Characteristics of patients expressing an interest in ketamine treatment
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Depression is the leading cause of disability worldwide and the chronic or recurrent course results in substantial personal, social and economic consequences. Although many effective antidepressant treatment strategies are available, approximately one-third of patients with major depressive disorder do not achieve remission. There is an urgent need for the development of new therapeutic options in major depressive disorder.

Meta-analyses have shown a rapid and robust antidepressant effect lasting 1–2 weeks following administration of the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist ketamine to patients with treatment-resistant depression. These promising results have led to growing media interest in the use of ketamine in psychiatric disorders over the past 15 years. Clinicians are legally allowed to prescribe the drug, although it has not been approved as a treatment for depression. Despite relatively scarce information on the consequences of repeated and longer-term use for depression and the misuse potential, media reporting about ketamine as a new breakthrough in the treatment of depression is widespread. This has encouraged many patients to request ketamine treatment and commercial clinics in the USA are offering the treatment on a large scale.

The placement of off-label ketamine within the current algorithm of antidepressant treatment has been the subject of discussion for the past 10 years. The Ketamine Advocacy Network aims for ketamine treatment to be widely offered, and a wide variety of patients are eager to try the experimental treatment, sometimes prior to conventional evidence-based antidepressant treatment.

Others have taken the approach that clinical implementation of ketamine treatment is premature and should wait for better evidence on effects and side-effects. Consensus statements and ethical discussions advocate cautious expansion of use.

An understanding of the behaviour and attitudes of patients who are considering ketamine as an antidepressant option will help inform policy and practical decisions about its clinical use.

Method

A simple survey was constructed that contained the following questions.

(a) Do you suffer from depression?
(b) How many different antidepressant drugs have you taken in the last year? (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, more than 5)
(c) How many different antidepressants have you taken in the last 10 years? (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 or more)
(d) How long ago were you last free from depression for more than a month?
(e) Are you currently under the care of a psychiatrist or mental health team?
(f) Employment status
(g) How old are you? (Under 20, 21–40, 41–60, 61–70, 71 or over)
(h) Please use this box for any other comments or questions
A link to the survey was posted on the website of RedKITE (http://www.red-kite.org.uk) and in an article reporting the publication of results of case series\(^{13}\) published on the website of a National Health Service (NHS) hospital trust.\(^3\) RedKITE was a collaboration between clinicians and researchers across the UK exploring the use of ketamine as a possible treatment for depression. This survey link therefore could only be found by those who were very active in exploring ketamine as a treatment for depression. It was not directly discoverable through standard internet searching. Completion of the survey was invited thus: ‘The study team (i.e. for the published case series) would like to hear from patients and others who are interested in this area. Please register this interest at https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/9RQP5KL’. The answers of this survey were collected from the 2 April 2014 until the 5 February 2017. Basic descriptive statistics (such as frequencies) were used to describe the results of the survey. The qualitative, free-text comments were assessed for comments about the following topics: poor effect, intolerable side-effects or other adverse comments considering regular antidepressant medication, desperation and suicidality, former ketamine use and negative opinions about ketamine. The Health Research Authority decided that this project was not considered to be research and did not require review by an NHS research ethics committee.

### Results

#### Depression

The online survey regarding people’s interest in treatment with ketamine for depression was filled out 1088 times. Most (93.3%) reported having depression (Table 1). The other 6.7% were filled in on behalf of relatives or by people with a professional interest in treatment of depression with ketamine. Of those reporting depression, most (88.1%) were in the age category of 21–60 years old, 8.7% were older than 60 years old and 3.2% of the people were younger than 21 years old (Table 1).

#### Duration of current depressive episode

Of the people reporting depression, 64.3% had not been free from depressive symptoms for more than 2 years (chronic depression) and half of these reported unrelenting depression for more than 10 years (32.6%). Of the people reporting current depression, 19.4% had been free from these symptoms for more than a month within the past 2 years (Table 1). Other patients (16.3%) did not answer the question or could not recall when they were last free from depression, with the latter answer suggesting a chronic course. However, only 51.9% of the patients were currently under the care of a psychiatrist or a mental health team.

#### Antidepressants

No regular antidepressant medication was used within the past year by 13.5% of the patients feeling currently depressed and 5.3% had not used antidepressants in the past 10 years (Table 1). Most people reporting depression had used at least two antidepressants in the past year (54.0%) and 9.6% had tried five or more antidepressants. Over the past 10 years, 86.3% had used at least two antidepressants, of which 47.2% had tried five or more. In the group of patients who reported chronic depression, 87.9% had used at least two antidepressants in the past 10 years.

#### Summary of other comments

A free-text ‘comments’ field was completed by 499 respondents (49.2% of patients reporting depression). Of these, 221 (44.3%) reported poor effect or intolerable side-effects from their current or previous antidepressant medication (Fig. 1). A further 22 respondents (4.4%) made other adverse comments: that drug companies are withholding clinical trial evidence; or that antidepressants make you feel falsely happy or only mask the depressive symptoms. Desperation was not uncommon (n = 63, 12.6%). Reference to suicidal thinking was relatively uncommon (n = 33, 6.6%). Some reported that they felt that their psychiatrist gave up on them (n = 12, 2.4%).

A small minority (n = 39, 7.8%) described previous use of ketamine, most of which was through illegal routes, and 16 respondents (3.2%) reported self-medicating with other drugs or alcohol. Nine patients (1.8%) reported longer-term self-medicating with ketamine (for example for 3, 6 and 15 years).

Comments about former ketamine experiences included feeling less depressed for several weeks, feeling peaceful or more capable of facing certain aspects of life. Patients found ketamine to be very effective in relieving depressive symptoms. It was called the only kind of medication effective in relieving depressive symptoms. It was called the only kind of medication.
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*Table 1 Descriptive statistics*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All respondents</td>
<td>1088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression status</td>
<td>1015 (93.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status*</td>
<td>1012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>422 (41.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
<td>299 (29.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In education</td>
<td>114 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>75 (7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>167 (16.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents with depression</td>
<td>1008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>523 (51.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;21 years</td>
<td>32 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–60 years</td>
<td>890 (88.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60 years</td>
<td>88 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently receiving mental healthcare</td>
<td>1008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>136 (13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>872 (86.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of depressive episode</td>
<td>1015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2 years</td>
<td>197 (19.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2 years</td>
<td>653 (64.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>165 (16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of antidepressants in the past year</td>
<td>1005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>136 (13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>326 (32.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>447 (44.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>96 (9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of antidepressants in the past 10 years</td>
<td>1009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>53 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>85 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–4</td>
<td>399 (39.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>476 (47.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In those patients reporting ≥2 years with depression</td>
<td>661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2 different antidepressants in the past 10 years</td>
<td>581 (87.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The sum of these percentages are >100 because some people were in more than one category.*
improved after at least two adequate trials of different classes of antidepressants. The STAR*D trial showed low remission rates when more than two treatment steps for depression are required (13.7% for the third and 13.0% for the fourth treatment steps).3

Of all patients filling in a free-text entry, 44.3% reported poor effect or intolerable side-effects from their current or previous antidepressant medication. Moreover, 12.6% volunteered that they were feeling completely desperate and some patients even stated that their psychiatrist gave up on them. This suggests that the majority of those seeking additional treatment options had relatively severe depression despite having tried one or more regular antidepressant treatment steps. Furthermore, 12.1% of patients feeling chronically depressed had tried no more than one antidepressant in the past 10 years. The free-text information of some patients contained comments indicating a general rejection of antidepressants. In some cases this was linked to negative comments about drug companies, but there are a wide variety of other possible explanations for this, for example anti-psychiatry, anti-medicine, anti-establishment.

Discussion

Main findings

Our results among patients seeking information on ketamine treatment for depression show that in the last year, 54.0% had taken at least two antidepressants. Of all patients reporting depression, 64.3% report a chronic course of their symptoms and 87.9% of them have tried at least two antidepressants in the past ten years. This group of patients can therefore be regarded as treatment resistant. Their persistent symptoms despite regular antidepressant treatment can explain their interest in alternative treatment options. Depression is usually considered treatment resistant when an episode has not improved after at least two adequate trials of different classes of antidepressants.15 The Sequenced Treatment Alternatives to Relieve Depression (STAR*D) trial showed low remission rates when more than two treatment steps for depression are required (13.7% for the third and 13.0% for the fourth treatment steps).3

Fig. 1 Qualitative analysis of free-text comments. Percentage of the total respondents that filled in a free-text entry (n = 499).

Our survey suggests that 86.3% of those who actively seek ketamine treatment would qualify as resistant to treatment (inadequate response to at least two antidepressants) and they clearly voice a pressing need for more effective antidepressant treatments. It is also clear that, despite the optimism ketamine publications have inspired, patients and clinicians should be cautious in drawing conclusions from small, uncontrolled open-label studies16,17 and larger randomised controlled trials are warranted. In addition, there is an urgent need to set up national or international registries to systematically collect data on effectiveness, long-term safety outcomes, tolerance, misuse and illegal diversion of off-label ketamine treatment in a situation where prescriptions are rapidly expanding.12,18

Limitations

The main limitation of this descriptive study is the selective nature of the population completing the survey. Respondents had to be sufficiently motivated to come across the survey link and it may be that the profile of other interested individuals is different from those who did. However, it is likely that this survey does reflect the population of those who would be willing to use such a new treatment in a controlled manner.

A second limitation is that the self-report design is prone to recall bias, for example when patients are asked when they were last free from depression for more than a month. Furthermore, depressive symptoms experienced by patients might not always correspond with the diagnosis of a depressive episode by a specialist and based on regular classification criteria. It is likely that some patients reporting ‘depression’ in the questionnaire could have other diagnoses such as dysthymia, anxiety disorders or personality disorders. However, a large majority of the respondents report having had several treatments for depression. Moreover, the self-report information about depressive symptoms, for instance as used in the Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology Self-Report (IDS-SR), has shown to be highly related to the assessment of depressive symptoms by a trained clinician using the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression.19

Another important limitation is that the current survey information is not sufficient to judge whether the former antidepressant treatment strategies had been adequate. In current daily clinical practice, factors such as non-adherence to treatment, poor psychoeducation and limited time to evaluate and treat depression are unfortunately common. Prescription of medication is often inadequate in terms of dosage and length of treatment.20,21 The survey...
also does not contain information about psychotherapy, even though several evidence-based psychotherapeutic interventions are available for the treatment of depression.

Implications

This survey suggests that most patients who are actively seeking ketamine as an antidepressant have chronic, treatment-resistant depression. Many have given up on conventional therapies and on mental health services. Their tenacity in exploring treatments with evidence for efficacy, and the reports of self-medication with illegally obtained ketamine, suggest that excessively tight restrictions on medically controlled ketamine use could risk fuelling an expansion of illegal use. This risk needs balancing against the risks associated with long-term, repeated, medically controlled, use – which have yet to be quantified. Rapid large-scale aggregation of real-world clinical data, as well as randomised trial evidence is therefore needed if policy is to develop rationally.
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