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Chapter 8. Reversible amorphous-crystalline phase changes in a wide range of Se$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ alloys studied using ultrafast differential scanning calorimetry.

Using a novel ultrafast calorimetry technique, phase-change materials are reversibly switched and their crystallization rate is analyzed.

8.1 Abstract

The reversible amorphous-crystalline phase change in a chalcogenide material, specifically the Se$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ alloy, has been investigated for the first time using ultrafast differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). Heating rates and quenching rates up to 5000 K/s were used. Repeated reversible amorphous-crystalline phase switching was achieved by consecutively melting, melt-quenching, and recrystallizing upon heating. Using a well-conditioned method, the composition of a single sample was allowed to shift slowly from 15 at.% Te to 60 at.% Te, eliminating sample-to-sample variability from the measurements. Using Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDXS) composition analysis, the onset of melting for different Te-concentrations was confirmed to coincide with the literature solidus line, validating the use of the onset of melting $T_m$ as a

*This chapter is adapted from: Vermeulen, P. A., Momand, J. & Kooi, B. J. Reversible amorphous-crystalline phase changes in a wide range of Se$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ alloys studied using ultrafast differential scanning calorimetry. J. Chem. Phys. 024502, (2014).*
composition indicator. The glass transition $T_g$ and crystallization temperature $T_c$ could be determined accurately, allowing the construction of extended phase diagrams. It was found that $T_m$ and $T_g$ increase (but $T_g/T_m$ decrease slightly) with increasing Te-concentration. Contrarily, the $T_c$ decreases substantially, indicating that the amorphous phase becomes progressively unfavorable. This coincides well with the observation that the critical quench rate to prevent crystallization increases about three orders of magnitude with increasing Te concentration. Due to the employment of a large range of heating rates, non-Arrhenius behavior was detected, indicating that the undercooled liquid SeTe is a fragile liquid. The activation energy of crystallization was found to increase 0.5 - 0.6 eV when the Te concentration increases from 15 to 30 at.% Te, but it is not increasing further beyond about 50 at.% Te.$^1$

### 8.2 Introduction

Phase transformations play a key role in material production, particularly of metals and polymers, but also in a large variety of natural processes.$^2$–$^6$ Moreover, many advanced materials increasingly rely on controlled exploitation of phase transformations.$^7$–$^9$ Polymers, alloys, polymorphic substances and composites develop a variety of structures, generally with a metastable nature, which depend on the cooling conditions during their production. During heating, reorganization processes such as (re)crystallization, phase separation and melting may occur. Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) has been an important tool to study such processes. With the advent of ultrafast DSC heating and cooling rates of 104 K/s can be achieved. This has dramatically extended the application range of DSC.

In recent years particularly polymers were studied using ultrafast DSC,$^{10}$–$^{14}$ but also the application of this technique to so-called phase-change materials$^{15,16}$ has demonstrated its power to enter previously unexplorable analysis areas. Also, in the present work we apply this technique to a model-based phase-change material, in particular to study crystallization in SeTe chalcogenide alloys with a wide composition range.

The combination of large electrical/optical property contrast with ultrafast crystallization kinetics at elevated temperatures required for fast switchoing, and ultraslow crystallization kinetics below 100 °C required for data retention is a unique feature of PCMs.$^9$,$^{17}$–$^{19}$ A fast high energy pulse transforms the crystalline cell into an amorphous state by melt-quenching. The crystalline state can be re-obtained via a longer lower energy pulse that heats the cell optimally below the melting temperature, where the mobility of the atoms becomes high, allowing crystallization. This can be done fast (<100 ns), but not as fast as melt-quenching which is possible in picoseconds.
Despite the interesting results obtained when ultrafast DSC was applied to the prototype Ge$_2$Sb$_2$Te$_5$ material,\textsuperscript{15,16} the disadvantage of the analyses performed is that (i) only single (non-reversible) crystallization events could be monitored for thin film flakes deposited on the sensor and (ii) the PCM studied has an as-deposited structure, whereas melt-quenched structures are more relevant because they are exploited in all memory applications. The usefulness of PCMs particularly originates from the reversible amorphous-crystalline phase transformation, where the amorphous phase is obtained by melt-quenching. This process was not studied with ultrafast DSC up to now and is the focus of the present work. We have selected a kind of model PCM, in fact SeTe alloys which allow (i) reversible phase switching by melting, melt-quenching into an amorphous phase and crystallization of this phase, (ii) precise control of the thermal history of the materials and (iii) the execution of multiple measurements on single samples. Such an analysis of PCMs is aimed to provide deeper insights in the extraordinary phase transformation kinetics of these materials excellently suited for data storage applications.

### 8.3 Materials and Methods

Several Se$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ alloys with $x = (15; 25; 50)$ were prepared by adding for each of them appropriate amounts of the pure constituents (99.999% purity) to a quartz ampoule. The ampoule was brought under vacuum and sealed. The alloy was then left in an oven at 400 °C (which is well above melting point of the alloy) for at least 16 hours. The ampoule was then slowly cooled down to room temperature. Using Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometry (EDXS), connected to a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) it was verified that the ingot was completely homogeneous. A flake of the alloy was placed on the DSC sensor using a hair.

When the flake was deposited on the sample area of the sensor, it was generally in poor contact with the sample area. Melting the flake, creating a droplet-shaped sample, resulted in a very good contact with the sensor surface (see Appendix sections 1 and 2). Moreover, each time the sample is molten during the reversible phase switching any previous thermal history is erased.

Based on microscopy observations, including for various samples detailed SEM images (see e.g. Figs. S8 and S13 in Appendix), the (top view) diameters for initially molten flakes were determined and varied between 70 and 150 µm for different SeTe samples. Due to evaporation the volume of the droplets reduces during the experimental sequence we pursued and therefore an appropriate average value is 100 µm. The volume of the droplet can be approximated well by a hemisphere and therefore its height is about 50 µm. Using the densities of solid Se (4.8 g cm$^{-3}$) and Te (6.2 g cm$^{-3}$) the sample mass is in the range 1-2 µg.
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The DSC used in this research was a Mettler Toledo Flash DSC 1, with the UFS-1 sensor chip containing the actual DSC sensor- and reference-crucibles. During all measurements, the volume around the sensor area was flushed with nitrogen (20 ml/min), preventing oxidation and ensuring constant environmental conditions. The sensor surroundings were kept at -90 °C by an intercooler.

The aim to reversibly switch a chalcogenide-based PCM using melt-quenching is still clearly limited by the maximum achievable temperature of the UFS-1 sensor, which is about 450 °C and its maximum cooling rate of about 5000 K/s. Therefore, the SeTe alloy was selected, because of its low melting temperatures (325 °C for 50% Te, see Fig. 2) and because it is known from literature that the alloy is easily vitrified below 30 at.% Te. Particularly this last property is not really representative for PCMs exploited for memory application, because they are generally poor glass formers requiring much higher cooling rates than possible in the Flash DSC, but these rates are readily achieved using nanosecond laser or electrical pulses. Nevertheless, the SeTe alloy allows for the first time the study of the reversible amorphous-crystalline phase change in a chalcogenide material using ultrafast DSC.

In our measurement method we particularly used the Flash DSC for its ability to quickly melt-quench the material and thus obtain vitrified SeTe alloys as starting point for consecutively heating runs allowing crystallization with various heating rates. The heating rates we applied were relatively low, in general between 1 and 1000 K/s, clearly less than the capability of the Flash DSC to heat with 40 000 K/s. The reason we limited the heating rates was to ensure that thermal lag effects, e.g. due to thermal gradients in our sample, remained small and therefore did not significantly affect our measurement results. In Appendix sections 1 and 2 we demonstrate by experimental calibration and by detailed thermal analysis that lag effects indeed remain small in our samples for heating rates up to 1000 K/s.

As will be shown below a general measurement typically consists of a sequence of various heating rates only to a limited temperature beyond the one of the crystallization peaks of SeTe. For higher temperatures including the melting of SeTe all heating was typically performed at a rate equal to the maximum rate in the sequence, but not higher than 200 K/s. The reason for this switching in heating rate beyond the crystallization peak will become clear from the experimental results below, where particularly the time spent at the highest temperatures is minimized in order to minimize evaporation of the SeTe samples.

8.4 Results and discussion

A typical DSC measurement sequence on a single sample (starting composition Se85Te15) is shown in Fig. 1a. Four DSC curves have been recorded using various
heating rates (3, 5, 8, 10 K/s), the quench rate was kept prior and in-between the heating steps constant at -4000 K/s. At 200 °C the heating rates of 3, 5, and 8 K/s were switched to 10 K/s, to ensure the melting of the sample was measured for these four cases at the same heating rate. This reduced the influence of variations in thermal lag on the measured melting point. Furthermore, it ensured the alloy was in the molten state for the same short amount of time for each measurement. The glass transition $T_g$, crystallization peak temperature $T_c$, and onset of melting $T_m$, are clearly observable in Fig. 1a and this was observed in general for all heating rates.

![Graph showing DSC curves](image)

Fig. 3. (a) A typical set of DSC curves obtained during heating at various rates (from lowest to highest $T_c$ peak temperature: 3, 5, 8, 10 K/s) of a SeTe alloy that was quenched from the liquid state (320 °C) at a rate of -4000 K/s. The heat flow to the sample crucible is plotted versus the sample temperature. A peak indicates an exothermic process. The glass transition $T_g$, crystallization peak $T_c$ and the onset of melting $T_m$ have been obtained using an algorithm to find the tangents to the curve. The inset shows an enlargement of the glass transition region to be compared with the one in Fig.1b. The heating rate between 25 °C and 200 °C is varied. From 200 °C to 320 °C, the heating rate is kept constant at 10 K/s. (b) A typical set of DSC curves obtained at higher heating rates than in (a) with now heat flow divided by heating rate such that a measure of heat capacity $C_p$ is plotted along the vertical scale. Quench rate was kept at -4000 K/s. Clear evolution in behavior of the glass transition as a function of heating can be observed that is highlighted in the inset. Also a clear evolution in the crystallization peaks can be discerned. Both these evolutions are explained in the main text.

In Fig. 1b results at relatively higher heating rates for a different sample (starting composition Se$_{75}$Te$_{25}$) are shown for the same prior melt–quench rate of -4000 K/s. Since heat capacity is measured the glass transition should appear as a step (although complications can arise due to under- or overshoots and for the
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Flash DSC also due to one-sided heat losses to the cold nitrogen environment) where its midpoint in heat flow generally is taken as representative for the glass transition temperature $T_g$. Effectively the same result is obtained when two temperatures are identified, corresponding to changing slopes in the recorded curves, that signify the beginning and ending of the glass transition, where $T_g$ is defined as their average (in compliance with the ASTM E1356 standard). In Fig. 1b it can be observed that, when the heating rate (e.g. 2500 K/s) approaches the prior cooling rate of 4000 K/s, indeed the glass transition occurs by a well-defined step. However, when the heating rate becomes progressively lower than the prior cooling rate the step becomes increasingly distorted due to two reasons: (i) in the Flash DSC only one side of the sample is heated while the other side is in contact with flowing nitrogen in addition to the cold surrounding which is kept at $-90 \, ^{\circ}\text{C}$; (ii) severe undershoots develop in accordance with theoretical and experimental expectations. In Fig. 1 the effect (i) is dominating below about 50 K/s as can also be observed by the differences in slope, i.e. heat loss for the SeTe glass, undercooled liquid and crystalline state. The lower the heating rate the larger the slopes in general become. In the crystalline state the SeTe conduct heats better than in the glassy state and in the undercooled liquid the heat conduction is lowest. Therefore, at the lowest heating rates the negative slope in Fig. 1 is highest for the crystalline state, somewhat lower for the glassy state and clearly lowest for the undercooled liquid. As a consequence at the lowest heating rates the glass transition, instead of a step, becomes a kink. This can be observed clearly in Fig. 1a and the gradual development from a step to this kink can be clearly observed in Fig. 1b.

Another issue is that it can be debated whether $T_g$ can be measured correctly during heating, since it can be argued that $T_g$ is only determined correctly upon cooling from the equilibrium state. In that sense our reference to $T_g$ in the remainder of this paper should be taken as a reference to a representative (near fictive) temperature directly associated with the glass transition process but not the glass transition temperature in a strict sense.

The crystallization temperature $T_c$ is taken as the temperature where the peak of crystallization occurs (cf. Figs. 1a and 1b). The peak temperature is taken, because it coincides with the maximum in the reaction rate, which is required for the Kissinger analysis. A clear systematic trend in the evolution of the crystallization peak as a function of heating rate can be observed in Fig. 1b for the range 50 to 1000 K/s. However, increasing the heating rate (beyond 1000 K/s) to 2500 K/s results in a strong deviation of this trend with a considerable broadening of the crystallization peak and thus lowering of its maximum. This effect can be attributed to considerable thermal lag due to thermal gradients in our solidified droplet-
shaped sample for heating rates well beyond 1000 K/s and relatively insignificant 
lags for heating rates of 1000 K/s or lower. This observation agrees well with our 
detailed analysis of thermal lag performed in section 1 of the Appendix.

Finally, the melting point $T_m$ is defined as the onset of the melting peak. The 
onset is defined as the intersection point of the baseline of the DSC curve and 
steepest tangent to the melting peak. To reduce measurement noise, all raw curves 
were processed with a Savitzky-Golay filter.$^{26}$

The DSC curves such as displayed in Fig. 1 demonstrate that the SeTe alloy 
can be switched reversibly between the amorphous and crystalline phases by a 
melt-quench technique using ultrafast DSC. After the melt-quenching, the $T_g$, $T_c$, 
and $T_m$ can be clearly distinguished during heating.

Due to the open structure of the UFS-1 sensor, sample material evaporated from 
the sensor area when heated to the higher temperatures. This material partly 
precipitated on the cold area around the sensor, creating a halo of SeTe around the 
heated sample area (see Fig. S8 in the Appendix where the halo can be clearly 
observed in an SEM image). This mass loss effect could also be seen directly from 
DSC analysis, since the area of the melting peak reduced slightly in each 
subsequent measurement (see Fig. S9 in the Appendix). The sample environment 
will never be saturated with gaseous selenium or tellurium because the evaporated 
material is removed almost immediately from the surroundings by precipitation 
and flushing, causing the sample to continuously lose mass.

Accompanying the sample mass reduction, the melting temperature $T_m$ was also 
found to increase slightly with each heating run. This indicated a decreased Se/Te 
ratio, due to disproportionate evaporation of selenium from the alloy. This can be 
readily explained by noting the different vapor pressures of selenium and 
tellurium.$^{27}$ The higher vapor pressure (lower melting and boiling points) of 
selenium indicates that a larger driving force for evaporation is present. These 
evaporation effects were directly proportional to the time spent at high 
temperatures and therefore we used in general measurement sequences 
minimizing this time.

To investigate this composition shift of the alloy, and the connection between 
composition and the measured melting point, several samples with different 
melting temperatures were investigated using an SEM combined with EDXS. These 
measurements were conducted for several different UFS-1 sensors and SeTe alloy 
droplets, to account for sample variability. By plotting the (EDXS) measured 
atomic composition against the $T_m$ as measured with ultrafast DSC, a phase 
diagram was constructed, see Fig. 2, relating the melting point of the alloy to its 
composition. Fig. 2 shows a very good agreement between EDXS plus ultrafast DSC 
measurements and literature, validating the use of the onset of melting as a direct 
measure of the Te concentration. This onset of melting was therefore used to
determine the composition of the alloy for each measurement sequence, making it unnecessary to check composition with EDXS after each heating run.

The fact that the sample size decreases with each run as Se preferentially evaporates is not negatively affecting our results, because the sample is generally completely molten after each run and therefore the thermal contact and composition will be reformed after each run. In this respect there is in principle no difference whether for a certain composition the sample is molten for the first time or many times. Of course, only when a sample tends to be largely evaporated, the measurement accuracy deteriorates due to the reduction of the signal to noise ratio. An advantage of the evaporation initially is that the sample size reduces, where particularly the reduction in sample height reduces the potential thermal gradients in the sample and thus increases accuracy.

Using a quench rate of -4000 K/s, it was possible to quench SeTe alloys up to at least 40 at.% Te to the amorphous state without an observable crystallization peak during cooling. In the range from -40-60 at.% Te an increasing fraction of the material crystallizes upon cooling at -4000 K/s and this fraction becomes 100% beyond -60 at.% Te. These observations agree with the literature, stating pure Te as a crystalline and pure Se as an amorphous (vitreous) material. The melting temperature is that of a nearly perfectly mixed alloy and therefore is approximately a linear interpolation between the melting points of the pure constituents.\textsuperscript{21}

![Fig. 4. The atomic composition of the SeTe alloy was measured using EDXS, and plotted against the onset of melting as measured by the ultrafast DSC. These points are displayed in red. The blue line is taken from literature\textsuperscript{21} (and references therein). The experimental results agree well with literature.](image)
Extended phase diagrams have been constructed for three samples, and are shown in Figs. S10 and S11 of the Appendix. Combined information of two different samples, showing the consistency of the results and the validity of our methodology (reproducible reversibility with gradual decrease in Se concentration), is shown in Fig. 3. The diagrams show $T_c$ and $T_g$ (obtained during heating after the quenching) for various heating rates, as well as the melting temperatures $T_m$. The results in Fig. 3 below 30 at.% Te are obtained from (Fig. S10a for) a sample with starting composition Se$_{85}$Te$_{15}$ and above 30 at.% Te from (Fig.11 for) a sample with starting composition one with Se$_{75}$Te$_{25}$. In fact, continuously repeating measurement sequences shown in Fig. 1a allowed the construction of the results below 30 at.% Te in Fig. 3 (and all results in Fig. S10a). The same holds for Fig. 1b and the results above 30 at.% Te in Fig. 3 (or Fig. S11, where in Fig. 1b only a limited number of heating rates of the actual sequence were shown to improve visibility).

The extended phase diagrams show clear evolutions of the transition temperatures with Te concentration. A decreasing $T_c$ is observed for increasing at.% Te, while $T_g$ increases slightly for increasing at.% Te. When the heating rate is increased, a significant increase in $T_c$ is observed. This can be explained by recognizing that crystallization is a thermally activated process. When the material is heated at a higher rate, less time has passed at a certain temperature. This means the material has had less time to nucleate and grow. Therefore the maximum growth rate occurs at higher temperature.

Increasing the heating rate also increases $T_g$, but the effect for $T_c$ is clearly more pronounced. In Fig. 3 the range of crystallization temperatures set by the lowest and highest heating rates decreases with increasing Te concentration. This indicates (based on Kissinger analysis$^{25}$) that the activation energy for crystallization increases with increasing Te concentration. In Fig. 3 it seems that, by extrapolating the observed trends in the data below 40 at.% Te to higher Te concentration, the activation energy for crystallization tends to diverge (become infinite) when the crystallization temperature becomes independent of heating rate, i.e. when straight lines fitted to the data for the various heating rates converge and finally cross when extrapolated to higher Te concentration (see also Fig. S10 in the Appendix). Moreover this crossing tends to occur when the crystallization temperature also approaches the glass temperature at Te concentrations of about 70-80 at.% Te. However, Fig. 3 shows that one has to be careful with drawing conclusions from such extrapolations, because it indicates that beyond ~55 at.% Te, the activation energy for crystallization is not increasing further.

Still, the results in Fig. 3 prove that the gap between the glass temperature and the crystallization temperature (observed for a certain heating rate, particularly the lowest heating rate), i.e. a kind of overheating, rapidly decreases for increasing Te
concentration (in the range up to 60 at.% Te) by a slight increase of the glass temperature, but particularly by a pronounced decrease in crystallization temperature. Simultaneously the gap between the melting temperature and the crystallization temperature, i.e. a kind of undercooling, rapidly increases by both a pronounced increase of the melting temperature and a pronounced decrease in crystallization temperature. These results thus clearly show that the glass-forming ability of SeTe alloys continuously and strongly decreases in the range from 15 to 60 at.% Te.

![Extended phase diagram showing as a function of Te concentration the glass transition temperature $T_g$, crystallization temperatures $T_c$ and melting temperatures $T_m$. Particularly $T_c$ increases for increasing heating rates; this increase is more pronounced for relatively low Te concentrations. $T_c$ decreases and $T_g$ increases with increasing Te concentration. The data points at the highest Te concentrations, beyond 60 at.% Te, are inaccurate due to too low quench rate for complete amorphization and due to severe mass loss in the sample as caused by evaporation.](image)

In Fig. 4 the critical quench rate (QRcrit) necessary to completely vitrify the sample is shown. This rate was determined by the lowest tested rate where a crystallization
peak was not detected upon cooling the sample. It is found that this rate increases orders of magnitude from 10 K/s for ~20 at.% Te to 6000 K/s for ~60 at.% Te. Of course the QRcrit is a direct measure of the glass-forming ability and thus also demonstrates that this ability strongly decreases in the range from 15 to 60 at.% Te. From the critical quench rates shown in Fig. 4, we can deduce that the temperature gap between \( T_c \) and \( T_m \) shown in Fig. 3 is an important indicator for the quench rate needed to prevent crystallization, since a lower \( T_c \) means an increased driving force for crystallization due to the increased undercooling. This means that as the tellurium concentration increases, the quench rate necessary to vitrify the sample needs to be increased, to counterbalance the increased driving force of crystallization.

![Critical quench rate vs. Te concentration](image)

**Fig. 4. Critical melt-quench rate to achieve the amorphous phase without any observable crystallization during cooling as a function of Te concentration. The critical rate increases orders of magnitude from 10 K/s at ~20 at.% Te to 6000 K/s at ~60 at.% Te.**

By varying the quench rate and measuring \( T_c \), it was found that the quench rate does not significantly influence the crystallization temperature \( T_c \) (see Fig. S12 in the Appendix). When crystallization is strongly limited by nucleation, then it is expected that at the lowest quench rates more embryos for nucleation can develop than at the highest quench rates and then a significant decrease in crystallization
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temperature upon heating is expected after the lowest quench rates. Since, this effect is not observed, it can be concluded that crystallization is not limited by nucleation, but predominantly by growth. This is also corroborated by the observations that the trend in crystallization temperature versus Te concentration does not change when the sample is not fully vitrified anymore after quenching and thus already contains a crystalline fraction either due to incomplete melting of the crystalline material or due to partial crystallization during quenching.

The reduced glass temperature ($T_{rg} = T_g/T_m$) shows a slow decrease with increasing Te concentration; approximately 0.66 at 15 at.% Te to 0.60 at 50 at.% Te. This does not really seem significant compared to the change in $T_c$. However, interestingly this observed decrease in $T_{rg}$ agrees with the expectation (see e.g. Ref.28) that $T_{rg}$ decreases when the glass-forming ability decreases.

Kissinger analysis was applied to the crystallization transition to determine the activation energy ($E_c$); data plotted in a graph of $\ln \left( \phi/T_c^2 \right)$ versus $\left( 1/T_c \right)$ should be on a straight line with a slope equal to $\left( -E_c/k_B \right)$, with $\phi$ the heating rate, $T_c$ the crystallization peak temperature, $k_B$ Boltzmann’s constant, and $E_c$ the activation energy for crystallization.

Since Kissinger analysis enables the determination of the activation energy of a certain alloy, the composition must not change significantly between heating runs. Fig. 1a demonstrates (since the onset of melting of the four sequential heating curves does not show an observable shift) that the composition shift within one measurement sequence can be minimized to less than 2 at.%. Furthermore, from Fig. 3 the maximum composition difference observed within one measurement sequence is 2.5 at.% Te. These composition shifts are considered to be sufficiently small, allowing the Kissinger analyses to be performed with sufficient accuracy.

The Kissinger plot shown in Fig. 5, which connects the $T_c$ measurements of one sequence like shown in Fig. 1a, allows determination of the slope from a least-squares fit. All data points are colored coded based on their composition. The plot shows the slopes of a few fits, as a guide to the eye. The slopes of the fitted lines slowly increase for an increasing tellurium concentration and therefore the activation energy $E_c$ increases with increasing Te concentrations. The data points show a good compliance with the linear fitted trends, although increased errors are observed for higher Te concentrations. Due to sample mass reduction through evaporation the DSC curve signal/noise ratio decreases as the sample has been through more heating runs and therefore the increase in error can be anticipated. However, an important other source of error is that beyond 30 at.% Te the melting was not performed at sufficiently high temperature (sufficiently long time) to fully melt the crystallized material (see Fig. S13 in the Appendix). Therefore, beyond 30
at.% Te only part of the sample is vitrified during quenching and this leads to more variations in the results. The same was actually true for the data beyond 30 at.% Te in Fig. S10a and therefore we used only the data below 30 at.% of this sample for the construction of Fig. 3. Still, the continuous trend in results below and above 30 at.% Te in Fig. 5 (and Fig. S10a) indicates that results are not sensitively depending on complete absence of prior crystallization.

Fig. 5. A Kissinger plot showing the crystallization peaks of all SeTe compositions during the extended analysis of a single sample. The composition is denoted by color, with low Te-concentrations in blue, progressing to red for higher Te-concentrations. A linear fit is made through four measurements of one sequence, with different heating rate (in this case 3, 5, 8, 10 K/s) for approximately the same composition. From these fits, the activation energy for crystallization at one alloy composition was obtained. A few fitted lines are shown as a guide to the eye. The slope of the lines clearly increases as the Te concentration increases.

Compared to Fig. 5, Fig. 6 depicts a Kissinger plot that connects the \(T_c\) measurements for a much wider range of heating rates, particularly also employing higher heating rates of which examples are shown in Fig. 1b. The data in this plot shows a clear curvature. This curvature is illustrated by the inset, which shows the
local slope of all points in the Kissinger plot. The slope clearly lowers for higher heating rates, regardless of the composition. From lag characterization measurements and from a detailed thermal analysis (see Appendix sections 1 and 2) it is determined that the lag at heating rates of 1000 K/s is less than 4 K (in agreement with earlier work\textsuperscript{29}). It is therefore insufficient to explain the measured curvature, which is also observable for heating rates up to 1000 K/s. Therefore, the curvature is related to the crystallization process in the SeTe alloy itself. This non-Arrhenius behavior can readily be attributed to fragile liquid behavior of the SeTe undercooled liquid. A seminal paper of Martinez & Angell\textsuperscript{30} already shows that Se exhibits significant fragility. In that respect our observation of fragility in SeTe alloys might be expected and is thus corroborated by the experimental results here. A similar non-Arrhenius behavior effect was found in the study of Ge\sub{2}Sb\sub{2}Te\sub{5}, where ultrafast DSC was also used to produce data in a Kissinger plot with heating rates up to $4\times10^4$ K/s. There the non-Arrhenius behavior was also attributed to fragile liquid behavior ($m\approx90$ whereas $m\approx15$ for strong liquid behavior). In a recent paper on GeTe it was also concluded that the undercooled liquid has a high fragility with at least a value $m\approx130$\textsuperscript{31}. Fig. 6 seems to indicate that fragility reduces with increasing Te concentration, because curvature is most pronounced for alloys containing least Te.

As already shown above, the crystallization rate in SeTe is predominantly governed by crystal growth (and not limited by crystal nucleation). Crystal growth is, for the temperature regime considered here for the Kissinger plot at relatively large undercoolings, governed by the microscopic atomic mobilities of the undercooled liquid. These mobilities are, according to the Stokes-Einstein relation, inversely proportional to the macroscopic viscosity $\eta$. We are certain that we have an undercooled liquid, because our measurements demonstrate that we are above the glass transition temperature. The activation energy of the (overall) crystallization process in SeTe, as can be derived from the Kissinger plot, is thus directly related to the temperature dependence of the viscosity. For a strong liquid this temperature dependence would indicate that the local slope in the Kissinger plot decreases for increasing peak temperatures and thus for increasing heating rates, exactly what is observed in Fig. 6. Therefore, it is clear that the Kissinger plot in Fig. 6 is consistent with fragile liquid behavior.

Moreover, the inset in Fig. 6 demonstrates that the local slope in the Kissinger plot becomes steeper for increasing Te concentration, meaning that the activation energy $E_c$ increases with increasing Te concentration. This holds in general for all heating rates.
Fig. 6. A Kissinger plot showing the crystallization peaks of all SeTe compositions during the extended analysis of a single sample. The composition is denoted by color, with low Te-concentrations in blue, progressing to red for higher Te-concentrations. The lines connect the points with different heating rates (for lowest concentrations: 1, 2.5, 5, 10, 25, 50, 100 K/s; adding 250, 500, 1000 K/s data points for the next step, next 2000 K/s and even for the highest point 5000 K/s. A clear curvature is visible in the trend presented by the data. Furthermore, the overall slope becomes steeper as the Te concentration increases. The inset shows the negative of the local slope (since this is proportional to activation energy) of each series as a function of heating rate $\phi$. A linear fit is made through three points to obtain these slopes. It is clear that the slope steepness decreases as the heating rate increases, giving a measure of curvature. The inset also shows that the slopes increase for higher Te concentration.

Based on the results obtained from Figs. 5 and 6, where from Fig. 5 only the results below 30 at.% Te were incorporated (because of incomplete melting of the sample beyond 30 at.% Te), Fig. 7 is constructed showing the obtained activation energy $E_c$ as a function of Te concentration for three independent samples. The activation energies were also determined using the Ozawa method,\textsuperscript{32,33} yielding similar activation energies, to within 2% of the values obtained using Kissinger analysis. In Fig. 7, each point represents the activation energy obtained from the
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linear fit of all points of the same composition, such as displayed in Figs. 5 and 6, neglecting curvature effects which, as shown above, become more pronounced when higher heating rates are included.

Fig. 7 shows that the range of heating rates, particularly the highest heating rates, affects the values obtained for the activation energy $E_c$. An important factor explaining this trend is the curvature in the Kissinger plot shown in Fig. 6. If the curvature is neglected to fit the data linearly, the calculated slope will be too flat and $E_c$ thus too low. This error will increase when the range in heating rate, particularly the maximum heating rate is increased. It explains why the heating rate ranges with maximum values of 100 and 1000 K/s in Fig. 7 tend to give systematically lower values for $E_c$ than the ones for the lower maximum heating rates, because fragility of the supercooled liquid leads to curvature in the Kissinger plot. However, on top of the curvature effect due to fragility, the heating rate ranges with maximum values of 2500 and 5000 K/s become even more curved due to thermal lag effects and therefore give relatively even lower values for the activation energy $E_c$. Despite this (obscuring) influence of heating rate range, also the influence of Te concentration on the activation energy $E_c$ can still be observed in Fig. 7. It shows that the increase in activation energy as a function of Te concentration is most pronounced for 15-30 at.% Te and gradually reduces with increasing Te concentration. Finally, the increase in $E_c$ tends to disappear beyond about 50 at.% Te.
Fig. 7. The activation energy of crystallization of various SeTe alloys (as obtained through Kissinger or Ozawa analysis) are plotted as a function of alloy composition. Results of three samples are shown, which have been tested in various ranges of heating rates. Literature values\(^\text{21,34,35}\) have been plotted as well, showing fair agreement with the data of our present work. The solid lines represent linear fits as a guide to the eye. Explanations of some systematic trends in the data with heating rate ranges and Te concentration and also the effect of sample-to-sample variation are given in the main text of the article.

However, curvature effects in the Kissinger plot cannot explain the large difference in the activation energy observed between the heating rate ranges 0.25-5 K/s and 3-10 K/s. Several literature values\(^\text{21,35,36}\) are plotted as well in Fig. 7, where particularly the results of Gosh et al. show fair agreement with our data measured in the range 3-10 K/s, whereas the results of Svoboda et al. agree better with our data measured in the range 0.25-5 K/s. Moreover, in Fig. S14 of the Appendix we show results for a total of seven different samples (instead of 3 different ones in Fig. 7), where it is clear that there is quite some sample-to-sample variation. However, still a similar trend is observed for various samples in Fig. S14, even though they show relatively large differences in the ordinate values. This trend
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indicates that there is an increase of 0.5-0.6 eV in the activation energy of crystallization when the Te concentration increases from 15-30 at.%. So, the relative large differences observed for the activation energy of crystallization in literature is reproduced here as sample-to-sample variations particularly giving various offset values in the activation energy. Therefore it is relevant to try to find possible origins of these sample-to-sample variations in the absolute values of the activation energies.

We first need to recognize that the activation energy determined is an overall activation energy. It does not directly give us the energy barrier atoms need to overcome to allow the transition from the amorphous to crystalline phase, but it is the result of the contributions of both nucleating and growing crystals in various configurations. Due to the differences in sample mass and geometry, samples might show slightly different crystallization characteristics, where different crystallization mechanisms and also different crystal structures can be involved. A variable which was not well-controlled was the sample size. A bigger sample has a relatively low surface area compared to the bulk. This might lead to another crystallization regime.$^{36-38}$ Moreover, SeTe and even pure Se are characterized by a relatively large variety of allotropes that can develop.$^{39-42}$ Nucleation and growth processes for these different structures will vary and will thus also show variations in their activation energies. These results clearly indicate the importance to be able to perform repetitive measurements on single samples in order to observe trends that cannot be detected otherwise due to sample-to-sample variation. This clearly shows the importance of the present methodology to be able to reversible switch the samples in the Flash DSC.

An increase in activation energy for increased Te concentration has been observed, while the crystallization temperature decreases. This might at first glance seem counter intuitive, but it shows that the difference in Gibbs free energy ($\Delta$G) between the amorphous and the crystalline phases increases relatively faster than the activation energy for crystallization Ec increases in order to compensate for the observed increase of Ec.

8.5 Conclusions

A reversible amorphous-crystalline phase change in a chalcogenide material has been investigated for the first time using ultrafast DSC. SeTe alloys with a concentration in the range between 15 and about 60 at.% were switched reversibly between the amorphous and crystalline phases. Using a quench rate of -4000 K/s, it was possible to quench SeTe alloys up to at least 40 at.% Te to the amorphous state without an observable crystallization peak during cooling. The ultrafast DSC measurements showed good signal/noise ratio and allowed for accurate
determination of the glass transition temperature $T_g$, crystallization temperature $T_c$, and melting point $T_m$ upon heating of the quenched materials.

The SeTe alloys slowly evaporated from the sensor. EDXS showed that the composition slowly shifted to higher Te-concentrations. It was proven using EDXS-DSC that the onset of melting measured by the ultrafast DSC is a good indicator of composition for this system. The slow shift in composition allowed for the scanning of crystallization behavior throughout a range of compositions (15-60 at.% Te). Using the observed transition temperatures $T_g$, $T_c$ and $T_m$ as a function of Te concentration our measurement methodology allowed us to construct an extended phase diagram. $T_c$ and $T_g$ increase for increased heating rates. $T_g$ increases slowly with increasing Te-concentration. However, the reduced glass temperature slowly decreases. The crystallization temperature on heating is found to decrease substantially with increasing Te concentration. Together with the significant increase in melting temperature, this is a strong signature that the amorphous phase becomes progressively unfavorable (with respect to the crystalline phase), which is of course excellently corroborated by our measurement that the critical quench rate, necessary to completely vitrify the sample, increases about three orders of magnitude (from $\sim$10 to $\sim$10000 K/s) when the Te concentration increases from 20 to 60 at.%. 

Kissinger and Ozawa analyses were performed to determine the activation energies of crystallization of the SeTe alloys. The capability of ultrafast DSC to exploit a large heating range enabled detection of non-Arrhenius behavior that can be attributed to fragile liquid behavior of the SeTe undercooled liquid. The activation energy of crystallization was found to increase for increased Te-concentrations; 0.5 - 0.6 eV when Te concentration increases from 15 to 30 at.%. However, the absolute values of the activation energy for crystallization differed considerably from sample-to-sample. Some potential origins for these differences have been highlighted.
8.6 Literature

8.7 Appendix

Thermal lag in droplet-shaped samples used in Flash DSC

![Diagram of sample-stage setup](image)

*Figure S1: Schematic illustration (not to scale) of the sample-stage setup for the open UFS1 sensor, where heat is provided through the Al stage. The most significant sources of temperature lag, relevant in the performed experiments, are (i) delayed heat transfer at the stage-sample interface and (ii) temperature gradients within the sample.*

Because of the open structure of the UFS1 sensor\textsuperscript{15,20,43,44} and the small volume of the sample, as described in the main text and is schematically illustrated in Fig. S1, the most significant sources of temperature lag are (i) delayed heat transfer at the interface between the aluminum stage and sample due to low heat transfer coefficient $h$ and (ii) temperature gradients within the sample due to low thermal conductivity $k$. Also, because of this open structure and the larger heated area at the sample side, small but significant heat losses to the flowing nitrogen environment are measured. Particularly for low heating rates, where the time at high temperatures becomes large, it is observed that these losses scale linearly with temperature, as could be seen in the DSC traces of Fig. 1 in the main text. To further describe the heat conduction problem, a selection of relevant heat conduction data is given in Table S1.
Table S1: Selected data for Al, In, Se and Te, which are relevant in the performed experiments. For the estimation of the worst case of (ii), thermal lag caused by low heat conductivity, data for vitreous Se has been used.

The Biot number $Bi$ is a useful dimensionless quantity to do estimations in these circumstances and is given by:

$$Bi = \frac{hL_c}{k}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8A.1)

where $h$ is the heat transfer coefficient in $W \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1}$, $L_c = V_{sample}/A_{contact}$ the characteristic length in m and $k$ the thermal conductivity in $W \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$. If $Bi << 1$, the resistance to conduction in the sample is much lower with respect to the resistance to convection across the boundary, so that the assumption of a uniform temperature distribution is reasonable. For our experiments with SeTe molten flakes we approximate the sample with a semi-sphere and use the thermal conductivity of vitreous Se, so that $L_c = D/3 = 33 \mu m$ and $k = 0.52 W \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ (Table S1). From experiments with metal casting it has been measured that typical values of $h$ are between 5 $kW \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1}$ and 20 $kW \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ K}^{-1}$. If we use this in equation (1), we get $0.42 \leq Bi \leq 1.3$ for the sample-stage interface. For the sample-nitrogen interface we expect a much lower value of $h$ and thus $Bi << 1$. Thus, the sample inside the open structure of the sensor dissipates heat in the low heating rates regime, but we are safe to assume that this does not give rise to significant temperature gradients. The sample-stage interface however, with $Bi \sim 1$, indicates that caution has to be taken when interpreting temperature data for high heating rates. Both sources of lag, (i) and (ii), can contribute significantly to measurement error in temperature.
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Figure S2: Typical Flash DSC traces for a 60±2 at.% Te sample obtained at high heating rates. The inset shows a settling effect, observed at the beginning of heating, which provides information about both sources, (i) and (ii), of thermal lag. The broadening of the signal peak at 2500 K/s and 5000 K/s confirms that (ii) is significant at these high heating rates.

Thermal lag due to (i) is expected to scale dimensionally as given by:

$$\Delta T = \frac{\rho C_p L_c}{h} \phi$$  \hspace{2cm} (8.2)

and could be verified with experimental data from Fig. S2. Here $\rho$ is the density in kg m$^{-3}$, $C_p$ the heat capacity in J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ and $\phi$ the heating rate in K/s. It can be observed (inset) that it takes a small amount of time, and thus temperature for constant $\phi$, before heat is starting to flow from the Al stage to the sample. By taking the steepest tangent of the DSC trace between 25 °C and 75 °C and solving at what temperature it crosses the x-axis for different $\phi$, a value of $h \approx 60$ kW m$^{-2}$ K$^{-1}$ can be extracted. This is higher than the previous expectation, and implies that the used methodology of melt-quenching the sample on the sensor provides good thermal contact such that the lag due to (i) is < 1 °C for heating rates < 1000 K/s.
Figure S3: Schematic illustration of the simplified setup of figure S1 used to estimate conduction lag (ii). The heater is approximated to be ideal, such that it can be brought instantaneously to the desired temperature and heats the boundary without interface lag (i). It is approximated that the sample has similar heat conductivity as vitreous Se (table 1) and that the heat flux at the upper boundary is negligibly small.

Figure S4: Buildup of heat conduction lag (ii) as calculated numerically for equation (3) and figure S3. These results are in good agreement with figure S2 and thus provide an upper bound for heat conduction lag in the performed experiments.
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Thermal lag due to (ii) is estimated by simplifying Fig. S1 to S3 and solving the general heat conduction problem:

\[ \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \lambda \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \quad \lambda = \frac{k}{\rho c_p} \]  

(8A.3)

with \( \lambda \) for vitreous Se, \( t \geq 0 \) and \( 0 \leq x \leq L = 50 \mu m \). The lower boundary condition is taken \( T(x = 0) = \phi \cdot t \), just as in the performed experiments, and the upper boundary condition is the Neumann condition \( \partial T/\partial x(x = L) = 0 \) as consequence of Fourier’s law. The boundary value problem in equation (3) is then solved numerically using the implicit Euler method, with successive over-relaxation, in steps of \( \Delta x = 1 \mu m \) and \( \Delta t = 1 \mu s \) over a track of \( \Delta T = 100 \) K.\(^4\) The result for the maximally lagging part of the sample, which is of course at the upper boundary, is plotted against \( \phi \cdot t \) in Fig. S4. The times to reach steady heating in experiments as shown in Fig. S2 (see particularly the inset), are found to be in excellent agreement (even shorter than) the times in the simulation. Thus we can conclude that thermal lag due to (ii) is bound by the values in the numerical simulation and are < 4 °C for rates < 1000 K/s, consistent with the sharp peak for 1000 K/s and the peak broadening for higher heating rates (see Fig. S2). It can also be concluded that after reaching steady heating (or quenching) and the maximal gradient is achieved, the temperature is changing with the same rate everywhere in the sample and quench rates < 5000 K/s are justified over ranges \( \Delta T > 50 \) K.

**Temperature calibration with indium flakes**

To test the calibration of the Flash DSC, indium flakes (< 1 \( \mu g \)) are molten onto the sensor and the melt-peak of 156.6 °C \(^{45}\) is compared with measurements for various heating rates. For In, \( k \) is two orders of magnitude larger than for SeTe so that \( Bi << 1 \). This implies that thermal lag is hardly influenced by thermal gradients (ii), but primarily by interface lag (i). Here we present the results for one relatively big (a) and one relatively small flake (b), which were tested twice each over a range of 100 K/s to 40,000 K/s, in Fig. S5. From the area of the melt-peak, which is approximately equal for all heating rates (see Fig. S6), and the literature value of \( \Delta H_{fus} = 3.3 \) kJmol\(^{-1}\) \(^{45}\) the masses were determined as 0.42 \( \mu g \) and 0.14 \( \mu g \) for (a) and (b) respectively.
Figure S5: Results of the In calibration for two different size flakes (a) and (b), measured twice for each flake at each heating rate. By comparing the onset temperature with the literature value 156.6 °C it can be concluded that the calibration is good and that measurements can be performed on different-size samples with good reproducibility.

Figure S5 shows the onset, peak and endset temperatures of the melt-peak of In. Based on the onset temperatures it can be concluded that accurate measurements can be performed on different-size samples with good reproducibility. For higher heating rates it is also observed that the melt-peak is becoming broader. This cannot be explained by instrumental reasons since thermal lag due to (i) is determined by the onset of melting, thermal lag due to (ii) is negligible and the power limit of the sensor has not been reached. Thus, this broadening of the melt-peak is associated with the intrinsic phase transformation time it takes to fully melt the flake. To study the effects of interface lag (i) further, the onset temperature of the melt-peak is plotted only for the smallest flake (b) in Fig. S7. Fitting the data points in Fig. S7, until 1000 K/s, gives a value of $h$ consistent with the previous estimation for SeTe, giving another confirmation that contact with the sensor is good. Thus it is shown that the Flash DSC gives accurate and reproducible measurements with good thermal contact. Interestingly, this result shows that the scaling of equation (2) does not hold anymore for higher heating rates.
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Figure S6: Results of the In calibration for two different size flakes (a) and (b), measured twice for each flake at each heating rate. Melt-peak area does not show a significant dependence on heating rate, but of course is a direct measure of sample size.

Figure S7: Onset temperature of melting for the small In flake (b) showing a clear non-linear behavior. Fitting the values < 2000 K/s gives values of h consistent with the estimation for SeTe.
**Preferential evaporation and composition shift**

Due to the open structure of the UFS1 sensor, sample material evaporated from the aluminum sample area of the sensor. This material (partly) precipitated on the area around the sensor, creating a halo of SeTe around the heated sample area (see Fig. S8). The sublimated material formed a thickening halo, while the size (and thus the mass) of the sample reduced with each heating run. Note that the halo in Fig. S8 is not the result of evaporation of the single SeTe droplet observable in the center of the image, but this sensor was used for a few earlier evaporates flakes, such that the halo has become very pronounced. This mass loss effect could also be seen directly from DSC analysis (see Fig. S9) the integral of the melting peak reduced slightly in each subsequent measurement. This effect can be explained by the high vapor pressures of both selenium and tellurium. The sample environment will never saturate in gaseous SeTe because all evaporated SeTe is removed almost immediately, therefore the sample will continue to evaporate material.

*Figure S8. SEM image of the UFS-1 sensor, which is used in the Flash DSC 1. The image clearly shows sample material has evaporated from the center, and sublimated on the cold surrounding.*
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Figure S9. A typical set of DSC traces is shown. For clarity, only one in six traces is show. A clear mass loss as well as melting point shift is observed.

The melting temperature $T_m$ was also found to shift slightly with each measurement, as can be seen in Fig. S9. This indicated a decreasing Se/Te ratio, due to disproportionate evaporation of selenium from the alloy. This happens because the equilibrium vapor pressure (at a certain relevant temperature) is higher for selenium than that of tellurium. EDXS analysis showed that the halo contains a relatively high Se-concentration, supporting this conclusion. These evaporation effects were directly proportional to the time spent at high temperatures, particularly when the material is in the molten state.
Extended phase diagrams

Figure S10. Extended phase diagrams showing temperatures of glass transition, crystallization and melting, $T_g$, $T_c$ and $T_m$, respectively. (top) Starting composition of the sample is Se$_{85}$Te$_{15}$. (bottom) Starting composition is Se$_{75}$Te$_{25}$. In both diagrams the crystallization temperature is plotted for various heating rates. A clear increase of $T_c$ is observed for increasing heating rates, particularly at relatively low Te concentrations. $T_c$ decreases and $T_g$ increases for increasing Te concentration. Due to increased evaporation rates at higher melting temperatures, the data points become further apart and become less accurate for higher at.% Te. For the top figure melting was performed at such a temperature that the sample was not completely molten for Te concentrations beyond 30 at.% Te. This also contributed to the transition from a very well defined trend in the data below 30 at.% Te, to more scatter in the data beyond 30 at.% Te.
Chapter 8. Reversible amorphous-crystalline phase changes in a wide range of Se$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ alloys studied using ultrafast differential scanning calorimetry.

**Figure S11.** An extended phase diagram for a sample with starting composition Se$_{75}$Te$_{25}$ showing as a function of Se/Te composition the solidus (onset of melting), overlaid with the critical quench rate preventing crystallization (the critical rate shown holds for the area to the right of the labeled dot). For a large number of heating rates the glass transition and crystallization temperatures are shown after the sample was melt-quenched in all cases at a rate of ~4000 K/s. The data points at the highest Te concentrations, beyond 60 at.% Te, are inaccurate due to too low quench rate for complete amorphization and due to severe mass loss in the sample as caused by evaporation. The dashed lines are a guide to the eye.

For three different samples extended phase diagrams were determined, see Figs. S10 and S11, using our measurement methodology of reversibly melt-quenching a sample into the amorphous phase and then measuring the glass transition temperature, crystallization temperature and melt temperature as a function of heating at various rates, where the preferential evaporation of Se allows a gradual shift of the sample concentration such that results can be obtained for a wide range of compositions based on a single starting material. The top figure in Fig. S9 holds for a starting material Se$_{85}$Te$_{15}$ and the bottom figure in Fig. S9 as well as Fig. S10 holds for a starting material Se$_{75}$Te$_{25}$. 
Crystallization temperature $T_c$ independent of quench rate

By varying the quench rate and measuring the associated crystallization temperature $T_c$, it was found that the quench rate does not significantly influence $T_c$. Fig. S12 shows four consecutive heating runs. From bottom to top; with quench rates resp. -4000 K/s, -10 K/s, -4000 K/s, -10 K/s. No direct correlation is observed between $T_c$ and quench rate. When compared to the difference in $T_c$ between various heating rates (such as in Fig. S11 above), the difference in peak temperatures is negligible. A slight shift throughout all curves towards lower $T_c$ is observed. This is due to the sample composition shifting, causing a lower $T_c$.

Partial crystallization

An important part of the present work is the experimental step in which we melt the sample fully and then cool sufficiently fast such that the sample is completely vitrified. Two potential origins of incomplete vitrification are that (i) the melt step resulted in incomplete melting of the sample such that previously formed crystals remained in the sample and are present after quenching or (ii) the cooling rate was too low such that crystals developed during quenching. Both effects can be observed and distinguished in DSC traces, because in case of (i) the melting peak is incomplete when cooling starts and in case of (ii) a (small) crystallization peak becomes present during the cooling step. In addition with SEM we can readily observe the top surface of the droplet-shaped sample after quenching. Particularly
this top part is most prone to this partial crystallization step, because is the part that due to thermal lag is both molten and quenched latest.

In the SEM images below we show an example without any signs of partial crystallization (top left) for a SeTe sample with Te concentration below 30 at.%, whereas the other three images (top right and two bottom images) show an example at various magnifications for a SeTe sample with Te concentration above 30 at.%, where partial crystallization can be clearly observed. Based on these images we cannot conclude whether the partial crystallization occurred due to (i) incomplete melting or (ii) too slow cooling. However, in this case the measured DSC curve showed that the origin was (i). Moreover, the quench rate employed was ~4000 K/s, which for the prevailing composition is clearly sufficient to completely vitrify the sample when it starts fully molten. These results are relevant for our work, because explain observed subtle differences in results below and above 30 at.% Te in Fig. S9a and Fig. 5 and also explained that we only used the data below 30 at.% Te for a certain sample in the construction of Fig. 3 or for two samples in Fig. 7 (the series obtained for heating rate ranges 0.25-5 K/s and 3-10 K/s).
Figure S13. SEM images of droplet-shaped SeTe samples on the sensor surface. Top left: A sample with a Te concentration still below 30 at.%, which showed no signs of partial crystallization. Top right and bottom images: A sample with a Te concentration above 30 at.%, which showed partial crystallization. This crystallization originated from incomplete melting of the sample such that existing crystals remain present when the quench step to vitrify the sample starts.
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**Activation energy of crystallization**

The type of analysis performed to obtain the data in Figs. 5 and 6 of the main article was repeated for a total of seven samples. The sequence of heating rates used differed per sample. Fig. S13 shows the results of all Kissinger analyses together. The activation energies were also determined using the Ozawa method, yielding similar activation energies, to within 2% of the values obtained using Kissinger analysis. In Fig. S13, each point represents the activation energy obtained from the linear fit of all points of the same composition, such as displayed in Figs. 5 and 6, neglecting curvature effects which, as shown in the main article, become more pronounced when higher heating rates are included. The activation energy of crystallization is plotted for several samples, at various at.% Te. The series with an asterisk (*) are taken from a single sample, which was subjected to various heating rate sequences, as can be seen in Fig. 6. A similar trend is observed for all samples, even though they show large differences in the cutoffs. This trend indicates that there is an increase of 0.75 eV in the activation energy of crystallization when the Te concentration increases about 20 at.% Several literature values are plotted as well, giving good agreement with our measured data. Although there is quite some sample-to-sample variation, it is observed that the lower heating rates (0.25-5 K/s) give the highest activation energy, the heating rates 3-10 K/s, 3-50 K/s and 1-100 K/s give progressively lower activation energies, and the heating rates 1-1000 K/s give the lowest activation energy. This trend can be explained by considering the curvature in the Kissinger plot shown in Fig. 6. If the curvature is neglected to fit the data linearly, the calculated slope will be too flat. This error will increase when the range in heating rate, particularly the maximum heating rate is increased. However, even when comparing activation energies measured with the same range of heating rates slightly different values are found. The literature values also show quite some variation in activation energy at a single SeTe composition, similar to our work. In the main article some possible origins for the relatively large sample-to-sample variations are given.
Figure S14. The activation energy of crystallization of various SeTe alloys (as obtained through Kissinger analysis) are plotted as a function of alloy composition. Seven samples are shown, which have been tested in various ranges of heating rates. Literature values$^{21,35,36}$ have been plotted as well, showing good agreement with our newly measured data. The dashed lines represent linear fits as a guide to the eye. A similar trend of increasing activation energy with increasing Te concentration is observed for all samples with Te concentrations below 40 at.%, even though there is a clear sample-to-sample variation in the cutoff.