Role of MINOS in Mitochondrial Membrane Architecture: Cristae Morphology and Outer Membrane Interactions Differentially Depend on Mitofilin Domains
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The mitochondrial inner membrane contains a large protein complex crucial for membrane architecture, the mitochondrial inner membrane organizing system (MINOS). MINOS is required for keeping cristae membranes attached to the inner boundary membrane via crista junctions and interacts with protein complexes of the mitochondrial outer membrane. To study if outer membrane interactions and maintenance of cristae morphology are directly coupled, we generated mutant forms of mitofilin/Fcj1 (formation of crista junction protein 1), a core component of MINOS. Mitofilin consists of a transmembrane anchor in the inner membrane and a conserved C-terminal domain. Deletion of the C-terminal domain disrupted the MINOS complex and led to release of cristae membranes from the inner boundary membrane, whereas the interaction of mitofilin with the translocase of the outer membrane (TOM) and the sorting and assembly machinery (SAM) were enhanced. Deletion of the coiled-coil domain also disturbed the MINOS complex and cristae morphology; however, the interactions of mitofilin with TOM and SAM were differentially affected. Finally, deletion of both intermembrane space domains disturbed MINOS integrity as well as interactions with TOM and SAM. Thus, the intermembrane space
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Introduction

Mitochondria belong to the class of endosymbiotic organelles that are found in virtually all eukaryotic cells. In unicellular model organisms, such as the baker’s yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, mitochondria contain around 1000 different proteins, most of which are imported into the organelle upon synthesis in the cytosol. Mitochondria form a dynamic tubular network that is dispersed throughout cells and subject to continuous rearrangements mediated by balanced fusion and fission events. The overall morphology and ultrastructure of mitochondria is determined by the two membrane systems of these organelles, the bordering outer membrane and the inner membrane that surrounds the central matrix space. Inner and outer mitochondrial membranes confine the intermembrane space. The inner mitochondrial membrane is further divided into the inner boundary membrane that closely aligns with the outer membrane and extended tubular protrusions into the matrix termed cristae. These two subdomains of the inner mitochondrial membrane show a remarkable degree of functional specialization: whereas the inner boundary membrane is, for example, enriched in protein import systems, the components of the oxidative phosphorylation machinery are preferentially found in cristae membranes. Inner boundary membrane and cristae membranes are connected by morphologically well-defined membrane regions that have been named crista junctions. Factors that have been directly or indirectly linked to the development and maintenance of the typical cristae morphology include the inner membrane fusion protein OPA1/Mgm1, preprotein translocase of the outer membrane (TOM complex) supports protein import via the mitochondrial intermembrane space assembly pathway. Several reports identified a physical connection between mitofillin and the sorting and assembly machinery of the outer membrane (SAM complex/TOB complex), which is required for the biogenesis of outer membrane proteins. Moreover, interactions of MINOS with the outer membrane fusion protein Ugo1 and the abundant channel protein porin (VDAC) have been observed.

It has remained unknown if and how the roles of MINOS in the formation of crista junctions and inner/outer membrane contact sites are mechanistically connected. It was suggested that the maintenance of crista junctions requires the outer membrane contacts of MINOS to connect inner boundary and cristae membranes, pointing to a direct correlation between both MINOS functions. In this study, we have dissected yeast mitofillin/Fcj1 to define the roles of its different intermembrane space domains in outer membrane interactions and maintenance of inner membrane architecture. We show that the interactions of mitofillin with outer membrane complexes and the integrity of MINOS and inner membrane morphology are differentially affected by the deletion of mitofillin domains. The association of MINOS with the TOM and SAM complexes of the outer membrane is not sufficient for the maintenance of crista junctions.

Herrmann). MINOS mutant cells exhibit a dramatically altered cristae morphology: cristae appear as large, extended stacks of lamellar membranes that are detached from the inner boundary membrane. This phenotype was shown to be most pronounced in *fcj1Δ* and *mio10Δ* mutants with an almost complete loss of crista junctions. These effects on mitochondrial ultrastructure were similar to those observed upon knockdown of mitofillin in HeLa cells and upon inactivation of the two *Caenorhabditis elegans* mitofillin proteins, IMMT-1 and IMMT-2. Human mitofillin (IMMT, MINOS2) was found in a complex with the Mio10 ortholog MINOS1 and the CHCHD3 (MINOS3) protein, which is related to yeast Aim13. The mitofillin morphology protein MOMA-1 identified in *C. elegans* shows homology to Aim37 and Mio27. Interestingly, components of MINOS were also found to associate with a number of outer membrane protein complexes. Interaction of mitofillin/Fcj1 with the general preprotein translocase of the outer membrane (TOM complex) supports protein import via the mitochondrial intermembrane space assembly pathway. Several reports identified a physical connection between mitofillin and the sorting and assembly machinery of the outer membrane (SAM complex/TOB complex), which is required for the biogenesis of outer membrane proteins. Moreover, interactions of MINOS with the outer membrane fusion protein Ugo1 and the abundant channel protein porin (VDAC) have been observed.

It has remained unknown if and how the roles of MINOS in the formation of crista junctions and inner/outer membrane contact sites are mechanistically connected. It was suggested that the maintenance of crista junctions requires the outer membrane contacts of MINOS to connect inner boundary and cristae membranes, pointing to a direct correlation between both MINOS functions. In this study, we have dissected yeast mitofillin/Fcj1 to define the roles of its different intermembrane space domains in outer membrane interactions and maintenance of inner membrane architecture. We show that the interactions of mitofillin with outer membrane complexes and the integrity of MINOS and inner membrane morphology are differentially affected by the deletion of mitofillin domains. The association of MINOS with the TOM and SAM complexes of the outer membrane is not sufficient for the maintenance of crista junctions.
Mutant forms of yeast mitofilin/Fcj1

Yeast mitofilin/Fcj1 consists of an N-terminal presequence required for import of the protein into mitochondria, a single transmembrane domain spanning the inner membrane and a large hydrophilic portion exposed to the intermembrane space. This intermembrane space portion contains a central putative coiled-coil domain and a C-terminal mitofilin signature domain that is highly conserved among the members of this protein family (Fig. 1a).20,28 Protein-A-tagged wild-type Fcj1 (Fcj1-WTProtA) has been used to isolate and characterize the MINOS complex.28 Based on this fusion protein, three mutant forms of Fcj1ProtA were generated (Fig. 1a): First, we removed the last 49 amino acid residues that contain the conserved C-terminal domain, generating the truncated mutant protein Fcj1-491ProtA. Second, a variant lacking most of the intermembrane space portion of Fcj1 (residues 141 to 540) was produced, leading to the loss of both the coiled-coil domain and the C-terminal domain (Fcj1-140ProtA). Third, an internal region of Fcj1 comprising amino acid residues 144 to 341, which includes the entire coiled-coil domain, was deleted (Fcj1-ΔccProtA).

Full-length and the mutant forms of Fcj1 ProtA were integrated into yeast chromosomal DNA to
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Fig. 1. Yeast mutants of mitofilin/Fcj1. (a) Schematic representation of the domain structure of wild-type (WT) mitofilin/Fcj1 (540 amino acid residues) and the domain deletion mutants used in this study. Blue, cleavable mitochondrial targeting signal (presequence); red, transmembrane segment; green, predicted coiled-coil domain (residues 143 to 313); orange, conserved C-terminal mitofilin signature domain (residues 490 to 536). All Fcj1 constructs additionally contain a C-terminal Protein A moiety. For generation of the C-terminal deletion constructs Fcj1-491ProtA (lacking residues 492 to 540) and Fcj1-140ProtA (lacking residues 141 to 540), a cassette comprising a Protein A affinity tag, a tobacco etch virus protease cleavage site, and a HIS3 marker gene was integrated into the chromosome by homologous recombination, replacing the sequences that encode the indicated parts of the Fcj1 protein. The mutant FCJ1 allele encoding Fcj1-ΔccProtA (lacking residues 144 to 341, broken line) was generated by overlap extension PCR with chromosomal DNA of a Fcj1-WTProtA strain as template. The mutant allele was integrated into the chromosomal FCJ1 locus using a HIS3 marker gene. (b) Growth phenotypes of the fcj1Δ mutant, WT yeast, and strains expressing WT Fcj1 or domain deletion mutants tagged with Protein A. Serial dilutions of cells were spotted on synthetic complete (SC) medium containing 3% (v/v) glycerol and plates were incubated at 30 °C. (c) Mitochondrial steady-state levels of Fcj1ProtA fusion constructs. Mitochondria were isolated from the indicated yeast strains by differential centrifugation and equal amounts of total mitochondrial proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE. Gels were blotted on polyvinylidene fluoride membranes and Protein A fusion proteins were detected using peroxidase anti-peroxidase complexes (PAP) and enhanced chemiluminescence. (d) Steady-state levels of mitochondrial proteins from yeast strains expressing Protein-A-tagged Fcj1 and Fcj1 variants as indicated. The indicated amounts of total mitochondrial proteins were analyzed as in (c). The indicated antibodies were used for immunodecoration. Su g, subunit g of F1F0-ATP synthase; arrowheads, signals derived from Protein A; asterisk, unspecific band.
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We compared the growth behavior of Fcj1-WTProtA and the mutant forms with the different domain deletions on non-fermentable medium under conditions where the full deletion of FCI had been shown to impair yeast growth (Fig. 1b). We also examined the growth of Fcj1-WTProtA cells expressing untagged Fcj1, confirming that the Protein A tag does not interfere with the functionality of the protein (Fig. 1b). Deletion of the C-terminal domain led to a severe growth defect comparable to the complete absence of Fcj1 (Fig. 1b, compare Fcj1-WTProtA, Fcj1-491ProtA, and Fcj1Δ). Accordingly, a similar growth defect was observed for the shortest mutant form Fcj1-140ProtA lacking the C-terminal domain as well as the coiled-coil domain. In contrast, deletion of the central coiled-coil domain alone (Fcj1-ΔccProtA) only moderately impaired yeast growth (Fig. 1b). This was surprising since coiled-coil domains are generally known to mediate protein–protein interactions and are therefore expected to be important for the interaction of Fcj1 with partner proteins. Taken together, the growth tests suggest that the C-terminal domain is more critical for the functionality of Fcj1 than the coiled-coil domain.

We isolated mitochondria from cells expressing Fcj1-WTProtA or one of the three mutant forms and compared the steady-state protein levels of the different Fcj1 fusion proteins by immunodetection of the Protein A moiety (Fig. 1c). The variants Fcj1-491ProtA, Fcj1-140ProtA, and Fcj1-ΔccProtA all accumulated in mitochondria in similar amounts compared to Fcj1-WTProtA demonstrating that the mutant forms of Fcj1 are properly targeted and stable (Fig. 1c). We then analyzed the steady-state levels of other many other proteins in wild-type and mutant mitochondria. In all Fcj1 domain deletion mitochondria, the amounts of the MINOS components Mio10 and Aim37 were comparable to wild-type (Fig. 1d). In Fcj1-ΔccProtA mitochondria, the amounts of Aim5 and Mio27 were moderately reduced (Fig. 1d, compare lanes 1–3 and 10–12). The levels of the Aim13 subunit, however, were considerably lower in each of the mutant mitochondria (Fig. 1d). This observation is consistent with the earlier finding that steady-state levels of Aim13 were dramatically reduced in fciΔ mitochondria indicating that the stable accumulation of Aim13 in mitochondria depends on an intact Fcj1 protein. Finally, subunits of the SAM (Sam35, Sam50) and TOM (Tom22, Tom40) complexes in the outer mitochondrial membrane and control proteins of the inner membrane (Cor1, Susg) were found in similar amounts in wild-type and all mutant mitochondria (Fig. 1d).

Altered cristae morphology in mitofilin/Fcj1 mutants

We asked how the deletion of different mitofilin/ Fcj1 domains affected mitochondrial morphology and ultrastructure. A mitochondria-specific fluorescent dye was used to examine the shape of the mitochondrial network in living yeast cells. The mitochondria of wild-type and Fcj1-WTProtA cells appeared as elongated, interconnected tubules as expected, whereas fciΔ mutant mitochondria were fragmented, partially aggregated, and occasionally hollow (Fig. 2a–c). Fcj1-491ProtA and Fcj1-140ProtA mitochondria looked similar to fciΔ mitochondria, whereas Fcj1-ΔccProtA mitochondria

Fig. 2. Altered cristae morphology in mitofilin/Fcj1 mutants. (a–f) Mitochondrial morphology (upper panels) and mitochondrial ultrastructure (lower panels) of the following yeast strains: wild type (WT; YPH499; 1501), Fcj1-WTProtA (2035), fciΔ (3092), Fcj1-491ProtA (3380), Fcj1-140ProtA (3382), and Fcj1-ΔccProtA (3387). For visualization of mitochondrial morphology, yeast cells were grown in liquid YPG medium [1% (w/v) yeast extract, 2% (w/v) bacto-peptone, 3% (v/v) glycerol] to an optical density of 0.3, pelleted by centrifugation, and resuspended in demineralized water. The mitochondria-specific fluorescent dye 3,3'-dihexyloxycarbocyanine iodide (DIOC6) (100 ng/ml final concentration) was added to the cells. Small amounts of cell suspensions were applied to a glass slide coated with a mixture of 10 mM Hapes, 5% (w/v) glucose, and 1% (w/v) agarose. Mitochondrial morphology was examined with a fluorescence microscope (excitation filter band pass 470/40, emission filter band pass 525/50, dichroic mirror 495 LP), and images were recorded using a high-resolution CCD camera. Scale bars represent 5 μM. For the analysis of mitochondrial ultrastructure by electron microscopy, yeast cells were first grown in liquid medium composed of 1% (w/v) yeast extract, 2% (w/v) bacto-peptone, and 3% (v/v) 1-lactate (pH 5.0) at 30 °C. This culture was then used to inoculate a minimal medium containing 2% (v/v) L-lactate (pH 5.0) supplemented with 20 μg/ml amino acid mix (L-leucine, histidine, L-methionine, and uracil). For staining of mitochondria with diamidobenzidine (DAB), yeast cells were fixed using 3% (v/v) glutaraldehyde in sodium cacodylate, pH 7.2, and subsequently treated with a buffer containing 0.1 M Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 2 mg/ml DAB, and 0.06% (v/v) H2O2. Yeast cells were post-fixed with 1.5% (w/v) KMnO4 incubated overnight in 0.5% (w/v) uranylacetate, and finally embedded in Epon 812. For each strain, 100 cell sections were examined. Representative images are shown. Scale bars represent 500 nm. (g) Hypoosmotic swelling assay with mitochondria prepared from the indicated yeast cells. Isolated mitochondria (40 μg total mitochondrial proteins) in SEM buffer [250 mM sucrose, 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), and 10 mM Mops/KOH, pH 7.2] were diluted 1:50 in either hypoosmotic EM buffer (1 mM EDTA and 10 mM Mops/KOH, pH 7.2) (swelling conditions) or equal amounts of SEM buffer (control) and left on ice for 30 min. Mitochondria were resolated, suspended in BSA buffer [3% (w/v) bovine serum albumin, 250 mM sucrose, 80 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 2 mM KH2PO4, 5 mM methionine, and 10 mM Mops/KOH, pH 7.2] and treated with 50 μg/ml proteinase K (Prot. K). After protease digestion was stopped by the addition of 2 mM PMSF, Mitochondria were washed once in SEM buffer and subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with the indicated antiserum.
showed an intermediate phenotype: Both elongated tubular segments and fragmented, condensed mitochondrial structures were observed (Fig. 2d–f). To examine the ultrastructure of mitochondria and the morphology of cristae membranes, we used diaminobenzidine staining and electron microscopy. \(^{28}\) In wild-type and Fcj1-WTProtA cells, cristae membranes appeared as tubular invaginations from the inner boundary membrane with clearly defined cristae junctions (Fig. 2a and b). In contrast, fcj1Δ cells showed an increased inner membrane surface with stacked lamellar cristae membranes and a dramatic loss of crista junctions as reported (Fig. 2c).\(^{20,28-31}\)

Very similar defects of mitochondrial ultrastructure were observed in Fcj1-491ProtA, Fcj1-140ProtA, and Fcj1-ΔCcProtA yeast cells (Fig. 2d–f). In the Fcj1-ΔCcProtA strain, however, these typical alterations were less pronounced: The number of cell sections with extended stacks of lamellar cristae was lower compared to the Fcj1-491ProtA, Fcj1-140ProtA, and fcj1Δ strains.

The cristae morphology defects in fcj1Δ mutant mitochondria have been shown to correlate with a reduced rupturing of the outer membrane under hypoosmotic conditions. \(^{29}\) When isolated mitochondria are diluted into a hypoosmotic buffer, the influx of water into the organelles leads to a swelling of the matrix compartment accompanied by an unfolding of cristae and expansion of the inner boundary membrane. As the expansion capacity of the outer membrane is limited due to its smaller surface, the swelling process causes outer membrane rupture followed by the release of soluble intermembrane space proteins, like Tim13, and protease accessibility of inner membrane proteins, like Tim23, and protease accessibility of membrane protein complexes in their native state. Fcj1-containing complexes were isolated from the detergent extracts. The MINOS subunits Mio10, Aim5, Aim13, Aim37, and Mio27 as well as the outer membrane complexes TOM (Tom22, Tom40) and SAM (Sam35, Sam50) were efficiently co-purified with Fcj1-WTProtA under these conditions, whereas control proteins of both inner membrane (Cor1, Sgu) and outer membrane (Om14) were not recovered in the elution fraction (Fig. 3, lanes 8 and 11). When Fcj1-491ProtA was used as bait protein, none of the MINOS subunits were co-isolated in considerable amounts, indicating that the conserved C-terminal domain of Fcj1 is of crucial importance for the integrity of MINOS (Fig. 3, lane 9). Surprisingly, co-isolation of TOM components as well as of SAM components was not impaired by the deletion of the C-terminal domain (Fig. 3, lane 9).

How does the deletion of different mitofilin/Fcj1 domains affect the association of Fcj1 with other MINOS components and the major outer membrane interaction partners, the TOM and SAM complexes? To address this question, we purified full-length Fcj1 and the truncated variants by affinity chromatography under mild conditions. Protein–protein contacts between different organelar membranes are particularly well preserved when whole-cell extracts are used as starting material for complex isolations.\(^{40}\) To analyze both MINOS integrity and outer membrane interactions, Fcj1-WTProtA, Fcj1-491ProtA, Fcj1-140ProtA, and Fcj1-ΔCcProtA yeast cells were cryogenically ground under liquid nitrogen. The obtained cell powder was extracted with digitonin buffer to solubilize membrane protein complexes in their native state. Fcj1-containing complexes were isolated from the elution fractions. The MINOS subunits Mio10, Aim5, Aim13, Aim37, and Mio27 as well as the outer membrane complexes TOM (Tom22, Tom40) and SAM (Sam35, Sam50) were efficiently co-purified with Fcj1-WTProtA under these conditions, whereas control proteins of both inner membrane (Cor1, Sgu) and outer membrane (Om14) were not recovered in the elution fraction (Fig. 3, lanes 8 and 11). When Fcj1-491ProtA was used as bait protein, none of the MINOS subunits were co-isolated in considerable amounts, indicating that the conserved C-terminal domain of Fcj1 is of crucial importance for the integrity of MINOS (Fig. 3, lane 9). Surprisingly, co-isolation of TOM components as well as of SAM components was not impaired by the deletion of the C-terminal domain (Fig. 3, lane 9). Tom22, Tom40, Sam35, and Sam50 were detected in even higher amounts in the elution fractions of Fcj1-491ProtA isoforms than of Fcj1-WTProtA. These data indicate that the last 49 amino acid residues including the conserved C-terminal domain are critical for MINOS integrity but are dispensable for the association of mitofilin/Fcj1 with both SAM and TOM complexes.

We then performed affinity chromatography experiments using Fcj1-ΔCcProtA. This analysis showed that the lack of the coiled-coil domain of Fcj1 leads to a partial destabilization of the MINOS complex (Fig. 3, lane 12). Whereas the
association of Aim5 and Aim13 with Fcj1-ΔccProtA was strongly impaired compared to Fcj1-WTProtA, the co-isolation of Aim37, Mio10, and Mio27 was only moderately affected. For the interaction of Fcj1-ΔccProtA with the TOM and SAM complexes, we obtained differential results. The co-purification of the TOM subunits Tom22 and Tom40 was increased upon removal of the coiled-coil domain, similar to the situation with the C-terminal domain deletion mutant (Fig. 3, lane 12 compared to lane 9). The recovery of Sam35 and Sam50 with Fcj1-ΔccProtA, however, was considerably reduced in comparison to Fcj1-WTProtA (Fig. 3, lanes 11 and 12). Finally, the lack of both coiled-coil and C-terminal domain in the Fcj1-140ProtA construct led to a loss of both MINOS integrity and interaction with the TOM and SAM complexes (Fig. 3, lane 10).

In conclusion, our data demonstrate that distinct domains of mitofilin/Fcj1 differentially contribute to MINOS integrity and cristae morphology on the one hand and interaction with the outer membrane complexes TOM and SAM on the other hand. The conserved C-terminal signature domain of mitofilin/Fcj1 is critical for the stability of the MINOS complex, maintenance of cristae morphology, and yeast growth, but not for the formation of inner/outer membrane contacts through the TOM and SAM complexes. The coiled-coil and C-terminal domains differentially contribute to the association of mitofilin/Fcj1 with the TOM and SAM complexes. We show that the interaction of mitofilin/Fcj1 with TOM and SAM is not sufficient to preserve the connections between inner boundary membrane and cristae membranes in the absence of assembled MINOS complexes. These findings suggest that the functions of MINOS for cristae maintenance and formation of membrane contact sites can be dissected and thus involve distinct mechanisms. It has been proposed that MINOS, TOM, and SAM are integrated in a large endoplasmic reticulum–mitochondria organizing network (ERMIONE), which plays crucial roles in mitochondrial biogenesis and membrane architecture. The dissection of distinct MINOS functions reported here supports the view that ERMIONE is a dynamic system and provides a basis for a mechanistic analysis of this organizing network.

**Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Sonderforschungsbereich 746, Excellence Initiative of the German Federal and State Governments (EXC 294 BIOSS; GSC-4 Speemann Graduate School), Landesforschungspreis
Baden-Württemberg, Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz Program, and Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung.

References


31. Alkhaja, A. K., Jans, D. C., Nikolov, M., Vukotic, M., Lytovchenko, O., Ludewig, F. et al. (2012). MINOS1 is a conserved component of mitofin complexes and...