Hubbard exciton revealed by time-domain optical spectroscopy in YVO₃: supplementary material
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I. TIME-RESOLVED MEASUREMENTS

We measured the transient reflectivity $\Delta R(t)/R$ as a function of temperature and pump-probe delay in the 450-750 nm wavelength-region after excitation with 4 mJ/cm² of 775 nm ultra-short Ti:Sa laser pulses at 40 KHz. The linearity of the response was checked in all phases. Time-domain measurements were performed with the pump parallel to the a-axis ($P||a$) and probe parallel to c ($p||c$) for the intervals -2 ÷ 4 ps and 4 ÷ 1000 ps. A set of representative measurements is plotted on FIG. 1. Similar measurements performed with pump parallel to the c-axis ($P||c$) and probe parallel to the a-axis ($p||a$) show only a temperature-independent fast decay time (FIG. 2). Long timescale measurements for $P||a$ and $p||c$ are shown in FIG. 3.

II. TIME-RESOLVED FITTING

We fitted the transient reflectivity in the time- and frequency- domains by allowing the variation of only 4 parameters out of 21: the optical strength of SP, and the optical strength, width, and central frequency of HE. The most relevant parameters are the oscillator strength of the SP peak, the oscillator strength and the width of the HE peak. Above 200 K all the transients observed can be described by changing only the strength of the SP peak, while the strength of the HE becomes more and more relevant upon cooling below 200 K. At 80 K, the strength of the SP drops of about 1% on the fast timescale (< 1 ps) and increases of about 1% for longer times (40 ÷ 80 ps). The other parameters are necessary to account for the long dynamics below 200 K. The strength and width of HE show a drop lower than 0.5% in the region investigated, while the central frequency is virtually unchanged at all temperatures (< 0.02%). From the full set of time-dependent parameters obtained we calculated the spectral weight of each band separately by numerical integration in the $0 ~ 2.85$ eV ($0 ~ 23000$ cm⁻¹) range, as described in the paper.

It should be noted that the central frequency of the HE for the static data shifts of a few % by changing temperature. This confirms that even though structural

FIG. 1: Relative variation of the reflectivity in the -2 to 80 ps range, with $P||a$ and $p||c$. 
III. NON-THERMAL CONTRIBUTION

At any fixed temperature $T$, the non-thermal contribution to the variations of the SW of HE and SP can be calculated from static optical properties, the time-resolved data and the laser pump energy, as follows:

$$ \Delta SW_{\text{non-thermal}}(t) = SW_{\text{pumped}}(t) - SW_{\text{static}}(T + \Delta T(t)),$$

where $SW_{\text{pumped}}(t)$ is the photo-excited SW and $SW_{\text{static}}(T + \Delta T(t))$ is obtained by interpolation at $T + \Delta T(t)$ of the static model. $\Delta T(t)$ is the pump-induced heating calculated from a two-temperature model (2TM)\(^2\) for the lattice ($L$) and spin ($S$) degrees of freedom:

$$
C_L \frac{dT_L}{dt} = -\gamma(T_L - T_S) + \rho P_{eff}(t)
$$

$$
C_S \frac{dT_S}{dt} = -\gamma(T_S - T_L) + (1 - \rho) P_{eff}(t)
$$

where $C_L$ and $C_S$ are the heat capacities\(^4\) of the two subsystems, $\gamma = 10^{-5} W/(K \cdot mol)$ and $\rho = 0.93$ are phenomenological constants describing, respectively, the magnetoelastic coupling\(^5\) and the coupling of the electronic subsystem to the other two. In this model we assume that the pump pulse $P(t)$ photo-excites carriers from the lower Hubbard band (LHB) to the upper Hubbard band (UHB). As the quasi-particles relax, they act as an effective pump $P_{eff}(t)$ for the lattice and spin degrees. The behaviour of $T_L$ and $T_S$ is reported in FIG. 4 for $T = 80K$.

The validity of this model is confirmed by comparison, at any temperature, with the expected thermodynamic steady-state temperature increase $\bar{\Delta}T(T)$. It is straightforward to write

$$ \bar{\Delta}T(T) = \frac{Q_{abs} \cdot N_A \cdot V}{S \cdot d \cdot u \cdot C_{mol}} \approx \frac{150}{C_{mol}[J/(mol \cdot K)]},$$

where $Q_{abs}$ is the pump energy absorbed by the sample, $N_A$ the Avogadro’s number, $V$ the elementary cell volume, $S$ the sample’s surface irradiated, $d$ the pump’s penetration depth, $u$ the number of chemical units in a cell and $C_{mol}^{-4}$ the temperature-dependent total heat capacity. There is a good agreement between the temperature dependence of the temperature increases for the two models, as shown in FIG. 5 (red and black curves).

At this point, the 2TM permits to obtain the temporal dependence of the lattice temperature and allows for the calculation of the non-thermal component. The blue dots in FIG. 5 represent the temperature variations at pump-probe delay $t = 50 \, ps$ used to obtain the non-thermal contribution to the variation of the spectral weight (FIG.6 in the paper).
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