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APPENDIX A

A1. Supplementary Material to Chapter 6 – Primary studies
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A2. Supplementary Material to Chapter 6 – Additional Data for Research Questions

RQ1: Detailed presentation of connected artifacts (and the respective phases they belong to)

The tables below present further information about the top-5 most frequently traced software artifact types. Specifically, there is one table for each artifact, which shows the count of studies in which this artifact has been linked with other types of artifacts (as well as the development phases these artifacts belong to). We note that the tables present only pairs that have been found in at least 5 studies.

**Table A2.1: Count of studies connecting Requirements to other artifacts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artifact 1</th>
<th>Artifact 2</th>
<th>Development Phases</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Source Code</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Classes</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test Cases</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design Models</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table A2.2: Count of studies connecting Source Code (in general) to other artifacts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artifact 1</th>
<th>Artifact 2</th>
<th>Development Phases</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Source Code</td>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test Cases</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Specifications</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Features</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design Models</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UML Diagrams</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A2. 3: Count of studies connecting Classes to other artifacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artifact 1</th>
<th>Artifact 2</th>
<th>Development Phases</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classes</td>
<td>Use Cases</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test Cases</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interaction Diagrams</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Features</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A2.4: Count of studies connecting UML diagrams to other artifacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artifact 1</th>
<th>Artifact 2</th>
<th>Development Phases</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UML Diagrams</td>
<td>Source code</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use Cases</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Classes</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A2.5: Count of studies connecting Use Cases to other artifacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artifact 1</th>
<th>Artifact 2</th>
<th>Development Phases</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Use Cases</td>
<td>Classes</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interaction Diagrams</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test Cases</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Source code</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Features</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RQ4: View on the development phases and the exact artifacts being examined by using different research methods

Table A2. 6 below shows the top-5 (when applicable) pairs of development phases studied by using each empirical research method

Table A2. 6: Pairs of development phases studied using the different research methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research Method</th>
<th>Development Phases</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case study</td>
<td>R-I</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-R</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-D</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-T</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D-I</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>R-I</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D-I</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-D</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D-D</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-I</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proof of Concept</td>
<td>R-I</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D-I</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-D</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-T</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-I</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>R-D</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-R</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-I</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-T</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I-T</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation</td>
<td>R-R</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R-I</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A2.7 shows the most frequently traced pairs of software artifacts and how they are distributed based on the empirical research method used when studied.

Table A2.7: Research methods used for studying the most frequently traced pairs of software artifacts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Artifact 1</th>
<th>Artifact 2</th>
<th>Case Study</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Proof of Concept</th>
<th>Survey</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Source Code</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use Cases</td>
<td>Classes</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Classes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classes</td>
<td>Test Cases</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Test Cases</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Code</td>
<td>Test Cases</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction Diagrams</td>
<td>Test Cases</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction Diagrams</td>
<td>Classes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use Cases</td>
<td>Test Cases</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use Cases</td>
<td>Interaction Diagrams</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Level Requirements</td>
<td>Low Level Requirements</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Code</td>
<td>Specifications</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Features</td>
<td>Source Code</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Design Models</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>