

University of Groningen

Systemic risk and financial regulation

Huang, Qiubin

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2019

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Huang, Q. (2019). Systemic risk and financial regulation. [Groningen]: University of Groningen, SOM research school.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Propositions belonging to the doctoral dissertation

Systemic Risk and Financial Regulation

By Qiubin Huang

1. Studying the performance of systemic risk measures and the effects of financial regulatory reforms can provide useful insights for financial regulators. (This dissertation)
2. We have to analyze systemic risk objectively and accurately before we can address it. The existing systemic risk measures show similarities and differences. (Chapter 2)
3. Both the ΔCoVaR and MES measures indicate that systemic risk in the Chinese banking system decreased after the GFC but started rising in 2014. (Chapter 2)
4. The book capital ratios indicate that US bank capitalization rose steadily in the past three decades, while the market capital ratios reveal substantial changes in bank capitalization over time. (Chapter 3)
5. Bank capitalization is negatively associated with bank stock returns during tranquil periods. The relation reverses during turbulent periods due to the flight-to-quality phenomenon. (Chapter 3)
6. The combination of the synthetic control method with the difference-in-differences can overcome the weaknesses of each method when using them separately. (Chapter 4)
7. The Dodd-Frank Act did not reduce systemic risk in the US. (Chapter 4)
8. “A fire on the city gate brings disaster to the fish in the moat.” (Du Bi, A.D. 490-559)