Galaxy And Mass Assembly (GAMA): gas fuelling of spiral galaxies in the local Universe II. – direct measurement of the dependencies on redshift and host halo mass of stellar mass growth in central disc galaxies
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ABSTRACT

We present a detailed analysis of the specific star formation rate–stellar mass (sSFR–M*) of z ≤ 0.13 disc central galaxies using a morphologically selected mass-complete sample (M* ≥ 10^{9.5} M⊙). Considering samples of grouped and ungrouped galaxies, we find the sSFR–M* relations of disc-dominated central galaxies to have no detectable dependence on host dark-matter halo (DMH) mass, even where weak-lensing measurements indicate a difference in halo mass of a factor ≳ 5. We further detect a gradual evolution of the sSFR–M* relation of non-grouped (field) central disc galaxies with redshift, even over a Δz ≈ 0.04 (≈5 × 10^8 yr) interval, while the scatter remains constant. This evolution is consistent with extrapolation of the ‘main sequence of star-forming-galaxies’ from previous literature that uses larger redshift baselines and coarser sampling. Taken together, our results present new constraints on the paradigm under which the SFR of galaxies is determined by a self-regulated balance between gas inflows and outflows, and consumption of gas by star formation in discs, with the inflow being determined by the product of the cosmological accretion rate and a fueling efficiency − M_{h, halo} ζ. In particular, maintaining the paradigm requires M_{h, halo} ζ to be independent of the mass M_{h, halo} of the host DMH. Furthermore, it requires the fuelling efficiency ζ to have a strong redshift dependence (∝(1 + z)^{2.7} for M_* = 10^{10.3} M⊙ over z = 0–0.13), even though no morphological transformation to spheroids can be invoked to explain this in our disc-dominated sample. The physical mechanisms capable of giving rise to such dependencies of ζ on M_{h, halo} and z for discs are unclear.

Key words: gravitational lensing; weak – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: groups: general – intergalactic medium – galaxies: ISM – galaxies: spiral.

1 INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, a wide range of observational work has established the existence of a tight relation between the star formation rate (SFR, or Φ*) and the stellar mass (M*) of star-forming galaxies, with this relation having been in place at least as early in the history
of the Universe as \( z \approx 2.5 \) and maybe even as early as \( z \approx 6 \) (Noeske et al. 2007; Elbaz et al. 2007; Wuyts et al. 2011; Whitaker et al. 2012; Speagle et al. 2014). This relation – widely referred to as the ‘main sequence of star-forming galaxies’ (MS) – takes the form of a power-law relation with normalization and slope evolving with redshift \( z \), while the scatter remains roughly constant at \( \approx 0.3 \) dex. Notably, it has also been demonstrated that the MS is preferentially populated by disc-dominated galaxies, and has been so since at least \( z \approx 2 \) (Wuyts et al. 2011). Accordingly, the majority of stars that have formed in the Universe since at least the peak of the cosmic SF history at \( z \approx 1.9 \) (Madau & Dickinson 2014) have condensed out of cold gas distributed over the discs of spiral galaxies. It may thus be argued that the physically more fundamental relation underlying the MS relation is the SFR–\( M^\ast \) relation for disc galaxies; connecting their ability to sustain extended SF to their rotationally supported kinematic structure (Driver et al. 2006; Abramson et al. 2014; Grootes et al. 2014, 2017). Given observational evidence implying that the gas required to sustain this process is supplied via continuous accretion from the intergalactic medium (IGM, e.g. L’Huillier, Combes & Semelin 2012; Robotham et al. 2014), the processes regulating this ‘gas fuelling’ are central to our understanding of galaxy formation and evolution.

Under the present paradigm of structure formation, galaxies initially form and evolve as disc galaxies at the centre of dark-matter haloes (DMHs, e.g. Rees & Ostriker 1977; White & Rees 1978; Fall & Efstathiou 1980; White & Frenk 1991; Mo, Mao & White 1998). Their subsequent evolution is determined by the ongoing formation of stars from the interstellar medium (ISM) following the Schmidt–Kennicutt relation (Schmidt 1959; Kennicutt 1998). The availability of ISM, in turn, is expected to be determined by a balance between flows of gas into the galaxy, and removal and consumption of the ISM by outflows and SF, respectively (e.g. Rasaer & Teysier 2006; Finlator & Davé 2008; Bouché et al. 2010; Dutton, van den Bosch & Dekel 2010; Davé, Finlator & Oppenheimer 2012; Lilly et al. 2013), i.e. a baryon cycle.

In this picture, the SFR of a galaxy is set by the interplay and the evolving balance of

(i) the rate at which the gas flows into the ISM,

(ii) feedback from energetic processes in the galaxy (including SF) driving outflows of ISM from the galaxy and disrupting flows of incoming gas (e.g. Faucher-Giguère, Kereš & Ma 2011; Hopkins et al. 2013, and references therein), and

(iii) the efficiency with which ISM is converted into stars.

Of these three, the latter two are assumed to depend largely on galaxy-specific processes and properties (e.g. SF and SNe feedback, galaxy mass, and metallicity), while the inflow rate is (predominantly) expected to depend both on the cosmological epoch as well as on the mass of the galaxy’s host DMH. While the cosmological epoch influences the prevalence of gas via the cosmological accretion rate of DM and baryons from the IGM on to DMHs (e.g. Genel et al. 2008; McBride, Fakhouri & Ma 2009), the mass of the DMH sets the (mix of) accretion modes, i.e. ‘cold mode’ accretion from filamentary flows (e.g. Kereš et al. 2005; Dekel et al. 2009; Brooks et al. 2009; Kereš et al. 2009; Pichon et al. 2011; Nelson et al. 2013) and/or ‘hot mode’ accretion from a hot/warm viralized intrahalo medium2 (IHM; e.g. Kereš et al. 2005; Dekel & Birnboim 2006; van de Voort et al. 2011c; Dekel et al. 2013). Theory predicts a transition between the two modes at DMH masses of \( \approx 10^{12} M_{\odot} \) and a further decline of the propensity of gas to cool and be accreted in the hot mode with increasing DMH mass (Birnboim & Dekel 2003; Kereš et al. 2005; Dekel & Birnboim 2006; Benson & Bower 2011; van de Voort et al. 2011a).

Accordingly, one expects a gradually evolving, inflow-driven, self-regulated, balance of ISM content and SF, at least for central disc galaxies; for satellite galaxies inflows are predicted to be curtailed by the stripping of cold and cooling gas resulting from the motion of the galaxy with respect to the host DMH (Gunn & Gott 1972; Abadi, Moore & Bower 1999; Hester 2006; Bahé & McCarthy 2015; Larson, Tinsley & Caldwell 1980; Kimm et al. 2009). Indeed, implementations of the baryon cycle, both in a sophisticated emergent manner in the form of hydrodynamic simulations (e.g. Kereš et al. 2005; Schaye et al. 2010; Crain et al. 2009; Hopkins et al. 2014; Schaye et al. 2015) and in semi-analytic models of galaxy evolution (Cole et al. 2000; Lacey et al. 2008; Lagos et al. 2011; Croton et al. 2006; Guo et al. 2011; Henriques et al. 2015), as well as in a simplified analytic form (Finlator & Davé 2008; Bouché et al. 2010; Davé et al. 2012; Lilly et al. 2013; Peng & Maiolino 2014), successfully recover the qualitative behaviour of the observed MS relation, lending credence to the baryon cycle and self-regulated feedback in disc galaxies as the underlying driver for the evolution of galaxies on the MS.

However, in a recent analysis focusing on isolating and empirically constraining the process of gas fuelling in disc galaxies in a range of environments, we have shown that the gas fuelling of these objects is largely dependent on the satellite/central dichotomy. In addition, this analysis has shown that, even for central galaxies, the environment (group versus field a proxy for DMH mass) seems to have a negligible impact on their gas fuelling and SF (Grootes et al. 2017, henceforth Paper I). Both findings are contrary to the theoretical expectations outlined above, and indicate that our understanding of the processes governing gas fuelling and determining the baryon cycle remains incomplete.

The aim of this paper is therefore to empirically test and constrain the elements of the baryon cycle (of central disc galaxies) and the resulting picture of an inflow-driven self-regulated SFR. In particular, we focus on the evolution of the specific SFR–\( M^\ast \) (\( \psi \sim M^\ast \)) relation of central disc galaxies over short redshift intervals (\( \Delta z \approx 0.04 \)) in the local Universe, as well as on the dependence on host DMH mass at fixed redshift and stellar mass.

Under the reasonable assumption that the physical processes regulating SF in galaxies remain constant, in nature and efficiency, in the local Universe (for \( 0 \leq z \leq 0.13 \)), the former will enable us to identify variations in galaxy SFR as a result of a gradually evolving inflow/supply of gas and to isolate these from potential variations in the galaxy-specific processes such as SF/feedback. Conversely, the latter will enable us to directly constrain the postulated DMH mass dependence and to test in detail to which degree the baryon cycle and gas fuelling of central galaxies is impacted by the group environment thus following up our unexpected result from Paper I.

We make use of the samples and methodology defined and described in detail in Paper I, and briefly recapitulate the data temperature history of the gas, with cold mode accretion consisting of gas that has never been shock heated to temperatures of comparable to the virial temperature, but instead has always remained in a cold, dense state, while hot mode refers to gas that has been shocked and heated and has subsequently cooled.

---

1 In the sense of the 1σ dispersion of galaxies around the MS relation.

2 Of course, to be accreted into the ISM of a galaxy, the gas being accreted must be cold in the sense that its thermal velocity must be lower than the escape velocity of the ISM. ‘Cold mode’ and ‘hot mode’ refers to the...
products and samples used in the analysis in Section 2. In Section 3, we present our results on the redshift evolution of the $\psi$–$M_*$ relation for central disc/spiral galaxies, followed by the results of our investigation of the DMH mass dependence (Section 4). We discuss the direct implications of our results for the gas fuelling and the baryon cycle of central disc galaxies in Section 5 and discuss their broader implications in Section 6. Finally, a summary and conclusions are presented in Section 7.

Throughout the paper, except where stated otherwise, we make use of magnitudes on the AB scale (Oke & Gunn 1983) and an $\Omega_M = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$, and $H_0 = 70\, \text{km}\, \text{s}^{-1}\,\text{Mpc}^{-1}$ ($h = 0.7$) cosmology.

2 DATA AND SAMPLES

As in Paper I, the Galaxy And Mass Assembly survey (GAMA, Driver et al. 2011; Liske et al. 2015) forms the basis for our analysis. In addition to the combined spectroscopic and multiwavelength broad-band imaging data from the far-ultraviolet (FUV) to the far-infrared (FIR), GAMA also provides a wide range of ancillary data products, including, but not limited to, emission-line measurements (Hopkins et al. 2013), aperture matched (Hill et al. 2011), and single Sérsic profile photometry in the optical–near-IR (Kelvin et al. 2012, with associated structural parameters), UV photometry (Liske et al. 2015, Andrae et al., in preparation), stellar mass estimates (Taylor et al. 2011), and a highly complete friends-of-friends group catalogue (Robotham et al. 2011).

In Paper I, we used these data products to define volume-limited, morphologically selected samples of local Universe ($z \leq 0.13$) disc galaxies, including samples of field (FCS) and group central (GCS) disc galaxies. For these, we use GAMA's NUV photometry in combination with a novel radiation-transfer-model-based attenuation correction technique (Popescu et al. 2011; Grootes et al. 2013), to derive precise and accurate intrinsic total SFRs as a tracer of gas content.

We refer the reader to Driver et al. (2011, 2016b), Liske et al. (2015), and references therein, as well as to the references provided above, for details of the GAMA survey and the individual data products. Furthermore, we refer the reader to Paper I, for a detailed synopsis of the derived properties used in this analysis, including in particular stellar mass and SFR, as well as for a full description of the sample selection. In the following, however, we briefly outline the most salient details.

2.1 Data and derived physical properties

Our analysis uses the first 3 yr of data of the GAMA survey – frozen and referred to as GAMA I – consisting of the three equatorial fields to a homogeneous depth of $r_{500} \leq 19.4\,\text{mag}$. We make use of GAMA's quantitative spectroscopy as well as of the UV/optical (NUV, $u$, $g$, $r$, $i$, $z$) broad-band photometry.

2.1.1 Quantitative spectroscopy and the galaxy group catalogue

GAMA provides spectroscopy and derived quantities, including emission-line fluxes, for $>98$ per cent of $r < 19.4$ galaxies in the survey area. The spectroscopy enables (i) identification and removal of disc galaxies hosting active galactic nuclei (AGNs) using the Kewley et al. (2001) BPT criterion, and (ii) the construction of the GAMA galaxy group catalogue ($G^3C$, Robotham et al. 2011). Uniquely, as a result of GAMA's high spectroscopic completeness even on small angular scales, the $G^3C$ reliably samples the DMH mass function down to low mass ($M_{\text{dyn}} < 10^{12}$), low multiplicity ($N < 5$) galaxy groups. This catalogue also provides an estimate of the parent halo mass based on a group's total luminosity, which has been cross-calibrated using weak-lensing measurements of the group halo mass and the GAMA survey mocks (Merson et al. 2013; Han et al. 2015).

2.1.2 Optical photometry, stellar masses, and weak lensing

Homogenized optical photometry – $u$, $g$, $r$, $i$, $z$, based on imaging by the Kilo Degree Survey (hereafter referred to as KiDS; Kuijken et al. 2015; Hildebrandt et al. 2017; de Jong et al. 2017) and archival imaging data of the entire GAMA I footprint. This has enabled the construction of a catalogue of aperture matched photometry (Hill et al. 2011; Driver et al. 2016b; Wright et al. 2016) as well as of a catalogue of single Sérsic photometry and structural measurements (Kelvin et al. 2012), providing measurements of effective radii, integrated luminosity, and Sérsic index in each band for the vast majority of GAMA sources. Foreground extinction corrections in all optical bands have been calculated following Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998) and k-corrections to $z = 0$ have been calculated using kcorrect_v4.2 (Blanton & Roweis 2007).

The optical photometry also represents the basis of GAMA's stellar mass measurements following (Taylor et al. 2011). These estimates make use of a Chabrier (2003) initial mass function (IMF) and the Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population library. Taylor et al. (2011) determine the formal random uncertainties on the derived stellar masses to be $\sim 0.1–0.15\,\text{dex}$ on average, and the precision of the determined mass-to-light ratios to be better than 0.1 dex.

Finally, the overlap of GAMA with the KiDS surveys allows us to perform a stacked weak-lensing analysis of the DMHs hosting the galaxies from our samples, thus extracting mass estimates. This is discussed in greater detail in Section 4.2 and Appendix B. The KiDS data used for this purpose are processed by THELI (Erben et al. 2013) and ASTRO-WISE (Begeman et al. 2013; de Jong et al. 2015). Shears are measured using LENSFIT (Miller et al. 2013), and photometric redshifts are obtained from point spread function (PSF) matched photometry and calibrated using external overlapping spectroscopic surveys (see Hildebrandt et al. 2016).

2.1.3 UV photometry and SFR

The majority of the GAMA I footprint has been observed in the NUV by GALEX (Galaxy Evolution Explorer) to a depth of $\sim 23\,\text{mag}$ by the Medium Imaging Survey (MIS; Martin et al. 2005; Morrissey et al. 2007) survey and by a dedicated guest investigator program GALEX–GAMA providing largely homogeneous coverage. This forms the basis for GAMA's NUV photometry. Details of the GAMA UV photometry are provided in Liske et al. (2015), Andrae et al. (in preparation), and on the GALEX–GAMA website, and a detailed synopsis is provided in Paper I. Foreground extinction corrections and k-corrections having been applied as in the optical bands.

3 The $r$-band magnitude limit for the GAMA survey is defined as the SDSS Petrosian foreground extinction corrected $r$-band magnitude.

4 www.mpi-hd.mpg.de/galex-gama/
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The disc fraction of the FCS sample is determined in a sliding tophat bin with bounds defined such as to encompass 25 GCS galaxies. As for the FCS sample, the width of the shaded area denotes the uncertainty in the median. The mass functions are shown in bins of 0.25 dex in stellar mass for both samples, with Poisson errors on the relative frequencies. Although the FCS and GCS samples display a mutual range of stellar mass above \(M_* = 10^{9.8} M_{\odot}\), the mass functions very different. For the GCS sample, we find a median stellar mass of \(M_* = 10^{10.6} M_{\odot}\). The disc fraction amongst the GCS sample is lower than that of the FCS sample by \(0.2 - 0.3\) at all \(M_*\).

As detailed in Paper I, the integrated NUV emission from a spiral/disc galaxy provides an SFR tracer which is sensitive to the total SFR of the galaxy on time-scales of \(\lesssim 10^8\) yr (see e.g. fig. 1 of Paper I), while remaining robust against stochastic fluctuations, unlike H\(\alpha\)-based tracers. Thus, the time-scale probed by the NUV is short compared to the timespan corresponding to a redshift baseline of \(\Delta z \approx 0.04\) (in the range \(z = 0-0.13\)), making it well suited to investigate the evolution of the \(\psi_{\nu} - M_*\) relation. In this paper, we have adopted the calibration between NUV luminosity and SFR as given in Hao et al. (2011), scaled from a Kroupa (2001) IMF to a Chabrier (2003) IMF as in Speagle et al. (2014).\(^5\)

To correct for the attenuation of stellar emission by dust in the galaxy, which is particularly severe at short (UV) wavelengths (e.g. Tuffs et al. 2004), we employ the method of Groote et al. (2013). This method makes use of the radiation transfer model of Popescu et al. (2011) and supplies attenuation corrections on an object-by-object basis for spiral galaxies, taking into account the orientation of the galaxy in question and estimating the disc opacity from the stellar mass surface density. A comparison of the method and its performance with a range of other widely used SFR indicators can be found in Davies et al. (2016).

2.2 Samples of central disc galaxies

We make use of the sample of field central disc galaxies and the sample of group central disc galaxies as provided in Paper I. The samples are constructed by morphologically selecting disc galaxies from the full GAMA sample using the method described in Groote et al. (2014), resulting in samples which are unbiased in their SF properties and are jointly optimized for purity and completeness. We impose a redshift limit of \(z = 0.13\), resulting in a mass-complete sample for galaxies with \(M_* \geq 10^{9.5}\), and deselect galaxies hosting an AGN based on their position in the BPT diagram. In our analysis, we only make use of the mass-complete sample, but do, in some cases, include galaxies below this mass on plots to indicate trends in the population.

2.2.1 Field central discs

From the parent sample of disc galaxies, a sample of field central disc galaxies is selected as those which are not associated with any other galaxy to the limiting depth of the survey by the friends-of-friends group finding algorithm (Robotham et al. 2011). As such, these galaxies likely represent the dominant central galaxy of their DMH, with any satellite being at least less massive than \(M_* = 10^{9.5} M_{\odot}\) and likely even less massive over most of the redshift range. In the following, we will refer to this sample, encompassing 3508 galaxies, as the FCS sample.\(^6\) Fig. 1 shows the fraction\(^7\) of disc galaxies in the field as a function of stellar mass, as well as the stellar mass distribution of the FCS sample.

2.2.2 Group central discs

In selecting a sample of group central disc galaxies, we proceed by selecting those galaxy groups with \(M_* \geq 10^{10.5} M_{\odot}\) (regardless of the galaxies’ morphology) and again impose the redshift limit of \(z = 0.13\). This results in a volume-limited sample of galaxy groups. From these, we then select those galaxies which are the central galaxy of the group, are a member of the parent sample of disc galaxies, and have no neighbouring galaxy within 50 kpc \(h^{-1}\) and 1000 km s\(^{-1}\). This latter criterion is imposed to ensure that the SF activity of the galaxy is unlikely to be impacted by galaxy–galaxy interactions, which are known to influence the SFR and SF efficiency of galaxies (e.g. Barton, Geller & Kenyon 2000; Robotham et al. 2013, 2014; Davies et al. 2015; Alatalo et al. 2015; Bitsakis et al. 2016). In the following, we will refer to this sample of 79 largely isolated group central disc galaxies as the GCS sample. For reference, we show

\(^5\) This choice is different from that adopted in Paper I, and is motivated solely by reasons of intercomparability, and only significantly impacts the normalization of the \(\psi_{\nu} - M_*\).

\(^6\) In Paper I, we referred to this sample as the FIELDGALAXY sample.

\(^7\) The disc fraction is calculated relative to the supersample of galaxies which meet all the requirements of the FCS sample, save for the morphological requirements and the AGN deselection. The impact of the latter criterion is negligible (< 1 per cent).
the disc fraction. The stellar mass distribution is clearly skewed towards more massive galaxies for the GCS sample than for the FCS sample, with the distribution peaked at the median value of $M_* = 10^{10.9} M_\odot$.

### 3 Redshift Evolution of the $\psi_*-M_*$ Relation of Field Central Disc Galaxies

The $\psi_*-M_*$ relation for field central disc galaxies likely underlies the so-called ‘main sequence of star-forming galaxies’ (Noeske et al. 2007; Wynt et al. 2011; Whitaker et al. 2012; Speagle et al. 2014), a cornerstone empirical result of galaxy evolution studies of the past decade. Although a recent meta-analysis by Speagle et al. (2014) has calibrated a smooth parametrization of the evolution of the MS relation over the redshift range $z = 0.25 - 4$, a probe of its actual smoothness, i.e. the continuity of its evolution over (very) short redshift intervals (and at very low $z$) – desirable in terms of constraints on the contribution and importance of different physical processes to the relation and its evolution – remains lacking.

Fig. 2 shows $\psi_*$ as a function of $M_*$ for the FCS sample, with the median relation overlaid. As demonstrated by the figure, the $\psi_*-M_*$ relation for the FCS sample is well described by a single power law

$$\log(\psi_*) = A + \gamma(\log(M_*) - 10)$$

over its entire range in stellar mass, with $\gamma = -0.45 \pm 0.01$ as in Paper I (see also Table 1). The relation is in good agreement with the low-$z$ extrapolation of the empirical parametrization of the MS provided by Speagle et al. (2014), shown in red. Both the power-law slope $\gamma$ and the normalization constant $A$ agree with the corresponding values of the parametrization of Speagle et al. (2014) at the mean redshift of the FCS sample ($z = 0.1$) within $2\sigma$ of their formal uncertainties. If we also consider the uncertainties on the predicted parameters then the power-law fits are consistent with the predictions for the MS within the $1\sigma$ uncertainties of the latter. The details of the fitted power laws are listed in Table 1, as are the slope and normalization of the parametrization of the MS presented by Speagle et al. (2014). We do note, however, that within the uncertainties, the fit to the $\psi_*-M_*$ relation of central disc galaxies coincides with the parameterization of the MS for our volume-limited sample extending to $z = 0.13$ over the full extent in $M_*$ covered. We now investigate the what evolution, if any, occurs for these three sub-samples.

We have established that the median $\psi_*-M_*$ relation of central disc galaxies coincides with the parameterization of the MS for our volume-limited sample extending to $z = 0.13$ over the full extent in $M_*$ covered. We now investigate the what evolution, if any, occurs in this redshift range. For this purpose, we divide the FCS sample into three bins in redshift; $z_1: 0.03 \leq z \leq 0.06$, $z_2: 0.08 \leq z \leq 0.11$, and $z_3: 0.12 \leq z \leq 0.13$. For these three sub-samples, we find mean redshifts of $z_1 = 0.05$, $z_2 = 0.095$, and $z_3 = 0.125$, respectively.

The top panel of Fig. 3 depicts the median $\psi_*-M_*$ relation of the three sub-samples binned in stellar mass, as well as the best-fitting power law and the predictions of Speagle et al. (2014, making use of the mean redshift) for each sub-sample. The $\psi_*-M_*$ relations for the sub-samples agree well with the extrapolation of the empirical MS parametrization, albeit that small differences in normalization and/or slope are present, which largely averaged out in the full FCS sample. The normalization constants for all sub-samples agree with those expected for the MS within the $1\sigma$ formal uncertainties of the fits. Similarly, for sub-samples $z_1$ and $z_2$ the fitted power-law slopes agree with those of the extrapolated MS within the $1\sigma$ formal uncertainties of the fit, and only for the $z_3$ subsample do the slopes differ more, i.e. by $2\sigma$. The full details of the fits are listed in Table 1.

As the focus of our interest is on the relative evolution of the relations over the redshift range covered by our sample, in order to

---

8 The central disc fraction is calculated relative to the total population of group central disc galaxies of the volume-limited group sample, i.e. including AGN hosts and galaxies with a neighbour within the separation criteria. The deselection of these galaxies increases the downselection to the GCS sample by <10 per cent.

9 As discussed in Paper I, only ~20 per cent of disc-dominated galaxies at a given $M_*$ are not field central galaxies (the majority of these are satellite galaxies), resulting in the $\psi_*-M_*$ of disc galaxies being dominated by field central galaxies. Furthermore, as also shown in Paper I, satellite disc galaxies follow a relation similar to that of their central counterparts, albeit with a larger scatter.

10 Including the uncertainties in the coefficients of the parametrization, all fitted power-law relations agree with the extrapolated relation of Speagle et al. (2014) within $1\sigma$ uncertainties both in slope and normalization.
Table 1. Compilation of power-law fits to the $\psi_\ast - M_\ast$ relation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$\tau$</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$A_{\text{norm}}$</th>
<th>$\gamma_{\text{norm}}$</th>
<th>$A$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$A_{\text{norm}}$</th>
<th>$\gamma_{\text{norm}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FCS</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$-9.90 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$-0.45 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$-9.92$</td>
<td>$-0.47$</td>
<td>$-10.00 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$-0.49 \pm 0.06$</td>
<td>$-9.92$</td>
<td>$-0.47$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_1$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>$-10.00 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$-0.49 \pm 0.06$</td>
<td>$-9.91$</td>
<td>$-0.45$</td>
<td>$-0.1 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$-0.04 \pm 0.06$</td>
<td>$-10.01$</td>
<td>$-0.49$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_2$</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>$-9.91 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$-0.45 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$-9.92$</td>
<td>$-0.47$</td>
<td>$-0.01 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$-0.01 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$-9.92$</td>
<td>$-0.47$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_3$</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>$-9.88 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$-0.42 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.03 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>$0.03 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$-9.88$</td>
<td>$-0.46$</td>
<td>$0.05$</td>
<td>$0.01$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Power-law fits of the form $\log(\psi_\ast) = A + \gamma \cdot (\log(M_\ast) - 10)$ to the $\psi_\ast - M_\ast$ relations for different samples of spiral galaxies. The uncertainties reflect the formal uncertainties of the fit. The columns under Speagle et al. (2014) MS expectation provide the extrapolated expectation values for the MS following Speagle et al. (2014). For the purpose of our comparison, we have converted the empirical parametrization of the MS from the SFR to the specific SFR expectation values for the MS following Speagle et al. (2014). For the redshift range considered we have list no uncertainties for the predictions. For the redshift range considered typical values would be $\sigma_a = 0.1$; the results $\sigma_b = 0.02$ for the power-law fits and the main-sequence $\sigma_c = 0.13$ sub-samples of the FCS sample (top). The width of the shaded regions indicate the bootstrapped uncertainty in the median. Single power-law fits of the form $\log(\psi_\ast) = A + \gamma \cdot (\log(M_\ast) - 10)$ to the binwise median relations are shown as dash–dotted lines in the corresponding colour, while dashed lines indicate the extrapolated expectation for the main sequence following Speagle et al. (2014) for the mean redshift of each sub-sample ($\overline{\tau}_1 = 0.05$, $\overline{\tau}_2 = 0.095$, and $\overline{\tau}_3 = 0.125$). The binwise expectations following Speagle et al. (2014) are shown as filled circles. For comparison, the results of fitting a single power-law law with the slope fixed to that expected for the main sequence is shown as a dotted line. The bottom panel shows the median relations normalized to the single power-law fit to the full FCS sample as shown in Fig. 2 and listed in Table 1 and to the extrapolated expectation for the main sequence at the median redshift of $z = 0.1$ for the power-law fits and the main-sequence expectations, respectively. Colour coding and line styles are identical to the top panel.

Table 2. Compilation of evolution in normalization and slope for power-law fits to the $\psi_\ast - M_\ast$ relation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample 1</th>
<th>Sample 2</th>
<th>$\Delta A_{\text{obs}}$</th>
<th>$\Delta \gamma_{\text{obs}}$</th>
<th>$\Delta A_{\text{MS}}$</th>
<th>$\Delta \gamma_{\text{MS}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$z_3$</td>
<td>$z_1$</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_3$</td>
<td>$z_2$</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_2$</td>
<td>$z_1$</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Observed (obs) and expected (MS) values (for the MS) of evolution in normalization and slope of the $\psi_\ast - M_\ast$ between redshift sub-samples computed from the normalized power-law fits listed in Table 1. Uncertainties correspond to the formal uncertainties in sum quadrature. As in Table 1, we list no uncertainties for the predictions. For the redshift range considered typical values would be $\Delta A_{\text{obs}} \approx 0.6$ dex and $\Delta \gamma_{\text{obs}} \approx 0.05$ dex.

Figure 3. Median $\psi_\ast - M_\ast$ relations for the $z_1$ (blue, 0.03 ≤ $z$ ≤ 0.06), $z_2$ (green, 0.08 ≤ $z$ ≤ 0.11), and $z_3$ (red, 0.12 ≤ $z$ ≤ 0.13) sub-samples of the FCS sample (top). The width of the shaded regions indicate the bootstrapped uncertainty in the median. Single power-law fits of the form $\log(\psi_\ast) = A + \gamma \cdot (\log(M_\ast) - 10)$ to the binwise median relations are shown as dash–dotted lines in the corresponding colour, while dashed lines indicate the extrapolated expectation for the main sequence following Speagle et al. (2014) for the mean redshift of each sub-sample ($\overline{\tau}_1 = 0.05$, $\overline{\tau}_2 = 0.095$, and $\overline{\tau}_3 = 0.125$). The binwise expectations following Speagle et al. (2014) are shown as filled circles. For comparison, the results of fitting a single power-law law with the slope fixed to that expected for the main sequence is shown as a dotted line. The bottom panel shows the median relations normalized to the single power-law fits to the full FCS sample as shown in Fig. 2 and listed in Table 1 and to the extrapolated expectation for the main sequence at $z = 0.1$, for the power-law fits and the main-sequence expectations, respectively. Colour coding and line styles are identical to the top panel.

facilitate a comparison, we have normalized each sub-sample by the fit to the full FCS sample and have refit a power law. Analogously, we have normalized the extrapolated MS relations at the mean redshift of each sub-sample to the MS relation at $z = 0.1$; the results are shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 3 and the fit parameters $A_{\text{norm}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{norm}}$ are listed in Table 1. We find that all observed differences in normalization are consistent with those predicted for the MS, while also being statistically significant at > 3σ, with the exception of $z_3 \rightarrow z_2$, for which the difference in normalization is only 0.04 dex (2σ). A synopsis of the observed and expected evolutions in normalization between the three sub-samples of the FCS sample is provided in Table 2.

In addition to an evolution of the normalization of the MS, the empirical parametrization presented by Speagle et al. (2014) also predicts the slope of the MS to evolve, becoming steeper with decreasing redshift. For the $z_1$ and $z_2$ sub-samples, the fitted slopes of the power-law relations are consistent with those expected for the MS within the formal uncertainties of the fits (see Table 1), while for the $z_3$ sub-sample, the slope is shallower than the MS expectation by 2σ, as also visible in the top panel of Fig. 3. We note, however, that the evolution in slope is so small, that we cannot robustly exclude the scenario of no evolution.

We complement our investigation of the evolution of the median $\psi_\ast - M_\ast$ by an investigation of the distribution of $\psi_\ast$ at given $M_\ast$. To this end, we split each of our redshift sub-samples $z_i$, into three bins of stellar mass, $M_\ast: 9.5 \leq \log(M_\ast/M_\odot) < 10$. 


$M_2 : 10 \leq \log(M_*/M_\odot) < 10.5$, and $M_1 : 10.5 \leq \log(M_*/M_\odot) < 11.0$, \(^{11}\) and define the quantities $\Delta \log(\psi_*)$ and $\Delta_i \log(\psi_*)$ as

$$\Delta_i \log(\psi_*) = \log(\psi_*) - \log((\psi_{i,FCS}(M_*))) ,$$

$$\Delta \log(\psi_*) = \log(\psi_*) - \log((\psi_{\ast,FCS}(M_*))) ,$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

respectively, where $\langle \psi_{i,FCS}(M_*) \rangle$ is the median value of $\psi_*$ at $M_*$ as determined from the full FCS sample and $\langle \psi_{\ast,FCS}(M_*) \rangle$ is the corresponding median value as determined from the sub-sample $z_i$. As such, $\Delta \log(\psi_*)$ and $\Delta_i \log(\psi_*)$ quantify the distribution of $\psi_*$ around the median relation(s), thus normalizing out the dependence of $\psi_*$ on $M_*$. Fig. 4 shows the distributions of $\Delta \log(\psi_*)$ and $\Delta_i \log(\psi_*)$ for each of the redshift sub-samples $z_i$ in the stellar mass bins $M_*$. In each stellar mass bin, the distributions of $\Delta \log(\psi_*)$ and $\Delta_i \log(\psi_*)$ are nigh identical between the $z_i$ sub-samples, with only a variable offset visible for the distributions in $\Delta \log(\psi_*)$ in line with the previously described evolution of the median. This entails that it is an overall shift of the distribution of $\psi_*$ at fixed $M_*$, rather than a change in shape of the relative distribution of $\psi_*$, which drives the observed redshift evolution of the median $\psi_*$--$M_*$ relation. In a more statistically robust sense, this is corroborated by three-sample Anderson–Darling tests comparing the distributions of $\Delta \log(\psi_*)$ and $\Delta_i \log(\psi_*)$. These find no grounds for rejecting the null hypothesis that the distributions are drawn from the same parent sample, modulo a redshift-dependent shift in the normalization (see Table 3). Of course, the lack of difference in a statistical sense does not prove similarity, but these results do reinforce our finding of similarity based on visual inspection of the distributions.

Finally, we note the existence in each stellar mass bin and in each redshift sub-sample of a population of disc galaxies with observed $\psi_*$ much lower than the median (here we consider the population with $\Delta \log(\psi_*) < -0.5$). The fractional size of this population increases with stellar mass from 5–10 percent in the low stellar mass bin to 12–17 percent in the highest stellar mass bin, however, is largely constant as a function of redshift. As discussed in Grootes et al. (2014) and Paper I this population is dominated by genuine UV faint disc galaxies. The presence of such a low $\psi_*$ population amongst the disc galaxies of the FCS sample, with fractional size increasing with stellar mass, may imply the existence of a secular mechanism, dependent on galaxy (stellar) mass, acting to shut down SF in disc galaxies.

In summary, we find evolution of the $\psi_*$--$M_*$ relation of disc galaxies over the redshift range $0 < z < 0.13$, sampled at intervals of $\Delta z \approx 0.03$–0.04, to be consistent with a smooth gradual evolution of the normalization and possibly the slope while the scatter, i.e. the distribution around the median, remains constant. Furthermore, we find the observed evolution to be fully consistent with the extrapolation of the parametrization of the MS presented by Speagle et al. (2014).

4 IMPACT OF ENVIRONMENT/DMH MASS ON THE $\psi_*$--$M_*$ RELATION FOR CENTRAL DISC GALAXIES

For central galaxies, theory predicts the maximum achievable rate of accretion on to the galaxy to be a function of the host DMH mass. However, In Paper I we have presented evidence that the $\psi_*$--$M_*$ relation for central disc galaxies of groups coincides with that found for their field counterparts. While this result may imply a possible lack of halo mass dependence of the $\psi_*$--$M_*$ relation for central disc galaxies, it may also arise from the fact that the DMH masses of field and group central disc galaxies at fixed stellar mass are highly similar. Here, we focus on comparing the $\psi_*$--$M_*$ relations for our samples of field and group central disc galaxies, the FCS and GCS samples, respectively – combining this comparison with an investigation of their respective DMH masses to constrain a possible dependence on DMH mass.

As discussed in Section 2 and shown in Fig. 1, the range in $M_*$ common to the FCS and GCS samples is limited to $M_\ast \geq 10^{9.8} M_\odot$. However, as is apparent from Fig. 1, even within this mutual stellar mass range the relative distributions of $M_*$ differ radically, making any average property potentially vulnerable to a bias arising from this dissimilar distribution of stellar mass. We therefore proceed by creating four sub-samples – two from the GCS sample and two from the FCS sample – with which to perform the brute of our analysis. Those from the GCS sample are obtained by splitting the sample at its median stellar mass of $log(M_*/M_\odot) = 10.6$, and we will refer to the low and the high stellar mass sub-samples as the LGCS and HGCS samples, respectively. To construct the two from the FCS sample, analogously referred to as the LFCS and HFCs samples, we begin by selecting all galaxies from the FCS sample with $log(M_*/M_\odot) \geq 9.8$. This sample is then split at $log(M_*/M_\odot) = 10.6$ analogously to the GCS sample. Subsequently, within each stellar mass range, we randomly select galaxies in such a manner as to reproduce the mass distributions of the LGCS and HGCS samples, respectively, while simultaneously maximizing sample size. A detailed description of this process is provided in Appendix A.

4.1 The $\psi_*$--$M_*$ relation for field and group central spiral galaxies

We begin our investigation by considering the $\psi_*$--$M_*$ relations of the FCS and GCS samples, as depicted in Fig. 5 (top panel). We find the median $\Delta \log(\psi_*)$--$M_*$ relations to coincide. This finding is supported by the bottom (middle) panel of Fig. 5, which shows the distributions of $\Delta \log(\psi_*)$ for the LGCS (HGCS) sample, the LFCS (HFCS) sample, and the FCS limited to the range $9.8 \leq log(M_*/M_\odot) < 10.6$ ($10.6 \leq log(M_*/M_\odot)$). These all appear to be highly similar, and a three-sample Anderson–Darling test comparing the distributions finds no grounds to reject the null hypothesis that the distributions are all consistent with having been drawn from the same parent sample ($p = 0.4$ and $p \geq 0.98$, respectively). In line with this result, we find the scatter in the relations in each range of stellar mass, as characterized by the interquartile range of the distribution of $\Delta \log(\psi_*)$, to be consistent between the LFCS, LGCS, HFCS, and HGCS samples, i.e. $0.37 \pm 0.02 , 0.3(3) \pm 0.10 , 0.3(4) \pm 0.12 , \text{ and } 0.3(7) \pm 0.10 \text{ dex}$, respectively.

We do note, however, that the $\Delta \log(\psi_*)$ distributions of the LGCS and HGCS samples appear to be shifted slightly towards higher $\psi_*$ compared to the mass-matched LFCS and HFCS samples, so that the $\psi_*$--$M_*$ relation of the GCS sample may be slightly elevated compared to that of the FCS sample.

Overall, we thus find no robust evidence of a systematic difference in $\psi_*$ at fixed $M_*$ between field and group central disc galaxies.

\(^{11}\) The combined mass range $9.5 \leq log(M_*/M_\odot) < 11.0$ encompasses $\gtrsim 99$ per cent of the FCS sample.
Figure 4. Evolution of $\Delta \log(\psi_*)$ for the $z_1$, $z_2$, and $z_3$ sub-samples of the FCS sub-sample (top to bottom) in three bins of stellar mass $M_*$ as indicated (left to right). The mass range encompasses $\gtrsim 99$ per cent of the FCS sample. The distribution of $\Delta \log(\psi_*)$ in each mass bin for the full FCS sample is shown as a grey solid line, while the distributions of $\Delta \log(\psi_*)$ for the redshift subsamples are shown as dark shaded histograms and the distributions of $\Delta_0 \log(\psi_*)$ are shown as lighter shaded histograms. Quantitative statistical tests of the similarity of the distributions within each stellar mass bin are listed in Table 3.
4.2 The (average) DMH masses of field and group central spiral galaxies

Having found the $\psi_\ast - M_\ast$ relations of the field and group central disc galaxies to coincide, we consider the DMH haloes of these galaxies, focusing on their mass. Determining the DMH mass for these sources poses a significant challenge and, in fact, is unfeasible on an individual basis, at least for the field central discs. We therefore estimate average DMH masses for our four sub-samples, using several complementary methods.

An initial expectation value for the average DMH mass of the field central disc galaxies of the LFCS and HFCS samples can be obtained from the stellar-mass–halo-mass (SMHM) relation. In Fig. 6, we show the SMHM relation for central galaxies, (i) as derived from KiDS (de Jong et al. 2015; Kuijken et al. 2015) weak-lensing observations (grey; van Uitert et al. 2016), and (ii) as expected based on abundance matching applied to the Millennium simulations (orange; Moster, Naab & White 2013, and references therein). 12 It is, however, initially unclear to what degree the average halo mass of our LFCS and HFCS samples conform to this expectation.

For each sample, we have therefore constructed a stacked shear profile and corresponding excess surface density (ESD) profile using the bespoke KiDS galaxy–galaxy weak-lensing pipeline and have fit a DMH mass assuming a single NFW halo. Details of this process are provided in Appendix B. As shown in Fig. 6, the average DMH masses derived for the LFCS and HFCS sub-samples ($\log(M_\ast/M_\odot) = 11.9_{-0.3}^{+0.3}$ and $12.5_{-1.0}^{+0.3}$, respectively) are consistent with the expectations.

We note, however, that the empirical SMHM relation derived by van Uitert et al. (2016) is based on the flux-limited GAMA sample, while our LFCS and HFCS are volume limited and morphologically selected, thus introducing the possibility of a systematic bias. For comparison, we therefore show the average DMH mass in bins of stellar mass determined for, (i) a volume-limited ($z < 0.2$) subsample of GAMA central galaxies using maximum-likelihood weak lensing (purple, Han et al. 2015), and (ii) a flux-limited sample of late-type SDSS central galaxies using stacked weak lensing (green; Mandelbaum et al. 2006, and references therein). We find our average DMH mass estimates for both sub-samples to be consistent within the uncertainties with the body of literature. Finally, we note that our limited sample size of 52 (1013) galaxies in the HFCS (LFCS) samples limits the strength of the shear signal and the tightness of our constraint on the average halo mass.

Nevertheless, our findings indicate that the average halo mass of our samples is consistent with expectations and certainly provide a clear upper limit.

As for the field sub-samples, constraining the average DMH mass of the LGCS and HGCS samples using stacked weak-lensing measurements is complicated by the small sample sizes (40 and 39 sources, respectively), and we obtain $\log(M_\ast/M_\odot) = 12.8_{-1.2}^{+0.2}$ and $12.8_{-1.4}^{+0.4}$ for the low and high stellar mass sub-samples, respectively.

12 These have been converted from the usual form $\langle M_\ast | M_b \rangle$ to $\langle M_b | M_\ast \rangle$ using Bayes’ theorem for conditional probabilities (Han et al. 2015; see also Coupon et al. 2015).

13 The values quoted correspond to the mode and the 68 per cent HPD interval of the posterior distribution.
Figure 6. Average host DMH mass of the LFCS and HFCS samples (grey filled circles) as well as of the LGCS and HGCS samples (filled salmon circles) as determined from stacked weak-lensing measurements using the KiDS galaxy–galaxy weak-lensing pipeline as detailed in Appendix B. The symbols denote the mode of the posterior PDFs, while the error bars show the 68 per cent HPD interval containing the mode. In stellar mass, the symbols denote the median with the error bars indicating the 16th–84th percentile range in stellar mass contributing to the stack. For the LGCS and HGCS samples, the independent group luminosity-based median DMH mass estimates are shown as filled red squares with the error bars indicating the uncertainty in the median. For comparison, the empirical median $M_{\text{halo}}$–$M_\ast$ relation derived by van Uitert et al. (2016) using the full KiDS–GAMA overlap, as well as the abundance matching based $M_\ast$–$M_{\text{halo}}$ relation of Moster et al. (2013) – converted to the form $M_{\text{halo}}$–$M_\ast$ (Han et al. 2015) – are shown as grey (dash-dotted) and yellow (dashed) outlined regions, with the width indicating the range between the 16th and 84th percentile. For further comparison, the results of two recent independent comparable weak-lensing analysis are overlaid. The median DMH halo masses found by Han et al. (2015) using an SDSS imaging-based maximum-likelihood weak-lensing analysis in bins of central galaxy stellar mass for a volume-limited (at $z = 0.2$) sample of GAMA central galaxies and a flux-limited sample of GAMA group central galaxies ($N \geq 3$, i.e. with some similarity to our GCS sample), are shown as open purple diamonds and open blue squares, respectively. Similarly, the median DMH masses of a flux-limited sample of central late-type SDSS galaxies presented by Mandelbaum et al. (2006) are shown as open green circles.

However, for these samples, we can also make use of the group luminosity to derive an estimate of the mass of the (central) DMH of the group following Han et al. (2015). This is done by using the observed group luminosity from the G$^3$C (Robotham et al. 2011) and equations (22) and (23) of Robotham et al. (2011) to estimate the total group luminosity and subsequently employing the luminosity-based halo mass estimator for GAMA presented by Han et al. (2015).

Using this estimator, we obtain average DMH masses of log($M_{\text{DMH}}/M_\odot$) = 12.5 ± 0.1 and 12.8 ± 0.1, for the LGCS and HGCS samples, respectively, as shown in red in Fig. 6. As such, both estimators of the average DMH masses of our GCS sub-samples agree within their uncertainties, especially for the HGCS sample. Nevertheless, for the LGCS sample, although the luminosity-derived average mass is consistent with the stacked weak-lensing-based estimate within the uncertainties of the latter, the average DMH mass preferred by the luminosity-based estimate does seem to be slightly lower. Furthermore, the preferred stacked weak-lensing halo masses for both samples are highly similar.

We note, however, that the average halo mass preferred by the stacked weak-lensing analysis may differ systematically from the median of the luminosity-based estimates, due to the underlying $M_\ast$ distribution and the dependency of the tangential shear signal on DMH mass, in particular for the LCS sample where the shear signal from lower mass haloes might be dominated by that from higher mass systems.

Furthermore, we also note that our sample of group central disc galaxies includes a multiplicity-based selection, strongly akin to that used by Han et al. (2015). In Fig. 6, we therefore also show the maximum-likelihood weak-lensing-based estimates of the halo mass of the multiplicity limited sample of GAMA groups considered by Han et al. (2015) in bins of central stellar mass (shown in blue). We find our weak-lensing and group luminosity-derived halo mass estimates to be consistent with the findings of Han et al. (2015), and recover evidence for the dependence of the central SMHM relation on multiplicity at lower central stellar mass observed by these authors.

Finally, we emphasize, that the halo mass estimator of Han et al. (2015) is suitable to our consideration of potentially different halo masses at a fixed stellar mass, as it employs group luminosity as a proxy for halo mass, and has been directly calibrated on weak-lensing measurements of GAMA galaxy groups using a selection function closely related to that adopted in our analysis. We refer the inclined reader to Han et al. (2015) for further details, but also note...
that, as shown by Han et al., at the low halo mass end any bias in
the estimator is likely to underestimate the true halo mass, making
our measurements conservative estimates. Furthermore, we note
that Viola et al. (2015) have presented an updated relation between
group luminosity and halo mass using the combined GAMA and
KiDS data. These authors find their results, obtained using a more
sophisticated halo model, to be fully consistent with those of Han
et al. (2015). As the halo model we have employed in our analysis
corresponds to that of Han et al. (2015) and our sample is akin to
the sample of groups with N ≥ 3 used by Han et al. (2015), we
have made use of the relation presented there, but emphasize that
our results are robust against the substitution of this relation with
that of Viola et al. (2015).

Overall, we thus conclude that the average DMH masses of the
low and high stellar mass samples of group central galaxies are
≈ 10^{12.5} and ≈ 10^{12.8} M⊙, respectively, i.e. comparable to and
possibly slightly higher than that of their field central counterparts
in the high stellar mass range, and ≈ 4–8 times more massive in the
low stellar mass range.

In summary, we find that at higher stellar mass both the distribu-
tions of Δlog(ψ,∗)(and accordingly the median ψ,∗–M∗, relations)
and the large-scale environment of these galaxies in terms of the
mass of their host DMH are similar between the group and field central
galaxies. In contrast, although in the lower stellar mass range
(9.8 < log(M∗/M⊙) < 10.6) the average DMH mass of group cen-
tral disc galaxies is ≈ 4–8 times greater than that of their field
central counterparts, the ψ,∗–M∗, relations and the distributions of
Δlog(ψ,∗) are statistically indistinguishable. Thus, in the low DMH
mass range probed by our lower stellar mass group and field central
disc galaxies, our results disfavour a halo mass dependence of the
ψ,∗–M∗ relation of these galaxies.

5 IMPLICATIONS FOR GAS FUELLING AND
THE BARYON CYCLE

In the previous sections, we have demonstrated (i) that the ψ,∗–M∗
relation for central disc galaxies evolves smoothly with redshift over
very short redshift intervals (Δz ∼ 0.04), in line with the expected
behaviour of the MS calibrated over much larger redshift intervals
(Section 3), and (ii) that the ψ,∗–M∗, relations of group and field
central disc galaxies are statistically indistinguishable over their
full mutual range in stellar mass, even in the low stellar mass range
where the host DMH masses differ by a factor of ≈ 4–8, i.e. we
find no evidence for a halo mass dependence of the ψ,∗–M∗ relation
of central disc galaxies, at least in the range of low DMH masses
(Section 4). In the following, we will discuss the implications of
these findings in the context of the baryon cycle and the gas fuelling
of these central disc galaxies.

5.1 The baryon cycle paradigm

For (largely isolated) disc central galaxies, such as those in our FCS
and GCS samples, whose accretion history is dominated by smooth
accretion, the mass of the ISM and its time-dependent evolution can
be expressed as

\[ \dot{M}_{\text{ISM}} = \dot{M}_{\text{in}} - \dot{M}_{\text{out}} - (1 - \alpha)\Phi_*, \]

\[ \alpha = \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{1}{\mu} \left( 1 + \alpha \frac{\dot{M}_{\text{in}}}{\tau_{\text{res}}} \right), \]

where \(\dot{M}_{\text{in}}\) and \(\dot{M}_{\text{out}}\) are the in- and outflow rates of gas from the
 galaxy. \(\Phi_*\) is the SFR and \(\alpha\) is the fraction of mass (instantaneously)
recycled back to the ISM from high-mass stars. As detailed in Paper I,
assuming a volumetric SF law (i.e. \(\Phi_* = \kappa \dot{M}_{\text{ISM}}\) e.g. Krumholz,
Dekel & McKee 2012), and that the outflow from a galaxy is propor-
tional to its ISM mass,14 equation (4) can be equivalently formulated
in terms of the ISM mass and the SFR as

\[ M_{\text{ISM}} = \dot{M}_{\text{in}} - \dot{M}_{\text{ISM}} - \kappa M_{\text{ISM}} \]

\[ = \dot{M}_{\text{in}} - \lambda \Phi_* - (1 - \alpha)\Phi_* \]

\[ = \dot{M}_{\text{in}} - \frac{\lambda}{\kappa} \frac{\dot{M}_{\text{in}}}{\mu} \]

where, in equation (5), we have cast the constant of proportionality
relating the outflow rate to the ISM mass in terms of typical resi-
dence time \(\tau_{\text{res}}\) for a unit mass of gas in the ISM and have defined
\(\kappa = (1 - \alpha/\lambda)\kappa\) and, in equation (6), we have defined the mass loading
factor \(\lambda = 1/\tau_{\text{res}}\). Note that 1/\(\kappa = \tau_{\text{exhaust}}\) corresponds to the
gas-exhaustion-bysf time-scale in a closed box model. Here,
we assume \(\tau_{\text{res}}\) and \(\kappa\) (and thus \(\lambda\)) to be determined by galaxy-
specific processes, i.e. while they may vary as a function of e.g. galaxy
stellar mass, they are constant for all galaxies of a fixed stellar mass.

Accordingly, for the disc galaxies in our samples (read an MS
galaxy), provided the inflow is (approximately) stable on time-
scales longer than the system requires to adjust to perturbations, the
SFR of a galaxy is expected to be determined by a self-regulated bal-
ance between flows of gas into and out of the ISM and consumption
of the ISM via SF. In this case, the galaxy may be considered to be
in a quasi-steady state with a quasi-constant SFR at any given time.
Combined with a gradually evolving inflow, this model represents
a widely favoured explanation of the observed small scatter in the
MS and its gradual evolution with redshift (e.g. Kereś et al. 2005;
Davé, Finlator & Oppenheimer 2012; Lilly et al. 2013; Saintonge et al.
2013; Mitra, Davé & Finlator 2015) and we will return to this
aspect in Section 5.3 below.

In such a self-regulated quasi-steady state \(\dot{M}_{\text{ISM}} \approx 0\) in equation
(6),15 which can then be reformulated as

\[ \Phi_* = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\dot{M}_{\text{in}}}{(1 - \alpha)} \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\kappa \tau_{\text{res}}} \frac{\dot{M}_{\text{in}}}{(1 - \alpha)} \]

\[ = \frac{\dot{M}_{\text{in}}}{\kappa \tau_{\text{exhaust}}} \]

where \(\dot{M}_{\text{in}} = \tau_{\text{in}}\tau_{\text{exhaust}}/\tau_{\text{res}} + \tau_{\text{exhaust}}\). It is then immediately apparent
that the SF is expected to trace the inflow rate in such a case.

For central galaxies on the MS, the (evolving) inflow is widely
surmised to be defined by the (evolving) rate of DM and baryon
accretion on to DM haloes and an efficiency \(\zeta\), the fuelling effi-
ciency, with which accretable gas is delivered to the ISM of the
galaxy (e.g. Davé, Finlator & Oppenheimer 2012; Lilly et al. 2013;
Behroozi, Wechsler & Conroy 2013a; Mitra, Davé & Finlator 2015;
Rodríguez-Puebla et al. 2016), such that

\[ \dot{M}_{\text{in}} = \zeta \dot{M}_{\text{b,halo}} = \zeta f_0 \dot{M}_{\text{h,halo}}, \]

where \(\dot{M}_{\text{h,halo}}\) is the halo mass accretion rate, \(f_0\) is the (cosmological)
 baryon fraction, and \(\dot{M}_{\text{h,halo}}\), accordingly, is the halo baryon accretion
 rate – generally well approximated in this manner (e.g. van de

14 Under a volumetric SF law, this is equivalent to the mass-loading formalism.
15 As discussed in Paper I, the actual behaviour of the ISM mass in a
quasi steady state will be bracketed by the conditions \(\dot{M}_{\text{ISM}} = 0\) and
\(\mu = \dot{M}_{\text{ISM}}/\dot{M}_{\text{in}} = \text{const.}\) In the latter case, the inflow is higher by a fac-
tor of \((1 - \alpha/\lambda)\mu\) with the end point of the inflow being the growing ISM. The
functional form, however, is similar in both cases.
Voort et al. 2011b; Behroozi, Wechsler & Conroy 2013b; Wetzel et al. 2014). As an expectation for the MS, inserting equation (10) into equation (9), one obtains

$$\Phi_\text{b} = \bar{\tau} \xi \bar{\kappa} \dot{M}_\text{halo} = \bar{\tau} \xi \dot{M}_\text{halo}. \quad (11)$$

i.e., assuming $\bar{\tau}$ and $\bar{\kappa}$ are fully determined by the galaxy-specific processes, the environmental dependence of the MS is encoded in the product $\xi \dot{M}_\text{halo}$ of the fuelling efficiency and the halo baryon accretion rate.

5.2 Constraints on the DMH mass dependence of gas fuelling

In Section 4, we have shown the median $\psi_{\ast} - M_\ast$ relations for group and field central disc galaxies to be statistically indistinguishable over their full mutual range in stellar mass. If the picture of an inflow-driven self-regulated baryon cycle with $\bar{\kappa}$ and $\tau_{\text{gas}}$ determined by galaxy-specific processes, as outlined in Section 5.1 above is to hold for our samples of central disc galaxies, this result entails that the flow of gas into the ISM of the galaxy must be the same.

Expressed in the terms of equation (11), our empirical result thus implies that

$$\xi \dot{M}_\text{halo} \approx \text{const.} \quad (12)$$

different as a function of DMH mass, at least over the halo mass range of $10^{12} \lesssim M_\text{halo} \lesssim 10^{13} \, M_\odot$ considered here, i.e. our direct empirical results thus require that the fuelling efficiency scales inversely linearly with the halo baryon accretion rate.\(^{16}\)

As the halo baryon accretion rate is function of the DMH mass, the dependency of $\xi$ on $M_\text{halo}$ (at a given redshift) can then be derived by inserting $\dot{M}_\text{halo}(M_\text{halo}, \tau) \in$ equation (12). With recent parametrizations of the halo baryon accretion rate (Dekel et al. 2009; McBride, Fakhouri & Ma 2009; Fakhouri, Ma & Boylan-Kolchin 2010; Faucher-Giguère, Kereš & Ma 2011) favouring an approximately linear or slightly superlinear dependence ($\dot{M}_\text{halo} \propto M_\text{halo}^{0.6-1.15}$), our empirical requirement, representing the first direct constraints on the scaling of $\xi$ with DMH mass over the range $10^{12} \lesssim M_\text{halo} \lesssim 10^{13} \, M_\odot$, results in

$$\xi \propto M_\text{halo}^{-1.0}, \quad (13)$$

i.e. a strong halo mass dependence for $\xi$, where we have adopted the parametrization of $\dot{M}_\text{halo}$ presented by Fakhouri et al. (2010).

We note that, qualitatively, our requirement of a strong halo mass dependence of $\xi$ is consistent with a recent MCMC fit to the global population galaxy of a simple parametrized equilibrium model of the baryon cycle, closely related to the model adopted here, by Mitra et al. (2015). However, our direct result of $\xi \propto M_\text{halo}^{-1}$ is slightly steeper than the $\xi \propto M_\text{halo}^{-0.75}$ dependence favoured by Mitra et al. (2015) in the same halo mass range using their indirect approach.

\(^{16}\) Here, we have made use of the assumption of the standard paradigm the inflow rate of gas into the ISM of the galaxy can be expressed as $\dot{M}_\ast = \xi \dot{M}_\text{halo}$. However, even if one only maintains the notion of an inflow-driven self-regulated baryon cycle and does not require that the inflow to the ISM of the galaxy can be adequately parametrized using the halo baryon accretion rate, the finding remains relevant in a more general form. Under the broad but reasonable assumption that the inflow to the ISM of the galaxy can be parametrized as $\dot{M}_\ast = \eta_{\text{acc}} \dot{M}_\text{cool}$, where $\dot{M}_\text{cool}$ represents the rate at which cool accretable gas becomes available at the centre of the halo and $\eta_{\text{acc}}$ represents the efficiency with which it is accreted, our result implies $\eta_{\text{acc}} \dot{M}_\text{cool} \approx \text{const.}$ and thus tightly constrains the joint DMH mass dependence.

Similarly, our result is also qualitatively consistent with the findings of Behroozi, Wechsler & Conroy (2013a), who find a dependence of the ratio of SFR to halo baryon accretion rate (corresponding to $\tau \xi \propto M_\text{halo}^{0.04}$) for haloes with $M_\text{halo} \gtrsim 10^{11.7}$ using an abundance matching approach combined with halo merger trees and an MCMC-driven parameter optimization technique applied to the full galaxy population.

Finally, a comparison of our results with those of the full cosmological hydrodynamical simulations, e.g. those presented by van de Voort et al. (2011a), is interesting. At $z \approx 0$, these authors find the ratio of the rates of accretion of baryons into the ISM and on to the host DMH (i.e. comparable to $\xi$) to initially increase from $M_\text{halo} = 10^{11.9} \, M_\odot$ up to $M_\text{halo} \gtrsim 10^{12.5}$ before then decreasing $\propto M_\text{halo}^{-1}$ (their fig. 2). While the eventual decrease at higher halo masses is consistent with our empirical results, we find no evidence of the initial increase, possibly indicating remaining issues in the model, e.g. the peak being located at too high halo mass.

5.3 Constraints on the redshift dependence of gas fuelling

Having considered the halo mass dependence of gas fuelling, i.e. of the fuelling efficiency parameter $\xi$, in the previous section, we now turn to investigating a second central aspect of the standard baryon cycle paradigm; the evolving normalization of the MS as the result of an inflow-driven self-regulated baryon cycle and an evolving inflow.

Our finding in Section 3 that the $\psi_{\ast} - M_\ast$ relation for central disc galaxies evolves smoothly with redshift, exactly in line with the evolution predicted for the MS, while new, is, in essence, not surprising, as the MS is dominated by disc galaxies in the local Universe (e.g. Wynt et al. 2011).

Importantly, however, we have shown the evolution to be gradual and smooth. Given the low- ($z \lesssim 0.13$) and small-redshift intervals ($\Delta z \lesssim 0.1$) probed by the $z_1, z_2$, and $z_2$ sub-samples of our FCS subsample, and with redshift intervals between the samples of only $\Delta z \approx 0.04$ (corresponding to only $\approx 4 \times 10^3$ yr), we can reasonably expect the efficiency of SF\(^{17}\) and other galaxy-specific processes, i.e. the physics encoded in $\bar{\kappa}$ and $\tau$ in equation (11), to be constant over the considered redshift range at a given stellar mass.

Under these assumptions, our empirical finding of a gradually and smoothly evolving SFR (see Table 2) is qualitatively consistent with the picture of an inflow-driven, self-regulated baryon cycle determining the SFR of disc central galaxies (MS galaxies), as encapsulated in equation (9), and a smoothly evolving inflow.

As outlined in Section 5.1, for central galaxies on the MS, the evolving inflow is widely surmised to be defined by the evolving rate of DM and baryon accretion on to DM haloes and the efficiency $\xi$ (e.g. Davé et al. 2012; Lilly et al. 2013; Behroozi et al. 2013a; Mitra et al. 2015; Rodríguez-Puebla et al. 2016). Inserting this assumption in equation (9) results in equation (11) as a description of the SFR cycle and the expectation that the normalization (i.e. at fixed $M_\ast$) of the median $\psi_{\ast} - M_\ast$ relation/the MS should evolve as the product $\xi \dot{M}_\text{halo}$ over the redshift range probed by our samples. Given this expectation, it is interesting to compare the shift in normalization, we find for the $\psi_{\ast} - M_\ast$ relation of field central disc

\(^{17}\) This refers to the efficiency with which ISM is converted to stars in the galaxy, and must be disambiguated from the use of SF efficiency by e.g. Behroozi et al. (2013a), who use it to refer to the ratio of SFR to the rate at which baryons are accreted onto the host DM halo of a galaxy.
galaxies with the expected evolution in the halo baryon accretion rate, thus empirically constraining the redshift evolution of $\zeta$.

In the following, we have adopted the parametrization of the median halo mass accretion rate (and by extension the baryon accretion rate) derived from the Millenium simulation given by equation (2) of Fakhoury et al. (2010), which takes the form

$$M_{\text{halo}} \propto M^{1.1} \rho(z)$$

(14)

In addition, for the mutual stellar mass range of the FCS and GCS samples, and in particular for $M_* \approx 10^{10.3}$, i.e. the median stellar mass of the LFCS and LGCS samples, we have empirically shown in the previous Section 5.2 that $\zeta \propto M^{-1.1} \rho$ at fixed $z$. Accordingly, combining equations (14) and (13), one finds that, for this stellar mass range, one expects

$$\zeta M_{\text{halo}} = \zeta M^{1.1} \rho(z) \propto CM^{1.3} \rho(z),$$

(15)

with the constant of proportionality $C$ potentially depending on redshift at fixed $M_*$. As a result, under the standard paradigm, the evolution with redshift $z$ of the median $\psi - M_*$ relation at fixed $M_*$ should be largely independent of halo mass, being dominated by the redshift dependence of $\rho(z)$ and potential redshift dependence of $C$. Furthermore, any residual halo mass dependence may additionally be expected to be negligible, as numerical integration of equation (2) of Fakhoury et al. (2010) finds the expected mass growth of haloes in the mass range covered by our samples ($M_{\text{halo}} < 10^{11.5}$) over the redshift range considered to be $z \lesssim 3$ per cent. Therefore, we choose to make use of the expression for $\rho(z)$ provided by Fakhoury et al. in deriving the redshift-dependent change in the halo baryon accretion rate between the $z_1$, $z_2$, and $z_3$ sub-samples of the FCS sample.

Thus, using the expression for $\rho(z)$ given in Fakhoury et al. (2010), we expect the halo baryon accretion rate to decrease by 0.06 dex (0.02 dex, 0.04 dex) from $z_1 \rightarrow z_1$ ($z_1 \rightarrow z_2$, $z_2 \rightarrow z_1$), i.e. $M_{\text{halo}} \propto (1 + z)^{2.2}$.

We can now compare this expected decrease in the halo baryon accretion rate with the measured decrease in the specific star formation rate (sSFR) over redshift at the fiducial mass $M_* = 10^{10.3} M_\odot$ (the median mass of the LFCS sample), as derived from our power-law fits of the $\psi - M_*$ relation for the subsamples of the FCS sample (tabulated in Table 1). We find the measured decrease in sSFR of the FCS disc galaxies at this stellar mass to be $0.14 \pm 0.03$ dex (0.04 $\pm$ 0.01 dex, 0.1 $\pm$ 0.03 dex) from $z_1 \rightarrow z_1$ ($z_1 \rightarrow z_2$, $z_2 \rightarrow z_1$). This corresponds to an evolution $\psi_\alpha (1 + z)^{\beta}$ with $\beta = 4.9 \pm 0.9$ at the fixed value $M_* = 10^{10.3} M_\odot$. 18

Accordingly, in order for the expectation formulated in equation (11) to be consistent with our empirical results, we would require the fuelling efficiency $\zeta$ to decrease as $(1 + z)^{2.7}$ for galaxies with stellar masses of $M_* = 10^{10.3} M_\odot$, i.e. in the range over overlap between the FCS and LGCS samples.

Given the novel, direct nature of our results, it is interesting to compare them to other more indirect data-driven considerations, as well as to the results of full cosmological hydrodynamical simulations.

Amongst the latter, van de Voort et al. (2011a) considered the rate of accretion of baryons into the ISM and on to the host DMH of galaxies in their simulations, finding the ratio between the two to decrease between $z \approx 2$ and 0 (see their fig. 2). In particular, at a fixed halo mass of $M_{\text{halo}} = 10^{11.9} M_\odot$, they find the ratio to decrease by approx. 0.5 dex between $z = 2$ and 1, i.e. $\propto (1 + z)^{0.04}$ if parametrized as a single power law over the full redshift range. Given our empirical results, for the model to remain consistent with the data, the evolution of the ratio in the model must vary with redshift. A more detailed comparison of the evolution, however, is not possible due to the lack of (published) simulation results at low(er) redshifts. We urge the simulation community to consider providing more finely sampled (in time/redshift) results at low(er) redshifts, and to divert some further focus to the question of accretion on to galaxies versus accretion on to haloes.

We can also compare our results to those of Behroozi et al. (2013a,c,e), who find the ratio of SFR to baryon accretion rate (i.e. $\frac{\tau_{\zeta} \xi}{\zeta}$) to display a weak time dependence, decreasing with decreasing redshift below $z \approx 0.8$ (Behroozi et al. 2013a) based on a detailed, empirically constrained combination of halo abundance matching and halo merger trees from numerical simulations. 19 In fact, for $M_{\text{halo}} = 10^{11.9}$, i.e. the weak-landing-derived DMH mass for the LFCS sample, these authors find the ratio of SFR to halo baryon accretion rate to decrease by $\approx 0.08$ dex ($\approx 0.03$ dex, $\approx 0.05$ dex) between $z_1 \rightarrow z_1$ ($z_3 \rightarrow z_2$, $z_2 \rightarrow z_1$), i.e. $\propto (1 + z)^{-2.7}$, entirely consistent with the evolution of $\zeta$ required by our results. 20 In this regard, it is furthermore noteworthy that, because our sample is comprised of morphologically selected discs, our results imply that the fall-off of $\zeta$ with redshift for the overall galaxy population deduced by Behroozi et al. cannot be solely driven by an evolution in morphology from discs to spheroids with redshift.

In conclusion, the cosmological hydrodynamical simulations of van de Voort et al. (2011a) are inconsistent with our results with regard to the halo mass dependency of $\zeta$ (see Section 5.2) and it is unclear whether or to what degree they are consistent with our results with regard to the redshift dependency, possibly hinting that our physical prescriptions for galaxy growth remain incomplete. On the other hand, our data are consistent with the evolution of $\zeta$ with $z$ found in the empirically constrained analysis of Behroozi et al. (2013a), and qualitatively consistent, in terms of the halo mass dependency, with the empirically constrained analyses of Behroozi et al. (2013a) and Mitra et al. (2015).

18 Although Behroozi et al. consider the full galaxy population, as disc galaxies represent the dominant galaxy population for the relevant range in stellar mass (e.g. Paper I), our samples can be considered to be similar.

19 Behroozi et al. (2013a) provide their data in electronic form. We have interpolated the data provided at $z = 0.125, 0.095$, and 0.05 using a cubic spline. From the interpolation, for $M_{\text{halo}} = 10^{11.9} M_\odot$, we obtain log(SFE) = $-0.76$, $-0.71$, and $-0.68$, respectively, where SFE is defined as the ratio between SFR and halo baryon accretion rate, i.e. is equivalent to $\frac{\tau_{\zeta} \xi}{\zeta}$ in equation (11).
Overall, while our measurements can be made to be qualitatively compatible with the predictions of the picture of SF in field central disc galaxies being determined by a gradually evolving inflow-driven self-regulated baryon cycle, this, requires ζ to evolve (decline) as $\propto (1 + z)^{-3}$ for galaxies with $M_\star = 10^{10.3} M_\odot$, i.e. that ζ is a strong function of redshift. We will return to this, potentially problematic, finding below. Furthermore, we note that for galaxies with $M_\star = 10^{10} M_\odot$, we find a preferred evolution of $\propto (1 + z)^{-3}$, which, although the results are consistent within their uncertainties, may hint at a dependence of $\propto$ on $M_\star$.

In addition, given our empirical result of the lack of influence of host halo mass on the $\psi$--$M_\star$ relation of central disc galaxies, maintaining this paradigm requires the fuelling efficiency ζ to be linearly antiproportional to the halo baryon accretion rate, and thus to be a strong declining function of $M_{\text{halo}}$. While this is in general agreement with recent indirect derivations based on a consideration of the global galaxy population (Behroozi et al. 2013a; Mitra et al. 2015), our direct constraints for the morphologically selected samples of disc galaxies considered here favour $\propto M_{\text{halo}}^{-1.1}$ shallower and steeper than these the previous indirect derivations, respectively. Remarkably, our finding that $\propto$ is inversely linear proportional to the halo baryon accretion rate, in essence, implies that there is no detectable effect of the non-linear growth of DM haloes on the growth of stellar mass in disc-dominated galaxies at the centres of these haloes, even at the current epoch, where this effect should be greatest.

The exact physical mechanisms underlying these results remain unclear, and, alternatively, our results may thus also indicate where the current paradigm may represent too much of an oversimplication.

Regardless of the exact mechanism or mechanisms responsible, however, it is clear that the similarity in the observed $\psi$--$M_\star$ relations of group and field central galaxies of comparable stellar mass residing in DMH of discrepant mass places strong constraints on the interplay of galaxy-specific and environment-dependent processes shaping the $\psi$--$M_\star$ relation, which in turn are amenable to being used as tests and benchmarks for galaxy evolution simulations. In this vein, the work presented represents the first direct constraint of the fuelling efficiency ζ in the mass range $10^{13.5} M_\odot \lesssim M_{\text{halo}} \lesssim 10^{13} M_\odot$ considered here.

Similarly, detailed empirical constraints on the evolution of the $\psi$--$M_\star$ relation represent a powerful means of constraining, and possibly identifying, physical processes on the basis of their evolving contribution to, and impact on, this fundamental scaling relation.

6 DISCUSSION

As detailed above in Section 5, while our results can be made compatible with the current paradigm of the baryon cycle of galaxies, they represent strong constraints and pose a challenge for our notion and understanding of the baryon cycle and its constituent processes. In the following, we will discuss a number of immediate and broader implications for gas fuelling, the baryon cycle, including the fuelling efficiency ζ, and the underlying physical processes arising from our results, as well as possible opportunities of further testing the standard paradigm of the baryon cycle which our results entail.

6.1 Implications for the MS relation

First, our finding that the median $\psi$--$M_\star$ relation for field central disc galaxies evolves gradually and smoothly over a small range in redshift, while simultaneously being fully consistent in normalization (and slope) with the empirically parametrized evolution of the MS calibrated over a much larger range in redshift, immediately strongly implies that the evolution of the MS over the longer redshift baselines is likely determined by the same dependencies, including with regard to the larger scale environment of the galaxy, which drive the evolution observed in our analysis.

6.2 Co-evolution of ζ and galaxy properties

Under the initial assumption that the standard paradigm for the baryon cycle holds (at least for field central disc galaxies), the relative constancy of the ratio of SFR to halo baryon accretion rate, i.e. the product $\tilde{\tau} = \tilde{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{\nu}$, identified by Behroozi et al. (2013a), implies that ζ must evolve with redshift in a fashion correlated with the evolution of outflows of gas from galaxies (i.e. anticorrelated with $\tilde{\tau}$), as the mass loading of these outflows is contained in $\tilde{\tau}$ and varies with redshift. Thus, given the dependencies of ζ on $M_{\text{halo}}$ and $z$ implied by our results, this requires a tight co-evolution of processes linked to the scales of the galaxy and the host DMH, respectively.

6.3 Stellar mass and (the redshift dependence of) the fuelling efficiency

In Section 5.3, we have shown that maintaining the gas-fuelling paradigm requires ζ to be a strong function of $z$, to the extent that at $M_\star = 10^{10.3}$ our empirical results require $\propto (1 + z)^{-3}$, i.e. making ζ a stronger function of $z$ than $M_{\text{halo}}$ even, as we have shown in Section 5.2 that $\propto M_{\text{halo}}^{-1} \propto M_{\text{halo}}^{-1.1}$. With ζ in the formulation of the standard paradigm representing processes regulating the link between the galaxy (its inflows) and the environment on the scale of the DMH and being considered as a function of $M_{\text{halo}}$ and $z$, this finding must be considered problematic, as it is entirely unclear why such processes should display such a strong redshift dependence, while at the same time changes in halo mass and baryon content are expected to be mild over the redshift baselines considered here – as are possible changes in galaxy-specific properties such as SF efficiency.

The difficulty inherent in this finding is further compounded by the fact that ζ would have to evolve significantly with redshift over a wide range in $M_{\text{halo}}$. Under these circumstances, it becomes difficult to accept an evolution such that ζ $M_{\text{halo}} = \text{const.}$ at a given $z$, albeit that the constant of proportionality might vary. Alternatively, and also problematically, one would have to assume that the epoch of $z \approx 0.1$, i.e. the median redshift of our sample, is special in the sense that it just so happens to be the epoch at which we find ζ $M_{\text{halo}} = \text{const.}$.

Finally, although only with marginal significance, our empirical results on the evolution of the $\psi$--$M_\star$ relation do indicate an evolving slope of the relation, as was also observed by Speagle et al. (2014). This finding is further borne out by our direct consideration of the implied redshift evolution of ζ at different values of $M_\star$, which indicates an evolution varying as a function of stellar mass $M_\star$.

Our results, therefore, provide an indication that the fuelling efficiency ζ may, in fact, not be adequately described as a function of $M_{\text{halo}}$ and $z$, i.e. $\propto M_{\text{halo}}^{-1} \propto M_{\text{halo}}^{-1.1}$, but instead may also depend on the stellar mass of the galaxy, i.e. $\propto M_{\text{halo}}^{-1} \propto M_{\text{halo}}^{-1.1}$. We will return to this question in future work, but note that a functional dependence of this type, while easily preserving the ability to capture the empirical halo mass dependence of ζ, may allow for a shallower redshift dependence.
6.4 Influence of the gravitational potential of the host DMH on $\zeta$

Fundamentally, any inflow into the ISM of a galaxy must be sourced from gas sufficiently cool to be accreted, agnostic of its origin. In this context, $\zeta M_{b,\text{halo}}^1$ simply parametrizes the flow of cool accretable baryons to the central galaxy as a function of rate with which baryons are accreted on to the DMH.

As discussed in Section 5.2, in order to maintain the standard baryon cycle paradigm our results require $\zeta \propto M_{\text{halo}}^{-1/2}$ ($M_{\text{halo}}^{-1/2}$) resulting in inflow rates to the central disc galaxies being invariant as a function of halo mass. The differing ratios of stellar mass to DMH mass for the group and field central disc galaxies, and the corresponding differences in the gravitational potential wells of the host DMHs, however, have a range of implications for the availability of hot and cool gas in the host DMH haloes of the central galaxies.

On the one hand, the rate of accretion of baryons on to the DMH is expected to increase with the mass of the DMH, thus increasing the total available gas mass. Conversely, depending on its mass, the host DMH of a galaxy will be possessed of a hot pressure supported atmosphere and a shock at or near the virial radius heating the infalling IGM.

Theory predicts the threshold halo mass for the existence of a stable shock, and thus a hot atmosphere, to be $\sim 10^{11.75} - 10^{12} M_\odot$, depending on redshift and metallicity (e.g. Birnboim & Dekel 2003; Kereš et al. 2005, 2009; van de Voort et al. 2011). Above the threshold mass, an increasing fraction of the baryon mass accreted on to the DMH is expected to be shocked, requiring it to cool prior to being available for accretion into the ISM of the central galaxy, with the cooling efficiency decreasing with DMH mass. Similarly, the fraction of unshocked/unheated gas which can be accreted directly is expected to decline with increasing DMH mass above the threshold halo mass (e.g. Kereš et al. 2005, 2009; van de Voort et al. 2011; Nelson et al. 2013).

A quantitative assessment of the impact of the differing gravitational potentials on the availability of cold accretable gas has recently been provided by Faucher-Giguère et al. (2011) who analysed a suite of cosmological hydrodynamical simulations and found, cast in the simpler framework of the baryon cycle formulation adopted here, $\zeta_{\text{reg}} \propto M_{\text{halo}}^{-0.25}$ (Davé et al. 2012; Mitra et al. 2015) with an onset of this effect above $M_{\text{halo}} \approx 10^{11.75} M_\odot$, where $\zeta_{\text{reg}}$ describes the halo mass dependence of the impact of gravitational heating processes on the fuelling efficiency. Thus, our empirical result of $\zeta \propto M_{\text{halo}}^{-1}$ implies some additional process that reduces the rate at which gas is accreted on to the central disc galaxy beyond the impact of the gravitational potential of the host DMH considered above, but which nevertheless scales with the DMH mass in a manner resulting in comparable inflows for field and group central disc galaxies at fixed stellar mass.

Before discussing further physical implications of this finding, we first consider the impact of the assumptions of our analysis. In particular, we have assumed that $\tau_{\text{res}}$ and $\dot{k}$, i.e. the residence time of a unit mass of gas in the ISM and the efficiency with which stars are formed from the ISM, depend solely on galaxy properties and are independent of the environment of the galaxy. In combination with the expected difference in inflow to the disc central galaxies arising from the impact of the gravitational potential of the halo this gives rise to the tension and the requirement of additional processes highlighted above. However, it may be reasonable to relax this assumption which might suffice to ameliorate the tension arising from the observed similarity of the $\psi - M_\ast$ relation of field and group central disc galaxies without the requirement of further mechanisms – in particular, by allowing for similar SFRs arising from different inflow rates.

For central galaxies of groups, the greater DMH mass will result in a deeper potential well and a higher virial temperature, which may, in turn, result in the medium surrounding the galaxy being more pressurized than that surrounding a field galaxy of comparable stellar mass. However, this would likely act to suppress outflows from the galaxy leading to an increase, rather than a decrease in $\tau_{\text{res}}$. At fixed SF efficiency $\dot{k}$, this would serve to exacerbate the tension as it would serve to increase the ISM mass and the SFR.

In contrast to the possible impact of the group environment on $\tau_{\text{res}}$, its potential impact on $\dot{k}$ might indeed serve to lessen the tension implied by our observations. While stellar mass and SFR of star-forming galaxies are tightly correlated, both quantities are also correlated with the metallicity of the galaxy. In fact, a hyperplane in the space spanned by these parameters can be identified which minimizes the scatter from the plane beyond that achievable by the consideration of only two out of three of the parameters as shown by (e.g. Mannucci et al. 2010; Lara-López et al. 2010, 2013). These authors find the SFR at fixed stellar mass to be anticorrelated with the metallicity of the galaxy, possibly as a result of the weaker feedback from lower metallicity O and B stars resulting in a greater efficiency in the conversion of ISM into stars (Dib et al. 2011). Thus, depending on the difference in metallicity between group and field disc centrals, the inflow required to sustain a self-regulated SF at a fixed level might be larger for the former compared to the latter.

For an environment dependence of $\dot{k}$, driven by a metallicity difference between field and group central disc galaxies, to consistently explain the similarity in SFRs at different estimated inflow rates, however, this difference would have to evolve smoothly and in a highly balanced manner anticorrelated with the difference in host DMH of field and group central disc galaxies, while simultaneously accounting for any changes in the value of $\tau_{\text{res}}$ as a function of DMH mass. While possible, in principle, this would require a very high degree of finely tuned covariance, for which no mechanism is readily apparent.

Therefore, under the assumption that $\tau_{\text{res}}$ and $\dot{k}$ are indeed independent of the environment of the galaxy or, as is likely to be the case, do have limited environmental dependencies which, however, are not sufficient to ameliorate the observed discrepancies by themselves, maintaining the paradigm of an inflow-driven self-regulated baryon cycle, unequivocally requires (an) other mechanism(s) beyond gravitational heating of the IIM to regulate the rate of accretion on to the central galaxy, with this/these mechanism(s) scaling with the mass of the host DMH.

For the global population of galaxies, the result that gravitational heating alone appears to be insufficient to regulate the supply of gas to the central galaxies of massive haloes to levels consistent with observations is well known (e.g. Croton et al. 2006). To accommodate this finding, the current baryon cycle paradigm invokes (i) mechanisms for preventive feedback, loosely linked to feedback from AGN although the physics remain unclear, reducing the rate at which gas is accreted on to the central galaxy. Indeed, the recent MCMC fit to the global galaxy population of a simple parametrized equilibrium model of the baryon cycle, closely related to the model adopted here, by Mitra et al. (2015) find a preferred strong halo mass dependence ($\propto M_{\text{halo}}^{-0.5}$) of the unspecific preventive feedback assumed to be loosely linked to AGNs, and a resulting preferred composite fuelling efficiency parameter $\zeta \propto M_{\text{halo}}^{-0.75}$ for the halo mass range considered in our analysis.

Here, we have shown that for our morphologically selected samples of disc central galaxies, we, qualitatively consistently with the
work of Mitra et al. (2015), also require a strong dependence of the fuelling efficiency \( \zeta \) on the mass of the DMH in order to maintain the baryon cycle paradigm. However, our empirical results, representing the first direct constraints on \( \zeta \) in this halo mass range, prefer a steeper dependence \( \zeta \propto M_{\text{halo}}^{-1} \) and accordingly, a halo mass dependence of the unspecified feedback mechanism \( \propto M_{\text{halo}}^{-0.75} \).

### 6.5 The role of AGN feedback

AGN feedback may limit accretion either by (re)heating the cooling IHM and/or by driving outflows of gas out of the galaxy. While we cannot make detailed statements on the basis of our data, a consideration of our sample construction may be informative for the role of AGN feedback in determining \( \zeta \).

In the construction of our samples, we have selected against AGN host galaxies using the Kewley et al. (2001) BPT criterion. Based on the ratio of emission lines in the optical, the BPT classification efficiently identifies radiatively efficient ‘luminous’ AGN with efficient accretion. The rejection rate of disc centrals from our samples on the basis of AGN activity, i.e. the galaxy would have been included save for the classification as an AGN host, is 10 per cent for the both FCS and GCS samples. Thus, as a rough estimate, if all of the galaxies in our sample were to contain a central supermassive black hole which periodically enters a stage in which it is visible as a BPT classified AGN, the fraction of 10 per cent AGN over a baseline of ca. 1 Gyr implies a total active phase of \( \lesssim 10^8 \) yr. Accordingly, this limits the fraction of time over which luminous AGNs are injecting energy, and thus limits the relevant AGN feedback modes.

Our samples thus do not support a currently active luminous AGN as the relevant feedback mechanism. However, if the energy input into the IHM during the active phases is sufficient to impact accretion until the next active phase, feedback from luminous AGN may none the less be important. In regard to the importance of radiatively inefficient AGN, we can make no statement based on our data, but do note that the star-forming disc galaxies in our samples are not the stereo-typical massive, elliptical galaxies with low gas-to-stellar mass ratios generally associated with ‘maintenance mode’ feedback from radiatively inefficient AGN.

### 6.6 Gas fuelling: inferred properties and possible processes

Of foremost importance amongst our results, the smooth evolution over short time-scales observed for the \( \psi_*-M_* \) relation, and the fact that it so closely traces, and is well parametrized by, the evolution of the halo accretion rate, implies that the IHM of the galaxy’s host DMH appears to serve as a buffer for SF only in a very limited capacity. This finding has significant implications for the physical mechanism(s) underlying \( \zeta \).

Importantly, in the adopted formulation, \( \zeta \) embodies the efficiency with which all baryons are accreted into the ISM of the central galaxy, i.e. both those newly accreted on to the DMH from the IGM and thus intrinsically linked to the halo accretion rate, as well as those already present in the DMH being recycled. To first order, these two ‘sources’ can be mapped to the cold and hot accretion modes, respectively. Conceptually, at any given time, (i) some fraction of in-falling baryons cools efficiently/remains cold and is rapidly accreted on to the galaxy (i.e. cold mode), and (ii) some fraction of the baryons contained in the IHM of the galaxy cools and is subsequently accreted (i.e. hot mode), thus constituting the total accretion.

As our FCS sample extends to halo masses \( M_{\text{halo}} \gtrsim 10^{12.8} M_\odot \), i.e. from halo mass for which cold mode accretion is expected to be dominant to such systems for which a significant contribution to the fuel for recent SF from gas that has been heated and has subsequently cooled is expected (e.g. Kereš et al. 2005, 2009; van de Voort et al. 2011b), our empirical results entail that the mechanism(s) encoded in \( \zeta \), link(s) the accretion efficiency of baryons into the ISM via both modes to the instantaneous halo baryon accretion rate.

For low-mass DMHs, which are expected to lack a virial shock and a virialized atmosphere, the dominant cold accretion mode ostensibly meets the formulated requirements, although even here, it is not clear that the current SFR of the galaxy should trace the instantaneous halo baryon accretion, i.e. the accretion of baryons at a distance of \( \sim r_{200} \). However, the uncertainties in the SFR and accretion rate determinations, as well as the ensemble averaging in the determination of the median values, may blur out the anticipated lag.

For more massive DMHs, on the other hand, an appropriate mechanism is less apparent. One possibility, is that the dominance of cold mode accretion extends to larger halo masses in the local Universe than suggested by the results of van de Voort et al. (2011b) and Nelson et al. (2013). Indeed, using the Omega25 suite of cosmological simulations, Wetzel et al. (2014) find that cooling is highly efficient for haloes with \( M_{\text{halo}} = 10^{13} - 10^{14} \) and \( 10^{12} - 10^{13} M_\odot \) and that the rate of baryon accretion at all radii from the virial radius \( r_{\text{vir}} \) down to \( \sim 40 \) kpc traces that at \( r_{\text{vir}} \). However, these authors only considered very limited feedback in their simulations.

A possible additional cooling mechanism enabling such a scenario might be the additional efficient cooling of the IHM by dust injected into it by feedback from the galaxy. As Montier & Giard (2004) have shown that dust cooling can exceed gas-phase cooling in the IHM for dust-to-gas ratios \( \gtrsim 10^{-4} \) by mass (ca. 1 per cent of the ISM value), this may prove feasible. In addition, a mechanism of this type, could also potentially give rise to a two-phase IHM, thus meeting the requirement identified in Paper I in the context of the SFRs of satellite disc galaxies.

Alternatively, one might speculate whether the expected filamentary accretion of cold IGM on to the halo might provide the required mechanism even in higher mass haloes. Numerical experiments find the filamentary inflows of cold gas to penetrate the hot gas halo to a degree varying with the halo mass before breaking up (e.g. van de Voort et al. 2011b; Nelson et al. 2013). If hot halo gas could cool, condense, and be entrained in the wakes of the cold clumps formed by the disrupting penetrating filament, e.g. as suggested for the galactic fountain model (Fraternali & Binney 2008; Marinacci et al. 2010; Armillotta, Fraternali & Marinacci 2016), this would provide a mechanism linking the accretion of cold and hot gas. Again, this process could be supported and enhanced by outflows from the galaxy, enriching the IHM with metals, and in particular dust, possibly reducing the temperature of the hot halo and favouring the survival of cold clumps and the condensation of hot gas. A change in the halo accretion rate would then lead to a change in the effective number of seed clouds, coupling the accretion from the hot IHM component to that of the cold as required. Similarly, increasing the DMH mass would reduce the degree to which the cold streams might penetrate, regulating the prevalence of seed clouds and allowing for a natural halo mass dependence.

It is, however, unclear whether the efficiency of entrainment and condensation, given the temperatures and densities of the hot halo gas would be sufficient to make this mechanism viable. If this scenario were valid, though, one would expect the number of cold dense gas clumps in the circum-galactic medium (CGM) to scale as the product of the halo mass and the ratio of the SFR to the halo mass accretion rate. Ongoing and future studies of the CGM...
properties, could thus, in principle, provide a further independent test of this speculative mechanism.

6.7 Implications for rotational support of gas in the IHM

A further important aspect of the accretion efficiency that also remains puzzling, but is strongly constrained by our results, is the contribution/importance of angular momentum. For example, Wetzel et al. (2014) find that in their simulations, at radii below ~40 kpc, i.e. still much larger than the radial extent of the galaxy, the gas experiences significant rotational support. Nevertheless, our results suggest that the final transition of halo baryons through this phase must occur on time-scales which are short compared to that on which the halo baryon accretion rate changes in order to maintain the observed correlation between SFR and halo accretion rate.

6.8 Beyond merger quenching

Penultimately, it remains important to note that our result of a strongly negative halo mass dependence of the fuelling efficiency $\xi$ for samples of disc-dominated galaxies clearly demonstrates that mechanisms unrelated to the morphological transformation of galaxies via mergers can play a central role in regulating and potentially eventually quenching SF in galaxies. Further support for this result is provided by the population of higher stellar mass quenched disc galaxies in the FCS sample as discussed in Paper I and Section 3.

6.9 Testing the standard paradigm

Finally, we highlight a possible test of the standard paradigm based on the methodology and results presented in this work.

In the context of the standard paradigm, our empirical result that the $\psi_*-M_*$ relations of group and field central disc galaxies coincide, while the host DMH masses are discrepant, requires $\xi M_{\text{halo}} \approx \text{const.}$ For a fuelling efficiency $\xi (M_{\text{halo}}, z)$, if the redshift range of our sample is not unique, in the sense that a similar result is obtained at a different redshift, this poses a strong constraint on the possible differential redshift evolution of $\xi$ as a function of $M_{\text{halo}}$, as e.g. $\xi M_{\text{halo}} \approx \text{const.}$ would require almost no differential evolution given the near linearity of $M_{\text{halo}}$ as a function of $M_{\text{halo}}$. If however, the observed evolution of the $\psi_*-M_*$ relation requires a differential evolution this would unequivocally entail further dependencies of $\xi$ (provided $\xi$ can be assumed to be constant). Upcoming surveys such as the Deep Extra-galactic Visible Legacy Survey21 (DEVILS) and the Wide Area Vista Extra-galactic Survey (WAVES; Driver et al. 2016a) will provide the data required to determine the $\psi_*-M_*$ relations of group and field central disc galaxies at higher redshift, thereby facilitating this test.

A further test will also be enabled by, e.g. WAVES (Driver et al. 2016a) which will significantly increase the volume over which studies of this type are possible in the local Universe. This provides the unique opportunity of also probing to lower and higher DMH masses to fully cover the range over which a transition between fuelling modes is expected.

7 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a detailed investigation of the $\psi_*-M_*$ relation for central disc galaxies using purely morphologically selected samples drawn from the GAMA survey, focusing, in particular, on the evolution of the relation over short redshift baselines in the local Universe and on its dependence on the mass of the host DMH of the central galaxy. In determining DMH masses, we have made use of the high-resolution imaging data provided by the KiDS survey and the bespoke KiDS galaxy–galaxy weak-lensing pipeline. We present our results in detail as an empirical reference for current and future theoretical and numerical work aimed at understanding the baryon cycle of these objects. Our investigation has found that:

(i) The median $\psi_*-M_*$ relation of field central disc galaxies is consistent in both normalization and slope with the extrapolation of the empirical parametrization of the main sequence of star-forming galaxies presented by Speagle et al. (2014) at the median redshift of the sample.

(ii) The redshift evolution of the $\psi_*-M_*$ in the local Universe, even over redshift baselines of $\Delta z \approx 0.04$, is characterized by gradual, smooth evolution of the normalization (and possibly the slope) at constant scatter. The observed evolution is entirely consistent (both in normalization and slope) with that expected for the main sequence.

(iii) The median $\psi_*-M_*$ relations for field and group central disc galaxies coincide over the full mutual rage in stellar mass and are statistically indistinguishable, with no significant evidence of an offset between the two. This is mirrored in the distributions of observed SFRs relative to the median SFR at fixed stellar mass for field and group central disc galaxies split into two ranges of stellar mass.

(iv) By contrast, the average host DMH masses of field and group central disc galaxies differ by $\gtrsim 0.6$ dex ($M_{\text{halo}} = 10^{11.9}$ and $10^{12.5}$–$10^{12.8}$ $M_\odot$ for field and group central, respectively) in the low stellar mass range ($10^{9.8}$ $M_\odot \leq M_* < 10^{10.6}$ $M_\odot$), while in the high stellar mass range $M_* \gtrsim 10^{10.6}$ $M_\odot$ the average DMH masses are much more comparable ($M_{\text{halo}} = 10^{12.5}$ and $10^{12.8}$ $M_\odot$, respectively), and in fact compatible with no significant difference).

Making use of the methods developed in Groote et al. (2017) and simple analytical models of the baryon cycle of galaxies we demonstrate that:

(v) The observed $\psi_*-M_*$ relation for central disc galaxies (both field and group centrals) over the full redshift range of our sample ($z \leq 0.13$) can be made compatible with the picture of a supply-driven self-regulated baryon cycle determining the SFR of these galaxies, including, potentially, the inflow rate of baryons into the ISM being determined by the product of the halo baryon accretion rate $M_{\text{b,halo}}$ and the gas-fuelling efficiency $\psi$.

(vi) However, attaining this compatibility not only requires the gas-fuelling efficiency $\xi$ to be a strong function of redshift, i.e. $\xi \propto (1+z)^{\gamma}$ at $M_* = 10^{10.3}$ $M_\odot$ for $z = 0$–0.13, but also requires $\xi M_{\text{halo}} \approx \text{const.}$ as a function of DMH mass, i.e. with $M_{\text{b,halo}} \propto \xi M_{\text{halo}} \propto \xi M_{\text{halo}}$. This entails that the gas-fuelling rate of central galaxies is largely independent of the host DMH mass, thus supplying strong constraints on the possible underlying mechanisms.

Based on these results, we derive a number of further implications and constraints for the physical mechanism(s) underlying the gas-fuelling efficiency $\xi$. In particular, we find that

(vii) Regardless of the underlying mechanism, the near perfect recovery of the extrapolated MS by the $\psi_*-M_*$ of field central disc galaxies most strongly implies that the smooth evolution observed for this relation between $z \approx 0.2$ and 4 is also determined by the same...
processes on the scale of the surrounding environment constrained by our local Universe sample. (viii) A joint consideration of the ratio of SFR to halo baryon accretion rate, the $\psi_{\ast}$-$M_{\ast}$ relation, and the DMH mass for group and field central disk galaxies over a redshift baseline extending to intermediate redshifts ($\geq 0.4$) provides a sensitive test/constraint of the standard paradigm for the baryon cycle of star-forming galaxies.

(ix) Under the standard paradigm, the gas-fuelling efficiency $\zeta$ must evolve with redshift in a manner correlated with the evolution of the strength of the outflows from the galaxy, requiring a link between galaxy scale and DM halo scale processes. The physical mechanisms underlying $\zeta$, both with regard to the required link to between galaxy and DM halo scale processes, as well as driving the $\propto (1+z)^{3/7}$ redshift dependency remain unclear. We note, however, that a functional dependency of $\zeta$ on $M_{\ast}$, $z$, and $M_{\text{halo}}$ (rather than just on $z$ and $M_{\text{halo}}$) may allow for a shallower redshift dependence of $\zeta$.

(x) The reservoir of gas constituted by the IHM only acts as a buffer for SF in a very limited, largely negligible manner as the SFR so closely traces the instantaneous halo baryon accretion rate. In essence, this implies that the combined system of IIM and ISM is in an equilibrium state, with a time-scale on which the equilibrium adjusts that is short compared to the rate of change of the cosmological inflow to the halo.

(xi) Either cold mode accretion remains the dominant process in delivering gas for star for SF to the ISM to higher DMH masses than currently thought ($\gtrsim 10^{12.8} M_\odot$), or the processes determining $\zeta$ link hot and cold mode accretion to the instantaneous halo baryon accretion rate equally. In both contexts, we suggest that cooling of the IIM by dust injected via stellar feedback may serve to increase the cooling rate and decrease the temperature of the IIM leading to and aiding in the formation of a multiphase (at least two) ISM.

Overall, our analysis finds the SFR of central disc galaxies, and in particular field central disc galaxies, can be made to be compatible with the standard paradigm of the baryon cycle of these galaxies which dominate the MS relation being determined by an inflow-driven self-regulated baryon-cycle, with the inflow depending on the evolving halo accretion rate and gas-fuelling efficiency, albeit with a significant degree of tension. In particular, we find that, in order to be compatible, our results very strongly limit the possible influence of halo mass on the SFR of central disc galaxies, requiring the efficiency of gas fuelling to scale antiproportionally to the halo baryon accretion rate. Furthermore our results would require a strong redshift of the fuelling efficiency. The underlying mechanisms of such dependencies, however, remain unclear.

The results of our analysis clearly indicate that a wide range of processes determining the cycle of baryons through galaxies remain poorly understood. Nevertheless, in this work, we have provided a number of detailed, both qualitative and quantitative, constraints for current and future theoretical and numerical work addressing the question.
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Appendix A: Selection of the LFCS and HfCS Samples

For our comparative investigation of the impact of DMH mass on the ψ−M relation of central disc galaxies and their corresponding distributions of $\log(\psi_*)$ we require samples of field and group central disc galaxies constructed in such a manner as to alleviate the impact of the very different stellar mass functions of these objects, i.e. mass-matched samples. However, the steeply declining mass function of the FCS sample simultaneously limits the maximum attainable sample of unique galaxies. In constructing our samples we have therefore adopted the approach outlined in the following.
We begin by splitting the sample of group central disc galaxies at its median stellar mass, creating a low-mass (LGCS) and a high-mass (HGCS) sub-sample. For each of these samples, we compute the relative frequency of sources in bins of 0.2 dex width in $M_*$. From the FCS sample, we then select all galaxies which reside in the stellar mass range of the LGCS and HGCS samples, respectively. As for the group central samples, we determine the relative frequency in bins of 0.2 dex width in $M_*$ and identify the least populous bin, which we refer to as the normalization bin. From this bin, we select all galaxies, and then proceed to select samples of galaxies from all other bins by randomly drawing (without replacing) a number of galaxies corresponding to the relative frequency of the LGCS or HGCS sample for that mass bin, multiplied by the number of galaxies in the normalization bin. This procedure results in the mass-matched LFCS and HFCS sub-samples which we make use of in our comparison. We note that in terms of sample size, the LFCS and HFCS sub-samples represent the maximum size mass-matched samples of unique sources that can be constructed from the FCS sample.

APPENDIX B: STACKED WEAK-LENSING DMH MASS ESTIMATES USING THE KIDS GALAXY–GALAXY LENSING PIPELINE

The GAMA survey area used in the analysis presented overlaps with the KiDS (de Jong et al. 2015; Kuijken et al. 2015; de Jong et al. 2017) ESO public survey using the VST, thus providing imaging of sufficient quality to allow for a stacked weak-lensing analysis of our samples of field and group central disc galaxies in both the low and high stellar mass ranges. This makes use of cosmic shear measurements from KiDS (Kuijken et al. 2015; Hildebrandt et al. 2017; Fenech Conti et al. 2017). The KiDS data are processed by THELI (Erben et al. 2013) and ASTRO-WISE (Begeman et al. 2013; de Jong et al. 2015). Shears are measured using LENSFIT (Miller et al. 2013), and photometric redshifts are obtained from PSF-matched photometry and calibrated using external overlapping spectroscopic surveys (see Hildebrandt et al. 2016). In our analysis, we have made use of the bespoke KiDS galaxy–galaxy weak-lensing pipeline which encompasses the creation of an azimuthally averaged ESD profile. We refer the reader to the dedicated works of the KiDS collaboration on weak lensing (e.g. Viola et al. 2015; Sifón et al. 2015; Brouwer et al. 2016; van Uitert et al. 2016; Dvornik et al. 2017) for a detailed description of the process. In a second step, the pipeline enables a halo model to be fit to the stacked ESD profile making use of the affine invariant MCMC ensemble sampler proposed by Goodman & Weare (2010) and implemented in PYTHON by Foreman-Mackey et al. (2013). Given our relatively small sample sizes and our selection of central galaxies, we adopt a single NFW profile (Navarro, Frenk & White 1997) as a halo model, using the mass–concentration relation of Duffy et al. (2008), i.e. with the DMH mass as a free parameter. Here, we define the mass of the halo as $M_{200}$, i.e. the mass contained within the radius $r_{200}$, for which the average density within the radius is 200 times the mean background density at the median redshift of our sample $z = 0.1$. For the fit we use 150 walkers with a chain length of 50 000 steps, discarding the first 2000 steps as burn-in. For the DMH mass, we choose a flat prior in log space with $10 < \log(M_{200}/M_\odot) < 15$. We report our results as the mode of the distribution, and the boundaries of the 68th percent highest probability density (HPD) interval (surrounding the mode).

In modelling the mass distribution with single NFW profile, we ignore any contribution from the central stellar component, i.e. the visible galaxy. However, this is, at least partially accounted for by the lower limit on our prior of $\log(M_{200}/M_\odot) = 10$. Furthermore, this assumption is generally found to be reasonable, at least at the level of $\lesssim 0.1$ dex in terms of derived mass, and likely better (e.g. Mandelbaum et al. 2006; Han et al. 2015; van Uitert et al. 2016), in particular given the small size of our stacks and the according inherent low signal-to-noise ratio.
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