped if the risk remains elevated.

A symptom that may be valuable for monitoring the risk of depressive symptoms is restlessness. The participant of the first study demonstrating early signs of an upcoming increase in depressive symptoms [6] (hereafter Patient 0) spontaneously mentioned that he noticed an increase in restlessness starting before the onset of core depressive symptoms (personal communication). Restlessness is also a defining symptom in generalized anxiety, the most frequently described symptom in prodromal phases of depression [7]. The aim of the current paper is to assess if a rise in ecological momentary assessments of restlessness during or after tapering of antidepressants can be found before an increase in depressive symptoms, but not in patients who tapered without such an increase.

The participants were 6 individuals with a history of depression who made a shared decision with their medical doctor to taper their antidepressants. These patients (1–6) filled in a maximum of 3 daily questionnaires using a 5.5-h fixed interval experience sampling protocol for 95–183 days during and after open-label tapering of their antidepressant (for the type of medication and tapering schedule, see Fig. 1). Patient 0, whose data were already collected, was added to this sample. Patient 0 collected up to 10 daily questionnaires before, during, and after gradual tapering (for details, see [6]). Patients 1–6 answered the item “I feel restless” on a visual analogue scale (range: “not at all” to “very”); Patient 0 answered the same item on a 7-point scale.

Five participants tapered their medication without an increase in depressive symptoms. Patients 0 and 1 reported an increase in depressive symptoms. A nonparametric change point analysis (ecp R package; [8]) of the weekly assessed depression subscale of the Symptom Checklist-90 showed a significant ($p < 0.005$) increase in depressive symptoms, which coincided with the timing of the self-reported increase. It is unlikely that this reflects withdrawal effects, since (a) Patients 0 and 1 did not report physical withdrawal effects that impaired functioning in any way, and (b) depressive symptoms did not significantly increase until weeks after tapering was completed, which would be an unlikely timing for withdrawal symptoms [9]. Late-onset withdrawal disorders cannot be ruled out.

We used exponentially weighted moving average (EWMA) charts to detect structural changes in restlessness prospectively [10]. After each measurement of restlessness ($Y_t$), a running estimate of the mean level of restlessness was updated using $\text{EWMA}_t = \lambda \times Y_t + (1 - \lambda) \times \text{EWMA}_{t-1}$. The EWMA is a weighted average over available observations; the more recent an observation, the higher the associated weight. Parameter $\lambda$ controls the rate at which the weights decrease. To detect a potentially small increase in the mean, a low $\lambda$ was used ($\lambda = 0.05$). An even lower $\lambda = 0.01$ was used for Patient 0 to smooth out some of the erratic behavior caused by the discrete answering scale. We controlled for autocorrelation if $AR(1)$ had a lower AICc than $AR(0)$ to prevent false alarms caused by high autocorrelation. To limit the influence of extreme values, data points $>3$ SDs from the mean of the first 100 observations were Winsorized. After each questionnaire, the updated EWMA was marked as potentially alarming if it exceeded a bandwidth calculated based on the first 100 observations ($L = 3$; see [10] for technical details).

Results are presented in Figure 1. The EWMA charts demonstrate an alarming rise in restlessness more than 2 months before the increase in depressive symptoms of Patients 0 and 1. No false alarms were found in the other patients.

Post hoc analyses showed that total negative affect and positive affect scores did not have the same capacity to signal future increase in depressive symptoms. EWMA charts showed (a) decreasing negative affect and increasing positive affect scores in Patient 0 before the increase in depressive symptoms, and (b) false alarms in 3 out of the 5 patients who did not show any increase in depressive symptoms (full results available upon request).

This study indicates that monitoring restlessness could be a valuable method in clinical practice for the early detection of impending increase in depressive symptoms because (a) it can provide risk assessment for individual patients rather than at a group level; (b) it can continuously update the risk assessment in real time while data is collected; and (c) the statistical implementation and
interpretation are relatively straightforward. This allows clinicians and patients to reevaluate the tapering schedule every time a new questionnaire is filled in.

Considering the consistent results, it seems unlikely that the findings are caused by chance alone, despite the small sample size. However, replication is warranted, and future studies with a larger sample are needed to assess to whom these results generalize. Specifically, it should be studied if restlessness also signals an upcoming increase in depressive symptoms in individuals who tapered other types of medication than venlafaxine.

Fig. 1. EWMA charts of self-reported restlessness over time in patients tapering their antidepressants. Black dots represent the EWMA of restlessness scores (scales are indicated on the left vertical axes). Dots are colored grey if the EWMA was higher than would have been expected given the process has not changed compared to the first 100 observations. The dashed line represents the dosage of the tapered antidepressant when known (scales and antidepressant names are indicated on the right vertical axes). Charts of Patients 3 and 6 were controlled for autocorrelation; for the other patients, the regular EWMA charts are reported.
Current data provide no insight in why restlessness increased in Patients 0 and 1. As hypothesized, restlessness may have increased as prodrome of depression. An alternative explanation is that the increase in restlessness was a withdrawal effect of the medication, suggesting that Patients 0 and 1 may have been more vulnerable to experience an increase in depressive symptoms because of withdrawal effects. Though more research in this area is needed, the ability to forecast a future increase in depressive symptoms is promising for clinical applications regardless of the mechanism.
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