A Brief Recap of Microbial Adhesion and Biofilms
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Abstract: Food and beverage industries operate their production units under stringent hygiene standards to verify high-quality products. However, the presence of biofilms can cause hygienic problems in the industries in the case of pathogenic organisms. Microorganisms can form biofilms, which are resistant to cleaning and disinfection. Microorganisms in biofilms are closely packed in a matrix that acts as a barrier to cleaning and disinfection. Biofilms are observed in processing equipment and open surfaces, resulting in food safety problems or weakening of production efficiency. This review provides a recap of the biofouling process, including the production mechanisms and control techniques of microbial adhesion. Microbial adhesion and colonization are the sine qua non of the establishment of bacterial pathogenesis and this report focuses on their prevention.
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1. Introduction

Food, dairy, maritime, water systems, oil, paper, opticians, dentistry, hospitals, and domestic households are several examples of industries that are affected by the biofilms [1–8]. The occurrence of biofilms leads to serious problems, e.g., product spoilage, reduced production efficiency, corrosion, unsightliness, infection, pipe blockages, and equipment failure [9,10]. A biofilm is defined as an organized aggregate of microorganisms living within an extracellular polymeric matrix that they produce. This is irreversibly attached to either a material surface or a living surface, which cannot be easily removed due to its complex structure [11,12]. This complex layer of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) protects them from antibiotics, disinfectants, and dynamic environments [13,14]. The intercellular communication within the biofilm rapidly stimulates the up and down-regulation of gene expression. This property enables temporary adaptations, such as phenotypic variation and the ability to survive in nutrient deficient conditions. These pitfalls and challenges create an industrial interest in developing materials, methods, and tools that can prevent or remove the formation of biofilms.

The food and beverage industries operate their production units under stringent hygiene standards to verify high-quality products. However, the presence of biofilms can cause hygienic problems in the case of pathogenic organisms. Biofilms consist of complex microbial communities that adhere to the surfaces fencing processes, like cleaning and disinfection [15]. Biofilms are observed in processing equipment and open surfaces, resulting in food safety problems or a weakening of the efficiency of the production lines [16]. It is important to control the formation of biofilms to avoid corrosion and contamination. This review provides a brief of the biofouling process, including the production mechanisms and control of microbial adhesion.
2. Bacterial Growth

The growth of a bacterium is defined as the increase in cells number rather than the cell size. There are certain requirements for bacterial growth and factors that influence the growth process. Temperature, pH, osmotic pressure, mixing, and oxygen concentration are the main factors influencing bacterial growth. There are also nutritional requirements, besides these factors. The replication process is not through mitoses and meiosis, but through a process that is known as binary fission. The DNA of a singular bacterium will be replicated during binary fission (Figure 1). Afterwards, the DNA will be divided, and the cell wall and the membrane will form a transverse septum. The transverse septum will be completely formed, which will enable cells separation, thus generating new bacterial cells. The time interval required for the cell division is one pivotal parameter in bacterial growth, which is known as generation time. The generation time depends on the type of organism and the environmental conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of generations (n)</th>
<th>Number of cells</th>
<th>Each division adds two new cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Diagram of bacterial growth" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 1. This image displays the binary fission process [17].*

The bacterial growth consists of four growth phases, which are depicted in Figure 2. In the first phase, the so-called lag phase, the bacteria must physiologically adapt to the culture conditions and growth begins after a period of time. The density in which the cells are distributed is another important factor. Broadly, exoenzymes and nutrients are shared in proximity; however, if the density is low, this could lead to a dilution of these materials [16]. The latter results in slow cell growth and transition to the log phase. Depending on the conditions, the lag phase may take only minutes or several hours. The time length of the lag phase depends on the type of medium.

The lag phase is followed by the log or exponential phase. In this phase, the cells are in an optimum growth state and they perform binary fission. During the log phase, there is a logarithmic increase in cell number. The slope of this line is the bacterial growth. The mathematical description of the bacterial growth in the log phase can be described while using the following equation:

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \mu N$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \(N\) is defined as the cell number, \(t\) as the time and \(\mu\) as the specific growth rate constant (1/time).

This leads to the following expression for the number of present cells (exponential growth), which is given by:

$$N = N_0 e^{\mu t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \(N_0\) is the number of cells at \(t = 0\).
In the stationary phase, the bacterial growth slows down until it reaches maximum growth and the logarithmic cell number remains constant. The mathematical expression of the stationary phase is expressed as:

$$\frac{\delta N}{\delta t} = 0$$ (3)

There is a balance between cell division and cell death and there is no actual bacterial growth. This is caused by the depletion of critical nutrients or the accumulation of waste product. Some of the cells can lyse nutrients from the dead cells (endogenous metabolism). This process occurs throughout the whole growth cycle, but occurs in a high degree in the stationary phase. The last phase is called the death or decline phase and accumulation of waste products or exposure to oxygen occurs. The death phase can be mathematically expressed as:

$$\frac{\delta N}{\delta t} = -k_d N$$ (4)

where $k_d$ is the specific death rate.

3. **Biofilms**

3.1. **Formation Steps of Biofilms**

The process of biofilm formation can be summarized in four steps: initial attachment, microcolony formation, maturation, and dispersion (Figure 3). The first step is the process where planktonic bacteria get close to the material surface. This is caused by a combination of physical forces (e.g., attractive van der Waals and repulsive electrostatic forces) and chemical forces (e.g., the cohesion between microbial cells) [6]. The appendages (e.g., fimbriae, pili, and flagella) strengthen the attachment between the bacterial cell and the surface once the bacteria get in touch with the material surface [11]. Moreover, environmental factors, such as temperature and pH, influence the bacterial adhesion. The hydrophobicity of the material surface also reduces certain repulsion forces between the bacteria and material [6]. Di Ciccio et al. alluded that bacteria more likely attach to hydrophobic surfaces (polystyrene) than hydrophilic surfaces (stainless steel) [18]. It is notable that the bacterial attachment is still reversible, which makes it relevant for the prevention of biofilm growth.

Figure 2. This graph shows the relation between time and the growth of bacteria in a laboratory environment [17].
The process of multiplication and division of the bacteria starts following the attachment stage. This leads to the EPS originating as a layer that protects the bacteria and this stage is also called irreversible attachment [19,20]. The complex structure of multiple different microcolonies that formed on the surface renders them more resistant to antibiotics and disinfectants. The amount of antibiotic that is needed can range between 100–1000 times more than free-swimming planktonic bacteria [13]. The reciprocal actions between the microcolonies affect the metabolic products [21].

In this stage, certain molecules, the so-called autoinducers, are used as signaling molecules to communicate from cell to cell [22]. The autoinducers make use of a technique called quorum sensing. This communication mechanism between the microbial cells makes the EPS matrix able to adapt to multiple situations. The protection of the complex EPS architecture provides the bacteria the freedom to grow into a mushroom-like structure [23]. Channels are formed between the different forming colonies within the three-dimensional structure of EPS. The channels function as a circulatory water system that allows for nutrients to venture deep into the biofilm and prevent the bacterial growth termination [24]. In the last stage, an oversaturation of microbial cells is observed. Bacteria will disperse from the biofilm into the liquid phase once the EPS temporarily removes the protective layer at the top of the mushroom-like structure. The sessile cells are converted into motile forms, resulting in a dispersion of the microbial cells.

3.2. Environmental Factors

3.2.1. Effect of pH

PH plays an intrinsic role in bacterial growth and the optimal range (6.5–7.2) highly depends on the type of bacteria [25]. If the pH deviates from the optimal range may impede the bacterial growth, resulting in bacterial death and the formation of the biofilm stops. However, this is only the case in the first stage of biofilm formation. Bacteria become more acidic resistant after the initial attachment phase, due to the protection from the EPS matrix. While, planktonic bacteria are more acidic sensitive, if the bacteria form a biofilm the bacteria become more resistant to a lower pH [26]. Furthermore, the speed at which the pH of the solution changes affects biofilm development. According to Li et al. [27], a gradual change in the acidity increases the chance of cell survival when compared to a sudden change in pH that was caused by adding HCl. This means that biofilms are less resistant to large pH fluctuations when compared to small pH differences. If this mechanism that lets the biofilm adapt, it is not fast enough due to sudden changes in their environment, and this could lead to cell extermination [10].

3.2.2. Rheological and Adhesive Properties of Biofilms

A previous study investigated the viscoelasticity of biofilms of Pseudomonas aeruginosa and observed dual behavior: an irreversible viscous deformation and viscoelastic response and recoil [28]. The characterization of the biofilm internal structure requires an assessment of physical properties on the micro scale, as well as any structural features that exist within it [29]. However, a recent study by Kundukad et al. has shown that the rheological properties can be independent of the flow rate, a fact...
that is not documented in earlier observations [30]. They also stated that the size of the microcolonies plays an important role in surface rheology. The reasoning behind this is that the biofilm growth occurs at the periphery, rather than within, the biofilm and shows that the periphery of the microcolonies is compositionally and mechanically dynamic.

3.2.3. Effect of Temperature

The metabolism of bacteria is directly associated with the presence of enzymes, which means that the biofilm formation depends on the reaction rates of the enzymes. A pivotal factor that affects the enzymatic reaction rate is the temperature, as it is correlated to the formation of cells forming a biofilm [10]. Furthermore, studies have shown that the bacteria have an increased surface area when compared to higher temperatures (35 °C) at low temperatures (10 °C) [31–35]. A former study by Townsly and Yildiz examined the temperature influence on the biofilm formation in *Vibrio cholerae* [36]. They deduced that biofilms derived at 15 °C and 25 °C showed elevated thickness and better structure when compared to those that formed at 37 °C. This is ascribed to the number of appendages. The number of flagella from bacteria is dependent on the temperature. A bacteria seems to have only a single flagellum and at a lower temperature, the number of flagella increases, and thus increases the surface area of the bacteria and the likelihood of bacterial adhesion in the initial attachment stage at high temperatures (>35 °C) [37]. In spite of the fact that the effect of temperature on the development of biofilms, especially in the first stage of biofilm formation, is extensively examined and reported; the effect of temperature when the biofilm is already established remain ambiguous standpoint [10]. The preceding study refers that high temperatures (80–90 °C) have little impact on the removal of biofilms, as well as shows that the adherent nature of the biofilm to the surface increases, which is known as the baking effects [38].

4. Microbial Adhesion

4.1. Bacterial Adhesion and Bacterial Growth

Bacterial adhesion is one of the challenges in the field of microbial research. Bacterial adhesion has a negative influence on bacterial growth and mobilization. In order to grow, microorganisms require the right conditions and the amount of nutrients. If bacteria adhere to the material surface, they obtain fewer resources, a fact that subdues the bacterial growth. An addable negative effect of bacterial adhesion is the creation of biofilms layer to the material surface. The biofilm layer reinforces the adhesion phenomenon, slowing the bacterial growth and leading to a deficit of the end-product. The bacterial adhesion can be firstly expounded by the physicochemical interactions between the bacteria and the surface, and secondly by the molecular and cellular interactions between the bacteria and the surface.

4.2. Theoretical Models

4.2.1. DLVO Theory

One of the theories that were used to describe the reciprocal influences between bacteria and the surface is the Derjaguin-Landau-Verwey-Overbeek (DLVO) theory. This theory is widely applied to delineate interactions between the colloidal particles or a colloidal particle with a surface. As such, the size of bacteria (0.5–2.0 µm) corresponds to the size of colloidal particles; this theory has been used for the interactions between bacteria and the material surface [39].

The balance between the attractive van der Waals forces $\Delta G^{vdW}$ and the electrostatic repulsion forces $\Delta G^{el}$ from the overlap between the electrical double layer of the cell and the substratum have determined the total interactions $\Delta G^{adh}$ of the bacteria with the material surface. The classical DLVO is expressed as:

$$\Delta G^{adh}(d) = \Delta G^{vdW}(d) + \Delta G^{el}(d)$$  (5)
This formula shows that both forces are dependent on the distance between the cell and the substratum. The van der Waals forces become more dominant and the cell will irreversibly adhere to the surface if the bacterium is close to the surface. On the other hand, if the distance between the cell and substratum becomes higher the van der Waals forces drastically decrease and the Coulomb interactions become more dominant, resulting in the cell repelling from the surface [39]. In addition, the ionicity of the cell is a crucial factor for the bacterial adhesion. If the ionic strength is high, the positively and negatively charged particles will attract naturally towards each other. The particles will naturally repel if the ionic strength is low by having the same charge.

However, reversible adherence may occur when the bacterial cell approaches the surface due to its motility or Brownian motion. In this case, the bacterial cell needs to overcome a certain energy barrier. Once the bacterial cell comes close to the surface it can use its appendages, like pili and flagella, to attach to the surface. These appendages can pierce the energy barrier and bacterial adhesion is facilitated [39]. For clarity sake, the DLVO theory describes the probability of an organism to overcome any electrostatic barrier. Current research showed that there is a relation between the ionic strength and bacterial adhesion, which is consistent with the DLVO theory [40].

4.2.2. Thermodynamic Theory

A physicochemical approach has been used to delineate the bacterial attachment to surfaces and to express the van der Waals, electrostatic and dipole, in terms of free energy, and it can be determined by:

$$\Delta G^{adh} = \gamma_{sm} - \gamma_{sl} - \gamma_{ml}$$

where $\gamma_{sm}$, $\gamma_{sl}$, and $\gamma_{ml}$ are the solid-microorganism, solid-liquid, and microorganism-liquid free energies, respectively. If free energy is negative, then the adhesion is favored.

On a more general note, the thermodynamic theory always presumes that the bacterial interaction is reversible, which is not the case. According to the thermodynamic approach, the distance between cell and surface is not important and this differs from the conventional DLVO theory. The thermodynamic theory does not explain or predict the behaviors that were observed in bacterial systems. However, it explicates a common observation; namely, the bacteria with hydrophilic properties prefer hydrophilic surfaces. Silva-Dias et al. insinuated that hydrophobicity has a momentous part in the bacterial adhesion and the agglomeration of bacterial cells [41].

4.2.3. Extended DLVO Theory

The hydrogen bonding can be limned as an electron-donor/electron-acceptor interaction, namely, the Lewis acid-base interaction [42]. This observation led to the development in the extended DLVO theory, where the hydrophobic/hydrophilic as well as the osmotic interactions were included in the model. Nevertheless, the osmotic interactions in bacterial adhesion could be neglected due to their low influence. This led to the following equation:

$$\Delta G^{adh} = \Delta G^{vdW} + \Delta G^{dl} + \Delta G^{ab}$$

where $\Delta G^{vdW}$ is the Lifshitz-van der Waals interaction, $\Delta G^{dl}$ is the electric double layer interaction, and $\Delta G^{ab}$ relates to acid-base interactions. With the addition of hydrophobic and repulsive hydration effects, the extended DLVO theory predicts adhesion better than the conventional DLVO theory. Acid-base interaction also plays an important role in lowering the energy barrier. However, only at a small distance (5 nm) from the surface become functional the acid-base interaction [43].
4.3. Material Surface Characteristics and Their Impact on Biofouling

4.3.1. Surface Charge

The surface charge is one of the factors that can affect the binding forces between the bacteria and the surface. Most of the bacterial cells are negatively charged and they show better resistance to the bacterial adhesion [2]. However, there are approaches that use positively charged surfaces (i.e., quaternary ammonium-based compounds). This approach aims at the elimination of bacterial cells on contact. A previous study claimed that high electrostatic forces in cell membranes might remove anionic lipids [44]. A disadvantage of this approach is that the dead cells form a layer on the surface, which reduces the charge; thereby, other types of microbial cells can more easily adhere to the surface [45]. Furthermore, there are types of bacteria that can modify the surface charge. Rzhepishevska et al. seconded that *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* on a negatively charged surface is an example of changing the surface charge to make it more convenient for other bacterial cells to adhere to the surface [46].

4.3.2. Hydrophobicity

The hydrophobicity is a major feature that influences the interactions between bacteria and the surface. Preceding reports contend that superhydrophobic (>150°) and superhydrophilic (0°) surfaces seem to have an impact on bacterial adhesion [47]. According to Huan Zhu et al., the chemical composition, alteration of the surface geometry, as well as the application of external stimulation affect the translation of the superhydrophobic surfaces-based adhesion and its induced transition [48]. Yuan et al. cited that the solid area fraction of a superhydrophobic surface was eliminated due to the entrapped air impeding the adhesion. It is remarkable that surface ability for self-cleaning against bacteria, as well as subsequent applied washing, lead to the reduction of the adhesion [49]. Hizal et al. claimed that the combination of superhydrophobic surfaces and fluid shear stress reduced the adherence of *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*, due to the slipperiness of the superhydrophobic surface [50].

4.3.3. Surface Roughness

An intensified surface roughness can be directly related to increased bacterial adhesion [51]. The surface roughness is related to an increase in surface area, which makes it easier for the bacteria to attach. Furthermore, a rougher surface protects the bacterial cells from fluid shear forces [45,52]. Nevertheless, Renner et al. alleged that there is no such a ‘one size fits all’ relationship between surface roughness and bacterial attachment, owing to the variation of bacterial strains in the size and shape, as well as the effect from the environmental conditions [53].

4.3.4. Surface Topographical Configuration

Recent studies have manifested that the way that peaks and valleys are distributed along the surface also plays an important role in microbial biofilm formation [45,53]. The surface typography around the same diameter of the bacterial cell may entrap bacteria on a stainless steel surface [54]. Parameters, like skewness and kurtosis, need to be considered to understand bacterial cell attachment based on the typography of the surface.

4.4. Techniques for the Observation and Measurement of Bacterial Adhesion

There are several different techniques that are used to determine and estimate the bacterial adhesion. Azeredo et al. made an overview of the different techniques used and separated all of the techniques into four groups: chemical, physical, microscopy, and biological [55]. He also mentioned the advantages and limitations of each technique, which are displayed in Figure 4.
4.5. Control of Bacterial Adhesion

Microbes adhere to surfaces, enhancing their resistance in antibiotics or the environmental conditions. Research efforts aim to improve the control of adhesion process and several techniques have been investigated and reported [59–63]. This section describes the advantages and limitations of the control techniques.

4.5.1. Antibacterial Agent Release Coatings

Use of antibacterial agents is a successive and simple option to biofilms. Microbes in a biofilm are more protected due to the adaptability of the EPS layer protecting the bacteria from antibiotics [64]. Biofilms-based pathogenic bacteria resist antibiotics and this fact is ascribed to long-standing bacterial infections. Essentially, a release-based coating exerts antibacterial activity by accoutering antibacterial compounds over time. The antibacterial compounds make sure that both adherent and adjacent planktonic bacteria are killed during the process. The purvey of antibacterial agents is attained by diffusion into the aqueous medium [44]. The advantage of antibacterial agents is that the elution from the material surface locally increases the concentration of the antibacterial agent, without transcending the toxicity limits, when compared to traditional delivery methods for antibiotics. It accouters antibacterial substances only where there is need of, thus eliminating resistance activity and obviating the potentially deleterious systemic incidences. Several design strategies that are used with antibacterial agent release coatings are described in a review that was conducted by Cloutier et al. [65]. These strategies
can be separated into three groups: passive approaches, active approaches, and bacteria triggered approaches [65].

The passive approach focuses on the different variables that have shown to be an influence on release kinetics and that do not have an active trigger when to release antibacterial agents. This can be based on either the properties of the antibacterial agent, the carrier matrix, or the overall micro/nanostructure of the antibacterial coating [66,67]. On the other hand, the active approach uses stimuli-responsive materials. These types of coatings require a trigger before releasing the antibacterial agents. Physical exogenous stimuli are the most commonly used triggers. According to Cloutier et al., these approaches offer great signal control and they are not restricted by diffusion, which gives them the potential for the use of bioactive coatings [65]. These bioactive coatings can produce antibacterial agents on demand. This also leads to improved useful longevity of the coating [68].

Lastly, bacteria-triggered approaches activate the release profiles of antibacterial agents when the bacteria are in the vicinity or are getting in touch with the coating. There are two ways of triggering the release of antibacterial agents: (1) pH-responsive coatings and (2) enzyme responsive coatings. In the process of bacterial growth, metabolism occurs where acidic acids, like lactic acid or acetic acids, are produced. Zhuk et al. used poly-electrolyte multilayers (PEM) films as a release mechanism [69]. The antibiotics release activity is influenced from the pH conditions. Lu et al. used self-defensive layer-by-layer coatings in the form of hydrogels stimulating the antibiotic release [70]. It is also cited that another mechanism makes use of the cleavage of imine bonds [71].

The enzyme responsive coatings have an advantage in comparison to the pH-responsive coatings. Enzyme-responsive coatings target specific bacteria, because they can differentiate between the different bacteria strains. However, this approach is new and is not well known. Up to now, two distinct ways of release have been reported, which are coating degradation and the cleavage of chemical bonds [72–74]. Coatings with multiple functions are necessary to achieve better performance in their environments and for specific applications due to the complexity and the hierarchical structure of the biological systems, and they are divided into three groups: multi-release, multi-approach, or multi-property [65].

4.5.2. Contact Killing Coatings

Immobilizing antibacterial biocides onto the surface platform by covalent bonding creates a contact killing surface (or so-called contact active surface). However, contact killing surfaces do not have any bacterial adhesive resistance, instead of eliminating bacteria that encounter the surface. This is facilitated by either physical damage or by nonoxidative stress [75]. There are multiple forms of contact killing coatings that are defined by the type of antibacterial agent attached to the surface and the proposed mechanisms of action in which the bacteria are eliminated. The most commonly reported as contact active antibacterial agents include quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs), such as alkyl pyridiniums and quaternary poly (2-(dimethylamino) ethyl methacrylate), quaternary phosphonium (QPs), and N-chloramines [75]. Green reports that QACs are often used as an antimicrobial agent (AMA) for contact killing coatings and it denotes the distinction between QACs based on silanes (Si-QAC) and QACs based on polymers [76]. Furthermore, a wide range of molecules has been tested as AMA, including guidelines, enzymes, chitosan, peptides, and other peptidomimetics [76].

Tiller et al. examined the first bacterial elimination mechanism [77]. According to them, the long polymeric strings that were attached to the microbial agents enable penetrating a hole in the membrane of a gram-positive bacterium and with this eventually kill the cell. However, recent studies cite that the length of the polymer chain does not influence the antibacterial impact of the coating. Kugler et al. proposed the second mechanism [78]. According to them, the exchange of ions is responsible for the bacterial killing due to the destabilization and the loss of natural ions of bacteria.

Bieser and Tiller et al. proposed the most recent mechanism, which is called the phospholipid sponge effect [79]. They state that the antimicrobial action occurs by the selective adhesion of negatively charged phospholipids in the bacterial cell membrane onto the cationic surface. A recent study that was performed by Gao et al. confirmed the existence of the phospho-lipid sponge effect [80].
They reported a negative connection between the film cross-linking density and negatively charged phospholipid, whereas the neutral phospholipid exhibited no correlation supporting the action of anionic phospholipid suction that was proposed in the sponge effect. The three mechanisms described above are all based on contact killing QACs coatings, immobilizing either silanes, or polymers as antibacterial agents. The last mechanism is only based on N-chloramines coatings. This mechanism is believed to be revolved around the active chlorine transfer. Nevertheless, further examination of the antibacterial mechanisms of N-chloramine modified surfaces is required [81].

4.5.3. Anti-Adhesion/ Bacteria Repelling Coatings

The coatings aim to prevent the first step in biofilm formation using non-cytotoxic mechanisms, in contrast to the other two forms of antibacterial coatings. Bacterial attachment to the surface occurs through several mechanisms and, if not prevented in the first stage, biofilm formation can lead to serious infections. The control over surface hydrophobicity, charge, roughness, and topographical configuration can drastically reduce the attachment of bacteria.

Poly Ethylene Glycol (PEG) is the most commonly used anti-adhesion coating. PEG chains are pliant and they display steric aversion forces, which hinder the bacteria attachment in the surface [82]. PEG was first used for antifouling coatings against protein absorption, and due to its preventative properties was applied for preventing bacterial adhesion. Ostuni et al. investigated whether the protein and bacteria resistance correlates to an extension to each other [83]. He observed that there was no correlation between the two, and therefore presumed that other parameters are required to prevent the attachment of bacteria, due to the complexity of the mechanisms that result in bacterial attachment. Furthermore, the PEG was not sustainable for long term usage [84].

Other anti-adhesion coatings make use of surfactants to prevent bacterial attachment. Hydroxyapatite and chitosan or biological molecules are examples of this surfactant, such as albumin and heparin [85]. In a recent study that was performed by Buzzacchera et al., polymer brush functionalized chitosan was used as an antifouling implant coating to prevent bacterial adhesion and diminishing the platelet activation and leukocyte adhesion [86]. The coating was hydrophilic and it reached a thickness of up to 180 nm within 30 min. of polymerization. Another approach for anti-adhesion coatings is based on the hydrophobicity where the superhydrophobic or hydrophilic coatings aggravate the attachment for bacteria to the surface. A more recent study uses a repellent film that is based on flagellin [87]. In this study, a novel type of bacteria repellent layer that was made of the flagellin is examined. This concept showed that the flagellin protein forms an oriented and dense layer on a hydrophobic surface, which resulted in an effective bacterial cell repellent feature. The cost-effectiveness is the big advantage of fabricating flagellin based coatings, because the protein can be rather easily produced in large amounts.

4.5.4. Multifunctional Coatings

Multifunctional coatings are essential for enhanced anti-adhesion performance in a complex and well-structured biological system. They can be divided into three groups: multi-release, multi-approach, or multi-property [65]. The multi-release coatings combine different release mechanisms together, for example, can both have material responsive and bacterial responsive release triggers of antibacterial agents. Multi-approach coatings combine different approaches i.e., a combination of released antibacterial agents, contact-killing, and anti-adhesion coatings [88]. However, for the future prospect in the microbiology industry coatings should focus on multi-property coatings. According to Variola et al., the properties of the surfaces of materials can be modified on a range of scales by various techniques in multifunctional coatings [81]. In this case, the coating should not only prevent bacterial adhesion, but at the same time should have increased wear resistance, corrosion resistance, or anticoagulation [89].
5. Conclusions

Biofilms can cause hygienic problems in industries in the case of pathogenic organisms. Microorganism colonization leads to biofilm formation, which causes problems in the industrial production processes. The control and elimination of biofilms are under-investigated, and this review provides a consolidated briefing of the biofouling process, including the production mechanisms and control techniques of microbial adhesion.
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