Modular Pore-Forming Immunotoxins with Caged Cytotoxicity Tailored by Directed Evolution

Natalie L. Mutter, Misha Soskine, Gang Huang, Inês S. Albuquerque, Gonçalo J. L. Bernardes, and Giovanni Maglia

†Groningen Biomolecular Science & Biotechnology Institute, University of Groningen, 9747 AG, Groningen, The Netherlands
‡Instituto de Medicina Molecular, Faculdade de Medicina, Universidade de Lisboa, Avenida Professor Egas Moniz, 1649-028, Lisboa, Portugal
§Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, United Kingdom

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Immunotoxins are proteins containing a cell-targeting element linked to a toxin that are under investigation for next-generation cancer treatment. However, these agents are difficult to synthesize, chemically heterogeneous, expensive, and show toxicity toward healthy cells. In this work, we describe the synthesis and characterization of a new type of immunotoxin that showed exquisite selectivity toward targeted cells. In our construct, targeting molecules were covalently attached or genetically fused to oligomeric pore-forming toxins. The activity of the immunotoxin was then caged by fusing a soluble protein to the transmembrane domain and activated via cleavage with furin, which is a protease that is overexpressed in many cancer cells. During the several coupling steps, directed evolution allowed the efficient synthesis of the molecules in *E. coli* cells, as well as selection for further specificity toward targeted cells. The final construct showed no off-target activity, while acquiring an additional degree of specificity toward the targeted cells upon activation. The pore-forming toxins described here do not require internalization to operate, while the many protomeric subunits can be individually modified to refine target specificity.

INTRODUCTION

Protein-based drugs have become increasingly important in the pharmaceutical industry. In the period from 2011 to 2016, the FDA approved 62 proteins as drugs, most of which contain monoclonal antibodies (mAb). These agents recognize molecular targets on cancer cell surfaces, blocking their biological function, or, most often, marking the cells for the body’s immune system. An advantage of this approach is that drugs can be developed to recognize specifically a complex biological signature in malignant cells. However, mAbs are complex molecules that cannot be synthesized chemically and are manufactured in living organisms. Furthermore, they often require complex post-translational modifications that can only be introduced when heterologous expression systems are used. In addition, since the products are synthesized by cells or organisms, their chemical modification is not straightforward, and complex purification processes are involved. Finally, because their large size (up to 150 kDa), they have limited tumor penetration, and they are often recognized by the host immune system.

In next-generation targeted cancer therapy, mAbs were, e.g., conjugated to a drug (antibody-drug conjugates) to a toxin (immunotoxin or IT), to a cytokine, or to a radioactive particle. In such constructs, the antibody recognizes a specific cell target, which allows the deadly cargo to be delivered to the diseased tissue. In immunotoxins, mAbs or growth factors are either chemically or genetically fused to a potent protein toxin, which inhibit protein synthesis, such as diphtheria toxin or pseudomonas exotoxin A. These are very efficient toxins, because they act catalytically on their cytosolic targets, hence, at very low concentrations. However, ITs must be internalized into the cell and not every target has a sufficient internalization rate, allowing sufficient accumulation of toxinophore to effectively kill cancer cells. Hence, highly potent payload drugs must be used frequently, which, in turn, can produce life-threatening toxicities. In fact, the high potency of the payload requires a highly selective expression of membrane targets in cancer cells compared to healthy cells, and the number of suitable targets may be limited to just a few dozen.

Alternative hybrid molecules might be built from membrane-acting toxins, which assemble into unregulated oligomeric pores in the membrane of targeted cells. Different hemolytic toxins from sea anemones, bacteria, or humans have been used to target different cell lines, including immature T lymphocytes, leukemic cells, breast cancer cells, lung cancer cells, or colon cancer cells. Since hundreds of pores might be necessary to obtain a cytotoxic effect, pore-forming toxins are much less potent than intracellular toxins commonly used in ITs. However, toxicity may be complemented or regulated by...
ultimately leading to cell death. Membrane. Pore formation changes membrane permeability, activation of the toxin. Finally, the toxin can insert into the cancer-associated proteases.37,38

In this study, we used the membrane-acting toxins ClyA and FraC. Immunotoxin with caged activity. The central point is the toxin. Soluble toxin is recruited to the host membrane by interactions of the targeting module and the corresponding receptor. Subsequent proteolytic cleavage at the specific protease site is necessary for activation of the toxin. Finally, the toxin can insert into the membrane. Pore formation changes membrane permeability, ultimately leading to cell death.

Figure 1. Modular nanopore immunotoxins. (A) Schematic representation of different modules used to build up a pore-forming immunotoxin with caged activity. The central point is the toxin. In this study, we used the membrane-acting toxins ClyA and FraC. The C-terminus of the toxin was conjugated to different targeting molecules, including folate and an anti-EGFR nanobody, to direct the toxin to cancer cells. For site-specific activation of the toxin, DHFR was fused to the N-terminus of the toxin via a cancer protease sensitive linker. Activation of the toxin by proteolysis is necessary to enable pore formation and, thus, cell killing activity. (B) Schematic representation of the pore formation of the designed protein drug. Soluble toxin is recruited to the host membrane by interactions of the targeting module and the corresponding receptor. Subsequent proteolytic cleavage at the specific protease site is necessary for activation of the toxin. Finally, the toxin can insert into the membrane. Pore formation changes membrane permeability, ultimately leading to cell death.

Directed evolution, which allowed the toxicity to be tuned toward the target cells, as well as efficient synthesis of the protein complexes in E. coli. We show a construct that recognizes a molecular target on cancer cells and is selectively activated by a cancer-associated protease, while displaying no off-target activity on other cells.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Immunotoxin Preparation by Targeted Chemical Modification. Cytolysin A (ClyA) is a protein toxin synthesized as soluble 34 kDa monomeric protein, which assembles into a dodecameric pore, causing the lysis of cell membranes rich in cholesterol.39 In our first effort to prepare an immunotoxin, we conjugated folate to a cysteine residue introduced at position 272 in a previously engineered cysteine-less ClyA (ClyA-AS) from Salmonella typhi.40 Folate was covalently attached to ClyA-AS-S272C monomers via a PEG-5K linker bearing a maleimide moiety (see Figure 2A). SDS-PAGE revealed that ~50% of the ClyA-AS-S272C was conjugated (see Figure 2B). The construct was purified by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) and tested for cytotoxic activity on KB cells overexpressing folate receptors (FR). A MTT assay, which assesses the cell metabolism by measuring the mitochondrial potential, revealed that conjugation to folate increased toxicity toward FR+ cells by ~2-fold [IC50(ClyA-folate) = 5.45 nM vs IC50(ClyA) = 13.5 nM] (see Figure 2C). In order to confirm that ClyA-folate induces preferential cell lysis, we added 10 nM of ClyA-folate to FR+ and FR− cells containing culture medium with a standard folate concentration (0.002 mM), and we assessed the integrity of the cell membrane using a propidium iodide assay. Under these conditions, we found that ClyA-folate causes increased cell death in FR+ cells but not in FR− cells (see Figure 2D). This difference is abolished when folate concentration in the medium is increased 250-fold (see Figure 2D). This suggests that cell death mediated by ClyA-folate is receptor-mediated. Thus, conjugation of ClyA with folate increases its specificity to FR+ cells, making this a possible candidate for a targeted therapy approach against FR-overexpressing tumors.

A Genetically Encoded Immunotoxin. In a second approach, the anti-EGFR nanobody 7d1241,42 was genetically attached to the C-terminus of ClyA-AS via a 16 amino acid long linker (see Figure 2E). EGFR overexpression in cells is associated with different cancer types and is an indication of especially aggressive breast cancer.43,44 The ClyA-AS-nanobody construct (ClyA-Nb) was overexpressed in E. coli cells and purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography. Conjugation to the nanobody preserved hemolytic activity of ClyA toward sheep red blood cells (see Figure 3E, as well as Figure S2D in the Supporting Information). To test whether nanobody attachment improved toxicity toward EGFR-overexpressing cells, we measured the mitochondrial activity of A431 epidermoid carcinoma cells, overexpressing EGFR,45 at increasing concentration of immunotoxin. The cell viability experiments showed a 2-fold reduced IC50 of ClyA-Nb [IC50(ClyA-Nb) = 7.2 ± 1.1 nM], compared to ClyA-AS [IC50(ClyA) = 17.1 ± 2.9 nM] (see Figure 2F). Incubation with EGF abolished this difference (see Figure 2G, as well as Figures S1A, S1B, and S3A in the Supporting Information). Thus, as observed for ClyA-folate conjugation, the attachment of the targeting unit increased toxicity to the target cells by 2-fold, making ClyA-Nb a possible candidate for a targeted therapy approach against EGFR-overexpressing tumors.

Protein Engineering Allows Efficient Synthesis in E. coli and Improves Targetability toward Cancer Cells. To increase specificity of ClyA toward the membranes of target
cancer cells, we performed random mutagenesis using degenerate primers targeting isoleucine 5 and phenylalanine 6 in ClyA-Nb (see Figure 3A). The two residues are hydrophobic and are located just before the transmembrane region of ClyA. Thus, we hypothesized they are important for membrane binding. An initial negative screening on red blood cells was performed, where toxins which showed slower hemolysis rates than ClyA-Nb were selected. This step was intended to reduce the affinity of the toxin for nontarget cell membrane. Moderate active variants were then purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography and tested for expression and purity by blue native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (BN-PAGE; see Figure 3C, as well as Figure S2A in the Supporting Information). Variants, which were highly expressed and showed no preoligomerization (i.e., they do not oligomerize in the absence of membranes or surfactants) were then selected and tested on A431 cells. Among the variants tested, SE-ClyA-Nb could be purified with reasonably high yields (∼1 mg from 300 mL culture), showed low tendency to preoligomerize, and showed a 3-fold slower hemolytic activity, compared to ClyA-AS and ClyA-Nb \( t_{50}(\text{ClyA-AS}) = 12 \text{ min}, t_{50}(\text{ClyA-Nb}) = 14 \text{ min}, \) and \( t_{50}(\text{SE-ClyA-Nb}) = 48 \text{ min for } 1 \mu \text{g toxin} \) (see Figure S2D in the Supporting Information). Crucially, the toxicity on A431 cells improved 2-fold more, compared to ClyA-Nb \( \text{IC}_{50}(\text{SE-ClyA-Nb}) = 4.1 \pm 0.5 \text{ nM} \) (see Figure 3F). In the presence of 15 nM, EGF the toxicity reduced 4-fold \( \text{IC}_{50}(\text{SE-ClyA-Nb}, \text{EGF}) = 16.0 \pm 0.7 \text{ nM} \) (see Figure 3G, as well as Figures S3B and S3C in the Supporting Information) to the level observed for ClyA \( \text{IC}_{50}(\text{ClyA, EGF}) = 18.5 \pm 3.1 \text{ nM} \) (see Figure 3H), indicating that the additional toxicity of SE-ClyA-Nb is due to the specific interaction of the nanobody with the cancer cell receptors.

Toxins Can Be Exchanged. The cytotoxic efficiency of ClyA toxins is dependent on the membrane composition of the targeted cell line. Thus, to refine and generalize our approach, we exchanged the ClyA nanopore toxin for the actinoporin Fragaceatoxin C (FraC). Similarly to ClyA, FraC is a pore-forming toxin that is expressed as a water-soluble monomer and self-assembles into (octameric) transmembrane pores. However, the N-terminal membrane spanning region of FraC interacts tightly with three sphingomyelin molecules per monomer (see Figure S4A in the Supporting Information). Thus, FraC requires sphingomyelin to cause cell damage. The anti-EGFR nanobody containing the 18-amino acid linker used to prepare ClyA-Nb was fused to the C-terminus of S-FraC to prepare S-FraC-Nb. S-FraC carries the mutation W112S, compared to wild-type (WT) FraC that we found increased its expression in E. coli cells. S-FraC-Nb could be purified at high yield in E. coli cells and exhibited hemolytic activity that was similar to that of S-FraC (see Figure S4C in the Supporting Information).
Many tumor cells secrete proteases such as furin,\(^34,47,48\) urokinase plasminogen activator,\(^49\) or cathepsin B,\(^50\) which, in turn, activates other proteases, eventually promoting tumor metastasis by helping tumor cells digest the extracellular matrix and penetrate the basal lamina. Therefore, we planned to design an immunotoxin that is activated by furin. To silence the toxicity of ClyA, we fused dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR, 19 kDa) to the N-terminus of the ClyA-Nb and FraC-Nb toxins via an 18-amino-acid-long linker containing a furin cleavage site (see Figure S5A in the Supporting Information). DHFR was selected because it is a relatively small protein that shows high water solubility, is expressed well in E. coli, and is nontoxic to human cells. The digestion of DHFR-FraC by 2-fold toward EGFR-overexpressing A431 cells, while decreasing the toxicity toward the cells. (G) Toxicity of SE-ClyA-Nb in the presence and absence of 15 nM EGF, showing that the e

activation of the toxin. Surprisingly, however, DHFR-SE-ClyA showed similar hemolytic activity as SE-ClyA-Nb, indicating that DHFR-SE-ClyA-Nb can oligomerize on membranes, despite the large protein on the transmembrane helix (Figure S5C in the Supporting Information). By contrast, the DHFR-FraC construct was much less hemolytic active. However, the construct suffered from a small background activity on red blood cells, and it could not be purified at high concentrations, because of aggregation.

To improve the E. coli synthesis of the DHFR-FraC construct, we performed three rounds of random mutagenesis on the entire construct. Libraries were generated by error-prone PCR and screened for hemolytic activity in the presence and absence of protease. Variants were selected that showed low or no background activity but were hemolytic active after proteolytic cleavage. The best three variants from the third round were purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography and compared using hemolytic activity. MD-DHFR-FraC (displaying the T50M mutation on the DHFR sequence and the N325D mutation in the FraC sequence), C-DHFR-FraC (R312C at the interface of FraC protomers), and HC-DHFR-FraC (R102H in the active site of DHFR and R312C at the interface of FraC protomers) did not induce red blood cell lysis after the addition of 10 μg of the purified proteins (see Figure 4B, as well as Figure S6A in the Supporting Information). However, upon incubation with furin (3 h, 37 °C), all variants lysed red blood cells within minutes \(t_{50}(\text{C-DHFR-FraC}) = 4.8\) min, \(t_{50}(\text{HC-DHFR-FraC}) = 5\) min, and \(t_{50}(\text{MD-DHFR-FraC}) = 2.8\) min.
for 10 μg toxin] (see Figure 4B). MD-DHFR-FraC was selected for further cytotoxic characterisation on A431 cells. The addition of up to 600 nM DHFR-FraC caused no cell death, while an equal concentration of proteolyzed MD-DHFR-FraC showed cytotoxicity comparable to S-FraC [IC50(cut FraC) = 98.9 ± 11.9 nM] (see Figure 4C).

In order to test whether a DHFR-FraC construct can be activated by a cell-secreted protease, the MD-DHFR-FraC was tested on Calu-6 cells, which is a cell line expressing the protease furin,51,52 the latter is a protease that is overexpressed by many cancer cells.34,51 Rewardingly, MD-DHFR-FraC showed cytotoxicity on Calu-6 cells [IC50 = 212.0 ± 35.4 nM] that was comparable to the preproteolyzed MD-DHFR-FraC [IC50 = 142.5 ± 30.5 nM] (see Figure 4D). In contrast, when up to 820 nM of a caged immunotoxin containing a TEV (DHFR-(TEV)-FraC) rather than a furin cleavage site was used, no cytotoxic activity was observed (see Figure 4E), indicating that the activation of FraC by Calu-6 cells was induced by furin and not by other nonspecific proteases.

A Triggered Immunotoxin. In the last step, the nanobody was genetically fused to the C-terminus of evolved MD-DHFR-FraC (see Figure 5A). Although the size of the protein increased to 56 kDa, no additional directed evolution was required, as the construct successfully expressed well in E. coli cells (~1 mg from 300 mL culture) and could be efficiently purified in one-step by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography (see Figure 5B). The full construct (DHFR-FraC-Nb) exhibited no hemolytic activity, while incubation with furin induced red blood cell lysis within a few minutes [t50(DHFR-FraC-Nb) = 4.6 min for 10 μg toxin] (see Figure 5C). As expected, DHFR-FraC-Nb was not cytotoxic toward A431 cells (24 h incubation) (see Figure 5D), but caused cell death after incubation with furin [IC50 = 146 ± 18.5 nM] (see Figures S7B and S7D in the Supporting Information). Cytotoxicity was reduced when EGF was added to the growth medium [IC50 = 283.9 ± 15.1 nM] (see Figure S7C in the Supporting Information), confirming that the interaction with the cell membrane receptor increased the immunotoxin activity. In situ activation of DHFR-FraC-Nb was tested, using the furin-expressing cell line Calu-6.51,52 As expected, DHFR-FraC-Nb, which was not active on A431 cells, induced cell death toward Calu-6 cells [IC50 = 95.2 ± 14.4 nM] (see Figure 5D, as well as Figures S7B and S7D in the Supporting Information). The addition of 15 nM EGF reduced the toxicity of DHFR-FraC-Nb by almost 2-fold [IC50 = 148.2 ± 3.6 nM] (see Figures S7C and S7E in the Supporting Information). Most likely, the effect of the nanobody on Calu-6 cells is less pronounced because this cell line expresses less EGFR than A431 cells.53
DISCUSSION

Since the introduction of the first recombinant protein therapeutic, human insulin, 35 years ago, proteins have long remained a rarely used subset of medical treatments. In recent years, protein therapeutics have increased dramatically in number and frequency of use, and they now have a significant role in almost every field of medicine, despite this role is still only in its infancy. In this work, we describe a method that uses directed evolution to prepare protein-based therapeutic agents consisting of a membrane-targeting element covalently linked to a caged toxin.

We tested ClyA from *Salmonella typhi* and FraC from *Actinia fragacea* pore-forming toxins as cytotoxic elements. Both toxins are expressed as soluble monomers that form oligomeric pores into lipid membranes. In order to direct protein toxicity toward selected cells, we explored two approaches. A small molecule folate was chemically attached to ClyA via a 5 kDa PEG linker. The latter was used to mediate the interaction distance with the receptor and to facilitate the purification of the conjugate. This approach is fast and might allow the screening of hundreds of molecules. However, the bioorthogonal chemical linkages can be instable, and they can produce low reaction yields that might result in heterogeneous samples. Thus, we tested a second approach, where the variable antibody domain of a single-domain camelid antibodies, called nanobodies (Nb), conjugated to the C-terminus of the toxin. Nanobodies are small and stable, penetrate tissues efficiently, show low immunogenicity, and can be easily produced in bacteria. For both approaches, we found that ClyA and FraC toxins conjugated to the targeting unit induced a 2-fold increase in IC\textsubscript{50} toward cancer cell lines overexpressing the cognate receptor.

In order to improve the soluble expression of the immunotoxin, increase target affinity, and reduce the toxicity toward blood cells, we resorted to a directed evolution experiment in which the hydrophobic residues at the N-terminus of the ClyA toxin were randomized. The rationale was that a reduced affinity of the immunotoxin for nontargeted membranes would be compensated by the nanobody-mediated binding to a specific membrane target, leading to a greater difference in toxicity between cell types. Using this approach, we identified pore-forming immunotoxins with an additional 2-fold-increased IC\textsubscript{50} toward cells expressing EGFR and a 3-fold-reduced off-target hemolytic activity. Simultaneously, the production yield in *E. coli* cells was more efficient.

In the final step, we sought to completely suppress off-target activity. In nature, many toxins are synthesized as protoxins that are proteolytically activated extracellularly. Borrowing from nature, we introduced a polypeptidic segment at the transmembrane N-terminus of FraC and ClyA to cap the activity of the nanopore toxins. The conjugate was preceded by a cleaving sequence for furin, which is a cancer-associated protease involved in degrading the extracellular matrix in tumor formation and metastasis. We found that ClyA was fully active on red blood cells, while the toxicity of FraC was reduced but not completely abolished. Gladly, the toxicity of the caged FraC-Nb toxin could be suppressed by three rounds of

![Figure 5. A caged pore-forming immunotoxin. (A) Schematic representation of FraC conjugated to DHFR and nanobody. FraC is shown in purple, DHFR is shown in green, and the C-terminal of anti-EGFR nanobody 7D12 is shown in red. (B) 12% SDS-PAGE to examine DHFR-FraC-nanobody fusion and its proteolysis. Lane 1, protein ladder; lane 2, DHFR-FraC-Nb; and lane 3, DHFR-FraC-Nb proteolyzed by furin (1:100 ratio). Only ~50% of DHFR-FraC-Nb was proteolytically activated. The arrows indicate the proteins of interest, while additional bands most likely correspond to partial proteolytic products or impurity in the commercial furin sample. (C) Comparison of the hemolytic activity of DHFR-FraC-Nb and proteolyzed DHFR-FraC-Nb. Only proteolyzed DHFR-FraC-Nb is active. (D) Representative dose–response curves of DHFR-FraC-Nb toward A431 cells or Calu-6 cells, showing that DHFR-(furin)-FraC-Nb is only active on Calu-6 cells, which is the only cell line expressing furin necessary for activation.](image-url)
directed evolution, allowing one to identify a construct that was completely inactive toward cellular membranes but fully active upon in situ protease activation by a cancer cell line overexpressing furin.

This work describes a method that uses a directed evolution approach to prepare pore-forming immunotoxins with caged activity. Our design contains three separate elements that can be easily exchanged: a water-soluble pore-forming toxin that oligomerizes on lipid membranes, a membrane targeting unit, and protein trigger for in situ activation. The targeting element and the protein trigger allows a two-degree control of the toxin activity. The pore-forming ability of the immunotoxin may offer advantages, compared to conventional toxins. The activity can be easily assayed on red blood cells, which, in turn, allows the use of random mutagenesis approaches to improve the synthesis of the proteins in E. coli cells and to fine-tune the properties of the protein conjugate. In addition, the oligomeric nature of the assembled cytotoxic pores will allow conjugating the protein drug with multiple membrane targeting motives, which will allow further fine-tuning of the cytotoxicity toward targeted cells.

Methods

Hemolytic Activity Assay. Debrinated sheep blood (ThermoFisher Scientific) was washed with 150 mM NaCl, 15 mM Tris.HCl (pH 7.5) until the supernatant was clear. The erythrocytes were then resuspended with the same buffer to ~1% concentration (OD_{650} = 0.6–0.8). The suspension (120 µL) was then mixed with the solutions containing immunotoxin. Hemolytic activity was measured by monitoring the decrease in turbidity was attained. To determine hemolytic activity of the pore-forming ability of the immunotoxin may be easily assayed on red blood cells, which, in turn, allows the use of random mutagenesis approaches to improve the synthesis of the proteins in E. coli cells and to fine-tune the properties of the protein conjugate. In addition, the oligomeric nature of the assembled cytotoxic pores will allow conjugating the protein drug with multiple membrane targeting motives, which will allow further fine-tuning of the cytotoxicity toward targeted cells.

Cytotoxicity Assay. Cell viability after the addition of immunotoxin was assessed with the WST-8 cell proliferation assay. First, 20,000 cells were seeded per well in a 96-well plate in the corresponding medium and incubated for 24 h. Cells were then treated in triplicate with varying concentrations of immunotoxins, toxins, epidermal growth factor and folate. After 24 or 48 h, 5 µL of the CCK-8 solution was added per well, and the plates were incubated for 2 h under standard conditions. Lastly, the absorption was measured at 450 nm in a MultiskanTM GO Microplate spectrophotometer. IC_{50} values were calculated by using sigmoidal fi
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