MicroRNAs, macrocontrol: Regulation of miRNA processing
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ABSTRACT
MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a set of small, non-protein-coding RNAs that regulate gene expression at the post-transcriptional level. Maturation of miRNAs comprises several regulated steps resulting in ~22-nucleotide single-stranded mature miRNAs. Regulation of miRNA expression can occur both at the transcriptional level and at the post-transcriptional level during miRNA processing. Recent studies have elucidated specific aspects of the well-regulated nature of miRNA processing involving various regulatory proteins, editing of miRNA transcripts, and cellular location. In addition, single nucleotide polymorphisms in miRNA genes can also affect the processing efficiency of primary miRNA transcripts. In this review we present an overview of the currently known regulatory pathways of miRNA processing and provide a basis to understand how aberrant miRNA processing may arise and may be involved in pathophysiological conditions such as cancer.
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INTRODUCTION
MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small (~22-nucleotide [nt]) noncoding RNA molecules that are single-stranded in the functional form (Bartel 2004). Unlike their small size, they play an important role in the regulation of gene expression at the post-transcriptional level. After their discovery in Caenorhabditis elegans (Lee et al. 1993; Wightman et al. 1993), there have been a large number of studies identifying miRNAs in animals, plants, and viruses. Their importance was confirmed in several cellular processes like development, cell fate determination, proliferation, and apoptosis. Moreover, altered miRNA expression profiles have been demonstrated in a large number of pathological conditions, such as cancer, suggesting that miRNAs are involved in disordered cellular function, such as malignant transformation.

miRNAs are located within introns and exons of protein-coding genes or in intergenic regions (Kim and Nam 2006). They are transcribed as long primary miRNA (pri-miRNA) transcripts containing one or more hairpin structures. Each hairpin structure consists of a double-stranded stem and a terminal loop. In the nucleus, the primary miRNA is cleaved by the Microprocessor complex, which consists of Drosha and DGCR8 (Lee et al. 2003; Denli et al. 2004; Gregory et al. 2004; Han et al. 2004; Landthaler et al. 2004). This cleavage step results in an ~65-nt precursor miRNA (pre-miRNA), which is exported from the nucleus to the cytoplasm in association with Exportin-5 and RanGTP (Yi et al. 2003; Bohnsack et al. 2004; Lund et al. 2004) and cleaved by Dicer to an ~22-nt miRNA duplex (Grishok et al. 2001; Hutvagner et al. 2001). One of the two strands is assembled into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) together with one of the Argonaute (Ago) proteins. RISC can bind to the 3′-untranslated region (UTR) of the target mRNA based on a partial miRNA–mRNA complementarity. This binding causes a translational inhibition and/or degradation of the target mRNA (Eulalio et al. 2008; Filipowicz et al. 2008). However, not all miRNAs are processed by this so-called canonical biogenesis pathway. Alternatively, miRNAs can be generated from short intronic hairpins called mirtrons that are spliced and debranched to mimic pre-miRNA (Okamura et al. 2007; Ruby et al. 2007). Mirtrons bypass cleavage by Drosha, but nuclear export and further processing are common with the canonical miRNA processing pathway (Okamura et al. 2007; Ruby et al. 2007).

Biogenesis of miRNAs is tightly regulated resulting in characteristic miRNA expression patterns for different organisms, tissues, cell types, and developmental stages. It
is known that transcription of miRNA genes can be regulated by epigenetic factors (Scott et al. 2006; Lehmann et al. 2007; Lujambio et al. 2007) or transcription factors (Xi et al. 2006; He et al. 2007; Woods et al. 2007). The inconsistencies between primary, precursor, and mature miRNA expression levels clearly indicate that the level of mature miRNAs can also be regulated at the level of miRNA processing. This review focuses on the mechanisms and factors that regulate miRNA processing, for example, regulatory proteins, cellular localization, and genetic variation.

MECHANISMS FOR REGULATING MICRORNA PROCESSING

Processing of miRNAs can be regulated at multiple steps and leads to either elevated or decreased miRNA levels. Altered miRNA levels may be caused by regulatory proteins that influence miRNA processing, acquired variations in the miRNA transcript, and by changes in the nuclear export efficiency. In addition to these regulatory mechanisms, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) can also have a pronounced effect on the efficiency of the miRNA processing machinery.

Regulatory proteins

Recently, a number of proteins that regulate miRNA processing have been described as key elements in defining the unique expression patterns of miRNAs in different cell types, tissues, or in pathological conditions. These proteins can be subdivided into three groups, i.e., Drosha binding/associated proteins, Dicer binding proteins, and proteins that bind to the terminal loop of the pri- and/or pre-miRNAs.

Drosha binding/associated proteins

The Microprocessor complex consisting of Drosha and DGCR8 is sufficient to process pri-miRNA to pre-miRNA (Fig. 1A). However, Drosha was shown to be a component of a larger complex containing DEAD-box RNA helicases p68 (DDX5), p72 (DDX17), nuclear factor (NF) 90, and NF45 (Gregory et al. 2004). The p68/p72 and NF90/NF45 complexes have been shown to alter the miRNA processing efficiency for specific miRNAs (Fukuda et al. 2007; Davis et al. 2008; Sakamoto et al. 2009; Suzuki et al. 2009; Yamagata et al. 2009). Specifically, it has been shown that endogenous p68/p72 facilitate Drosha processing of a subset of pri-miRNAs based on reduced mature miRNA levels in both p72- and p68-helicase-deficient mouse embryos (Fukuda et al. 2007). Several studies showed that interaction of p68/p72 with other proteins also alters processing of specific primary miRNAs. Interaction of p68 with SMAD facilitates the processing of pri-miR-21 (Fig. 1B; Davis et al. 2008). The interaction of p68 with SMAD was induced by transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) and bone morpho-genetic proteins (BMPs). Similarly, wild-type p53 has been shown to associate with p68 and enhance processing of several primary miRNAs by Drosha, including pri-miRNA of miR-16-1, miR-143, and miR-145, in response to DNA damage (Fig. 1C; Suzuki et al. 2009). Moreover, wild-type p53 positively regulates Drosha-mediated processing by promoting recruitment of Drosha complex to the target pri-miRNAs, whereas mutant p53 hinders assembly of Drosha complex (Suzuki et al. 2009). Drosha-mediated processing can be inhibited by p68/p72-dependent mechanisms upon stimulation of estrogen receptor alpha (ERα) (Fig. 1D; Yamagata et al. 2009). This mechanism caused obstructed processing of a set of pri-miRNAs including miR-16, miR-125a, miR-143, miR-145, and miR-195 (Yamagata et al. 2009). Together these studies show that the p68/p72 complex is an important mediator of miRNA processing regulation and can direct Drosha toward either reduced or enhanced processing of specific miRNAs. The result of the interaction between Drosha, p68, and target pri-miRNA depends on proteins interacting with p68 like SMAD, p53, or ERα. This indicates that the p68/p72-dependent mechanism is sensitive to cellular context.

Two other members of the large Drosha-containing complex identified by Gregory et al. (2004), i.e., NF90 and NF45, were also shown to be involved in the regulation of miRNA processing (Fig. 1E). However, the interaction between Drosha and NF90/NF45 has not been confirmed for the endogenous Drosha–DGCR8 complex (Sakamoto et al. 2009). Nevertheless, overexpression of NF90/NF45 in 293T cells caused accumulation of pri-let-7a-1, pri-miR-21,
and pri-miR-15a~16-1, without affecting the mature miRNA levels (Sakamoto et al. 2009). This suggests that the decreased processing efficiency induced by NF90/NF45 was compensated by other factors. Depletion of NF90 resulted in decreased pri-let-7a-1 levels and increased mature let-7a levels (Sakamoto et al. 2009). The higher binding affinity of NF90/NF45 to pri-let-7a-1, as compared to DGCR8 in vitro, suggested that the reduced miRNA processing efficiency was caused by reducing the accessibility for Drosha–DGCR8 (Sakamoto et al. 2009).

Current literature shows that regulatory proteins are a dominant factor in the regulation of Drosha-mediated pri-miRNA processing. Moreover, various signaling pathways enhance or reduce the efficiency of this step. It is likely that more Drosha-associated proteins regulate miRNA processing, and, as such, the balance between positive and negative regulators may determine the efficiency of miRNA processing.

**Dicer binding proteins**

Dicer interacts with Tar RNA binding protein (TRBP) and protein activator of PKR (PACT) and one of the Ago (1–4) proteins, mainly Ago2 (Chendrimada et al. 2005; Haase et al. 2005; Lee et al. 2006). TRBP and PACT facilitate RISC assembly, and they are not essential for miRNA processing (Haase et al. 2005; Lee et al. 2006). However, phosphorylated TRBP stabilized the Dicer-containing complex (Paroo et al. 2009). Expression of phospho-mimic TRBP resulted in increased levels of growth-promoting miRNAs like miR-17, miR-20a, and miR-92 and decreased the level of the growth-inhibitory miRNA let-7a (Paroo et al. 2009). However, let-7a level is affected indirectly via a mechanism that may involve other proteins like Lin28 (Paroo et al. 2009). TRBP phosphorylation was mediated by the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway. Therefore, alteration of miRNA processing by ERK may result in a pro-growth phenotype.

Ago proteins are important for proper miRNA function. However, they can also influence miRNA expression. Ectopically expressed Ago proteins (Ago1–4) enhanced expression of some miRNAs including miR-215, miR-17-5p, miR-23b, and miR-92 (Diederichs and Haber 2007). Additionally, Ago2, which has intrinsic endonuclease activity in mammals (Song et al. 2004), induced cleavage of pre-miRNAs leading to an alternative processing intermediate with cleaved 3′-arms of the hairpin (Diederichs and Haber 2007). This intermediate did not change processing to mature miRNA, but may facilitate miRNA duplex dissociation and formation of RISC complex. Dicer-associated proteins, especially TRBP, clearly play a role in the regulation of miRNA processing. However, the mechanisms and specificity of this regulation remain unknown.

**Terminal loop binding proteins**

Processing of primary and precursor miRNAs (Fig. 2A) can be regulated by terminal loop binding proteins resulting in either reduced or enhanced processing efficiency. Members of the let-7 family were shown to be post-transcriptionally regulated during differentiation of human embryonic stem cells (Suh et al. 2004), development of mice (Thomson et al. 2006), and neural differentiation of embryocarcinoma cells (Wulczyn et al. 2007). In all cases, Lin28, the developmentally regulated RNA binding protein, was shown to inhibit pri-let-7 processing (Fig. 2B; Newman et al. 2008; Piskounova et al. 2008; Rybak et al. 2008; Viswanathan et al. 2008). Lin28 interacted with the terminal loop region via a conserved sequence, inhibiting processing of pri- and pre-miRNA (Newman et al. 2008; Piskounova et al. 2008; Rybak et al. 2008; Viswanathan et al. 2008). Suppression of let-7 in neural stem cells led to up-regulation of Lin28 and failure of pre-let-7 processing (Rybak et al. 2008). These results suggest a feedback loop between let-7 and Lin28. Lin28 causes terminal uridylation of pre-let-7 in the cytoplasm (Heo et al. 2008) leading to inhibition of Dicer processing and inducing guidance of pre-let-7 to a degradation pathway.
Binding proteins play an important role in the regulation of miRNA processing. Therefore, it is highly likely that other RNA binding proteins may also be involved in the regulation of processing of individual miRNAs.

**Cellular location**

Exportin-5 mediates the nuclear export of pre-miRNAs to the cytoplasm and protects pre-miRNAs from digestion (Bohnsack et al. 2004; Lund et al. 2004). The length of the double-stranded stem and presence of 3' overhangs but not the sequence or the loop structure are important for proper recognition of pre-miRNAs by Exportin-5 (Lund et al. 2004; Zeng and Cullen 2004).

A blockade in the transport of pre-miRNAs from nucleus to cytoplasm was suggested to explain the high levels of precursor and lack of mature miR-128a, miR-105, and miR-31 in some cancer cell lines. This was supported by the predominant nuclear localization of primary/precursors detected by in situ RT-PCR (Lee et al. 2008). A debatable example for premature nuclear export is BIC (pri-miR-155) (van den Berg et al. 2003; Eis et al. 2005; Kluiver et al. 2005). RNA in situ hybridization (ISH) using a probe complementary to the 3' part of exon 3 revealed a strong nuclear staining in various lymphoma subtypes and in normal B-cells. This exon contains the stem–loop region of miR-155, indicating an appropriate location. Eis al. (2005) showed a cytoplasmic location of spliced BIC transcripts and a nuclear location of the unspliced BIC transcript in two lymphoma cell lines by RT-PCR of RNA isolated from purified nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions. These data might indicate that the unspliced BIC transcript serves as a source for miR-155. However, this does not explain the specific nuclear localization for BIC using RNA-ISH. Since both cell lines tested by Eis al. (2005) showed a high level of miR-155, it remains unclear if premature export of spliced BIC transcripts explains the low miR-155 levels observed in Burkitt lymphoma cell lines after induction of BIC (Kluiver et al. 2007). Based on current literature, the importance of nuclear export in miRNA processing regulation remains uncertain.

**Sequence alterations in DNA/RNA**

Alteration of miRNA processing can be caused not only by changes in the processing machinery, but also due to sequence alterations in the miRNA genes or RNA transcripts. In 15% of patients with chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL), but not in healthy controls, mutations were found in five of 42 analyzed miRNA genes (Calin et al. 2005). Moreover, a germline mutation located in the miR-15a~16-1 genomic DNA, 7 bp downstream from pre-miR-16-1, resulted in lower levels of the mature miRNAs (Calin et al. 2005). However, it remains to be established whether this effect is caused by aberrant transcription or processing.

Besides mutations, alterations at the miRNA transcript level caused by RNA editing can affect miRNA processing (Fig. 3). RNA editing is conducted by adenosine deaminases
acting on RNA (ADARs) that convert adenosine (A) to inosine (I) in dsRNA structures (Bass 2002; Maas et al. 2003; Amariglio and Rechavi 2007). The primary transcript of miR-22 was the first miRNA shown to undergo A-to-I editing at positions that surround the Drosha cleavage site (Luciano et al. 2004). However, the physiological role of miR-22 editing has not been revealed yet. Another primary miRNA found to be edited by ADAR1 and ADAR2 isoforms in vitro is pri-miR-142 (Yang et al. 2006b). A-to-I editing of pri-miR-142 resulted in reduced Drosha processing in HEK293 cells. However, no accumulation of edited pri-miR-142 was observed in the nucleus. Edited pri-miR-142 was shown to be cleaved in vitro by Tudor-SN, a component of RISC, with ribonuclease activity specific to inosine-containing dsRNAs (Scadden 2005). However, the relevance of Tudor-SN for in vivo degradation of edited pri-miRNAs is still uncertain. ADAR editing of the pri-miRNA can also inhibit Dicer cleavage (Kawahara et al. 2007a). Editing of pri-miR-151 by ADAR1 did not affect pri-miRNA to pre-miRNA processing but caused inhibition of pre- to mature miR-151 processing as proven by accumulation of edited pre-miR-151. The inhibition at the Dicer cleavage step was investigated using synthetic pre-miR-151 in vitro. Although there was efficient binding of the Dicer–TRBP complex to pre-miR-151, the cleavage of pre- and release of mature form was blocked. Analysis of editing sites revealed that only a small proportion of the pri-miR-151 transcripts were edited at a specific site. Moreover, high frequency of pre-miR-151 editing has been shown in vitro. Therefore, A-to-I editing may occur also after processing of pri- to pre-miR-151 (Kawahara et al. 2007a). Moreover, ADAR editing may interfere with miRNA function by changing the “seed” region, which is crucial for target gene binding. The edited isoform of miR-376 inhibited a different set of genes than the normal form, supporting this concept (Kawahara et al. 2007b).

Since ADARs are predominantly nuclear enzymes, their targets are most likely pri-miRNAs and pre-miRNAs before nuclear export. However, some ADAR isoforms shuttle in and out of the nucleus (Desterro et al. 2003) and may edit pre-miRNA in the cytoplasm (Kawahara et al. 2007a). Although it is obvious that ADAR editing is a regulated event, there is not much known about the relevance of ADAR editing and the fate of edited miRNAs.

**Single nucleotide polymorphisms**

Polymorphisms in a miRNA gene may alter miRNA processing by changing the stem–loop structure. Although this is not an active processing regulation mechanism, it is evident that SNPs do alter the processing efficiency (Fig. 4).

The first study that identified SNPs in miRNA precursors was performed by Iwai and Naraba (2005). However, no effect was observed for the processing efficiency of the two alleles of pre-miR-30c-2. The other nine SNPs that were identified in this study have not been tested (Iwai and Naraba 2005). Duan et al. (2007) systematically identified 323 SNPs that were associated with 227 human miRNA genes. Twelve of these SNPs were found in miRNA precursor sequences, and one SNP was located in the miR-125a seed sequence. Transfection of HEK293T cells with vectors expressing one of the two miR-125a precursor variants revealed that only one of the variants could be processed into mature miRNA. The blockade of the other

**FIGURE 3.** Regulation of miRNA processing by ADAR editing. Adenosine deaminases acting on RNA (ADARs) can convert adenosine to inosine in pri-miRNA; conversion of pre-miRNA is also possible, but has not been proven. ADAR editing can lead to blockade in Drosha cleavage of pri-miR-142 and degradation of edited pri-miR-142 by a ribonuclease Tudor-SN. ADAR editing can also block Dicer processing of pri-miR-151 causing accumulation of edited pre-miR-151.

**FIGURE 4.** Influence of SNPs on miRNA processing. SNP variants of miR-125a, miR-146, miR-510, miR-196a, and miR-934 are processed differently due to changes in a stem structure or processing sites. Major alleles are situated on the left side; minor alleles on the right.
CONCLUDING REMARKS

Recent studies have shown that miRNA biogenesis involves a number of tightly regulated processing steps that provide an important regulatory mechanism to define cellular levels of specific miRNAs. Therefore, biogenesis of miRNAs should not be regarded as a linear, unified mechanism. Based on current studies, Drosha, Dicer, and terminal loop binding proteins are the main factors involved in miRNA processing regulation. Cellular localization and ADAR editing influence processing of certain miRNAs, but their overall impact seems to be limited.

It is evident that proteins known to regulate transcription (p53, SMADs) or mRNA stability (KSRP) can also influence miRNA processing efficiency and therefore have the ability to control cellular levels of miRNAs. In some cases, complex networks have been reported to regulate processing of specific miRNAs; i.e., processing of miR-16, miR-143, and miR-145 is facilitated by p53 and inhibited processing of specific miRNAs; i.e., processing of miR-16, miR-502, miR-510, miR-890, and miR-892b (Jazdzewski et al. 2008; Sun et al. 2009). Possibly, the SNP affects the binding efficiency of the Drosha/DGCR8 complex. The T/G SNP in miR-934 altered processing efficiency, strand preference, and the mature miRNA sequence (Sun et al. 2009). In human lung cancer tissue, similar pre-miR-196a levels were observed for both alleles of the C/T SNP (rs11614913), whereas a marked difference was observed for the mature miR-196a levels, indicating an alteration in the pre- to mature miRNA processing step (Hu et al. 2008). This suggests interference with the nuclear export or the Dicer processing step of pre-miR-196a by the SNP. Together, these studies demonstrate that SNPs in miRNA genes can significantly affect miRNA processing and in some cases also miRNA function.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altered miRNA</th>
<th>Compared tissues or cells</th>
<th>Inconsistency between</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>miR-7</td>
<td>Glioblastoma/normal brain</td>
<td>Primary/precursor and mature</td>
<td>Kefas et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-128&lt;sup&gt;†&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Brain, skeletal muscle/other tissues</td>
<td>Primary, precursor/mature</td>
<td>Lee et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-138&lt;sup&gt;†&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Brain, neuroblastoma/other tissues</td>
<td>Precursor/mature</td>
<td>Obernosterer et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-143&lt;sup&gt;†&lt;/sup&gt; miR-145&lt;sup&gt;†&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Colorectal adenocarcinoma/normal mucosa</td>
<td>Precursor/mature</td>
<td>Michael et al. 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-155&lt;sup&gt;†&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Burkitt lymphoma with elevated pri-miR-155/other cells</td>
<td>Primary/mature</td>
<td>Kluiver et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-206&lt;sup&gt;†&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Mouse myoblast cells with elevated pri-miR-206/other cells</td>
<td>Primary/mature</td>
<td>Sato et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Arrows indicate difference in miRNA levels between compared tissues or cells.
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processes might provide a novel approach to restore a normal miRNA profile in cancer cells. Undoubtedly, many factors regulating the cellular miRNA levels are still unknown. Further unraveling of the mechanisms responsible for regulation of the miRNA processing machinery will be an important step in elucidating the pathophysiological significance of miRNAs in malignancies and open up venues for treatment.
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