

University of Groningen

Generation of Interpersonal Stressful Events

Bakker, Martin P.; Ormel, Johan; Lindenberg, Siegwart; Verhulst, Frank C.; Oldehinkel, Albertine J.

Published in:
Journal of Early Adolescence

DOI:
[10.1177/0272431610366251](https://doi.org/10.1177/0272431610366251)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2011

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Bakker, M. P., Ormel, J., Lindenberg, S., Verhulst, F. C., & Oldehinkel, A. J. (2011). Generation of Interpersonal Stressful Events: The Role of Poor Social Skills and Early Physical Maturation in Young Adolescents-The TRAILS Study. *Journal of Early Adolescence*, 31(5), 633-655.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0272431610366251>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

The Journal of Early Adolescence

<http://jea.sagepub.com/>

Generation of Interpersonal Stressful Events : The Role of Poor Social Skills and Early Physical Maturation in Young Adolescents — The TRAILS Study

Martin P. Bakker, Johan Ormel, Siegwart Lindenberger, Frank C. Verhulst and
Albertine J. Oldehinkel

The Journal of Early Adolescence 2011 31: 633 originally published online 29
April 2010

DOI: 10.1177/0272431610366251

The online version of this article can be found at:

<http://jea.sagepub.com/content/31/5/633>

Published by:



<http://www.sagepublications.com>

Additional services and information for *The Journal of Early Adolescence* can be found at:

Email Alerts: <http://jea.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts>

Subscriptions: <http://jea.sagepub.com/subscriptions>

Reprints: <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav>

Permissions: <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav>

Citations: <http://jea.sagepub.com/content/31/5/633.refs.html>

>> [Version of Record](#) - Sep 13, 2011

[Proof](#) - Apr 29, 2010

[What is This?](#)

Generation of Interpersonal Stressful Events: The Role of Poor Social Skills and Early Physical Maturation in Young Adolescents—The TRAILS Study

Journal of Early Adolescence

31(5) 633–655

© The Author(s) 2011

Reprints and permission:

sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav

DOI: 10.1177/0272431610366251

<http://jea.sagepub.com>



Martin P. Bakker^{1,2}, Johan Ormel¹,
Siegwart Lindenberg¹,
Frank C. Verhulst², and
Albertine J. Oldehinkel¹

Abstract

This study developed two specifications of the social skills deficit stress generation hypothesis: the “gender-incongruence” hypothesis to predict peer victimization and the “need for autonomy” hypothesis to predict conflict with authorities. These hypotheses were tested in a prospective large population cohort of 2,064 Dutch young adolescents. Social skills and pubertal timing were measured when the sample was about 11 years old, and stressful life events were measured 2.5 years later at follow-up. As predicted by the gender-incongruence hypothesis, poor assertion in boys and poor self-control in girls were associated with peer victimization. Consistent with

¹University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

²Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands

Corresponding Author:

Martin P. Bakker, Interdisciplinary Center for Psychiatric Epidemiology and Groningen Graduate School Medical Sciences, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, CC72, P.O. Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, Netherlands
Email: m.p.bakker@med.umcg.nl

the need for autonomy hypothesis, poor self-control was associated with conflict with authorities, in both boys and girls. Furthermore, early physical maturation exacerbated the effect of poor self-control on conflict with authorities for both genders. These specific associations provide more insights in the pathways that result in the experience of interpersonal stressors in young adolescents.

Keywords

stress generation, social skills, puberty, gender

Introduction

Adolescence has been characterized as a transitional period that is marked by a “pile-up” of stressful events (Arnett, 1999; Larson & Ham, 1993; Rudolph, 2002; Rudolph & Hammen, 1999). Some stressors may have more detrimental effects over time than others. Previous research showed that peer victimization (e.g., bullying) and conflict with authorities (e.g., school administrators and police) during childhood and adolescence are associated with current and future maladjustment, for instance, as expressed in anxious-depressed symptoms, antisocial behaviors, and academic- and work-related problems (e.g., Finnegan, Hodges, & Perry, 1998; Hemphill, Toumbourou, Herrenkohl, McMorris, & Catalano, 2006; Hoglund, 2007; Kokko & Pulkkinen, 2000; Moffitt, 1993; Moffitt, Caspi, Harrington, & Milne, 2002; Olweus, 1992). Thus, peer victimization and conflict with authorities during early adolescence can lead to an accumulation of problems later in life, and it seems therefore imperative to identify risk factors that predispose young adolescents to these stressful life events. In this article, we would like to focus on the role of social skill deficits for both peer victimization and conflict with authorities.

The social skills deficit stress generation hypothesis suggests that people with poor social skills experience more interpersonal stressful events than socially skilled people (Hammen, 2006; Segrin, 2001). Several studies have found support for this hypothesis, that is, poor social skills are likely to contribute to the generation of interpersonal stress (Daley et al., 1997; Davila, Hammen, Burge, Paley, & Daley, 1995; Segrin, 2001). However, this hypothesis does not specify under what conditions social skills deficits are associated with interpersonal stressors. Or put in other words, specific types of social skills deficits may predispose adolescents to experience specific types of interpersonal stressors (Segrin, 2001). As it is used here, a deficit in a social skill refers to being less able to engage in appropriate and effective

interactions with others (e.g., Lorr, Youniss, & Stefic, 1991; Segrin, 1999, 2001). It does not refer to a pathological characteristic.

Two kinds of social skills seem especially important to successfully traverse interpersonal situations with peers and adults during adolescence: assertiveness and self-control. Assertive adolescents have the ability to start conversations with others, express feelings and wants, and defend themselves against “unjust” demands (Lorr et al., 1991). Adolescents with good self-control skills have the ability to control impulses, alter emotions and thoughts, interrupt undesired behavioral tendencies, and refrain from acting on them (De Kemp et al., 2009). We postulate that young adolescents with deficits in these social skills are likely to be susceptible to peer victimization and conflict with authorities.

This study aims to extend the social skills deficit stress generation hypothesis by investigating how assertion deficits and self-control deficits play out for victimization by peers and conflict with authorities in young adolescent boys and girls. We will therefore propose a “gender-incongruence” specification of the social skills deficit stress generation hypothesis for peer victimization and a “need for autonomy” specification of the social skills deficit stress generation hypothesis for conflict with authorities. In addition, early physical maturation compared to same-sex, same-age peers has been shown to be a stressful developmental challenge for both boys and girls (e.g., Ge, Conger, & Elder, 2001; Ge et al., 2003; Kaltiala-Heino, Marttunen, Rantanen, & Rimpelä, 2003). Therefore, we will investigate whether early physical maturation exacerbates the negative effects of social skills deficits on peer victimization and conflict with authorities during early adolescence.

Peer Victimization

Adolescents who do not fit in are more likely to be victimized by peers (Graham & Juvonen, 2002; Nadeem & Graham, 2005). With regard to victimization, it stands to reason that social skill deficits are more noticeable if they are gender incongruent, that is, if they are more “out of character” and more in the way of “fitting in.” Thus, the more deviant the deficit is from normative gender appropriate behavior, the more likely that others exploit it. This leads us to formulate explicit gender-specific expectations about how these deficits play out for victimization.

Consistent with the stress generation model, we propose that boys and girls who show gender-incongruent social skills evoke negative responses from peers, which make them more susceptible to victimization (Hammen, 2006). As boys (at least in Western societies) are generally expected to be assertive and show externalizing behaviors (Baumeister & Sommer, 1997; Geary,

Byrd-Craven, Hoard, Vigil, & Numtee, 2003; Gjerde, 1995; Pellegrini & Bartini, 2001; Troisi, 2001), poor assertion skills in boys are more incongruent with behavioral expectations than poor self-control. Thus, for boys, poor assertion skills are likely to elicit more victimization by peers than poor self-control. Conversely, girls are expected to show more affiliative and internalizing behaviors than physical or externalizing behaviors (e.g., Baumeister & Sommer, 1997; Cyranowski, Frank, Young, & Shear, 2000; Geary et al., 2003; Gjerde, 1995; Kochenderfer & Ladd, 1997; Troisi, 2001). Poor self-control in girls is thus likely to be more incongruent with gender appropriate behavior than poor assertion and should therefore lead to more victimization in girls.

According to the social misfit hypothesis of pubertal timing, early maturers are perceived as social deviants or "misfits" by same-age peers and therefore targeted for victimization (e.g., Nadeem & Graham, 2005). Thus, boys and girls who show gender-incongruent social skills, and who are also more physically matured than same-sex, same-age peers, might be particularly likely to be labeled as *social deviants* and to be singled out for peer victimization during early adolescence.

Conflict With Authorities

During adolescence, boys and girls strive for an increase in freedom to make own decisions and gain autonomy (Pinquart & Silbereisen, 2002). The growing need for autonomy in adolescents is likely to result in increasing conflicts and disagreement with adults (Agnew, 2003; Branje, Van Doorn, Van der Valk, & Meeuws, 2009; Pinquart & Silbereisen, 2002), mostly due to discrepant expectations regarding appropriate behavior and timing of transitions in authority, autonomy, and responsibilities (Branje et al., 2009; Dekovic, Noom, & Meeuws, 1997).

In Western societies, assertive behavior is considered an appropriate response of adolescents to disagreements about autonomy (Markus & Lin, 1999). Open disagreement is allowed or even expected as a way of resolving differences (Markus & Lin, 1999; Phinney, Kim-Jo, Osorio, & Vilhjalmssdottir, 2005). Having assertion skills entails that adolescent boys and girls are able to negotiate and discuss disagreements appropriately (Lorr et al., 1991). Assertive adolescents may defend their rights rigorously but are unlikely to respond with rule breaking or antisocial behaviors. Young adolescent boys and girls with poor assertion skills are, in our view, also unlikely to get into conflict with authority figures because of their relatively timid character (e.g., Lorr et al., 1991). However, adolescents with poor self-control lack the ability to control their impulses and emotions when confronted with

restrictions by adults (De Kemp et al., 2009). Thus, when confronted with restrictions, adolescents with poor self-control are likely to act out with rule-breaking and antisocial behaviors (e.g., Krueger, Caspi, Moffitt, White, & Stouthamer-Loeber, 1996; Luengo, Carrillo-de-la-Pena, Otero, & Romero, 1994; Vazsonyi & Belliston, 2007; White et al., 1994), which in turn increase the probability of confrontations with authority figures (e.g., Moffitt, 1993). We therefore propose that poor self-control, rather than (poor) assertion, is associated with conflict with authorities during early adolescence.

The need for autonomy is generally higher for early maturing adolescents than for later maturing adolescents (e.g., Sentse, Dijkstra, Ormel, & Veenstra, in press). Early maturing adolescents are most likely trapped in a maturity gap (Moffitt, 1993; Waylen & Wolke, 2004; Williams & Dunlop, 1999). Despite their biological maturation, adult social status is withheld and authority figures still set rules and exert control over them (Agnew, 2003). These perceived restrictions might lead to expressions of frustration, especially in early maturers with self-control deficits, who may be more inclined to impulsively undermine rules. Thus, for boys and girls with poor self-control, early physical maturation should exacerbate the chance of getting into conflict with authorities.

The Present Study

The aim of this study was to develop and test two specifications of the social skills deficit stress generation hypothesis in a prospective large population cohort of Dutch young adolescents. On the basis of the “gender-incongruence” specification, we hypothesized that in boys, peer victimization would be associated with poor assertion rather than with poor self-control, whereas in girls, it would be associated with poor self-control rather than with poor assertion. Based on the “need for autonomy” specification, we hypothesized that conflict with authorities would be more strongly associated with poor self-control than with (poor) assertion in both boys and girls. In addition, we expected that early physical maturation would aggravate the effects of these social skills deficits on peer victimization and conflict with authorities.

Method

Sample

Participants of this study take part in the “Tracking Adolescents’ Individual Lives Survey” (TRAILS), a prospective cohort study of Dutch adolescents,

aimed at explaining the development of mental health from preadolescence into adulthood. The TRAILS study was approved by the Central Committee on Research Involving Human Subjects (Dutch CCMO). The three largest cities in the North of the Netherlands were selected as well as two randomly selected rural areas. The five municipalities were requested to give names and addresses of all inhabitants born between October 1, 1989 and September 30, 1990 (first two municipalities: mean age = 11.29 years, $SD = 0.52$, range = 10.0-12.0) or between October 1, 1990 and September 30, 1991 (last three municipalities: mean age = 10.72 years, $SD = 0.37$, range = 10.0-11.5). Two birth cohorts were used to minimize the age range during the initial assessment. A detailed description of the sampling procedure and methods is provided in Huisman et al. (2008).

Of all the children approached ($N = 3,145$), 6.7% ($n = 211$) were excluded because of mental or physical incapability or language problems. Of the remaining 2,934 children, 76.0% ($n = 2,230$, mean age = 11.09, $SD = 0.56$, range = 10.0-12.0, 50.8% girls) were enrolled in the study (i.e., both child and parent agreed to participate). Of the 2,230 baseline participants, 96.4% ($n = 2,149$) participated in the first follow-up (T2; mean age = 13.56, $SD = 0.53$, range = 12.0-15.0, 51.0% girls), held 2 to 3 years after baseline (T1; mean number of months = 29.44, $SD = 5.37$, range = 16.69-48.06).

The present study is based on data from both the T1 and T2 assessment wave. Written informed consent was obtained from the parents and from the adolescents themselves at both assessment waves. During these waves, questionnaires were filled out by the adolescents, their parents, and their teachers. The adolescents filled out their questionnaires at school, in the classroom, under the supervision of one or more TRAILS assistants. Responders and nonresponders did not significantly differ in levels of problem behaviors or sociodemographic variables (Huisman et al., 2008).

For the present study, valid data on stressful life events, social skills, and pubertal timing were available for 2,064 adolescents (96.0% of the total sample: boys $n = 1,004$, girls $n = 1,060$). Adolescents who dropped out between T1 and T2 ($n = 81$) scored lower on T1 assertion than those who participated at both assessment waves (nonresponders: $M = -0.41$, $SD = 0.84$; responders: $M = 0.02$, $SD = 0.92$; t test: $t = 4.275$, $p < .001$). Nonresponders also had a statistically significant higher Tanner score at T1 (nonresponders: $M = 2.11$, $SD = 0.89$; responders: $M = 1.87$, $SD = 0.74$; t test: $t = -2.408$, $p < .05$). Responders and nonresponders did not differ regarding gender (chi-square test: $\chi^2 = 0.87$, $p = .35$) and T1 self-control (t test: $t = 1.873$, $p = .06$). Although the attrition rate was low, these results show that there were some differences between nonresponders and responders.

Measures

Stressful life events. Stressful life events were assessed retrospectively with a self-report questionnaire at T2, including 20 stressful life events. The items had a yes/no format to indicate whether the event had occurred in the last 2 years (between T1 and T2). For each stressful event experienced, adolescents could rate the severity as 0 = *not unpleasant*, 1 = *somewhat unpleasant*, 2 = *rather unpleasant*, or 3 = *very unpleasant*. We used this measure to exclude events that were not experienced as unpleasant.

Out of the list of 20 stressful life events, we selected those events that were likely to reflect either peer victimization or conflict with authority figures. Peer victimization (at school) includes physical, verbal and psychological forms of degrading actions by peers (e.g., Graham & Bellmore, 2007; Olweus, 1993). The category of peer victimization included therefore the following events: victim of violence, victim of sexual harassment (verbal and physical), victim of bullying at school, and victim of negative gossip at school. The category of conflict with authorities included the events suspension from school, running away from home, and contact with police. The numbers of stressful events within these two categories were used as variables in the analyses.

Social skills. Social skills were assessed with the social skills rating system (SSRS) at T1. The SSRS is a multirater social behavior assessment package that includes separate rating forms for teachers and parents (Gresham & Elliott, 1990). Both teacher and parent forms contain three subscales: Cooperation, Assertion, and Self-Control. The parent version includes an additional Responsibility subscale. Items were rated as 0 = *not true*, 1 = *somewhat or sometimes true*, or 2 = *very often or often true*. For the present study, we used the Assertion and Self-Control subscales of both the teacher and parent forms. Examples of assertion items from the teacher version of the SSRS are as follows: "This student takes initiative to participate in group work and activities" and "This student introduces himself to new people." The parent version of the assertion scale includes items such as "My child starts conversations by himself instead of waiting for other to initiate communication" and "My child is self-confident in social situations, such as during parties and group activities." An item for the teacher form of self-control is "This student reacts appropriately when pressured or teased by classmates," whereas "My child remains calm during arguments with other children" is an item for the parent form. The internal consistency for the Assertion and Self-Control subscales in our sample were moderate to high (Cronbach's α for teacher version: .88 and .91; for parent version:

.75 and .80). Substantial evidence concerning the validity of the SSRS is available; please see the SSRS manual for more details (Gresham & Elliott, 1990). In our sample, the parent and teacher version of the two subscales correlated moderately with each other (Assertion: $r = .32, p < .001$; Self-Control: $r = .29, p < .001$).

Reports from different sources are needed to reduce rater bias and provide better estimates than those based on a single source (Noordhof, Oldehinkel, Verhulst, & Ormel, 2008; Verhulst, Koot, & Van der Ende, 1994). Previous studies have demonstrated that a combination of parent and teacher information results in an improvement of predictive power (Verhulst et al., 1994). Consistent with this, using information on social skills provided by both parents and teachers is likely to result in better and more accurate estimates of the behavior of adolescents than using only one informant (Ruffalo & Elliott, 1997). For these reasons, we computed a combined estimate using the mean of the standardized parent and teacher scores. When data of one informant was missing or unreliable (Teacher version: Assertion $n = 302$, Self-Control $n = 302$; Parent version: Assertion $n = 181$, Self-Control $n = 182$), the composite score was based on the other informant. The composite estimate was standardized to mean 0 and standard deviation 1, in which negative values reflect poor social skills.

Pubertal timing. The stage of pubertal development was assessed in the parent interview at T1 using schematic drawings of secondary sex characteristics associated with the five standard Tanner stages of pubertal development, in which stage 1 corresponds to infantile and stage 5 to complete puberty (Marshall & Tanner, 1969, 1970; Tanner & Whitehouse, 1982). Tanner stages are a widely accepted standard for assessment of physical development and have demonstrated good reliability, validity, and parent-child agreement (Dorn, Susman, Nottelmann, Inoff-Germain, & Chrousos, 1990). The parent was provided with the five gender-appropriate drawings and asked to select which "looked most like their child." Children were classified into one of the five stages of puberty.

Previous research suggests that continuous measures are more effective to capture relative pubertal timing effects than categorical measures (Negri, Fung, & Trickett, 2008). Therefore, we used a continuous measure for relative pubertal timing, using a method comparable to the one developed by Huang, Biro, and Dorn (2009). We first calculated the mean expected Tanner stage, in a linear regression model in which Tanner stage at T1 was predicted by the age in months at T1 (age range: 122 to 144 months), separately for boys and girls. The relative timing was computed as the deviation score for each adolescent by subtracting the expected from the observed Tanner stage. Positive values reflected earlier physical maturation compared to same-sex,

same-age peers. This scale was skewed to the right, which is plausible considering the relatively young age of the sample (girls: range = -1.59 to 2.98 ; boys: range = -0.61 to 3.39). These gender-specific deviancy scores of physical maturation were used in the analyses.

Statistical Analyses

Multiple linear regression analyses were used to test the stress generating effects of poor assertion and poor self-control on peer victimization and conflict with authorities. All analyses were stratified by gender to investigate our hypotheses about gender-specific patterns in the association between the two types of social skills and the two types of interpersonal stressors. Two models were tested for each stressor category. The first model included the main effects of the social skills variables. In the second model, interactions with pubertal timing were added. For the analyses, all continuous variables were transformed to z scores ($M = 0$ and $SD = 1$). The interaction terms of the social skills and pubertal timing were the product of two z scores but were not standardized themselves. We report the unstandardized regression coefficients to be able to compare the study variables on the same scale and to ease the interpretation of the interaction effects.

To provide an impression of the effect size and facilitate the interpretation of the interaction effect, we wrote out multiple equations using simple slope analysis (Aiken & West, 1991). In these analyses, low and high levels of the predictor indicate one standard deviation below and above the mean, respectively, while holding all other variables to their sample means. The moderator variable in the present study was pubertal timing, with one standard deviation below the mean reflecting later maturation and one standard deviation above the mean reflecting early maturation.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

Gender-specific prevalence rates of the stressful life events are presented in Table 1.

Girls reported more peer victimization, whereas boys reported more conflict with authorities. Boys had poorer assertion and self-control than girls. The effect sizes of these gender differences were small (Table 2). In general, for both boys and girls the study variables were weakly to moderately correlated with each other (Table 3).

Table 1. Prevalence Rates of the Specific Interpersonal Stressors During Early Adolescence, by Gender

	Girls	Boys	χ^2 test
	(<i>n</i> = 1,060)	(<i>n</i> = 1,004)	
	<i>n</i> (experienced event)		
Victim of physical violence ^a	59	74	$\chi^2 = 2.845, p = .09$
Victim of negative gossip ^{a,b}	254	127	$\chi^2 = 43.713, p < .001^d$
Victim of bullying ^{a,b}	218	175	$\chi^2 = 3.130, p = .08$
Victim of sexual harassment ^{a,c}	101	44	$\chi^2 = 20.901, p < .001^d$
Suspension from school	2	20	$\chi^2 = 15.940, p < .001^d$
Contact with police	34	93	$\chi^2 = 32.953, p < .001^d$
Running away from home	33	21	$\chi^2 = 2.059, p = .15$

a. Stressful life events are dummy variables (0 = not experienced, 1 = experienced).

b. Victimization experienced at school.

c. Includes both verbal and physical forms of harassment.

d. Statistically significant gender difference.

Table 2. Mean (Standard Deviations) of Stressful Life Events, Social Skills, and Pubertal Timing, by Gender

	Girls (<i>n</i> = 1,060)			Boys (<i>n</i> = 1,004)			Difference t-test	Cohens' <i>D</i>
	<i>M</i> (<i>SD</i>)	Range	<i>n</i>	<i>M</i> (<i>SD</i>)	Range	<i>n</i>		
Peer victimization ^a	0.60 (0.85)		1,060	0.42 (0.74)		1,004	$t = 5.08,^d$ $df = 2,050,$ $p < .001$	$d = .22$
Conflict with authorities ^a	0.07 (0.27)		1,060	0.13 (0.38)		1,004	$t = -4.66,^d$ $df = 1,784,$ $p < .001$	$d = -.22$
Assertion ^b	0.13 (0.82)		1,060	-0.11 (0.85)		1,004	$t = 6.55,$ $df = 2,062,$ $p < .001$	$d = .29$
Self-control ^b	0.18 (0.77)		1,060	-0.18 (0.88)		1,004	$t = 9.80,^d$ $df = 1,991,$ $p < .001$	$d = .44$
Pubertal timing ^c	-0.06 (0.80)	-1.59-2.98	1,060	0.24 (0.57)	-0.61-3.39	1,004		

a. Sumscore.

b. Scores are based on mean standardized parent and teacher reports.

c. Gender-specific deviancy scores from the normative pubertal timing; positive values reflect early physical maturation compared to same-sex, same-age peers.

d. Test statistic adjusted for unequal variances.

Table 3. Correlations Between Stressful Life Events, Social Skills, and Pubertal Timing, by Gender

	Peer victimization	Conflict with authorities	Assertion	Self-control	Pubertal timing ^c
Peer victimization ^a					
Girls		.07**	-.04	-.10***	.06
Boys		.03	-.16***	-.14***	.03
Conflict with authorities ^a					
Girls			-.02	-.08**	.11***
Boys			-.09**	-.21***	.06
Assertion ^b					
Girls				.55***	-.03
Boys				.59***	-.03
Self-control ^b					
Girls					-.01
Boys					-.08*

a. Sumscore.

b. Scores are based on mean standardized parent and teacher reports.

c. Gender-specific deviancy scores from the normative pubertal timing; positive values reflect early physical maturation compared to same-sex, same-age peers.

p* < .05. *p* < .01. ****p* < .001.

Effects of Poor Social Skills and Pubertal Timing on Peer Victimization

The multiple regression analyses were performed separately for boys and girls. In girls, poor self-control, but not poor assertion, was significantly associated with peer victimization. In boys, it was just the other way around. This supported our gender incongruence hypothesis for peer victimization. None of the interactions between social skills deficits and pubertal timing were statistically significant (Table 4).

Effects of Poor Social Skills and Pubertal Timing on Conflict With Authorities

The right columns of Table 4 show the gender-specific regression coefficients for assertion, self-control, and pubertal timing on conflict with authorities. In both boys and girls, poor self-control was statistically significantly associated with conflict with authorities, whereas (poor) assertion was not. The

Table 4. Main and Interaction Effects of T1 Social Skills and Pubertal Timing on T2 Stressful Life Events, by Gender

	Peer victimization				Conflict with authorities			
	Girls		Boys		Girls		Boys	
	Model 1 ^a	Model 2 ^a						
	B ^b (t) ^c							
Assertion	0.02 (0.58)	0.02 (0.59)	-0.11 (-3.05) ^{***f}	-0.11 (-3.01) ^{***f}	0.04 (1.31)	0.04 (1.35)	0.05 (1.07)	0.05 (1.06)
Self-control	-0.13 (-2.99) ^{***f}	-0.13 (-3.04) ^{***f}	-0.06 (-1.85)	-0.05 (-1.94)	-0.10 (-3.00) ^{***f}	-0.10 (-3.17) ^{***f}	-0.24 (-5.88) ^{***f}	-0.23 (-5.63) ^{***f}
Pubertal timing ^d	0.06 (1.87)	0.06 (2.01)	0.02 (0.82)	0.02 (0.87)	0.08 (3.46) ^{***f}	0.10 (4.00) ^{***f}	0.05 (1.38)	0.03 (0.75)
Assertion × Pubertal timing ^e		-0.02 (-0.06)		-0.05 (-1.40)		-0.01 (-0.26)		0.02 (0.48)
Self-control × Pubertal timing ^e		-0.03 (-0.67)		0.05 (1.47)		-0.07 (-2.13) ^{*f}		-0.10 (-2.37) ^{*f}
	R ² = .01	R ² = .01	R ² = .03	R ² = .03	R ² = .02	R ² = .03	R ² = .05	R ² = .05

Note: T1 = baseline; T2 = follow-up.

a. All continuous variables were standardized to z scores ($M = 0$, $SD = 1$) for the analyses.

b. Unstandardized regression coefficients are reported.

c. t statistic.

d. Deviancy scores from the normative physical maturation (z scores); positive values reflect early maturation compared to same-age peers.

e. The interaction term is based on the product of two z scores but was not standardized itself.

f. Statistically significant effect.

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$. *** $p < .001$.




Figure 1. Interaction between self-control and pubertal timing on conflict with authorities, for boys

interaction between poor self-control and pubertal timing on conflict with authorities was statistically significant, in both boys and girls. These results supported the need for autonomy hypothesis

Simple slope analyses (Aiken & West, 1991) confirmed that, in both boys and girls, the effect of poor self-control on conflict with authorities was stronger in early maturing than in later-maturing youth (boys' early maturation: $B = -0.33$, $t = -5.93$, $p < .05$; boys' later maturation: $B = -0.14$, $t = -2.26$, $p < .05$; girls' early maturation: $B = -0.17$, $t = -3.61$, $p < .05$; girls' later maturation: $B = -0.03$, $t = -0.68$, $p = .50$). These results are represented in Figures 1 and 2.

Discussion

Main Findings

Many adolescents experience stressful events. The social skills deficit stress generation hypothesis has contributed to our understanding of the factors that might help create such events. It has been supported in various studies (e.g., Daley et al., 1997; Davila et al., 1995; Segrin, 2001). However, it does not specify mechanisms by which the deficits trigger the stressful events, and therefore it does not allow us to make specific predictions for certain stressful events and for different subgroups. This study aimed to extend the social skills deficit stress generation hypothesis by proposing that assertion deficits and self-control deficits would play out differently for peer victimization and conflict with authorities in young adolescent boys and girls.




Figure 2. Interaction between self-control and pubertal timing on conflict with authorities, for girls

The results confirmed the gender-incongruence hypothesis. Poor assertion rather than poor self-control was associated with peer victimization in boys, whereas in girls, poor self-control was associated with peer victimization rather than poor assertion. The results did not support the “social misfit” hypothesis of pubertal timing, which asserts that early maturers are more likely to be victimized because they are perceived as social deviants by same-age peers (Nadeem & Graham, 2005). Thus, early physical maturation may not be a risk factor for victimization when adolescents are already perceived as social deviants by showing gender-incongruent behaviors. Previous research suggests that adolescents’ behavioral characteristics might make them susceptible to specific types of experiences, which reinforce and sustain those characteristics (Caspi, Elder, & Bem, 1987, 1988). From this perspective, assertion deficits might be perpetuated by peer victimization in boys, whereas self-control deficits might be perpetuated by peer victimization in girls. Because these gender-incongruent behaviors are likely to persist in these boys and girls, they may be at risk for prolonged peer victimization. Peer victimization during adolescence is likely to result in (long-term) mental health problems, for example, addictive behaviors or internalizing problems (Houbre, Tarquinio, Thuillier, & Hergott, 2006; Rosen et al., 2009). The relationship between peer victimization and internalizing problems is also likely to be reciprocal in nature (e.g., Hodges & Perry, 1999). Taken together,

these findings might be indicative of a complex interplay between gender-incongruent social skills deficits, peer victimization, and mental health problems during adolescence.

In support of the need for autonomy hypothesis, our results showed that poor self-control rather than (poor) assertion was associated with conflict with authorities in both genders. As expected, early physical maturation resulted in more conflict with authorities in boys and girls with deficits in self-control. Thus, early maturing boys and girls with poor self-control appear to be a high-risk group for conflict with authorities. According to the labeling theory, adolescents who experience conflict with authorities might be labeled as rule breakers and trouble makers by parents or official authorities (e.g., school administrators), which contributes to develop (more) externalizing behaviors and attitudes (Bernburg, Krohn, & Rivera, 2006; De Kemp et al., 2009; Heimer & Matsueda, 1994). Consistent with this theory, conflicts with authorities (e.g., school suspensions) have been associated with the development of externalizing problems (e.g., Hemphill et al., 2006). Externalizing problems might also contribute to self-control deficits (De Kemp et al., 2009; Ge & Conger, 1999). Taken together, early maturing adolescents with poor self-control could be susceptible to a persistent reciprocal cycle of externalizing behaviors and conflicts with authorities.

The reported regression coefficients of poor social skills and physical maturation on both types of interpersonal stress were noteworthy but not strong for both genders. Stress generation is probably for a large part due to multiple liabilities. Among other things, neuropsychological dysfunctions, personality traits, and psychopathology may also contribute to the occurrence of interpersonal stressful events (Hammen, 2006). Despite the small effects of social skills, poor social skills could nevertheless have long-term negative consequences (Segrin, 2001). If they contribute to both peer victimization and conflict with authorities, poor social skills may predispose adolescent boys and girls to enduring difficulties later in life.

Limitations and Strengths

A limitation of this study is that the life events were measured retrospectively, without regard to contextual information and based on self-reports (Dohrenwend, 2006). Retrospective self-reports are susceptible to recall bias: People with mental health problems have been suggested to overreport the severity as well as the number of stressful life events (Dohrenwend, 2006; Monroe, 2008). Tentatively, recall bias in self-reports about peer

victimization and conflict with authorities might be more pronounced in severity ratings than in occurrence ratings of these stressful life events (see Wagner, Abela, & Brozina, 2006). To reduce the probability of state-related biases, we only used the number of stressful life events in the analyses, not their reported severity. Another potential limitation is that we cannot exclude the possibility that the conflict with authority items might partly be expressions of a lack of self-control, which could explain why the two variables are associated.

Important assets of this study are the size and representativeness of our sample, the use of multiple informants, the use of specific types of social skills, and the use of distinct types of interpersonal stressful life events as outcome measures. An additional asset of this study was the use of a continuous, rather than a categorical, measure to capture relative pubertal timing effects (Huang et al., 2009; Negri et al., 2008).

Conclusions and Implications

To the best of our knowledge, this study was the first to investigate the role of specific types of social skills in predisposing young adolescents to specific types of interpersonal stress, that is, peer victimization and conflict with authorities. We worked out the mechanisms that are likely to link social skills deficits to peer victimization and conflict with authorities for boys and girls. This allowed us to integrate social skills deficits with different events, gender, and maturation effects. These specific associations give more insights into the pathways that result in the experience of interpersonal stressors in boys and girls.

Our results indicate that prevention and intervention strategies against peer victimization might benefit from taking a gender-specific approach. Tentatively, it appears important to teach girls at risk for victimization more self-control, whereas for at-risk boys efforts should be focused on improving their assertiveness. Parents, teachers, and clinicians should also be aware that early maturing boys and girls with self-control deficits appear to be especially at risk for conflict with authorities. This suggests that it is important to give special attention to self-control in this subgroup of young adolescents to prevent them from getting into persistent difficulties later in life (e.g., Hemphill et al., 2006; Moffitt et al., 2002).

Authors' Note

This research is part of the TRacking Adolescents' Individual Lives Survey (TRAILS). Participating centers of TRAILS include various departments of the University

Medical Center and University of Groningen, the Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam, the University of Utrecht, the Radboud Medical Center Nijmegen, and the Trimbos Institute, all in the Netherlands. Principal investigators are Prof. Dr. J. Ormel (University Medical Center Groningen) and Prof. Dr. F. C. Verhulst (Erasmus University Medical Center).

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to all adolescents, their parents, and teachers who participated in this research and to everyone who worked on this project and made it possible.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed that they received the following support for their research and/or authorship of this article: TRAILS has been financially supported by various grants from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research NWO (Medical Research Council Program Grant GB-MW 940-38-011; ZonMw Brainpower Grant 100-001-004; ZonMw Risk Behavior and Dependence Grants 60-60600-98-018 and 60-60600-97-118; ZonMw Culture and Health Grant 261-98-710; Social Sciences Council medium-sized investment grants GB-MaGW 480-01-006 and GB-MaGW 480-07-001; Social Sciences Council project grants GB-MaGW 457-03-018, GB-MaGW 452-04-314, and GB-MaGW 452-06-004; NWO large-sized investment grant 175.010.2003.005); the Sophia Foundation for Medical Research (Projects 301 and 393), the Dutch Ministry of Justice (WODC), and the participating universities.

References

- Agnew, R. (2003). An integrated theory of the adolescent peak of offending. *Youth & Society, 34*, 263-299.
- Aiken, L. S., & West, S. G. (1991). *Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Arnett, J. J. (1999). Adolescent storm and stress, reconsidered. *American Psychologist, 54*, 317-326.
- Baumeister, R. F., & Sommer, K. L. (1997). What do men want? Gender differences and two spheres of belongingness: Comments on Cross and Madson (1997). *Psychological Bulletin, 122*, 38-44.
- Bernburg, J. G., Krohn, M. D., & Rivera, C. J. (2006). Official labeling, criminal embeddedness, and subsequent delinquency: A longitudinal test of labeling theory. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency, 43*, 67-88.

- Branje, S. J. T., Van Doorn, M., Van der Valk, I., & Meeuws, W. (2009). Parent-adolescent conflicts, conflict resolution types, and adolescent adjustment. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 30*, 195-204.
- Caspi, A., Elder, G. H., & Bem, D. J. (1987). Moving against the world: Life-course patterns of explosive children. *Developmental Psychology, 23*, 308-313.
- Caspi, A., Elder, G. H., & Bem, D. J. (1988). Moving away from the world: Life-course patterns of shy children. *Developmental Psychology, 24*, 824-831.
- Cyranowski, J. M., Frank, E., Young, E., & Shear, K. (2000). Adolescent onset of the gender difference in lifetime rates of major depression: A theoretical model. *Archives of General Psychiatry, 57*, 21-27.
- Daley, S. E., Hammen, C., Burge, D., Davilla, J., Paley, B., Lindberg, N., et al. (1997). Predictors of the generation of episodic stress: A longitudinal study of late adolescent women. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 106*, 251-259.
- Davila, J., Hammen, C., Burge, D., Paley, B., & Daley, S. E. (1995). Poor interpersonal problem solving as a mechanism of stress generation in depression among adolescent women. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 104*, 592-600.
- De Kemp, R. A. T., Vermulst, A. A., Finkenauer, C., Scholte, R. H. J., Overbeek, G., Rommes, E. W. M., et al. (2009). Self-control and early adolescent antisocial behavior: A longitudinal analysis. *Journal of Early Adolescence, 29*, 497-517.
- Dekovic, M., Noom, M. J., & Meeuws, W. (1997). Expectations regarding development during adolescence: Parental and adolescent perceptions. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 26*, 253-272.
- Dohrenwend, B. P. (2006). Inventorying stressful life events as risk factors for psychopathology: Toward resolution of the problem of intracategory variability. *Psychological Bulletin, 132*, 477-495.
- Dorn, L. D., Susman, E. J., Nottelmann, E. D., Inoff-Germain, G., & Chrousos, G. P. (1990). Perceptions of puberty: Adolescent, parent, and health care personnel. *Developmental Psychology, 26*, 322-329.
- Finnegan, R. A., Hodges, E. V. E., & Perry, D. G. (1998). Victimization by peers: Associations with children's reports of mother-child interaction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 4*, 1076-1086.
- Ge, X., & Conger, R. D. (1999). Adjustment problems and emerging personality characteristics from early to late adolescence. *American Journal of Community Psychology, 27*, 429-459.
- Ge, X., Conger, R. D., & Elder Jr., G. H. (2001). The relation between puberty and psychological distress in adolescent boys. *Journal of Research on Adolescence, 11*, 49-70.
- Ge, X., Kim, I. J., Conger, R. D., Brody, G. H., Simons, R. L., Gibbons, F. X., et al. (2003). It's about timing and change: Pubertal transition effects on symptoms of major depression among African American youth. *Developmental Psychology, 39*, 430-439.

- Geary, D. C., Byrd-Craven, J., Hoard, M. K., Vigil, J., & Numtee, C. (2003). Evolution and development of boys' social behavior. *Developmental Review, 23*, 444-470.
- Gjerde, P. (1995). Alternative pathways to chronic depressive symptoms in young adults: Gender differences in developmental trajectories. *Child Development, 66*, 1277-1300.
- Graham, S., & Juvonen, J. (2002). Ethnicity, peer harassment, and adjustment in middle school: An exploratory study. *Journal of Early Adolescence, 22*, 173-199.
- Graham, S., & Bellmore, A. D. (2007). Peer victimization and mental health during early adolescence. *Theory into Practice, 46*, 138-146.
- Gresham, F. M., & Elliott, S. N. (1990). *The social skills rating systems*. Circle Pines, MN: American Guidance Service.
- Hammen, C. (2006). Stress generation in depression: Reflections on origins, research, and future directions. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 62*, 1065-1082.
- Heimer, K., & Matsueda, R. L. (1994). Role-taking, role commitment, and delinquency: A theory of differential social control. *American Sociological Review, 59*, 365-390.
- Hemphill, S. A., Toumbourou, J. W., Herrenkohl, T. I., McMorris, B. J., & Catalano, R. F. (2006). The effect of school suspension and arrests on subsequent adolescent anti-social behavior in Australia and the United States. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 39*, 736-744.
- Hodges, E. V. E., & Perry, D. G. (1999). Personal and interpersonal antecedents and consequences of victimization by peers. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 76*, 677-685.
- Hoglund, W. (2007). School functioning in early adolescence: Gender-linked responses to peer victimization. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 99*, 683-699.
- Houbre, B., Tarquinio, C., Thuillier, I., & Hergott, E. (2006). Bullying among students and its consequences on health. *European Journal of Psychology on Education, 21*, 183-208.
- Huang, B., Biro, F. M., & Dorn, L. D. (2009). Determination of relative timing of pubertal maturation through ordinal logistic modeling: Evaluation of growth and timing parameters. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 45*, 383-388.
- Huisman, M., Oldehinkel, A. J., de Winter, A., Minderaa, R. B., de Bildt, A., Huizink, A. C., et al. (2008). Cohort profile: The Dutch "Tracking Adolescents' Individual Lives' Survey"; TRAILS. *International Journal of Epidemiology, 37*, 1227-1235.
- Kaltiala-Heino, R., Marttunen, M., Rantanen, P., & Rimpelä, M. (2003). Early puberty is associated with mental health problems in middle adolescence. *Social Science & Medicine, 57*, 1055-1064.
- Kochenderfer, B. J., & Ladd, G. W. (1997). Victimized children's responses to peers' aggression: Behaviors associated with reduced versus continued victimization. *Development and Psychopathology, 9*, 59-73.

- Kokko, K., & Pulkkinen, L. (2000). Aggression in childhood and long-term unemployment in adulthood: A cycle of maladaptation and some protective factors. *Developmental Psychology, 36*, 463-472.
- Krueger, R. F., Caspi, A., Moffitt, T. E., White, J., & Stouthamer-Loeber, M. (1996). Delay of gratification, psychopathology, and personality: Is low self-control specific for externalizing problems? *Journal of Personality, 64*, 107-129.
- Larson, R., & Ham, M. (1993). Stress and "storm and stress" in early adolescence: The relationship of negative events with dysphoric affect. *Developmental Psychology, 29*, 130-140.
- Lorr, M., Youniss, R. P., & Stefic, E. C. (1991). An inventory of social skills. *Journal of Personality Assessment, 57*, 506-520.
- Luengo, M. A., Carrillo-de-la-Pena, M. T., Otero, J. M., & Romero, E. (1994). A short-term longitudinal study of impulsivity and antisocial behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 66*, 542-548.
- Markus, H., & Lin, L. (1999). Conflictways: Cultural diversity in the meanings and practices of conflict. In D. Prentice & D. Miller (Eds.), *Cultural divides: Understanding and overcoming group conflict* (pp. 302-333). New York: Russell Sage.
- Marshall, W. A., & Tanner, J. M. (1969). Variations in pattern of pubertal changes in girls. *Archives of Disease in Childhood, 44*, 291-303.
- Marshall, W. A., & Tanner, J. M. (1970). Variations in pattern of pubertal changes in boys. *Archives of Disease in Childhood, 45*, 13-23.
- Moffitt, T. E. (1993). Adolescence-limited and life-course-persistent antisocial behavior: A developmental taxonomy. *Psychological Review, 100*, 674-701.
- Moffitt, T. E., Caspi, A., Harrington, H., & Milne, B. J. (2002). Males on the life-course-persistent and adolescence-limited antisocial pathways: Follow-up at age 26 years. *Development and Psychopathology, 14*, 179-207.
- Monroe, S. M. (2008). Modern approaches to conceptualizing and measuring human life stress. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 4*, 33-52.
- Nadeem, E., & Graham, S. (2005). Early puberty, peer victimization and internalizing symptoms in ethnic minority adolescents. *Journal of Early Adolescence, 25*, 197-222.
- Negriff, S., Fung, M. T., & Trickett, P. K. (2008). Self-rated pubertal development, depressive symptoms and delinquency: Measurement issues and moderation by gender and maltreatment. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 37*, 736-746.
- Noordhof, A., Oldehinkel, A. J., Verhulst, F. C., & Ormel, J. (2008). Optimal use of multi-informant data on co-occurrence of internalizing and externalizing problems in preadolescence. *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research, 17*, 174-183.
- Olweus, D. (1992). Victimization by peers: Antecedents and long-term outcomes. In K. H. Rubin & J. B. Assendorpf (Eds.), *Social withdrawal, inhibition, and shyness in childhood* (pp. 315-341). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

- Olweus, D. (1993). *Bullying at school*. Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishers Inc.
- Pellegrini, A. D., & Bartini, M. (2001). Dominance in early adolescent boys: Affiliative and aggressive dimensions and possible functions. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, *47*, 142-163.
- Phinney, J. S., Kim-Jo, T., Osorio, S., & Vilhjalmsson, P. (2005). Autonomy and relatedness in adolescent-parent disagreement: Ethnic and developmental factors. *Journal of Adolescent Research*, *20*, 8-39.
- Pinquart, M., & Silbereisen, R. K. (2002). Changes in adolescents' and mothers' autonomy and connectedness in conflict discussions: An observation study. *Journal of Adolescence*, *25*, 509-522.
- Rosen, L. H., Underwood, M. K., Beron, K. J., Gentsch, J. K., Wharton, M. E., & Rahdar, A. (2009). Persistent versus periodic experiences of social victimization: Predictors of adjustment. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *37*, 693-704.
- Rudolph, K. D. (2002). Gender differences in emotional responses to interpersonal stress during adolescence. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *30*(Suppl. 4), 3-13.
- Rudolph, K. D., & Hammen, C. (1999). Age and gender as determinants of stress exposure, generation and reactions in youngsters: A transactional perspective. *Child Development*, *70*, 660-677.
- Ruffalo, S. L., & Elliott, S. N. (1997). Teachers' and parents' ratings of childrens' social skills: A closer look at cross-informant agreements through an item analysis protocol. *School Psychology Review*, *26*, 489-501.
- Segrin, C. (1999). Social skills, stressful life events, and the development of psychosocial problems. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, *18*, 14-34.
- Segrin, C. (2001). Social skills and negative life events: Testing the deficit stress generation hypothesis. *Current Psychology*, *20*, 19-35.
- Sentse, M., Dijkstra, J. K., Lindenberg, S., Ormel, J., & Veenstra, R. (in press). The delicate balance between parental protection, unsupervised wandering, and adolescents' autonomy and its relation with antisocial behavior: The TRAILS Study. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*.
- Tanner, J. M., & Whitehouse, R. H. (1982). *Atlas of children's growth: Normal variation and growth disorders*. London: Academic Press.
- Troisi, A. (2001). Gender differences in vulnerability to social stress: A Darwinian perspective. *Physiology & Behavior*, *73*, 443-449.
- Vazsonyi, A., & Belliston, L. M. (2007). The family, low self-control, deviance: A cross-cultural and cross-national test of self-control theory. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, *34*, 505-530.
- Verhulst, F. C., Koot, H. M., & Van der Ende, J. (1994). Differential predictive value of parents' and teachers' reports of childrens' problem behaviors: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, *22*, 531-546.

- Wagner, C., Abela, J. R. Z., & Brozina, K. (2006). A comparison of stress measure in children and adolescents: A self-report checklist versus an objectively rated interview. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment, 28*, 251-261.
- Waylen, A., & Wolke, D. (2004). Sex “n” drugs “n” rock “n” roll: The meaning and social consequences of pubertal timing. *European Journal of Endocrinology, 151*, U151-U159.
- White, J. L., Moffitt, T. E., Caspi, A., Bartusch, D. J., Needles, D. J., & Stouthamer-Loeber, M. (1994). Measuring impulsivity and examining its relationship to delinquency. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 103*, 192-205.
- Williams, J. M., & Dunlop, L. C. (1999). Pubertal timing and self-reported delinquency among male adolescents. *Journal of Adolescence, 22*, 157-171.

Bios

Martin P. Bakker is a PhD student at the Interdisciplinary Center for Psychiatric Epidemiology. His research project encompasses the development and testing of theory-based models of stressful life events and mental health associations during childhood and adolescence. His main research interests are specific pathways of stress-symptom associations in children and adolescents, and vulnerability and resilience factors that are involved in these specific stress-symptom associations.

Johan Ormel is a professor of the Groningen University Center of Psychiatry, head of the Interdisciplinary Center for Psychiatric Epidemiology, and principal investigator of the longitudinal “TRacking Adolescents’ Individual Lives Survey” (TRAILS) study. Among other things, his research encompasses the person-environment interplay in the etiology of mental health problems and the developmental of vulnerability and resilience to psychopathology during adolescence.

Siegwart Lindenberg is a professor of cognitive sociology at the University of Groningen and one of the directors of the Interuniversity Center for Social Science Theory and Methodology (ICS). His main interests lie in the areas of microfoundations for theories on collective phenomena—especially, theory of model building, theory of social rationality, goal-framing theory, theory of human goals and well-being (SPF theory), theory of norms—and groups and relationships (including various forms of solidarity and contracting), especially, theory of interdependencies (functional, cognitive, and structural) and theory of sharing and joint production and theory of solidarity.

Frank C. Verhulst is a professor at the Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Erasmus University Medical Center—Sophia Children’s Hospital Rotterdam, and principal investigator of the longitudinal TRAILS study. Among other things, his research encompasses assessment and taxonomy of child psychopathology, problem behaviors of international adoptees, and genetic epidemiology.

Albertine J. Oldehinkel is a professor at the Groningen University Center of Psychiatry and adjunct head of the Interdisciplinary Center for Psychiatric Epidemiology and scientific coordinator of the longitudinal TRAILS study. Her research focuses on person-environment interactions in the pathogenesis of emotional and behavioral problems. A specific goal is to explain the female preponderance in depression rates, emerging in adolescence.