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Abstract
Hot electron transport of direct and scattered carriers across an epitaxial NiSi2/n-Si(111) interface, for different NiSi2 thickness, is studied using ballistic electron emission microscopy (BEEM). We find the BEEM transmission for the scattered hot electrons in NiSi2 to be significantly lower than that for the direct hot electrons, for all thicknesses. Interestingly, the attenuation length of the scattered hot electrons is found to be twice as large as that of the direct hot electrons. The lower BEEM transmission for the scattered hot electrons is due to inelastic scattering of the injected hot holes while the larger attenuation length of the scattered hot electrons is a consequence of the differences in the energy distribution of the injected and scattered hot electrons and the increasing attenuation length, at lower energies, of the direct hot electrons in NiSi2.

(Some figures may appear in colour only in the online journal)

1. Introduction
Hot electron transport has been widely employed in studies related to the relaxation and dynamics of excited electrons in different physical and chemical processes ranging from electronic transport, optical and two-photon photoemission experiments, surface chemistry, strong correlations in transition-metal oxides, etc [1–8]. Hot electron scattering has also been studied using ab initio techniques, by combining a first-principles approach based on density functional theory with many-body perturbation theory yielding insights into the role of electron–phonon scattering, contribution of the d electrons to screening as well as scattering and overestimation of the scattering rates using the free-electron model [9–11]. In spite of these studies, very little is known about the scattering processes and transport of secondary electron–hole (e–h) pairs that are created in Auger-like scattering processes in such experiments. Transport studies using ballistic electron emission microscopy (BEEM) have a particular advantage in this regard as such processes can be easily studied using the same device structure as that employed to study direct hot electron scattering. In this work, we demonstrate hot electron scattering and attenuation in a model epitaxial Schottky interface of NiSi2/n-Si(111) using the different modes in BEEM [1, 12, 13]. We do this by changing the injection bias polarity of the scanning tunneling microscope (STM) tip that is used in the BEEM, such that we study hot electron attenuation of both the direct and scattered electrons by injecting hot electrons and hot holes respectively. Using such an epitaxial interface, where the transmission probability has been demonstrated to be large for hot electrons [14], one can study hot electron scattering processes that are predominantly determined by inelastic e–e scattering and less sensitive to the momentum scattering in the epitaxial NiSi2 layers or at the epitaxial Schottky interface. We find that the BEEM transmission for the scattered hot electrons is lower than the BEEM transmission with the direct hot electrons. Furthermore, what is interesting is that the hot
electron attenuation length, $\lambda$, associated with the scattered hot electrons ($\lambda_{\text{eff}}$) in NiSi$_2$ is twice as large as that with direct hot electrons. The lower BEEM transmission for the scattered hot electrons is due to inelastic scattering of the injected hot holes, which removes electrons with lower energy from being collected, while the longer attenuation length of the scattered hot electrons is a cumulative effect of the differences in the energy distribution of the injected and scattered hot electrons and the increasing attenuation length, at lower energies, of the direct hot electrons in NiSi$_2$ as measured using BEEM.

2. Experimental technique

BEEM uses the tip of a scanning tunneling microscope (STM) to inject hot electrons (of energy a few eV above the Fermi level) through a vacuum tunnel barrier into a thin metal (M) layer forming a Schottky contact on an n-type semiconductor (S) as shown in figure 1. A fraction of the electrons injected in the NiSi$_2$ film is collected in the semiconductor as the collector current ($I_B$), if they satisfy the energy and momentum criteria at the M/S Schottky interface (figure 2(a)). The epitaxial Schottky interface of NiSi$_2$/n-Si(111) is shown in figure 1(b), the inset of which shows a high-resolution transmission electron microscopy image of a Type-A NiSi$_2$ on n-Si(111). By placing the STM tip at different locations of the device, the local Schottky barrier height can be extracted using the Bell–Kaiser (BK) model [1] which states that $I_B \propto (V_T - \phi_B)^2$, where $V_T$ is the applied tip voltage and $\phi_B$ is the Schottky barrier height at the M/S interface. $\phi_B$ for the Type-A NiSi$_2$/n-Si(111) interface is found to be 0.66 ± 0.02 eV. This mode of BEEM has been successfully applied to study transport across various M/S interfaces and probing the spatial homogeneity of transport across such interfaces [1, 6, 15–17, 14]. BEEM can also be operated in a reverse mode, known as the reverse BEEM (R-BEEM), which is realized by applying a positive tip bias [12] as shown in figure 2(b). In R-BEEM, hot holes that are injected in the NiSi$_2$ layer scatter with the electron gas close to $E_F$ and create secondary electrons by electron–hole (e–h) pair generation, similar to the Auger scattering process. These scattered electrons are then collected in the n-Si(111) as a reverse BEEM current ($I_{RB}$) which, near threshold, follows a power-four dependence with the injected bias [12] i.e. $I_{RB} \propto (V_T - \phi_B)^4$. The energy distribution of the injected tunnel electrons is represented in figure 2(c) in the direct BEEM for a negative tip bias, i.e. $-eV_T$. For the reverse BEEM, the distribution of the injected holes at a positive tip bias of $-eV_T$ is shown in figure 2(d) together with the scattered electron distribution. The distribution of the injected electrons and the injected holes correspond to the direct tunneling probability between the STM tip and the metal base for both modes, whereas the distribution of the excited electrons in the reverse BEEM arises due to the inelastic scattering at the metal base by the injected hot holes. The distribution of the injected hot electrons shows a maximum at the $E_F$ of the STM tip for the direct BEEM whereas it is peaked at the $E_F$ of the metal base for the R-BEEM. For a M/S interface with a Schottky barrier height (SBH) of $\phi_B$ as shown, this suggests that a large fraction of the more energetic electrons can be collected in direct BEEM whereas in R-BEEM only a small fraction of the scattered electrons can be collected close to $\phi_B$ which slowly increases with energy. Hot electron attenuation length ($\lambda$) for both the direct and scattered carriers can be measured in such metal layers across different semiconductor interfaces by considering the exponential dependence of the BEEM and R-BEEM transmissions with base layer thicknesses ($t$) as $I_B(t, E) \propto \exp[-t/\lambda(E)]$ where $E$ is the energy of the hot carriers. From R-BEEM studies, $\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ can be extracted which depends not only on the attenuation length of the injected hot holes but also on the attenuation length of the scattered electrons [12, 18]. It is non-trivial to decouple the exact contribution of the different scattering processes in the extraction of $\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ for the scattered carriers.

In this work, we investigate the thickness dependence of BEEM transmission for both the direct and scattered electrons in NiSi$_2$ grown epitaxially on n-Si(111). Such an epitaxial Schottky interface (lattice mismatch of 0.46%) with demonstrated large transmissions for hot electrons [14] are ideally suited for the study of inelastic scattering of the injected carriers, as, at such epitaxial films and interfaces, the
contribution of elastic scattering to hot electron attenuation is expected to be minimal. This will also enhance the propagation and collection of those electrons with momentum parallel to the M/S interface, i.e. parallel momentum ($k_\parallel$) is conserved, for both the direct and scattered electrons at such interfaces. The energy dependence of the BEEM transmission also enables us to extract the attenuation length for both the direct and scattered electrons from the exponential decay of the collector current with NiSi$_2$ thickness.

3. Experimental details

For this study, devices are fabricated on a patterned n-Si(111) substrate as described in [19]. Initially Ni layers of varying thicknesses are deposited on chemically terminated Si(111) substrates [19, 20]. Epitaxial NiSi$_2$ films are formed due to thermal annealing of the deposited Ni layer, according to the well established protocol [14, 21]. Thereafter, a 4 nm thick Au capping layer is deposited at room temperature. The devices are then transferred ex situ to the BEEM set-up. Electrical characterization of the diodes are performed by standard current–voltage ($I$–$V$) measurements. BEEM measurements are performed at LT (100 K) by a modified commercial STM from RHK. The sample top metal surface is grounded by using an Au contact pad and a mechanically cut PtIr STM tip is used to inject the hot electrons for direct BEEM and hot holes for R-BEEM. A large area Ohmic contact to the n-Si(111) substrate is used for hot electron collection in both cases.

4. Results and discussions

Hot electron transmission for both the direct and reverse mode in BEEM are plotted in figure 3 as a function of tip bias, $V_T$, at a constant injection current, $I_T$, for different thicknesses of NiSi$_2$. Each spectrum is an average of $\sim$100 individual spectra taken at several different locations on the same device.
Two observations are central to figure 3: (i) with increasing thickness of NiSi2, the BEEM and R-BEEM transmissions both decrease. For both cases, the transmission increases above a certain threshold that corresponds to the SBH at the NiSi2/n-Si interface (φn) and (ii) the energy dependence of the reverse BEEM transmission is less pronounced for all NiSi2 thickness as compared to the direct BEEM which shows a marked dependence on energy for all thicknesses. The R-BEEM transmissions in figure 3 have been multiplied by 20. The spectral shape for the reverse BEEM is also different from that of the direct BEEM as can be clearly observed by normalizing both the plots at 1.8 V (for the 4 nm NiSi2 film), as shown in the inset of figure 3. This is easily understood from the BK model which states that close to the threshold, the direct BEEM and R-BEEM transmission varies as power 2 and 4 respectively, above φn, and further indicates the different energy dependence of scattering for the two processes.

The ratio of the energy dependence of IB to I0B, is plotted in figure 4 and represents the efficiency of collection of the scattered electrons created by electron–hole (e–h) pair generation in R-BEEM. An interesting trend is found in this ratio—namely the ratio increases sharply with decreasing tip bias and for all film thicknesses. For example, at 1 V tip bias, this ratio is 80 for the 24 nm NiSi2 film decreasing to 10 at 1.8 V, while it is 280 at 1 V for the 4 nm NiSi2 film that decreases to 20 at 1.8 V.

Besides the small fraction of hot electrons that may reach the epitaxial M/S interface without scattering, there can also be contribution to IB from the inelastic scattering of the injected hot electrons. During such an inelastic scattering event, a hot electron can maximally lose 50% of its energy and from the energy distribution of the injected hot electrons, as shown in figure 2(c), this clearly signifies that the probability of a scattered electron at lower energies to surmount the SBH is small giving rise to a decreased BEEM transmission at lower energies. For the injected hole distribution as in the R-BEEM (shown in figure 2(d)), only those secondary electrons created during the electron–hole pair generation are collected that originate from the tail of the distribution, and they are also few in number, thus leading to a much reduced R-BEEM transmission. What is interesting here is that the R-BEEM transmission is less sensitive to the NiSi2 thickness. This is because an increasing film thickness favors inelastic scattering events and thus creates a larger number of scattered hot electrons that can be collected at the M/S interface. Hence, the relative ratio of IB/I0B decreases with increasing NiSi2 thickness for a given tip bias. As the R-BEEM transmission, near threshold, includes an extra (VT – φn)2 factor dependence with respect to the direct BEEM, a plot of (I0B/IB)1/2 with tip bias is expected to be linear as is shown in the inset of figure 4. The intercept of all the plots converge to that value of the tip bias that corresponds to the onset of the BEEM transmission for the devices.

By plotting the direct BEEM transmission versus the film thickness, the hot electron attenuation length in NiSi2 is extracted. Figure 5(a) shows the BEEM transmissions at V T = −1.6 and −1.2 V with varying NiSi2 thicknesses. Solid lines are fits to the exponential decay and the extracted λs are 12.6 ± 1.2 nm and 14.2 ± 1.4 nm for the respective tip biases. The attenuation lengths are extracted similarly at various other tip biases and shown in figure 5(c). Similarly, from the R-BEEM transmission, the effective attenuation length for the scattered carriers are extracted for tip biases from 1.2 to 1.8 V. The extracted λeff are 38.0 ± 3.2 nm at 1.2 V and 26.0 ± 3.2 nm at 1.6 V respectively as shown in figure 5(b). The energy dependence of the attenuation lengths are given in figure 5(d). Our observations of λeff > λ is also consistent with a previous report on PtSi/Si [18]. The reduced signal-to-noise ratio, close to φn, introduces a large error in the extraction of the attenuation lengths for both the direct and scattered carriers in NiSi2 and is thus not performed.

From figures 5(c) and (d), we see that λeff is ~ twice as large as λ and has a different energy dependence. For the direct electrons, λ is almost constant at higher energies whereas it increases with decreasing energy. For the scattered electrons, λeff sharply increases with decreasing energy and becomes a constant only at the highest energies measured. This trend with direct electrons reflects a cumulative effect of the conservation of parallel momentum of the hot electrons close to φB at such epitaxial M/S interfaces, as well as the availability of the density of states in NiSi2 [22], at energies that are relevant for our studies, as explained next. For such epitaxial films and M/S interfaces and for energies close to φB, the propagating hot electrons reaching the Schottky interface are considerably forward focused due to minimal elastic scattering and can be easily collected at the n-Si(111) semiconductor leading to an increasing λ at these energies. At higher energies (i.e. E_T > +2 eV), the density of states in NiSi2 is almost constant as is reflected in the orbital character of the states involved in NiSi2 [22] resulting in a constant λ, for the
direct electrons, at these energies. An interesting consequence of the enhancement of the attenuation length for the direct hot electrons in NiSi$_2$, at low energies, can be seen in figures 3 and 5(d). In R-BEEM the transmission and collection at the epitaxial M/S Schottky interface is that of the scattered hot electrons that are created during inelastic scattering of the injected hot holes. These scattered hot electrons have lower energies but as shown in figure 5(c) are those which have a larger attenuation length and thus contribute to $I_{RB}$ and lead to an increase in $\lambda_{eff}$. This also leads to a reduced sensitivity of the R-BEEM transmission with increasing thickness as is shown in figure 3. Further, we see that the density of states below $E_F$ [22] (i.e. the injected hot hole distribution) sharply rises with energy due to the contribution of the d electrons and that is reflected in the energy dependence of $\lambda_{eff}$. All the above factors thus explain the larger $\lambda_{eff}$ as compared to $\lambda$ in NiSi$_2$ and their associated energy dependence.

5. Summary

In conclusion, we have used an epitaxial model system of NiSi$_2$/Si(111), with a large transmission probability for hot electrons, to investigate hot electron transport and attenuation of the direct and scattered carriers using BEEM and R-BEEM respectively. We show that the R-BEEM transmission is significantly lower than that of the direct BEEM while the energy dependence exhibits features that reflects the energy distribution of the injected and scattered electrons, the role of conservation of parallel momentum in such epitaxial system close to $\phi_B$ and the density of states in NiSi$_2$. All these lead to an attenuation length for hot electrons that is almost twice as large for the scattered electrons as for the direct electrons in NiSi$_2$.

Epitaxial interfaces of NiSi$_2$/n-Si(111) are commonly used as contacts in complementary-metal–oxide–semiconductors (CMOS) technology because of their excellent metallicity and high thermal stability. The unique possibility of quantifying the attenuation length of the direct and scattered carriers in the same device is an important feature of this work. The long attenuation length (energy dependent) of both the direct and scattered carriers is by itself interesting and crucial to the design of such devices. The scattering processes in NiSi$_2$ and the extraction of the energy-dependent attenuation length of scattered electrons provide information on the efficiency of the Auger-like scattering processes in such epitaxial silicides. Our results are useful for understanding the role of scattered carriers in different physical and chemical phenomena as well and form an important model system for theoretical studies of the
scattering rates of such excited carriers in this technologically useful material system.
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