Exploring the biocatalytic scope of a bacterial flavin-containing monooxygenase
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1. Experimental procedures.

2.1. Asymmetric oxidation of sulfide 8a employing the Kagan methodology.[1]

Ti(O-i-Pr)₄ (10 mmoles) and (R,R)-DET (+)-diethyl L-tartrate) (20 mmoles) were dissolved at room temperature in 50 mL of CH₂Cl₂ under nitrogen atmosphere. Distilled water (5 mmoles) was then added dropwise. Stirring was maintained until the yellow solution formed became homogeneous and sulfide 8a was added (5 mmoles). The resulting mixture was cooled to – 20 ºC and a 5.5 M TBHP (tert-butyl hidroperoxide) solution in decane (5.5 mmoles) was added. After four hours, additional water was added dropwise (50 mmoles). A strong stirring was maintained for 1 hour at – 20 ºC and then for one additional hour at room temperature. The gel obtained was filtrated and washed with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL). The filtrate was kept in the presence of a mixture of NaOH (5%) in brine (30 mL) for 1 hour and then extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×20 mL). The organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to give the crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography using a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ / MeOH (95:5) as eluent in order to give 4-acetylphenyl methyl sulfoxide (R)-8b.

(R)-4-acetylphenyl methyl sulfoxide, (R)-8b: Yield 40% (344 mg). [α]D²⁵ = +90.2 (c 1.0, acetone), ee = 87%.

2.2. Asymmetric oxidation of sulfide 2a employing the Kagan methodology.

p-Hydroxyphenyl methyl sulfide 2a was acylated with acetic anhydride and pyridine in order to obtain p-acetoxyphenyl methyl sulfide 2c (90% yield). This substrate was oxidised by the methodology previously described except for an acidification step with HCl 1.0 M before the extraction with CH₂Cl₂, obtaining (R)- p-acetoxyphenyl methyl sulfoxide in 16% yield and ee= 30% after purification by flash chromatography using a mixture of CH₂Cl₂ / MeOH (95:5) as eluent.

p-Acetoxyphenyl methyl sulfide (2c). (90% yield). White solid. Mp: 43-44°C IR (KBr): ν 2924, 1760, 1489, 1370, 1202, 739 cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (300.13 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C): δ 2.21 (s, 3H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 6.97 (d, ³JH,H 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.21 (d, ³JH,H 6.8 Hz, 2H). ¹³C-NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl₃, 25°C): δ 15.6 (CH₃), 20.4 (CH₃), 121.6 (2CH₉), 127.2 (2CH₉), 135.2 (C₉), 147.9 (C₉), 168.8 (CO). (EI⁺, m/z): 182 (M⁺, 41%), 140 (100), 125 (44), 96 (13), 43 (33). HRMS (ESI⁺): calcd. for C₉H₁₀NaO₂S (M+Na)⁺: 205.0295; found: 205.0294.
2.3. Biocatalysed sulfoxidation of thioanisole at different pHs.

Thioanisole (1a) (5 mM) was dissolved in a Tris-HCl 50 mM buffer (pH from 6.0 to 10.0) containing sodium phosphite (10 mM), NADPH (0.2 mM) and the self-sufficient biocatalyst PTDH-mFMO (4 μM). Reactions were stirred at 25 ºC and 250 rpm for 8 h. Crude mixtures were then stopped, extracted with EtOAc (2 x 0.5 mL), dried onto MgSO₄ and analyzed by GC and HPLC in order to determine the conversions and the optical purities of (S)-1b, as shown in Figure S1. Control reactions in absence of biocatalyst were performed for all substrates, not observing formation of the final products.

![Figure S1. Effect of pH in the conversion (●) and in the enantiomeric excess (■) for the PTDH-mFMO biocatalyzed oxidation of thioanisole 1a.](image)

2.4. Biocatalysed sulfoxidation of thioanisole in presence of organic cosolvents.

Thioanisole (1a) (5 mM) was dissolved in a Tris-HCl 50 mM buffer pH 9.0 containing a 5% v v⁻¹ of organic cosolvent (1.0 mL), sodium phosphite (10 mM), NADPH (0.2 mM) and the self-sufficient biocatalyst PTDH-mFMO (4 μM). Reactions were stirred at 25 ºC and 250 rpm for 8 h. Crude mixtures were then stopped, extracted with EtOAc (2 x 0.5 mL), dried onto MgSO₄ and analyzed by GC and HPLC in order to determine the conversions and the optical purities of (S)-1b. Control reactions in absence of biocatalyst were performed for all substrates, not observing formation of the final products.
Table S1. Effect of organic cosolvents in the biocatalysed oxidation of thioanisole employing PTDH-mFMO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Cosolvent</th>
<th>c [%][a]</th>
<th>ee [%][b]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1% DMSO</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5% DMSO</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5% DMF</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5% MeOH</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5% 1,4-Dioxane</td>
<td>≤3</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5% i-PrOH</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5% t-BuOMe</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5% Toluene</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5% 2-octanol</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Measured by GC. [b] Determined by HPLC. n.d. not determined

2.5. PTDH-mFMO catalyzed oxidation of racemic sulfoxides (±)-1b, (±)-4-5b and (±)-7b.

Sulfoxides (±)-1b, (±)-4-5b or (±)-7b (5 mM) were dissolved in a Tris-HCl buffer pH 9.0 containing 1% DMSO and the organic cosolvent when stated (final volume 1.0 mL), sodium phosphite (10 mM), NADPH (0.2 mM) and the self-sufficient biocatalyst PTDH-mFMO (4 μM). Reactions were stirred at 25°C and 250 rpm for the times established. Reactions were then stopped, extracted with EtOAc (2 x 0.5 mL), dried onto MgSO₄ and analyzed by GC and/or HPLC in order to determine the conversions and the optical purities of the remaining sulfoxides. Control reactions in absence of biocatalyst were performed for all substrates, not observing formation of the final products.
PTDH-mFMO concentration were measured photometrically by monitoring the absorption of the FAD-cofactor at 450 nm ($\varepsilon_{450} = 11.3 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) after treating the enzyme with 0.1% SDS.

**Steady-state kinetics.**

The enzymatic activity of PTDH-mFMO for the oxidation of indole and its derivatives, trimethylamine, sulfides $1a$, $3a$, $5a$, $9a$, $13a$, $21a$ and $24a$, coenzymes NADPH and NADP$^+$ and sodium phosphite were determined spectrophotometrically by monitoring NADPH consumption or formation at 340 nm ($\varepsilon_{340} = 6.22 \text{ mM}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$).

Stocks solutions of sulfides $1a$, $3a$, $5a$, $9a$, $13a$, $21a$ and $24a$ (250 mM) and indoles (1.0 M) were made in 1,4-dioxane meanwhile 10 mM NADP$^+$, NADPH, sodium phosphite and trimethylamine (500 mM) stock solutions were prepared in Tris-HCl (50 mM, 35 mM NaCl, pH 8.5) buffer. A reaction mixture (1.0 mL) usually contained Tris-HCl (25 mM, 35 mM NaCl, pH 9.0 for the sulfides and pH 8.5 for indoles, trimethylamine, NADPH and NADP$^+$), 100 µM NADPH, 1% v v$^{-1}$ 1,4-dioxane for the measurements of the sulfides and indoles, and 0.17-1.00 µM PTDH-mFMO.

**Table S2.** Steady-state kinetic parameters for PTDH-mFMO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$K_M$ [µM]</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}/K_M$ (M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NADP$^+$</td>
<td>19±3</td>
<td>6.3±0.11</td>
<td>330,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NADPH</td>
<td>11±1</td>
<td>5.0±0.03</td>
<td>460,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium phosphite</td>
<td>1600±25</td>
<td>3.6±0.02</td>
<td>2,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethylamine</td>
<td>8±1</td>
<td>4.7±0.10</td>
<td>590,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thioanisole</td>
<td>170±8</td>
<td>0.45±0.01</td>
<td>2,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Chlorothioanisole</td>
<td>87±6</td>
<td>0.25±0.02</td>
<td>2,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Methoxythioanisole</td>
<td>220±15</td>
<td>0.23±0.01</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Cyanothioanisole</td>
<td>590±10</td>
<td>0.29±0.01</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzyl methyl sulfide</td>
<td>470±12</td>
<td>0.34±0.01</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexyl methyl sulfide</td>
<td>350±13</td>
<td>0.19±0.01</td>
<td>540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyl ethyl sulfide</td>
<td>94±3</td>
<td>0.62±0.02</td>
<td>6,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table S.3. Reaction rates observed at 1.0 mM concentration in the PTDH-mFMO catalysed oxidation of indole and derivatives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$K_{obs}$ (s$^{-1}$)$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indole</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Chloroindole</td>
<td>0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Chloroindole</td>
<td>0.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Chloroindole</td>
<td>0.077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-Chloroindole</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Bromoindole</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Nitroindole</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Hydroxyindole</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Methyldindole</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-Methoxyindole</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methyldindole</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ For all compounds, standard deviations (average 4 measures) were below ±5.0%.
3. GC and HPLC Analyses.

The following columns were used for the determination of conversions and enantiomeric excesses of the sulfoxides by GC: A: Restek RT-BetaDEXse (30 m x 0.25 mm x 0.25 µm, 12 psi N₂) and B: Hewlett Packard HP-1 (30m x 0.32 mm x 0.25µm, 12.2 psi N₂).

Table S.4. Determination of conversion values and enantiomeric excesses by GC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Program[a]</th>
<th>Column</th>
<th>t_R [min]</th>
<th>t_R [min]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>70/10/190</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>100/5/3/200</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>70/10/190</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>70/0/10/190</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>70/0/10/190</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>70/0/10/190</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7a</td>
<td>70/0/10/190</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8a</td>
<td>100/5/3/130</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9a</td>
<td>70/0/10/190</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10a</td>
<td>70/0/10/190</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11a</td>
<td>70/0/10/190</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12a</td>
<td>70/0/10/190</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13a</td>
<td>70/0/10/190</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14a</td>
<td>70/0/10/190</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15a</td>
<td>70/5/3/150</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18a</td>
<td>70/5/3/200</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19a</td>
<td>70/0/10/190</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20a</td>
<td>70/5/3/130</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21a</td>
<td>70/5/3/150</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23a</td>
<td>50/5/3/200</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>31.4 (S), 31.8 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24a</td>
<td>50/5/3/200</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>33.1(S), 35.4 (R)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Program: initial T (°C)/ time (min)/ slope (°C/min)/ T (°C)/ time (min).
For the determination of the enantiomeric excesses of the sulfoxides by HPLC, the following columns were employed: column A: Chiralcel OB-H (0.46 cm x 25 cm), column B: Chiralcel OD (0.46 cm x 25 cm), column C: Chiralpak IA (0.46 cm x 25 cm), column D: Chiralcel OD-H (0.46 x 25 cm) and column E: Chiralcel OJ-H (0.45 cm x 25 cm), all of them are from Daicel.

Table S.5. Determination of enantiomeric excesses by HPLC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Column</th>
<th>Flow rate [mL min⁻¹]</th>
<th>T [°C]</th>
<th>Eluent⁴</th>
<th>Retention time (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 9:1</td>
<td>13.6 (R); 16.8 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 9:1</td>
<td>38.7 (R); 39.9 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 9:1</td>
<td>15.2 (R); 17.1 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 8:2</td>
<td>20.6 (R); 22.9 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 8:2</td>
<td>18.5 (S); 28.3 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 8:2</td>
<td>10.8 (R); 14.6 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7b</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 8:2</td>
<td>17.2 (S); 29.6 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8b</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>n-hexane-EtOH 8:2</td>
<td>21.9 (S); 23.5 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9b</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 8:2</td>
<td>20.7 (R); 23.0 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10b</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 8:2</td>
<td>39.1 (S); 50.0 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11b</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 9:1</td>
<td>18.1 (R); 22.2 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12b</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 8:2</td>
<td>13.5 (S); 16.7 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13b</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 9:1</td>
<td>18.3 (R); 19.8 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14b</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 9:1</td>
<td>17.7 (R); 19.1 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15b</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 8:2</td>
<td>40.2 (S); 42.7 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18b</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 9:1</td>
<td>16.6 (S); 29.8 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19b</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 8:2</td>
<td>12.6 (S); 14.3 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20b</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 92:8</td>
<td>21.4 (S); 26.5 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21b</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>n-hexane-IPA 8:2</td>
<td>8.1 (R); 9.7 (S)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁴ Experiments were performed with isocratic eluent. ⁵ Compound 2b was derivatised into the corresponding acetate, compound 2c. ⁶ Starting flow 95:5 n-hexane-EtOH, increased polarity until 9:1 in 15 minutes and from 9:1 to 8:2 in 30 minutes.
4. NMR Spectra

![NMR Spectra Diagram](image_url)