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Abstract
Introduction Improvements in diagnosis and treatment of cancer have increased cancer survival. This study investigated the trends in return to work (RTW) after cancer. Methods All employees absent from work due to cancer diagnosed in 2002 (N = 1209), 2005 (N = 1522), and 2008 (N = 1556) were selected from an occupational health service register. Partial RTW was defined as resuming work with 50% of earnings and full RTW as resuming work with 100% of earnings. The percentages of partial and full RTW were determined 2 years after reporting sick and compared with percentages of partial and full RTW after cardiovascular disorders. The time to partial and full RTW after cancer in 2005 and 2008 was compared with the time to RTW in 2002. Results Partial RTW decreased from 85% 2 years after cancer diagnosis in 2002 to 80% in 2005 and 69% in 2008. Full RTW decreased from 80% 2 years after cancer diagnosis in 2002 to 74% in 2005 and 60% in 2008. RTW after cardiovascular disorders showed similar changes. The time to partial RTW in 2008 was longer than in 2002 after gastrointestinal cancer and lung cancer. Conclusions In the past decade, the percentages of employees who resumed work after cancer have decreased in The Netherlands, while the time to RTW increased. Possible explanations include changes in disability policy, economic decline, and resulting decreases in work latitude and workplace accommodations.
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Introduction

Cancer is a priority health issue in the European Union, which was formalized in the European Partnership for Action against Cancer launched in September 2009. This partnership supports countries in their efforts to deal with cancer by providing a framework for sharing information and expertise in cancer prevention and treatment among a wide range of stakeholders [1]. The annual incidence of cancer has been estimated at 338 per 100,000 persons in eastern European countries and 447 per 100,000 persons in western European countries [2]. Cancer survival is highest in the Scandinavian countries and lowest in the Czech Republic, Poland, and Slovenia [3].

The age-adjusted 5-year survival for all cancers has improved in Europe from 44% in 1989 to 50% in 1998. The increase was almost linear up to 1994–1996 and then slowed [4]. Major survival increases were found in patients with prostate cancer, colorectal cancer, and breast cancer, whereas survival increases were small for cancers of the lung and cervix. The 5-year survival of women increased from 52% in 1989 to 59% in 1998 and the 5-year survival of men from 34 to 39% with a significant trend heterogeneity between the sexes [4–6]. Survival trends were also...
heterogeneous with age in that younger patients had a greater increase in survival than older patients of both sexes.

The increasing cancer survival means that more and more individuals resume their everyday life, which also includes remaining in or returning to work. Return to work is frequently viewed as an indicator of recovery from cancer [7]. Cancer survivors may try to re-establish their former structure of everyday life and get back to work after treatment, because they see this as a normal and healthy existence [8]. In that case, it is likely that return to work rates after cancer will have increased parallel to the improved cancer survival. This assumption is supported by studies of the employment status of cancer survivors 3–20 years after diagnosis. Studies performed between 1986 and 1999 reported that 62% (range 30–93%) of cancer survivors was employed 3–20 years after diagnosis, and studies performed between 2000 and 2006 found 71% (range 41–84%) of cancer survivors to be employed [9–17]. However, comparison of employment statistics is impeded by differences in social security systems across countries and by both how and when (un)employment was assessed. Moreover, the employment status 3–20 years after cancer diagnosis reflects sustaining work rather than resuming work after cancer.

The present study investigated return to work (RTW) within 2 years of cancer diagnosis in 2002, 2005, and 2008 using sickness absence data recorded in an occupational health service register. RTW after cancer was investigated in two ways. First, the percentage of employees who resumed work was measured 2 years after cancer diagnosis. To distinguish between cancer-specific trends and national trends, the percentage of employees with RTW after cancer was compared with the percentage of employees with RTW after cardiovascular disorders in 2002, 2005, and 2008. Second, the time to RTW after cancer in 2005 and in 2008 was compared with the time to RTW after cancer in 2002.

Methods

Study Setting

In The Netherlands, employers report the dates on which employees call in sick and the dates they resume work partially or fully to an occupational health service for recording purposes and as a request to start medical guidance of the sick-listed employee. Sick-listed employees visit the occupational physician (OP) usually in the third week of absence from work for a medical certification of sickness absence. The OP records the diagnosis of the sickness certificate in the sickness absence register using the codes of the 10th version of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-10), and evaluates recovery and return to work activities every 4 to 6 weeks.

Ethical Considerations

Approval from a medical ethics board was not necessary for this study, because the Act on Scientific Medical Research does not apply to research on anonymized records. Employees gave informed consent to the use their sickness absence data for scientific analysis.

Study Population

Between 2002 and 2010, the sickness absence register of 365ArboNed contained the sickness absence data and medical diagnoses of more than one million employees. The employees worked in 33,000 companies of different economic sectors representative of the Dutch workforce, except for the primary sector (i.e., agriculture, forestry, fishery, and mining) which was under-represented in the register.

From the 365ArboNed Occupational Health Service register, the sickness absence episodes certified by the OP as being due to breast cancer (ICD-10 C50), genital cancer (ICD-10 C51–C63), gastrointestinal cancer (ICD-10 C15–C26), lung cancer (ICD-10 C30–C39), skin cancer (ICD-10 C43–C44), or blood malignancies (ICD-10 C81–C96) in 2002, 2005, and 2008 were selected.

For comparison, sickness absence episodes certified as being due to cardiovascular disorders (ICD-10 I21, i.e. acute myocardial infarction and ICD-10 I64, i.e. stroke not specified as hemorrhage or infarction) in 2002, 2005, and 2008 were selected from the register. Cardiovascular disorders were chosen as a reference, because, like most cancers, cardiovascular disorders are more common in older employees and the median duration of sickness absence due to cardiovascular disorders (295 days) was comparable with the mediation duration of sickness absence due to cancer (309 days).

In this study, partial RTW was defined as resuming work with 50% of the earnings before sickness absence for at least 28 consecutive days. Full RTW was defined as resuming work with 100% of the earnings before sickness absence for at least 28 consecutive days. The follow-up period for monitoring RTW was restricted to 2 years, because the registration of sickness absence ended at the moment employees were awarded a disability pension after 2 years of sickness absence.

Statistical Analysis

The percentages of employees with partial and full RTW 2 years after cancer diagnosis in 2002, 2005, and 2008 were
compared by $\chi^2$ analyses. A 1% significance level was chosen because of the number of $\chi^2$ tests performed for each type of cancer. Interaction between diagnosis and year was examined to reveal whether changes in the percentages of RTW after cancer differed from cardiovascular disorders.

The time to RTW was determined by Cox proportional hazards regression analysis for each type of cancer. The Cox regression estimated hazard ratios (HR) and 99% confidence intervals (CI) of the time to partial and full RTW in 2005 and 2008. A HR $< 1$ reflects a longer time to RTW and a HR $> 1$ a shorter time to RTW compared to 2002. The hazard ratios were adjusted for age. The data of patients who resigned, retired, or died within 2 years of diagnosis were censored. All analyses were performed in SPSS for Windows version 18.

**Results**

In 2002, 1209 employees (1.21%) reported sick because they were diagnosed with cancer at a mean age of 47.5 (standard deviation (SD) = 9.2) years. In 2005, 1522 employees (1.52%) with a mean age of 47.8 (SD = 10.0) years reported sick due to cancer and in 2008, 1556 employees (1.56%) with a mean age of 49.1 (SD = 9.8) years. In the period from 2002 to 2008, the number of episodes of sickness absence due to cancer increased, especially among employees aged ≥50 years (Table 1).

### Percentage of Employees with RTW

Overall, 85% of employees had partially resumed work 2 years after cancer diagnosed in 2002, 80% after cancer in 2005 and 69% after cancer in 2008 (Table 2). There was an increase in the percentage of employees with partial RTW after skin cancer from 87% in 2002 to 92% in 2008, but this change was not significant ($p = 0.53$). The percentage of employees with partial RTW decreased significantly for most cancers, except blood malignancies, and in all age categories. In comparison, the percentage of employees with partial RTW after cardiovascular disorders decreased from 89% in 2002 to 81% in 2008. There was no significant interaction between diagnosis and year ($p = 0.51$) indicating that the decrease in partial RTW over time did not differ between the diagnoses.

The percentages of employees with full RTW decreased from 80% 2 years after cancer diagnosed in 2002 to 74% after cancer in 2005 and 60% after cancer in 2008 (Table 2). The percentage of full RTW also decreased in employees with cardiovascular disorders from 87% in 2002 to 75% in 2008. The decline in full RTW over time did not differ significantly between the diagnoses ($p = 0.30$). The percentages of employees with full RTW after cancer decreased in all age categories, whereas the percentage of full RTW did not change significantly after cardiovascular disorders in employees <40 years of age.

### Time to Partial and Full RTW

While the percentage of RTW indicates how many employees had resumed work 2 years after cancer diagnosis, the time to RTW is a measure that reflects the duration until RTW. The mean duration until partial RTW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Numbers of employees absent from work due to cancer in 2002, 2005, and 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of employees in the register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 1,011,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genital cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood malignancies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cancers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cancer cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total reference group</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a The reference group consisted of employees sick-listed with cardiovascular disorders*
was 264 (99% confidence interval 249–279) days after cancer diagnosis in 2002 and 297 (279–315) days in 2008. The time to partial RTW after cancer in 2008 was longer than the time to partial RTW after cancer in 2002, particularly after gastrointestinal cancer and lung cancer (Table 3). The mean duration until full RTW was 318 (302–334) days after cancer diagnosis in 2002 and 343 (325–361) days in 2008. Again, the time to full RTW was longer after cancer diagnosed in 2008 as compared to 2002, especially for breast cancer, gastrointestinal cancer, and lung cancer.

### Discussion

This study investigated the trend in RTW within 2 years of cancer diagnosis stratified by cancer site. The number of employees who resumed work after cancer was lower in 2008 compared with 2002 as was reflected in a lower percentage of RTW at 2 years after cancer diagnosis. Similar trends were observed for RTW after cardiovascular disorders. The age-adjusted hazard ratios indicated a longer duration until both partial and full RTW after cancer in 2008 as compared with 2002.
Percentage of Employees with RTW

The decline in the percentage of employees who returned to work may be due to changes in the sickness absence compensation policies. Before 2004, employers or employers’ insurers compensated sickness absence for a maximum period of 1 year, after which sick-listed employees were assessed for a disability pension. If a disability pension was awarded, employees were discharged from their company and removed from the sickness absence register. Thus, the employees who had not resumed work within 1 year of reporting sick in 2002 were removed from the register. Since 2004, the employer or employer’s insurer have to compensate and register sickness absence for a period of 2 years. Hence, the differences between the RTW percentages in 2002 and 2005 are likely to be due to differences in the period during which sickness absence was compensated and registered.

The sickness absence compensation policies did not change between 2005 and 2008. Thus, the further decrease in the percentages of partial and full RTW in 2008 compared with 2005 can not be attributed to changes in sickness absence policies or registration. Furthermore, a cancer-specific explanation is not likely as a similar decline in RTW percentages was also found in employees sick-listed with cardiovascular disorders. The economic recession resulting from the financial crisis in 2008 may explain why the RTW percentages were lower in 2008 compared with 2005 as it has been reported that sickness absence is related to the economic situation [18, 19]. However, Dutch sickness absence statistics show that 4.4% of the working days were lost due to sickness in 2005 compared with 4.3% of the working days in both 2008 and 2009 [20]. Although there was no increase in sickness absence in the working population, unemployment has increased from 174,000 persons in 2008 to 220,000 persons in 2009 and an estimated 267,000 persons in 2010 [20]. Therefore, another explanation for the lower percentages of RTW within 2 years of reporting sick in 2008 might be that employees were more often discharged after long-term sickness absence in 2008. A final explanation for the lower percentage of RTW may be that employees’ priorities in life have changed after having experienced a life-threatening disease [10, 11]. Earlier studies have shown that employees put less value on resuming work and may prefer having more leisure time to spend with family and friends or enjoy hobbies [7, 8, 21]. However, the sickness absence register provided no information on how employees valued returning to work after cancer.

Time to Partial and Full RTW

The results of this study not only show lower percentages of employees with partial or full RTW, but also a longer duration until RTW after cancer in 2008 as compared with 2002, particularly for breast cancer, gastrointestinal cancer and lung cancer. The longer time to RTW may be due to changes in company sickness absence policies. In The Netherlands, both employer and sick-listed employee are responsible for occupational rehabilitation. From the start of sickness absence, employers have to stay in contact with the employee and, if possible, have to arrange modified work duties or adjust work hours so that the sick-listed employee remains in connection with the workplace. Perhaps, the financial crisis in 2008 made it less attractive for employers to invest in work adjustments or in occupational rehabilitation programs, which might have led to exclusion of long-term sick-listed employees from the workplace. Furthermore, it was recognized that a supportive work environment facilitated RTW of cancer survivors [9, 15, 22, 23]. Failure to create a ‘welcome back’ work environment due to financial economic problems of companies might also explain the longer time to RTW in 2008 compared with 2005.

Apart from policies and procedures, work-related factors determine the work outcomes after cancer. The work environment was already mentioned, but physical, cognitive, and emotional work demands are also important [22]. From an employee’s perspective, work is changing and becoming more and more mentally demanding. Although the level of job control in The Netherlands is relatively high, annual surveys of working conditions showed a steady increase in psychosocial job demands [24]. For example, 24% of employees experienced a high work pace and 33% a high work pressure in 2005 compared with 34 and 41%, respectively, in 2008. These increases in work pace and pressure may explain the longer time to RTW in 2008 compared with 2005.

Alternatively, medical factors also explain the finding that the time to RTW was longer after cancer diagnosis in 2008 compared with 2002. Breast cancer is one of the most common cancers in individuals of working age. In The Netherlands, the indication for chemotherapy was broadened for young women with breast cancer in 2004 [25]. It has been recognized that patients who received chemotherapy experienced more problems in resuming work and returned to work later than those who were not treated with chemotherapy [26–32].

Strengths and Limitations of the Study

A strength of the study was that it covered a large population of more than one million employees representative
of the Dutch workforce. The registration of sickness absence diagnoses did not change during the period of study and there were no substantial changes in covered industries over time. Furthermore, the outcome of partial RTW next to full RTW provided good insight into resuming work after cancer, even if employees decided to work in lower earnings or lower-wage jobs for physical or psychological reasons.

Although sickness absence register studies can be performed rather inexpensively in large numbers of employees, the most important limitation is that the number of variables available from the sickness absence register is limited. The sickness absence register contained social security numbers linking information on name, address, age, gender, and company to the sickness absence dates and diagnoses. Information on work conditions was available, but was not up to date though these factors are known to play an important role in RTW after cancer [9–16, 22]. Additional medical information was not available from the sickness absence register, while RTW after cancer depends on the cancer stage, site, treatment, and comorbidity [9, 22, 23]. Another limitation was that information on actual job demands, work accommodations to facilitate RTW after cancer, and the willingness of employers to accommodate an early RTW was lacking.

In conclusion, the RTW percentage after cancer has decreased in The Netherlands, but a similar decline was observed for RTW after cardiovascular disorders. Furthermore, the time to RTW was longer after cancer diagnosis in 2008 as compared with 2002. RTW should be monitored in different countries to reveal the trends in RTW after cancer in other countries. Continued attention for RTW after cancer is required, because the results of this study showed an increasing incidence of cancer in the working population, particularly among employees aged ≥50 years. Hence, sickness absence and RTW of cancer survivors is likely to be an increasing problem to be addressed in the ageing working population.
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