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The effects of intradialytic resistance training on muscle strength, psychological well-being, clinical outcomes and circulatory micro-ribonucleic acid profiles in haemodialysis patients

Protocol for a quasi-experimental study

Aurel Zelko, PhD\textsuperscript{b,*,a}, Ivana Skoumalova, MSc\textsuperscript{b,c,d}, Peter Kolarick, PhD\textsuperscript{c,e}, Jaroslav Rosenberger, MD, PhD\textsuperscript{b,c,e,f,g}, Miroslava Rabajdova, PhD\textsuperscript{h}, Maria Marekova, PhD\textsuperscript{h}, Andrea Madarasova Geckova, PhD\textsuperscript{c,e}, Jitse P. van Dijk, MD, PhD\textsuperscript{b,d,e}, Sijmen A. Reijneveld, MD, PhD\textsuperscript{d}, the NEPHRO-team\textsuperscript{i}

Abstract

**Background:** Intradialytic resistance training (IRT) protects patients’ muscle mass and functions against protein-energy wasting, malnutrition and cachexia. However, the evidence of the effects of such an intervention in haemodialysis patients is limited and not conclusive. To improve the applicability of such interventions, we need a better understanding of molecular, functional and psychosocial adaptation in dialysed patients following a physical training. Therefore, the aim of this study is to investigate the effects of IRT on lower extremity muscle functions, quality of life, and anxiety and depression, clinical outcomes and circulatory micro-ribonucleic acid (miRNA) profiles in patients on chronic haemodialysis therapy.

**Methods:** We will perform a quasi-experimental study in 3 dialysis centres. Patients will be recruited via their nephrologists and will be allocated to an experimental and a control group based on the location of the patients’ dialysis centre. Patients allocated to the experimental group will undergo a 12-week IRT, while the control group will remain physically inactive during dialysis. The primary outcome is the change in the maximal force produced during an isometric contraction of lower extremity muscles. Secondary outcomes regard quality of life, anxiety and depression, clinical outcomes and circulatory miRNA profiles. Patients’ level of health literacy defined as the ability to get and understand health information will be also measured in the study as a potential modifier of effects.

**Discussion:** This quasi-experimental study can add in an important way to our understanding of the effects of resistance training on dialysis patients’ muscle strength, quality of life and disease-specific outcomes.
1. Introduction

Chronic kidney disease stage 5 (CKD-5) is a major health problem globally with a significant increase of its socio-economic burden anticipated in the future. Based on the analysis of observational studies and renal therapy registries in the year 2010, more than 2.6 million people were receiving renal replacement therapy (RRT) worldwide. The projection of the prevalence of CKD-5 for the year 2030 indicated a nearly double increase in RRT receiver’s number across the world population. Based on the demographical projection realized by analysis of 35 national renal registries (covering 98% of the European renal registries), for the European countries the number of people receiving RRT will raise from 0.532 million in 2010 to 0.825 million in 2030.[11] This estimated trend in the prevalence of CKD-5 is increasing in particular due to an ageing of European population,[2] and the increasing prevalence of diabetes and obesity.[3]

Patients diagnosed with chronic kidney disease require a nephrological monitoring of their kidney function and a therapy that reflects a patient’s diagnosis and prognosis. Additionally to the therapy that affects the kidney functions, patients may have considered secondary prevention of CKD-5, which includes changes in physical activity behaviour. In particular, if a conservative, non-dialytic therapy is insufficient on the management of chronic kidney disease, the patient is submitted on regular haemodialysis therapy (HT).[5] In patients with CKD-5 under 65 years of age, HT should improve mean life expectancy with over 10 years.[6,7] The HT and the presence of different comorbidities are a source of several negative changes in the patient’s physical activity behaviour.

The HT strongly contributes to an increase of patient’s frailty[8–10] and a decrease of the patient’s muscle mass,[11,12] functions,[13,14] mobility,[15] and independency.[16] In the 1st months of the dialysis therapy, the inflammation[17,18] and the protein-energy wasting[19,20] generate metabolic complications that starts and accelerates the patient’s sarcopenia obesity. Later on, the major HT side-effects are directly connected with regular exposition to prolonged inactivity due to this therapy.[21,22] The HT requires patients in a stable sitting or supine position from 4 to 5 hours, with little or no physical activity, for 3 times a week. Regular inactivity in the patient’s daytime for more than 3 hours is a behavioural risk factor and a strong determinant of further needs of hospitalization, specialized care, and prevalence of other comorbidities.[23] Moreover, the presence of dialysis access (artery-vein fistula, graft or cather), plus dietary and food restrictions reduce the number of physical activities suitable and safe for the patients on HT. These negative changes in patient’s behaviour contributed to a decrease of muscle functions of upper and lower extremity muscles.[15,14,24] To minimize these negative effects, the implementation of a specific exercise programme during dialysis is strongly desirable. Resistance training is considered as effective method in the prevention of muscle functional loss among dialysed patients.[25] Therefore, our study is to analyse the effects of intradialytic resistance training (IRT) on the patient’s lower extremity muscle functions.

In addition, patient’s psychological outcomes are also negatively affected by HT and might to contribute to the patient’s inactivity behaviour. Compared to the healthy population, patients on HT more frequently suffer from severe and persistent depressions.[26] Under-diagnosed and untreated depressions in HT patients are associated with significantly higher relative risks of death, hospitalization and dialysis withdrawal.[27] The presence of anxiety, emotional problems, and emotive coping style are also often prevalent in HT patients.[28–30] The patients diagnosed with depression and anxiety disorders are less physically active compared to healthy controls[31] and have a large amount of sedentary time and insufficient fulfilment of physical activity recommendations.[32] The depression and anxiety may modify the patient’s engagement and the effects of physical activity interventions performed during dialysis.[33] Reversible, if the resistance training is well accepted by the patient, the depression and anxiety could be suppressed effectively.[34,35] Moreover, the exercise performed during dialysis could significantly improve the patient’s quality of life.[36,37] Well-designed training intervention programs, evaluating the effects of IRT on patient’s depression, anxiety, and quality of life in clinical care are rare and have not been adequately evaluated regarding contents and effects. To contribute to this area, we are analysing the effects of IRT on the prevalence of the anxiety and depression and quality of life among dialysed patients.

Adequate and safe physical activity lower all-caused and infection-related mortality and cause a better prognosis among dialysed patients.[38–41] Given the exposition of IRT aimed at improving of patient’s physical functions, there is a need for evaluation of training effects on specific renal outcomes. The existing evidence of clinical benefits following exercise among dialysed patients is highly conflicting. On the one hand, the literature is indicating positive effects on patient’s dialysis adequacy measures, body composition and haematology profile.[42–46] On the other hand, we are detecting studies that disapprove the impact of exercise on patient’s clinical outcomes.[49–55] Our current understanding of the training-induced therapeutic benefits is mostly originating from studies with flawed research designs. For atonement of contradictions in the evidence, we will assess the effect of IRT on patient’s clinical outcomes.

Resistance training has been shown to modulate the micro-ribonucleic acid (miRNA) expression profiles among healthy sedentary and regularly exercised population.[56–60] However, we did not find a conclusive body of evidence on the effects of resistance training on miRNA expression profile of patients with serious comorbidities. The only available trial that evaluated changes of the miRNAs during exercise intervention in patients with chronic kidney disease concluded no significant change of any studied miRNAs in exercised subjects compared with the usual care subjects.[61] In that trial, Craenenbroeck et al were...
analyzing miRNAs involved in inflammation, apoptosis, hypoxia, ischemia adaptation, angiogenesis, hematopoiesis, progenitor cell mobilization, proliferation, and vascular calcification processes. For expansion and verification of the knowledge in the area of miRNA’s role in adaptation processes of chronic kidney disease patients, we will analyze the effect of IRT on miRNAs involved in calcium metabolism, on miR206 involved in Insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) signalling pathway and on miR23-a involved in E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase (Tripartite motif containing 63 – TRIM63) signalling pathway. Also, proteins encoded of these signalling pathways will be analysed.

To sum up, evidence is scarce or lacking when it comes to the effects of IRT on the patient’s physical functions, on the psycho-social variables, on the clinical and circulatory miRNA profiles. Therefore, we initiated a study to investigate the effects of IRT on the lower extremities muscular strength, on the quality of life, on the prevalence of anxiety and depression, on clinical outcomes and on circulatory miRNA expression profiles in HT receiving patients.

2. Aim of the study

The aim of this study is to investigate the effects of IRT on lower extremity muscle strength, on quality of life, anxiety and depression, on clinical outcomes and on circulatory miRNA profiles of patients on chronic HT.

3. Methods

3.1. Study design

We designed our research as a multicentre, quasi-experimental, parallel, 2 arm studies. To ensure sufficient replicability of our study, we describe its design following the Standard Protocol Items for Randomized Interventional Trials 2013 (SPIRIT 2013) statement,[62] and made a schedule of enrolment, interventions and assessments planned on the study based on the SPIRIT 2013 template examples (Table 1).[63] The study design and protocol were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Pavol Jozef Safarik University in Kosice (approval no. 14N/2017); the protocol was registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (ID:NCT03511924). Prior to a patient’s participation in the study, a written informed consent form will be signed and personally dated by the patient.

3.2. Eligibility criteria

All potentially eligible patients from 3 cooperating private dialysis centres (Fresenius Medical Care – Dialysis Services, and Logman East both located in Kosice; and in Fresenius Medical Care – Dialysis Services located in Banska Bystrica) were screened and selected according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria through their nephrologists. The inclusion and exclusion criteria used during the selection of patients are summarized in Table 2.

3.3. Study participants

Eligible patients received oral information about the possibility to participate in the study through their nephrologists during regular nephrological consultations. Within a week, the research assistant personally contacted them during the dialysis session, and provided them with additional details about the study; they showed their availability to participate in the study. If the patient agreed to participate in the study, the research assistant acquainted the patient with a written informed consent form and the patient validated his/her voluntary agreement by a signature of consent. If the patient expressed disagreement with participation in the study, the research assistant collected the reasons for the patient’s decline.
The study has been designed to detect an effect size of 0.60 for the intervention under study compared to control condition, regarding the primary outcome of change in the maximal voluntary force measured during isometric contraction of knee extensors, at an α level of 0.05 (2-tailed). Applying these sample size calculation parameters and previously reported changes in muscle strength during the resistance training intervention among dialysed patients,[65] the study statistician designed a simulation to derive the required sample size. Simulation has indicated that 27 patients needed to be present in every arm of the study to detect a mean intervention mean difference in the maximal isometric force during the lower extremity muscles contraction, with 80% power. Based on studies with a similar design and primary outcome, we are expecting a 70% completion rate in the study.[66,67] Therefore, the total number of patients minimally needed to be enrolled in the study is 78.

3.5. Allocation of participants

Participants will be allocated into the experimental or control group based on the location of dialysis centre where they received HT. Patients attending the Fresenius Medical Care dialysis centre in Kosice and the Logman East dialysis centre in Kosice will be allocated into the experimental group; while patients from the Fresenius Medical Care dialysis centre located in Banska Bystrica will join the control group (Fig. 1).

3.6. Interventions

3.6.1. Experimental condition. The experimental condition consisted of an IRT programme, performed under the supervision of training assistants, 3 sessions of 30-minutes per week, for 12 weeks. The exercise intervention will start a week after the completion of baseline assessments. All training sessions will be conducted during the HT, directly after safe connection of the patient to the dialysis unit and the time needed for serving a meal. Every session of training will start after the confirmation of the proper conditions from medical personnel of dialysis centre. During the training, each patient will be supervised and supported by 1 training assistant from the study investigatory team (NEPHRO team). This assistant is responsible for the evidence, control and management of the training session. If an assistant will notice any elevation of health risks or complications of the patient manifested during the training, it is the assistant’s responsibility to adjust or terminate the actual training and report the situation. Training assistants for the IRT are experienced and specially trained for their positions in the implementation of the programme.

The IRT programme is designed to provide a sufficient stimulus for positive adaptations of neuromuscular systems while maximising safety, compliance and retention rates during the study. The IRT sessions will start with a 3-minute warm up and will be ended with a 7-minute cool-down and stretching. To perform effective exercises on supine position, we will use external pressure generated by elastic bands and over-balls (TheraBand, Akron, OH). These external loading resources will be fixed on a construction of the dialysis bed and during exercises patients will pull or push against them. In the setting of our study, elastic bands and over-balls are an effective source of external load and they both showed excellent applicability for implementation of IRT programmes in similar studies.[65,68,69]

The IRT programme will be tailored to each patient’s abilities. The programme will include 3 exercises (unilateral push and pull of over-ball against a leg board, bilateral knee squeeze of over ball, and unilateral straight leg raise against the band pressure) that will enable tolerable activation of muscles executing knee extension, knee flexion, hip flexion, hip extension, hip abduction and hip adduction in supine position of the patient. During the first 2 weeks of the IRT programme, patients will perform per visit 3 sets (12 up to 15 repetitions each) of 3 different exercises of lower extremity muscles. Patients will take 1 to 2 minutes rest between each set. Once a patient will be capable to safely complete the planned programme for the actual session, the numbers of repetitions on the next session will increase with 3 repetitions for each exercise. If a patient reaches the maximal number of repetitions per exercise (18 repetitions) the assistant will increase the number of sets for one set. When the patient will be able to perform 5 sets of the 18 repetitions for each exercise, the assistant will make the resistance training harder by the application of a stiffer elastic band or over-ball with higher hardness. Vice versa, if a patient fails to complete the entire training session, or the supervisor notices obvious difficulties, the resistance will be facilitated by lowering the number of repetitions, sets or application of softer elastic bands and over-balls. Training assistants will register the adherence rates and fulfilment of the training plan in a paper training diary.

To control the patient’s training progress, we will register the number of repetitions and series for each of exercise independently. For the registration of training effects on the patient, we will register delayed onset muscle soreness and knee joint range of motion. Construction and organization of the IRT programme will follow the recommendations published by the Exercise & Sports Science Australia.[70]

3.6.2. Control condition. Patients allocated to the control group will receive their standard nephrological care. Through the 12-week period, all control participants will be instructed to maintain the standard treatment regimen and to maintain their customary dietary and physical activity patterns.

3.6.3. Follow-up period. All patients enrolled in the study will undergo a 12 weeks follow-up period after the completion of the experimental or control condition. During the follow-up, the patients won’t be involved in any organized physical activity during the dialysis and all will return to their original HT regimen.
3.7. Outcomes

The primary outcome of the study is a change of maximal isometric force generated during the contraction of lower extremity muscles. The secondary outcomes of study which includes changes in the quality of life and prevalence of anxiety and depression, the renal specific clinical data, and the circulatory miRNA profile. The other background variables considered as possible modifiers and cofounders of training effects, are: patient’s satisfaction with life, social support, health literacy, functional impairment and nutritional status. These variables are further specified in the following sections.

3.8. Primary and secondary outcomes assessments

3.8.1. Primary outcome: procedure of muscle strength assessments. The assessments of the maximal isometric force generated during the contraction of lower extremity muscles will be realized in patients before and after the experimental or control condition and also after the 3 months follow-up period. The measurements will be realized according to a testing protocol for lower extremity muscle strength among dialysed patients published by Matsuzawa et al.[71] Familiarization with the protocol on each test will be realized before the test procedures will take place. During the familiarization session, the investigator will explain the procedures of the test protocols to the patient and will acquire patient with proper execution of muscle contractions during assessments. To enhance reliability, testing procedures will be administered by the same investigator and all assessments will be done in the first hour of HT. Maximal isometric contraction force during extension of leg at knee joint, flexion and extension of lower limb at hip joint and abduction and adduction of lower limb at hip joint will be assessed by

Figure 1. The flow chart of patients summarizing their eligibility assessment, enrolment and allocation into experimental and control group of the study. CC= control condition, INC/EXC= inclusion and exclusion, IRT= intradialytic resistance training.
hand-held dynamometer (Universal digital force gauge HF 300, SAUTER GmbH, Balingen, Germany). During the assessments realized by the device, we will apply a customized pad that fits the shape of the lower extremity areas used for physical tests. The range of the dynamometer analyzer will be set from 0 to 500 N, with a recording interval of 0.1 N. An isotonic contraction force assessments realized by a hand-held dynamometer showed an excellent reliability (IR ICC 0.940–0.963) and the measurement method showed a good validity compared with the results received from the isokinetic dynamometer (r = 0.806; P < .05).[72]

For an assessment, patients will be in a supine position with arms safely and comfortably placed on the bed. The measurements of the knee extension of the dominant leg will be done at a knee angle of 90° from full extension. The hand-held dynamometer will be placed on the patient’s ankle and will be stabilized during the performance of the physical examination. During the assessments of hip flexion and extension of lower limb patient will hold the dominant leg in straightened position, while the dynamometer will be placed over the ankle (flexion force assessments) and under the heel (extension force assessments) of the measured leg. Lastly, the analysis of forces produced during hip abduction and adduction, will be done at a knee angle of 90° from full extension with dynamometer placed on the inner (adduction force assessments) and outer (abduction force assessments) side surface of knee joint. The patients will be instructed to perform a maximal isometric contraction and hold it for 5 seconds. The tests will be repeated within 30-second rest intervals, and the higher measured value of two consecutive tests will be used for the analysis.

3.8.2. Secondary outcomes: patient’s psycho-social variables. The changes in patient anxiety and depression states will be assessed by the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale.[73] The scale consists of 2 subscales, 1 subscale for anxiety and another subscale for depression. Both subscales contain seven items and each item is rated on a 4-point scale (from 0 to 3 points). The maximal score for both subscales is 21, the minimum score is 0. Scores of 11 or more on either subscale are considered to be a significant indicator of psychological morbidity. Scores between 8 and 10 represent “borderline” and scores between 0 and 7 represents “normal” indication of anxiety and depression. The analyses of the scale’s internal consistency in previous samples sizes showed a Cronbach’s alpha varying between 0.82 and 0.90.[74,75]

The Kidney Disease and Quality of Life[76,77] instrument will be used to assess the generic and kidney-disease specific quality of life of patients during the study. The instrument consists of a 36-item health survey, supplemented with 4 subscales targeted at particular concerns of individuals with kidney disease on dialysis (self-rated health, self-rated change of health status, a physical, and mental component). The analysis of the instrument’s internal consistency showed a Cronbach’s alpha varying between 0.84 and 0.91.[77] All listed questionnaires and scales were translated, adapted and validated for use in the Slovak population by the methodology and standards described in procedural guidelines.[79]

3.8.3. Secondary outcomes: patient’s clinical data. Patient’s clinical data (PCD) will be collected from the medical documentation of patients. During allocation, we will collect accessible socio-demographic data (age, gender) for all patients from medical database. The 1st PCD extraction will be assembled from the latest medical screening that was conducted prior to the start of the experimental or control condition in the study. The 2nd PCD extraction will be realized from the 1st medical screening realized after the completion of the experimental or control condition in the study. The collection of PCD will be completed also after the 3-month follow up period. Collected PCD will (apart from socio-demographic data) consist of: First, patient’s nephrological diagnosis profile. Second, other present diagnosis and comorbidities. Third, body composition parameters (body weight and height, free fat mass and index, body fat mass assessed by Body composition monitoring[80]). Fourth, standard dialysis biochemistry, haematology, and dialysis adequacy measures.

3.8.4. Secondary outcomes: miRNA analyses. The blood samples for miRNA analysis will be obtained during the regular dialysis session before the start of HT. In both study groups, the collection of blood samples from patients will be done 1 to 5 days prior to the start and after the completion of experimental and control condition. In the experimental group, blood samples for miRNA analysis will be also collected after the three-month follow up period. These samples will be analysed for the expression of specific, circulatory, calcium metabolism regulating miRNA’s and for the expression of transcription factors involved in IGF-1 and TRIM63 signalling pathways. Also proteins encoded of these signalling pathways will be screened. The miRNA isolation and miRNA quantification will be realized following the protocol published by Blondal et al.[81]

3.9. Background variables

Background variables regard patient’s life satisfaction, social support, health literacy, self-sufficiency, functional capacity and nutritional status.

The global cognitive judgments of a patient’s life satisfaction will be measured by the Satisfaction with Life Scale.[82] During the application of this scale, the patient will indicate how much he/she agrees or disagrees with each of the 5 items using a 7-point score. The mark of 7 points for an item indicates strong agreement, while lettering of 1 point is an expression of a strong disagreement with the item. The analyses of the scale’s internal consistency in previous samples sizes showed a Cronbach’s alpha varying between 0.89 and 0.90.[83,84]

Patient’s social support will be measured by the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support.[85] The scale contains three subscales, each represented by 4 questions addressing different support source, all with a strong factorial validity in an analysis of social support of patient: A. Family, B. Friends, and C. Significant other sources. The analyses of the scale’s internal consistency in previous samples sizes showed a Cronbach’s alpha varying between 0.90 and 0.92[86,87].

Patient’s health literacy will be assessed using the Health Literacy Questionnaire,[88,89] which enables us to effectively analyse a patient’s personal, cognitive and social skills, which determine the ability of individuals to gain access to understand and use the information to promote and maintain his/her good health.[90] The questionnaire is divided into 2 parts, which differ in response categories. Part 1 contains 5 scales with 4 response categories rating the extent of agreement from 1 to 4 (from 1-Strongly Disagree, to 4-Strongly Agree). Part 2 includes the last 4 scales with 5 response categories rating the level of difficulty from 1 to 5 (from 1-Cannot Do, to 5-Very Easy). The higher the average score in the scales indicates better health literacy. The analysis of the questionnaire’s internal consistency in previous
samples showed a Cronbach’s alpha varying between 0.84 and 0.96.\(^{[91]}\)

Patient’s health literacy will further be assessed using the Brief Health Literacy survey\(^{[82]}\) is a short 3-item scale, where the answers are scored on a 5 point response scale (from 1 (Extremely) up to 5 (Not at all) points). It includes the following items: Firstly, How often do you have someone help you read hospital materials?, secondly, How confident are you filling out medical forms by yourself?, thirdly, How often do you have problems learning about your medical condition because of difficulty understanding written information? The item’s reverse options are reversely scored and the higher total score given by the summation of scores in items indicates a higher subjective level of health literacy. The analyses of the survey’s internal consistency in previous samples sizes showed a Cronbach’s alpha varying between 0.72 and 0.80.\(^{[93,94]}\)

The Karnofsky Performance Status\(^{[95,96]}\) scale will be used to assess the self-sufficiency and functional capacity of patients on HT. The scale determines functional impairment in the performance of activities of daily living regarding to scale rating criteria (ranging from 100 to 0). Scores of 80 or more on scale rating are considered to be an indicator of the ability to carry on normal activity and to work, with no need for special care. Scores between 70 and 50 regarding to scale rating criteria indicating an inability to work and ability to live at home and care for most personal needs with a varying amount of assistance. Scores lower than 50 pointing to an inability to care for self, requirements of institutional or hospital care and alerts that disease may be progressing rapidly. The three general scale definitions are supplemented with a 10-point analytical scale with more accurate identifications and definitions of patient’s functional impairment rates. The analysis of the scale’s internal consistency in a previous sample showed a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.97.\(^{[97]}\)

The nutritional status of patients will be assessed by the Subjective Global Assessment method.\(^{[98]}\) The method considers four features: 1. Patient’s own nutritional history, 2. history of dietary intake in relation to a patient’s usual pattern, 3. presence of significant gastrointestinal symptoms and 4. demands of the patient’s underlying disease state. Based on these four features, the method indicates the patient category as well nourished, moderate or suspected malnutrition and severe malnutrition. The analysis of the method’s internal consistency in a previous sample showed a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.73.\(^{[99]}\)

Translation, adaptation and validation of questionnaires and scales, for use in the Slovak population, was realized by the methodology and standards described in procedural guidelines.\(^{[82]}\) The subjective perceptions of changes after implementation of the IRT and knowledge about the limits and benefits of the intervention will be collected in the experimental group at the end of the experimental condition by focus discussions and semi-structured interviews by IS and AZ.

\subsection{Data management and statistical analysis}

All data will be deposed electronically in the project laptop. The laptop with confidential documents will be retained in the secured and monitored room in the Department of Health Psychology, Faculty of Medicine, Pavol Jozef Safarik University. In project databases, all patient’s identification marks will be replaced by Euclid’s algorithm number. To control the access to databases a password protection of the laptop will be used. Only authorized persons - all principal investigators - will have access to databases and evidence of study.

The project statistician (PK) will be responsible for data analyses and creation of the tables and figures containing mean, standard derivation, median, minimum and maximum values. The statistician will be blinded by the Euclid number coding of data sets. We will use the Shapiro–Wilk test for an assessment of the normality of data. To compare parametric variables between two groups we will use the Student t test. For paired parametric variables statistical analysis we will apply the paired t-test. In case of a non-parametric distribution of variables, we will analyse differences between 2 groups by the Wilcoxon Signed Rank test. For paired non-parametric variables we will process the data by the Mann–Whitney U test. For comparison of variables between 3 or more groups, we will apply a two-way ANOVA and MANOVA. Pearson chi-squared test will be utilized for statistical analysis of the categorical variables. The evidence of possible effects related to the experimental and control conditions will be conducted by estimated values and 95% confidence intervals.\(^{[100]}\)

All data analyses will be carried out using the statistical software package IBM SPSS 22.0 or equivalent (IBM Corp. Released 2013, IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). All tests will be 2-tailed with statistical significance set at an α level of 0.05. The patients will be offered the possibility to receive the results of the study after completion of processing of data sets. In case of any modifications of the study protocol, the project representatives are responsible to report these changes in a trial registry (ClinicalTrials.gov) and to the Ethics Committee of the Louis Pasteur University Hospital in Kosice. All principal investigators will have access to the final study databases.

\section{Discussion}

Once the patient’s size-adjusted estimated glomerular filtration rate is detected below 60 mL/min/1.73 m\(^2\) and/or markers of
kidney damage were detectable for at least 3 months, she or he is reliant on kidney functions monitoring and management of progression and complications of CKD provided by a nephrologist. Further progression of chronic kidney disease is accompanied by the decision for initiation of the dialysis therapy. In these circumstances, the activation of HT is often a necessary step in maintaining the sufficient renal functions in patients. However, long term dialysis therapy setting is also the origin of a number of physiological and psycho-social adverse effects. Patients become more and more affected by regular exposure to prolonged inactivity and limitations in daily life. Evidence of several studies confirmed a high prevalence of sedentary behaviour, with physical activity and performance below the recommended levels among dialysed patients. The patients with CKD-5 suffered much more frequently and from much more severe depression and anxiety periods than healthy patients with CKD-5. This marks a high prevalence of sedentary life habits and the demands of personal capacities for the adaptation and management of the IRT programme forced us to use a not-randomized design in our study. At the point of design finalization, we recognized that the allocation of patients into the experimental and control group might be a source of bias during comparisons of outcomes between the experimental and control group. To avoid this threat of internal validity, we included three nephrology clinics with identical care-providing standards and with similar numbers of patients eligible for the study.
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