Low-Dimensional Metal–Organic Coordination Structures on Graphene
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ABSTRACT: We report the formation of one- and two-dimensional metal–organic coordination structures from para-hexaphenyl-dicarbonitrile (NC−Ph6−CN) molecules and Cu atoms on graphene epitaxially grown on Ir(111). By varying the stoichiometry between the NC−Ph6−CN molecules and Cu atoms, the dimensionality of the metal–organic coordination structures could be tuned: for a 3:2 ratio, a two-dimensional hexagonal porous network based on threefold Cu coordination was observed, while for a 1:1 ratio, one-dimensional chains based on twofold Cu coordination were formed. The formation of metal–ligand bonds was supported by imaging the Cu atoms within the metal–organic coordination structures with scanning tunneling microscopy. Scanning tunneling spectroscopy measurements demonstrated that the electronic properties of NC−Ph6−CN molecules and Cu atoms were different between the two-dimensional porous network and one-dimensional molecular chains.

INTRODUCTION

Molecular self-assembly on graphene has attracted increasing attention over the past few years due to its potential for applications ranging from organic electronics over photovoltaics to spintronics.1–9 On the one hand, the chemical inertness and low density of states near the Fermi level make graphene a promising choice as a substrate to decouple adsorbed molecules as well as atoms from the underlying (metallic) substrate. Importantly, this holds the promise to preserve the intrinsic properties of the adsorbed species such as magnetic moments or catalytic activity. Besides, the low interaction between the absorbed species and graphene generally allows for real space investigation of the electronic properties of the molecules with scanning tunneling microscopy (STM).10,11 On the other hand, the molecular self-assembly on graphene can be also employed as a promising method to tune the electronic properties of graphene on a macroscopic scale.12,13 For this purpose, the molecule graphene interaction has to be stronger than a mere physisorptive one. According to theoretical studies, even an electronic band gap can be opened through the adsorption of carefully designed organic molecules on graphene.14 The key point in this case is the breaking of the sublattice symmetry of graphene by unequally transferring charge between the molecules and two graphene sublattices.

Despite the abundant knowledge present for two-dimensional (2D) (supra)molecular structures on metallic surfaces,15,16 only little has so far been used for either controllably tailoring graphene’s properties via noncovalent chemical modification17–22 or for preserving the intrinsic properties of the adsorbed species utilizing graphene’s decoupling properties (vide supra). In particular, 2D metal–organic coordination networks (MOCNs) present an intensively investigated material class because of the possibility of controllably adjusting their electronic as well as magnetic properties through the constituent building blocks with the advantage of a relatively high stability for a supramolecular structure.23,24 Theoretical calculations have identified 2D MOCNs as a new class of organic topological insulators.25 However, their actual fabrication requires a noninteracting substrate, and graphene has been suggested as a promising possibility.26 On the other hand, 2D MOCNs have also been suggested for forming a...
heterostructure with graphene, thereby, the two 2D layers (graphene and MOCN) would mutually influence their electronic and magnetic properties, giving rise to new ones and not only to a combination of them.27

Here, we report a systematic study for the creation of low-dimensional MOCNs on graphene epitaxially grown on Ir(111) from para-hexaphenyl-dicarbonitrile (NC−Ph₆−CN) molecules (Figure 1c) and co-deposited Cu atoms. The as-deposited NC−Ph₆−CN molecules self-assembled on graphene/Ir(111) into a close-packed arrangement consisting of packed one-dimensional (1D) rows of molecules arranged in parallel, which appeared as a striped pattern. By co-adsorbing Cu atoms onto the close-packed arrangement of NC−Ph₆−CN molecules and Cu atoms, a basketweave-like pattern (∼6:1 ratio), a 2D hexagonal porous network (3:2 ratio), or 1D chains (1:1 ratio) were formed. The bright protrusions between the molecules observed on the STM images originate from the presence of the Cu atoms, which indicates the formation of metal–ligand bonding between the terminal cyano groups of the NC−Ph₆−CN molecules and the co-deposited Cu atoms. The structural transformation of the metal–organic coordination structures in dependence of the number of Cu atoms with respect to organic molecules involves the modification of the electronic properties of both the NC−Ph₆−CN molecules and Cu atoms, as evidenced by scanning tunneling spectroscopy (STS) measurements.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

After the growth of graphene on Ir(111), the quality of graphene was checked by STM and low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) measurements. The atomically resolved STM image (Figure 1a) shows the Moiré pattern originating from the lattice mismatch between graphene and Ir(111). In the LEED pattern (Figure 1b), the Moiré pattern can be discerned by the satellite spots around the first-order spots of Ir(111).29,30 Both experiments indicated the successful synthesis of graphene. Figure 1c shows the molecular structure of NC−Ph₆−CN: six phenyl rings are joined by single C−C bonds with a terminal cyano group at each end of the molecule. MOCNs from the family of this molecule (NC−Ph₆−CN with n = 3, 4, 5, 6) have been extensively studied mainly on Ag(111) and Cu(111) surfaces.31−35 Figure 1d shows the close-packed arrangement of NC−Ph₆−CN after deposition on graphene/Ir(111) held at room temperature. Individual NC−Ph₆−CN molecules can be easily recognized by their rod-like shape. Similar to the arrangement of NC−Ph₆−CN on Ag(I11) for close-to-monolayer coverage,36 the molecules are arranged in a striped pattern on graphene/Ir(111). Within one stripe, the molecules were parallel to one another, while for every fourth or another, a shift along the long axis of the molecule with a distance of about one phenyl ring occurred.

For our experiments, the molecular coverage was purposely kept below one monolayer to enable optimal MOCN assembly. Upon deposition of a very small amount of Cu atoms onto the close-packed arrangement of NC−Ph₆−CN on graphene/Ir(111), the striped pattern transformed into a basketweave-like pattern (Figure 2a−c). Though the parallel arrangement of the molecules was still observed (marked by black lines in Figure 2a), some packages of parallel molecules were rotated by 60° with respect to the other molecules. Moreover, some molecules were also arranged in a head-on configuration (marked by red lines in Figure 2a). In the head-on configuration, the cyano groups of the involved molecules point directly toward each other. The electrostatic repulsion...
Because of the threefold metal coordination bonding one Cu atom and the cyano groups of three neighboring molecules and the Cu atoms, the molecules are arranged in a rhombic unit cell. In the cavity of the rhombus, the NC–Ph₆–CN molecules were packed in a side-to-side configuration. The unit cell of the basketweave-like pattern is marked in orange in Figure 2a, and the size of the unit cell is measured to be \( a = 5.6 \pm 0.2 \) nm, \( b = 4.5 \pm 0.2 \) nm, and \( \alpha = 77 \pm 3^\circ \).

The fact that some molecules were still arranged side-to-side indicates a deficiency of Cu atoms that made it impossible for the molecules to exclusively interact via metal coordination bonding. Therefore, a sample with a molecule-to-Cu atom ratio of 3:2 was prepared. As shown in Figure 2d, the hexagonal porous network became the dominant phase. It should be noted that the molecular networks appear distorted with respect to a perfect hexagonal symmetry, which most probably originates from the relatively weakly corrugated interaction potential between graphene and NC–Ph₆–CN. An STM image with intramolecular resolution was taken to gain insight into the bonding of the hexagonal porous network (Figure 2e). The six phenyl rings of the NC–Ph₆–CN molecules and Cu atoms are clearly resolved, which demonstrates that the hexagonal porous network formed on graphene/Ir(111) was stabilized by threefold metal coordination between the deposited Cu atoms and the cyano groups of the molecules. The unit cell of the hexagonal porous network is marked in orange in Figure 2d, and the size of the unit cell is measured to be \( c = d = 5.7 \pm 0.2 \) nm, and \( \beta = 60 \pm 2^\circ \). Figure 2f displays the molecular model for the hexagonal porous network structure. Samples with a Cu atom to molecule ratio of 1:1 were also prepared to investigate the self-assemblies at an even higher ratio. As can be seen in Figure 2g, the 1D molecular chains now were the dominant phase of the molecular self-assembly. A detailed STM image of an individual molecular chain was acquired at 4.5 K (Figure 2h) because the mobility of individual chains prevented stable imaging conditions at 77 K. The chain segment length amounts to 3.3 ± 0.1 nm, which is considerably larger than the theoretical NC–Ph₆–CN molecule length of 2.96 nm. This results in a N–Cu coordination bonding length of around 0.17 nm, which fits well with previous studies. The bright protrusions, which are clearly discernible between two adjacent molecules within the molecular chain, can be again assigned to Cu atoms demonstrating that the molecular chains are stabilized by metal coordination. This assignment is also based on simulated STM data (Figure S2). The structural model is depicted in Figure 2i. Therefore, by decreasing the molecule-to-Cu atom ratio, we were able to transform the structural arrangement of the molecular self-assembly from a basketweave-like pattern to a 2D porous network and finally to 1D molecular chains. The corresponding intermolecular interactions concurrently changed from threefold to twofold metal coordination. The transformation of the structural molecular arrangement is an indication of the flexibility and versatility of the coordination bonding between the Cu atoms and cyano groups, which is in line with previous research results.

The possibility to clearly image the Cu atoms within the metal–organic coordination structures with STM deserves additional attention. For the metal atom centers within 2D MOCNs adsorbed on metallic surfaces it has been reported that they can be imaged by STM only under certain conditions, for example, at very high bias voltages. However, in the current study, the Cu atoms were observed for various samples with different tips (and thus, tip conditions) and different scanning parameters. Therefore, we propose that the partially negatively charged nitrogen atoms of the cyano groups would make this arrangement energetically unfavorable, unless metal–ligand bonding takes place between the cyano groups and deposited Cu atoms. To investigate this in more detail, a close-up STM image was taken (Figure 2b). The round bright protrusions (marked by red dots) can be tentatively assigned to the central Cu atoms of the metal–ligand bonds which were formed between three NC–Ph₆–CN molecules and one Cu atom (see Figure S2). It should be noted that for MOCNs on metal surfaces, the metal centers are rarely imaged by STM. This observation may be ascribed to the lack of electronic states at the metal centers around the Fermi level. For example, for two MOCNs from perylene derivatives on Cu(111) electronic states around 3 eV above the Fermi level were identified. The protrusions resolved between three adjacent molecules provide a direct evidence of the threefold metal coordination formed between most likely one Cu atom and the cyano groups of three neighboring molecules. The proposed molecular model is shown in Figure 2c. Because of the threefold metal coordination bonding between the molecules and the Cu atoms, the molecules are arranged in a rhombic unit cell. In the cavity of the rhombus, the molecules are clearly resolved as round protrusions between neighboring NC molecular chains (h). In all three STM images, the Cu atoms are resolved as round protrusions between the molecules and the Cu atoms, the molecules are arranged in a rhombic unit cell. In the cavity of the rhombus, the round bright protrusions (marked by red dots) can be tentatively assigned to the central Cu atoms of the metal–ligand bonds which were formed between three NC–Ph₆–CN molecules and co-deposited Cu atoms. To investigate this in more detail, a close-up STM image was taken (Figure 2b). The round bright protrusions (marked by red dots) can be tentatively assigned to the central Cu atoms of the metal–ligand bonds which were formed between three NC–Ph₆–CN molecules and co-deposited Cu atoms. To investigate this in more detail, a close-up STM image was taken (Figure 2b). The round bright protrusions (marked by red dots) can be tentatively assigned to the central Cu atoms of the metal–ligand bonds which were formed between three NC–Ph₆–CN molecules and co-deposited Cu atoms.
the observation of the Cu atoms in the current study does not stem from a special tip condition but from the weak interaction between the Cu atoms and underlying graphene.

By adjusting the molecule-to-Cu atom ratio, the type of coordination bonding (threefold vs twofold) can be selected and consequently, the type of metal–organic coordination structures formed can be tuned. Therefore, these two structures represent a unique model system to investigate the modification of the electronic properties of both the ligand molecule and the coordinating metal atom when the bonding motif is changed. The red curve in Figure 3a shows the STS spectrum acquired on a molecule of the porous network (position marked by a red sphere in the inset). Two peaks are clearly visible at energies of −4.0 and +2.4 eV with a broad gap between them. On the basis of the interpretation that the dI/dV signal can be considered proportional to the local density of states and that tunneling into/out of molecular orbitals can lead to peaks in dI/dV spectra,45 we assign the peaks to the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO), respectively. This results then in a band gap of 6.4 eV. It should be noted that because our system can be viewed as a double barrier tunneling junction the STS data will not provide the exact HOMO/LUMO positions and thus, also not the right band gap.46 For the molecules in the molecular chains, the HOMO and LUMO was found at −4.2 and +2.1 eV, respectively, giving a band gap of 6.3 eV (see the black curve in Figure 3a and location of the STS spectra taken in the uppermost inset). While the band gap is nearly the same for the two cases, a shift of the spectral features for the twofold coordinated chains compared with the threefold coordinated porous network is already obvious by a visual inspection of the spectra shown in Figure 3a. On the basis of earlier reports describing changes of molecular states due to bonding of the molecules to metal atoms,45–49 we assign the observed spectral changes to changes in the metal coordination; that is, if a twofold or a threefold metal coordination is present. It should be noted that a band gap of around 7.7 eV was determined for NC−Ph6−CN adsorbed on multilayer graphene in the close-packed striped pattern.54 Moreover, the clearly resolved Cu atoms in the STM images enabled us to unambiguously perform STS measurements directly on top of the Cu atoms. Thereby, we could probe the electronic properties of the Cu atoms and whether the type of metal coordination also influenced them. For the Cu atoms in the porous network, a clear peak is present at +2.3 eV (blue curve in Figure 3a). In contrast, the peak shifts to +1.8 eV for the Cu atoms in the molecular chains (orange curve in Figure 3a). The observed changes of the electronic properties of the coordinated Cu atoms in the porous network compared with the chains are in agreement with the shift of the molecular orbitals of the NC−Ph6−CN molecules. This demonstrates that the change in bonding configuration has a significant influence on the electronic properties of the constituents (see also Figure S1). For getting more insight into the molecule–Cu atom interaction, we focus on the onset of the unoccupied electronic states. For the chains, the onset is practically at the same position for the Cu atoms compared with the molecules while only the peak structure varies. This alignment of the electronic state of the Cu atoms with the one for the NC−Ph6−CN molecules is indicative of a chemical bonding between the molecules and the Cu atoms.50,51 Turning to the porous network, a similar alignment of the unoccupied electronic states of the Cu atoms and the NC−Ph6−CN molecules was observed in the STS spectra. However, the LUMO of the molecule appeared at a slightly lower energy than the electronic state of the Cu atoms. Also for this case, we conclude that chemical bonding took place between the Cu atoms and molecules. To spatially investigate the electronic structure of the porous network, an STM image was taken at +2.4 eV (LUMO of the molecules). As can be seen in Figure 3b, this orbital is distributed over the NC−Ph6−CN molecules as well as the Cu atoms with more contrast at and around the Cu atoms.

Compared with the extensive research for 2D MOCNs adsorbed on metal surfaces, their fabrication and investigation on graphene has up to now hardly been reported.52 2D MOCNs on graphene are potentially interesting for different reasons. For adsorbed atoms and molecules, the presence of graphene is likely to decouple them from the underlying metal substrate. This is reflected in the relatively narrow resonances in the STS spectra, especially on the side of the unoccupied states (see Figure 3a).53 Compared with 2D MOCNs on metal substrates, graphene is generally not able to take the role as a coordinating ligand, that is, it does not hybridize with the metal atoms and consequently, a different coordination geometry is obtained. Furthermore, the decoupling effect of graphene may preserve the magnetic moments, catalytic activity, and further properties of the adsorbed species, ultimately resulting in new phenomena such as topological insulators.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We performed an experimental study on the formation of MOCNs on graphene from NC−Ph6−CN molecules and Cu atoms. By adjusting the ratio between molecules and Cu atoms, different kinds of metal–organic coordination structures could be formed, namely, a basketweave-like pattern, a hexagonal porous network and 1D molecular chains while the former two exhibit threefold metal coordination and the latter one exhibits twofold metal coordination. The electronic properties of both the NC−Ph6−CN molecules and Cu

---

Figure 3. (a) Comparison of STS spectra taken at different positions on the molecular chains and the porous network, respectively. Black curve: STS on a molecule in the molecular chain. The position is marked in black in the uppermost inset. Orange curve: STS on a Cu atom in the molecular chain. The position is marked in orange in the uppermost inset. Blue curve: STS on a Cu atom coordinating the porous network. The position is marked in blue in the inset. Red curve: STS on a molecule in the porous network. The position is marked in red in the inset. (b) Detailed STM image of an individual pore of the porous network taken at a bias equal to the LUMO position for resolving the molecular orbitals in real space. Scanning parameters: (b) 8 nm × 8 nm, U = 2.4 V, I = 350 pA, T = 4.5 K.
atoms were modified in dependence of the bonding motif present for the different structures. The presence of graphene enabled the decoupling of the metal–organic coordination structures from the metallic substrate, resulting in the imaging of the Cu atoms and obtaining intramolecular contrast as well as investigating their electronic properties. In this respect, graphene seems to be a promising candidate for decoupling structures from the metallic substrate, resulting in the imaging enabled the decoupling of the metal
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