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ABSTRACT

We investigate the evolutionary properties of a sample of quasars (QSOs) at 5 < z < 6.4 using the semi-analytical hierarchical model GAMETE/QSO DUST. We find that the observed properties of these QSOs are well reproduced by a common formation scenario in which stars form according to a standard initial mass function, via quiescent star formation and efficient merger-driven bursts, while the central black hole (BH) grows via gas accretion and BH–BH mergers. Eventually, a strong active galactic nuclei-driven wind starts to clear up the interstellar medium of dust and gas, damping the star formation and un-obscuring the line of sight towards the QSO. In this scenario, all the QSOs hosts have final stellar masses in the range (4–6) × 1011 M⊙, a factor of 3–30 larger than the upper limits allowed by the observations. We discuss alternative scenarios to alleviate this apparent tension: the most likely explanation resides in the large uncertainties that still affect dynamical mass measurements in these high-z galaxies. In addition, during the transition between the starburst-dominated and the active QSO phase, we predict that ~40 percent of the progenitor galaxies can be classified as Submillimetre Galaxies, although their number rapidly decreases with redshift.
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1 INTRODUCTION

High-redshift quasars (QSOs), the bright active galactic nuclei (AGN) of galaxies, are among the most important sources of information on the Universe at early cosmic epochs. Their large luminosities (>1044 erg s⁻¹) at z > 6 imply that super massive black holes (SMBHs), with masses >10⁹ M⊙ (e.g. Fan et al. 2001, 2003; Willott et al. 2007), were already in place; so far, the most distant QSO observed is ULAS J1120+0846 at z = 7.085 (Mortlock et al. 2011) and its estimated BH mass, ~2 × 10⁹ M⊙, must have formed in less than ~800 Myr.

In the Local Universe, tight correlations between BH masses and several properties of their host galaxies (such as the stellar bulge mass, luminosity, and velocity dispersion) have been observed, suggesting a common formation scenario (co-evolution) for galaxies and BHs at their centre, but the physical drivers of this co-evolution may be different in different kind of galaxies (for a recent thorough review see Kormendy & Ho 2013).

The mean BH-stellar bulge mass ratio, M_BH/M_Gal, estimated from the observations of a sample of z > 5 QSO is about 10 times higher than the local value (Wang et al. 2010). Although these results could be biased by large uncertainties and observational selection effects (Lauer et al. 2007; Volonteri & Stark 2011), a possible explanation to this discrepancy could be that BHs form before or faster than their host galaxy stellar bulges at these early epochs (Lamastra et al. 2010).

Given the large energy released by BH accretion, QSOs activity is expected to play a critical role in shaping the star formation history (SFH) of the host galaxy, ultimately self-regulating BH growth. Indeed, massive, large-scale gas outflows associated with QSOs have been recently detected from the Local Universe up to z ~ 6.4 (Feruglio et al. 2010; Nesvadba et al. 2010, 2011; Maiolino et al. 2012; Cicone et al. 2012).

Finally, observations of high-z galaxies and QSOs reveal a rapidly enriched interstellar medium (ISM). Emission line ratios are seen to trace super-solar gas metallicities (up to ~10² Z⊙) in broad (Nagao, Marconi & Maiolino 2006; Nagao et al. 2012; Juarez et al. 2009) and narrow (Matsuoka et al. 2009) line regions (NLRs), with almost no redshift evolution. Dust thermal emission for a sample of 5 < z < 7.1 QSOs in the Sloan Digital Sky survey (SDSS) has been detected through millimetre (mm) and sub-mm observations, suggesting large masses of dust, of a few 10¹⁰ M⊙ (e.g. Bertoldi et al. 2003; Priddey et al. 2003; Robson et al. 2004; Beelen et al. 2006; Wang et al. 2008). Moreover, significant dust reddening is present along several high-z AGN and gamma-ray bursts lines of sight (Gallerani et al. 2010; Stratta, Gallerani & Maiolino 2011; Hjort et al. 2013).

* E-mail: rosa.valiante@oa-roma.inaf.it
From the theoretical point of view, many efforts have been made so far to shed light on the formation and growth of the first galaxies, their central SMBHs and/or the evolution of the ISM properties. Some of these issues have been approached with semi-analytical models, providing insights either on the evolution of the dust and metals in the host galaxies (e.g. Hirashita & Ferrara 2002; Morgan & Edmunds 2003; Dwek, Galliano & Jones 2007; Valiante et al. 2009; Dwek & Cherchneff 2011; Gall, Andersen & Hjorth 2011; Mattsson 2011; Pipino et al. 2011, Calura et al. 2014) or on the formation and growth of the central BHs (e.g. Valonteri, Haardt & Madau 2003; Valonteri & Rees 2006; Menci et al. 2008; Somerville et al. 2008; Devecchi et al. 2010, 2012; Lamastra et al. 2010). On the other hand, high-resolution and/or zoomed-in numerical simulations represent the most sophisticated tools to investigate the physical processes which drive and regulate the BH-galaxy co-evolution (e.g. Di Matteo, Springel & Hernquist 2005; Hopkins et al. 2006; Li et al. 2007, 2008; Di Matteo et al. 2008, 2012; Booth & Schaye 2009; Salvadori, Ferrara & Schneider 2009; DeBuhr, Quataert & Hopkins 2010; Bellvary et al. 2011, 2013; Hopkins, Quataert & Murray 2011; DeGraf et al. 2012). These studies have demonstrated that in order to investigate the formation and growth of black holes and their host galaxies, one must embed their evolution in a cosmological setting (Valonteri & Bellovary 2012).

To interpret the observed/inferred properties of high-z QSOs mentioned above, all the aspects of BH-galaxy co-evolution must be explained and modelled simultaneously in an adequate, self-consistent cosmologically evolving context. As an attempt to progress in this direction, we have developed a semi-analytical model for the formation and evolution of high-redshift QSOs, GEMETE/QSOdust (Valiante et al. 2011), which is able to follow the hierarchical assembly and merger history of both the host galaxy and its central BH. The formation and evolution of the chemical properties of the host galaxy, namely the mass of gas, stars, metals, and dust are consistently followed in the model. As a pilot study, we have used this model to investigate possible evolutionary scenarios of one of the best studied QSOs, SDSS J1148+5251 (hereafter J1148), observed at redshift \( z = 6.4 \). In Valiante et al. (2011), we pointed out that the observed properties of J1148, such as the BH mass, the mass of gas and dust in the ISM, are reproduced only if distinct evolutionary paths are followed. In particular, if stars form according to a Larson initial mass function (IMF), with a characteristic mass \( m_{\text{ch}} = 0.35 \, M_{\odot} \) (see equation 3), that we call standard IMF, at the end of its evolution, J1148 settles on the local \( M_{\text{bh}}-M_{\text{star}} \) relation and the stellar mass at \( z = 6.4 \) exceeds the upper limit set by the observed dynamical mass by a factor of 3–10 (model B3). Alternatively, a Larson IMF but with a larger characteristic mass of \( (m_{\text{ch}} = 5 \, M_{\odot}) \), that we call top-heavy IMF, can be assumed (model B1). Note that these results have been recently confirmed by Calura et al. (2014).

Although a top-heavy IMF (model B1) enables to reproduce the observed dust mass and the deviation of J1148 from the local Mbh–Mstar relation, the predicted star formation rate at \( z = 6.4 \) is \(<100 \, M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1}\), more than one order of magnitude smaller than the observed value \((\sim 3000 \, M_{\odot} \, \text{yr}^{-1})\). This rate is too small to power the observed far-infrared (FIR) luminosity (Schneider et al. 2014b).

Hence, our studies suggest that J1148 has built up a large stellar mass of \( \sim 4 \times 10^{11} \, M_{\odot} \) over its evolution and that its final \( M_{\text{bh}}/M_{\text{star}} \) is \( \approx 0.007 \). However, this conclusion implies that current dynamical mass measurements may have missed an important fraction of the host galaxy stellar mass.

In this work, we extend our analysis to a larger sample of QSOs observed between redshift \( z = 5 \) and \( z = 6.4 \). The main aim is to assess the robustness of our previous findings and to test if the best-fitting scenario proposed to explain the formation and chemical evolution of J1148 and its host galaxy (Valiante et al. 2011; Schneider et al. 2014b) can explain the properties of the first QSOs in general.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we describe the sample of high-redshift QSOs that we have selected; a brief presentation of the model, with the new features that we have recently implemented, is given in Section 3; the results of the analysis are presented in Sections 4 and 5 and discussed in Section 6, where we also draw our conclusions.

In this analysis, we assume a lambda cold dark matter cosmology with \( \Omega_{m} = 0.24, \Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.76, \Omega_{b} = 0.04, \) and \( H_{0} = 73 \, \text{km s}^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}^{-1} \).

## 2 THE SAMPLE OF HIGH-REDSHIFT QUASARS

We have collected from the literature a sample of 12 QSOs observed between \( z = 5 \) and \( z = 6.4 \), whose BH mass is known and which are detected through CO and dust continuum emission. Their main physical properties are summarized in Table 1.

### 2.1 Black hole mass

The masses of the BHs given in Table 1 are taken from the literature. For QSOs J0338 and J1148, \( M_{\text{bh}} \) is computed with virial estimators using Mg ii and C iv line widths. Different virial estimators or scaling relations may provide a factor of \( \sim 2–3 \) difference in the estimated BH mass (Barth et al. 2003; Dietrich & Hamann 2004; De Rosa et al. 2011). This is the case of J1148, for which a mass of \( (2.3–2.7) \times 10^{9} \, M_{\odot} \) is obtained from Mg ii-based scaling relations (Barth et al. 2003; Willett, McLure & Jarvis 2003), while a larger value of \( (6 \times 10^{9} \, M_{\odot}) \) is obtained using the C iv line width (Barth et al. 2003). For J0338, different emission lines and/or scaling relations instead provide similar results: \( (2.3–2.7) \times 10^{9} \, M_{\odot} \) and \( 2.5 \times 10^{9} \, M_{\odot} \) are estimated using two different C iv-based scaling relations (Dietrich & Hamann 2004) and the Mg ii line emission (Maiolino et al. 2007). The BH masses of J1148 and J0338 quoted in Table 1 are the average of the different values given in the literature.

The BH mass of J1044 has been computed both from the bolometric luminosity, \( L_{\text{bol}} \) \( (5.6 \times 10^{9} \, M_{\odot}) \), Priddey et al. 2003; 6.4 \times 10^{9} \, M_{\odot} \), Wang et al. 2010) and from the QSO C iv line emission \( (10.5 \times 10^{9} \, M_{\odot}) \), Jiang et al. 2007) and we adopted the average value. The BH mass of the most luminous QSO of the sample, J2310, is the average of two different values from Wang et al. 2013 \( (2.8 \times 10^{9} \, M_{\odot}) \) and Fan et al., in preparation \( (4 \times 10^{9} \, M_{\odot}) \). Finally, for the remaining QSOs in the sample, we report the values inferred by Wang et al. (2008, 2010, 2013) from \( L_{\text{bol}} \) assuming Eddington-limited accretion. No errors are given in the literature for these objects. Since typical uncertainties on the BH masses quoted above are about \( (20–40) \) per cent, we assume for these QSOs a 30 per cent error.

### 2.2 Molecular gas and dynamical constraints

The molecular gas mass, \( M_{\text{H}_{2}} \), in galaxies is usually inferred from the CO(J = 1 – 0) emission line luminosity, through the relation \( M_{\text{H}_{2}} = \alpha_{\text{CO}} \times L_{\text{CO}(1-0)} \), where \( \alpha_{\text{CO}} \) is the CO luminosity to H2 mass conversion factor (see e.g. Solomon & Vanden Bout 2005 and Carilli & Walter 2013). For those sources in which
only $J > 1$ line luminosities are available, we convert the lowest CO($J(−J − 1)$) transition detected to the CO($J = 1 − 0$) luminosity using the CO excitation ladder (lines ratios) observed in high-redshift QSOs, including J1148 (Riechers et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2010; Carilli & Walter 2013). However, this represents only a minor correction in estimates of the molecular gas mass in QSO hosts (e.g. Riechers et al. 2009, Riechers 2011; Wang et al. 2010). The major source of uncertainty is represented by the unknown $\alpha_{\text{CO}}$ value. This factor strongly depends on galaxy properties, such as the gas metallicity, temperature, excitation, and velocity dispersion (see the review by Bolatto, Wolfire & Leroy 2013), and direct measurements of its value are currently unavailable at high redshifts.

In this work, we adopt the value $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 0.8 \pm 0.5$ M$_{\odot}$/(K km s$^{-1}$ pc$^2$) which has been suggested to trace molecular gas in ultraluminous infrared Galaxies (ULIRGs; Solomon et al. 1997; Downes & Solomon 1998). A ULIRGs-like conversion factor is usually assumed as a good approximation for high-redshift galaxies, including Submillimetre Galaxies (SMGs) and QSOs (Tacconi et al. 2008; Bothwell et al. 2010; Ivison et al. 2011; Magdis et al. 2011; Magnelli et al. 2012). Errorbars in Table 1 account for the uncertainty on the observed CO emission line flux (10–30 per cent) and for the large scatter (>60 per cent) in the adopted $\alpha_{\text{CO}}$ conversion factor.

The dynamical mass has been estimated from CO observations, using the formula $M_{\text{dyn}} = R v_{\text{circ}}^2 / G$ (Neri et al. 2003; Walter et al. 2004; Solomon & Vanden Bout 2005), where $R$ and $v_{\text{circ}}$ are the molecular disc radius and its maximum circular velocity, respectively. We take $v_{\text{circ}} = (3/4) FWHM_{\text{CO}} / \sin i$ (Wang et al. 2010) where FWHM$_{\text{CO}}$ is the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the CO lines and $i$ is the disc inclination angle. For QSO J1148, the CO emission is spatially resolved out to a radius of about $R = 2.5$ kpc from the central source and the emitting gas is assumed to form an inclined disc with $i = 65^\circ$, where $i = 0$ indicates face on discs (Walter et al. 2004). Since J1148 is the only objects for which the disc radius can be constrained by the observations, we adopt $R = 2.5$ kpc for all the other QSOs in the sample. Differences in the values of $M_{\text{dyn}} \sin i$ quoted in Table 1 with respect to previous estimates given in the literature are primarily due to different ways of estimating $v_{\text{circ}}$ from the CO FWHM and/or different assumptions on $R$ (Walter et al. 2004; Maiolino et al. 2007). We will discuss the dependence on the assumed inclination angle below.

The stellar mass (dynamical bulge) of the QSO hosts is computed as $M_{\text{stellar}} = M_{\text{dyn}} - M_{\text{BH}}$. Strictly speaking, this should be considered as an upper limit to the stellar mass, both because we are neglecting the contributions of atomic gas and dark matter (see the discussion in Section 6), and we are using a conversion factor which maximizes $M_{\text{stellar}}$. In fact, had we used the Milky Way value $\alpha_{\text{CO}} = 4.3$ M$_{\odot}$/(K km s$^{-1}$ pc$^2$)$^{-1}$, the inferred $M_{\text{stellar}}$ would be higher, and consequently $M_{\text{stellar}}$ lower than the values adopted here. An average uncertainty of ∼50 per cent is assigned to both the inclination-corrected dynamical mass $M_{\text{dyn}}$ and stellar mass (Walter et al. 2004).

In Fig. 1, we show the $M_{\text{BH}} - M_{\text{dyn}}$ relation of the selected high-redshift QSOs compared with data and empirical fit obtained for local galaxies by Sani et al. (2011). In the upper panel, an inclination angle $i = 65^\circ$ is adopted for all QSOs, while in the lower panel an average value of $45^\circ$ is assigned to all QSOs but J1148 (Wang et al. 2010).

As discussed by Wang et al. (2010), observations seem to indicate a deviation from the BH-host scaling relations inferred for local galaxies: $M_{\text{BH}} / M_{\text{stellar}}$, $M_{\text{BH}} / M_{\text{stellar}}$, and $M_{\text{BH}} / \sigma$ ratios are about one order of magnitude higher in $5 < z < 7$ QSOs, suggesting a faster evolution of the first SMBHs with respect to their host stellar bulge (Walter et al. 2004; Peng et al. 2006; Riechers et al. 2008; Merloni et al. 2010; Wang et al. 2010, 2013). However, this result depends on the adopted disc inclination angle: a lower disc inclination angle results in a shift towards higher dynamical masses (and hence stellar masses), but the displacement on the $M_{\text{BH}} - M_{\text{stellar}}$ plane still persists for some of the selected QSOs. For these QSOs, a very small inclination angle, $i < 15^\circ$, a more extended disc (larger radius, $R$) or a different, more complex, description of the disc geometry would be required to reconcile the inferred dynamical/stellar mass with present-day values (Wang et al. 2010, 2013; Valiante et al. 2011).

In addition, it has been pointed out that the observed offset between the high-redshift QSOs and BH-bulge relation today may be strongly biased by selection effects (Lauer et al. 2007; Volonteri & Stark 2011): observations at high redshifts are often limited to the most luminous QSOs, actually tracing a narrow range of BH masses.
and host galaxy properties and thus, not representing the whole population of high-redshift BHs and hosts. On the other hand, selecting galaxies of similar luminosity, or BH mass, in the local Universe would predict a very similar offset, given the scatter in the BH-host correlations.

2.3 Dust mass, FIR luminosity, and SFR

The mass of dust, $M_{\text{dust}}$, of each QSO in the sample is estimated from the rest-frame FIR flux density, assuming optically thin emission:

$$M_{\text{dust}} = \frac{S_{\nu_0}d_t^2(z)}{(1+z)\kappa_d(\nu)B(\nu, T_d)},$$

where $S_{\nu_0}$ is the flux observed in a given band, $\kappa_d(\nu)$ is the opacity coefficient per unit dust mass, $B(\nu, T_d)$ is the Planck function for a dust temperature $T_d$, and $d_t$ is the luminosity distance to the source. In the Rayleigh–Jeans part of the spectrum, dust radiates as an aggrey body with $\kappa_d(\nu) = \kappa_0(\nu/\nu_0)^3$. The same $\chi^2$ fit of the spectrum adopted for J1148 in Valiante et al. (2011) is used here to derive dust temperature $T_d$, $M_{\text{dust}}$ and FIR luminosity $L_{\text{FIR}}$ for QSOs detected at different wavelengths in the range (350–1200) μm: J0338, J0927, J2310, and J1148 (Leipski et al. 2013; Fan et al. in preparation). We adopt different absorption coefficients per unit dust mass, $k_0$, and spectral index $\beta$ given in the literature (see table 1 in Valiante et al. 2011). For these QSOs, the computed dust temperature ranges between 37 and 59 K and the values quoted in the table are the average dust mass obtained with this method. The other QSOs in the sample do not have detailed spectral energy distributions (SEDs) as the previous ones. For these objects, we have computed $M_{\text{dust}}$ from the continuum detection at 1200 μm, using the same set of parameters, $k_0$, $\beta$, and $T_d$ obtained from the best fit of the FIR emission of J1148. The resulting mass of dust ranges between $\sim 10^9$ $M_\odot$ and $\sim 10^9$ $M_\odot$, with errorbars accounting for the minimum and maximum values.

The SFRs are usually inferred adopting the Kennicutt (1998) relation between the rate of star formation and the FIR luminosity: $L_{\text{FIR}}/L_\odot = 5.8 \times 10^7$ SFR/($M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$). This scaling relation assumes that stars have solar metallicity and are formed in a 10–100 Myr burst according to a Salpeter IMF (Salpeter 1955). For the same FIR luminosity, a factor of 2–5 lower SFRs are obtained if a standard ($m_{bh} = 0.35 M_\odot$) or a top-heavy ($m_{bh} = 5 M_\odot$) IMFs are adopted. The SFRs listed in Table 1 are obtained from the FIR luminosity in the wavelength range [8–1000] μm, adopting the conversion factor of $L_{\text{FIR}}/L_\odot = 10.84 \times 10^7$ SFR/($M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) required for a Larson IMF with characteristic mass $m_{bh} = 0.35 M_\odot$.

In addition, the above relation between $L_{\text{FIR}}$ and SFR relies on the assumption of starburst-dominated dust heating, when all the FIR luminosity is re-emitted by dust heated by young stars. For this reason, we consider these values as upper limits to the real rates of star formation, as in luminous QSOs a non-negligible contribution to dust heating (30 per cent up to 60 per cent) may come from the AGN itself (Wang et al. 2010; Schneider et al. 2014b), further lowering the estimated SFRs by a factor of 1.4–2.5.

Finally, as a reference for the ISM gas metallicity, we adopt the value inferred from observations of the NLRs of high-redshift QSOs, $Z_{\text{NLR}} = 1.32^{+0.22}_{-0.20} Z_\odot$ which do not show a significant redshift evolution up to $z \approx 6$ (Nagao et al. 2006; Matsuoka et al. 2009).

Fig. 2 shows the dust mass as a function of the H$_2$ mass for the QSOs sample. The data clearly point to a tight correlation between

2 Correcting for the different IMF, we found FIR-to-SFR conversion factors of $10.84 \times 10^7$ and $20.86 \times 10^7$ for a Larson standard and top-heavy IMF, respectively.
Note that, the EPS formalism underestimates the abundance of massive stars (Bianchi & Schneider 2007), consistent with the observations of SN remnants (see fig. 6 of Schneider et al. 2014a). The effect of a higher SN-dust yield will be discussed in Section 6.

In addition, here we are neglecting the destruction of dust grains by SN shocks in the ISM, hence maximizing the contribution from stellar sources. Therefore, alternative processes must play a role. Dust condensation in QSO winds (Elvis, Marengo & Karovska 2002) and grain growth in molecular clouds (MC) have been proposed as alternative dust sources. The first possibility has not been deeply investigated yet, but Pipino et al. (2011) suggest that the contribution of the QSO winds to dust production should be negligible with respect to that of stellar sources on the large galactic scales. On the other hand, dust accretion in MC is already considered the primary non-stellar source of dust in the Milky Way and the Large Magellanic Cloud (ZuHone, Gail & Trieloff 2008; ZuHone & Henning 2013; Schneider et al. 2014a) and it has also been advocated to solve the so-called dust budget crisis in high-redshift galaxies and QSOs, where the dust produced by stellar sources is not enough to explain the observed dust masses (Michalowski et al. 2010; Pipino et al. 2011; Valiante et al. 2011; Rowlands et al. 2014).

In summary, it appears that QSOs at redshifts 5 ≤ z ≤ 6.4 show similar properties, both for the central engine (the mass of the BH) and for the host galaxy (the dynamical, dust, and molecular gas masses), pointing to a common evolutionary scenario.

3 SUMMARY OF THE MODEL

In this section, we give a brief summary of the hierarchical semi-analytical model GAMEETE/QSO DUST, presenting the additional features that have been recently implemented. We refer the interested reader to Valiante et al. (2011) for a more complete presentation of the model.

GAMEETE/QSO DUST describes the co-evolution of BHs and their host galaxies, following at the same time the metal and dust enrichment of the ISM. The observed properties of the QSOs can be used to constrain the set of model parameters which define a specific evolutionary scenario, such as the efficiency of star formation and the efficiencies of BH accretion and feedback.

We start with a dark matter halo of 10^{12} M_{\odot}, which is believed to host z ∼ 6 SMBHs (Fan et al. 2004). This massive halo is decomposed into progressively smaller dark matter progenitors, backward in time, according to the Extended Press-Schechter (EPS) theory, using a binary Monte Carlo algorithm with mass accretion (see Salvadori, Schneider & Ferrara 2007; Valiante et al. 2011 for details). With this method, we produce several hierarchical merger histories along which the gradual build up of the nuclear SMBH and the host galaxy proceeds hand in hand.

At each redshift, seed BHs of 10^5 h^{-1} M_{\odot} are assigned to progenitors, corresponding to >4\sigma density fluctuations, at the time

3 The IMF-weighted stellar yield is defined as the total mass of dust and metals produced per unit stellar mass formed in an instantaneous burst of star formation. Here, we use the values obtained for stars formed with Z = Z_{\odot} and a Larson IMF with two different values of the characteristic mass, m_{lh} = 0.35, 5 M_{\odot} (standard and top heavy).

4 Note that, the EPS formalism underestimates the abundance of massive haloes (M ≥ 10^{12} M_{\odot}) at redshift z > 1 with respect to N-body simulations. However, the discrepancy between the semi-analytical prediction and numerical simulations is within a factor of 2, and typically lower than 30 percent (Lacey & Cole 1994; Somerville et al. 2000). This does not significantly affect our study.
they reach the threshold mass required to form stars. As a result, BH seeds are planted in only a fraction of progenitor haloes at $z > 8$ (see fig. 2 in Valiante et al. 2011). The results are not sensitive to the adopted BH seed mass provided that $M_{\text{seed}} > 10^7 h^{-1} M_\odot$. This is due to the interplay between Eddington-limited BH accretion and AGN feedback processes which efficiently regulate the BH growth. The value of this mass threshold as well as the dependence on the assumed seed BH mass are discussed in Valiante et al. (2011). In modelling the evolution and feedback of the BH, we were guided by several works, Springel, Di Matteo & Hernquist (2005), Di Matteo et al. (2005, 2008) and Sijacki et al. (2007). Accretion on to the BH is assumed to proceed at the Eddington-limited rate, with a gas accretion rate defined as the minimum between the Eddington value and the accretion rate computed using the Bondi–Hoyle–Lyttleton formula (BHL, equations 5 and 6 in Valiante et al. 2011). The BHL accretion rate is proportional to the BH mass and to the gas density at the so-called Bondi radius (see equation 6 in Valiante et al. 2011). This density is computed assuming an isothermal profile with a flat core. However, due to the lack of spatial resolution and proper physical modelling of the gas conditions close to the BH, we introduce a parameter, $\alpha$, that represents the efficiency of gas accretion (Di Matteo et al. 2005; Springel et al. 2005).

The fraction of energy released by the accreting BH that is transferred to the host galaxy, is commonly parametrized as (Di Matteo et al. 2005), $E_{\text{BHL}} = \epsilon_{w,\text{AGN}} \epsilon_{\text{accr}} \dot{M}_{\text{accr}}$, where $\epsilon_r$ is the radiative efficiency, $\dot{M}_{\text{accr}}$ is the gas accretion rate and $\epsilon_{w,\text{AGN}}$ is the wind efficiency tuned to reproduce the final host gas mass. Indeed, a robust prediction of the model is that the evolution of the nuclear BH and of the host galaxy are tightly coupled by QSO feedback in the form of strong galaxy-scale winds (Valiante et al. 2011): $\ddot{M}_{\text{ej}} = 2 \epsilon_{\text{AGN}} \epsilon_{\text{w}} (c/\nu_c)^2 \dot{M}_{\text{accr}}$. For J1148, the predicted mass outflow rates are in excellent agreement with the observations (Valiante et al. 2012).

At each time, the SFR is assumed to be proportional to the available mass of gas, $M_{\text{ISM}}$, with a total efficiency, $\epsilon = \epsilon_{\text{quies}} + \epsilon_{\text{burst}}$, that is enhanced during major mergers:

$$\text{SFR} = M_{\text{ISM}}(\epsilon_{\text{quies}} + \epsilon_{\text{burst}})/t_{\text{dyn}}(z),$$

(2)

where $t_{\text{dyn}}(z) = R_{\text{vir}}/v_c$ is the dynamical time, $\epsilon_{\text{quies}}$, and $\epsilon_{\text{burst}}$ are the quiescent and starburst efficiencies, respectively. The latter efficiency has been parametrized as a normalized Gaussian distribution of the mass ratio of the merging haloes (Valiante et al. 2011).

Finally, the enrichment of the host galaxy ISM in metals and dust is computed in a self-consistent way assuming that both AGB stars and SNe contribute to the total metals and dust mass budget, injecting their products into the ISM according to the progenitor stars evolutionary time-scales. The life-cycle of dust implemented in GAMETE/QSODUST is regulated by both destruction by interstellar shocks and grain growth.

The semi-analytical model GAMETE/QSODUST has the advantage to enable an extensive investigation of the parameter space on relatively short computational times. The choice of the main free parameters, namely the efficiency of quiescent ($\epsilon_{\text{quies}}$) and bursting ($\epsilon_{\text{burst}}$) star formation, BHL accretion ($\alpha$) and AGN-driven wind ($\epsilon_{w,\text{AGN}}$), all concur in shaping the predicted SFH, picturing different plausible evolutionary scenarios. In all models presented in Valiante et al. (2011), $\alpha$ and $\epsilon_{w,\text{AGN}}$ have been chosen to reproduce the final SMBH and gas masses, while the SF efficiencies have been changed to investigate the effect of different SFHs on the evolution of the host galaxy properties.

In this work, we will focus on one of these models (B3) as our reference model and apply it to the selected sub-sample of QSOs, to check whether they follow a common evolutionary scenario, as suggested by the similar properties of their central engine and host galaxies.

### 3.1 The fiducial scenario

Our fiducial model is able to reproduce the final dust mass for J1148 providing a sustained SFR as inferred from the FIR luminosity of this QSO. In this model, stars form according to a Larson IMF:

$$\phi(m) \propto m^{-(x+1)} e^{-m_{\text{th}}/m},$$

(3)

with $x = 1.35$ and a characteristic stellar mass $m_{\text{th}} = 0.35 M_\odot$, normalized to 1 in the $[0.1−100] M_\odot$ mass range (standard IMF). At each redshift, stars form quiescently out of the available gas in each progenitor galaxy with an efficiency $\epsilon_{\text{quies}} = 0.1$. Each time a major merger occurs, a burst of star formation with efficiency $\epsilon_{\text{burst}}$ is triggered, providing an additional contribution to the stellar mass formed; the value of this parameter depends on the merging galaxies mass ratio, reaching a maximum of $\epsilon_{\text{burst}} \approx 8$ (see Table 2).

For J1148, we assumed $\alpha = 200$ and $\epsilon_{w,\text{AGN}} = 5 \times 10^{-3}$. However, the choice of the BH accretion and AGN-driven wind efficiencies in this work will be discussed in the next section.

The final stellar mass is predicted to be $M_{\text{star}} = 4 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$. This is one order of magnitude larger than $M_{\text{BH}}$ for J1148, displacing the final $M_{\text{BH}}/M_{\text{star}}$ from the observational data point towards the local correlation.

### 3.2 New features of the model

The improvement of the code was guided by two important results: (i) grain growth in dense molecular gas is required to reproduce the mass of dust in J1148, and (ii) more than 50 percent of the total dust budget must be distributed in dense clumps to reproduce the observed SED of J1148 (Schneider et al. 2014b). These conclusions are supported by the relations shown in Figs 2 and 3 discussed in Section 2. Indeed, efficient grain growth requires both dust and gas-phase metals to be in MC, where the dust is shielded from the destructive effect of interstellar shocks.

For these reasons, we have improved the prescriptions in our semi-analytical model in order to mimic a two-phase ISM, namely a diffuse environment (warm/hot atomic gas) in which the expanding ejecta of SN shocks can destroy the dust and a cold-dense medium (the total mass of material in MC) in which star formation and grain growth take place. Hereafter, we will refer to these two components of the ISM as diffuse gas and dense gas or MC. The total ISM mass $M_{\text{ISM}}$ is divided in these two different components, $M_{\text{ISM}}^{\text{diff}}$ and $M_{\text{ISM}}^{\text{MC}}$.

At the time of the virialization of its host dark matter halo, each galaxy is composed only by diffuse gas, i.e. $M_{\text{ISM}}^{\text{diff}}(t_{\text{vir}}) = 0$ and $M_{\text{ISM}}^{\text{MC}}(t_{\text{vir}}) = M_{\text{ISM}}(t_{\text{vir}})$. Then, the time evolution of these two

### Table 2. Parameters adopted in the fiducial model (see the text for details).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QSO</th>
<th>$\epsilon_{\text{quies}}$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_{\text{burst}}$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_{\text{burst, max}}$</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_{w,\text{AGN}}$</th>
<th>$\alpha_{\text{MC}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J0338</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0927</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1044</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J2310</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J1148</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Objects highlighted in bold face are the ones that we have selected for the analysis done in Section 4.
components as well as the evolution of metals and dust in the two phases, is followed by solving a network of differential equations. In details

\[ \dot{M}_{\text{diff}}^{\text{ISM}}(t) = - \dot{M}_{\text{cond}}(t) + \dot{R}(t) + \dot{M}_{\text{diff}}(t) - \dot{M}_{\text{diff}}^{\alpha}(t), \]  
(4)

and

\[ \dot{M}_{\text{ISM}}^{\text{MC}}(t) = - \dot{SFR}(t) + \dot{M}_{\text{cond}}(t) - \dot{M}_{\text{ej}}^{\text{JC}}(t) - \dot{M}_{\text{MC}}^{\text{ISC}}(t). \]  
(5)

As soon as a progenitor galaxy reaches the threshold mass for star formation, MC are assumed to condense out of the diffuse gas and stars form in MC at a rate SFR(t). The stellar products (gas, heavy elements, and dust) as well as the material accreted from the external medium are returned/nailed into the diffuse medium at rates \( R(t) \), \( Y_d(t) \), and \( M_{\text{diff}} \) given by Salvadori, Ferrara & Schneider (2008) and Valiante et al. (2009). Finally, \( M_{\text{ej}}^{\text{JC}} \) and \( M_{\text{ISC}}^{\text{ISM}} \) are the rate at which the gas is ejected out of the diffuse ISM and MCs, respectively. These two terms are parametrized as

\[ \dot{M}_{\text{ej}}^{\text{JC}} = X_{\text{cold}}(t) \dot{M}_{\text{ej}}, \]  
(6)

and

\[ \dot{M}_{\text{ej}}^{\text{JC}} = (1 - X_{\text{cold}}(t)) \dot{M}_{\text{ej}}, \]  
(7)

where \( \dot{M}_{\text{ej}} \) is the total gas outflow rate due to both SN and AGN feedback (see Valiante et al. 2011, Valiante et al. 2012 for details on these two contributions) and \( X_{\text{cold}} = M_{\text{MC}}^{\text{ISC}}(t)/M_{\text{ISM}}(t) \) is the cold gas mass fraction.

The physical processes driving the formation of cold dense MC (gravity, magnetic fields, turbulence, shocks, radiation) or controlling their survival against disruptive events (cloud–cloud collision and/or winds from massive stars) are still far from being understood (see Dobbs et al. 2013 for a thorough review of the current state of the field). A detailed description of the formation and evolution of single clouds is beyond the scope of this work. Here, we are interested in the variation of the total amount of material that can be found in MC, constrained to reproduce the observed mass of molecular gas. Therefore, the term \( \dot{M}_{\text{cond}} \) approximates the cycling of gas between the diffuse and dense phases, including both cloud formation and dispersion from/into the diffuse gas.

The rates describing the cycling of material between the two ISM phases can be written as \( \dot{M}_{\text{cond}}(t) = a M_{\text{ISM}}^{\text{diff}}(t)/t_{\text{form}}(t) - b M_{\text{ISM}}^{\text{diff}}(t)/t_{\text{des}}(t) \), where \( a \) and \( b \) represent the condensation and disruption efficiencies and \( t_{\text{form}} \) and \( t_{\text{des}} \) are MC formation and destruction time-scales, respectively. These two time-scales are assumed to be proportional to the dynamical time, \( t_{\text{dyn}} \), so that in each progenitor halo the SFR and the rate of mass exchange between the two phases are proportional to each other.

\[ a \times M_{\text{ISM}}^{\text{diff}}(t)/t_{\text{form}}(t) \propto M_{\text{ISM}}/t_{\text{dyn}} = \alpha \dot{SFR}(t), \]  
(8)

and

\[ b \times M_{\text{ISM}}^{\text{diff}}(t)/t_{\text{des}}(t) \propto M_{\text{ISM}}/t_{\text{dyn}} = \beta \dot{SFR}(t). \]  
(9)

Hence, \( \dot{M}_{\text{cond}} = \alpha M_{\text{ISM}} \dot{SFR}(t) \), where \( \alpha_{\text{MC}} = A/B > 1 \), to ensure the formation of MC.

The new features of the code and their dependence on the parameters space have been extensively tested applying the model to the Milky Way. More details of this analysis and a comparison with observational data will be given in a forthcoming work (De Binnassuti et al., in preparation). Here, we fix the cloud formation (A) and dispersal (B) coefficients to reproduce the observed molecular gas mass. In particular, J1148 requires that \( A \gg B \) and that \( A \sim \alpha_{\text{MC}} = 3.08 \).

Similarly, the total mass of heavy elements (gas-phase metals and dust) in the two phases evolves according to the following equations:

\[ M_{\text{Z}}^{\text{diff}}(t) = - Z_{\text{diff}}(t) M_{\text{cond}}(t) + \dot{Y}_d(t) + Z_{\text{diff}}(t) M_{\text{diff}}(t) \]  
(10)

and

\[ M_{\text{Z}}^{\text{MC}}(t) = - Z_{\text{MC}}(t) SFR(t) + Z_{\text{MC}}(t) \dot{M}_{\text{ej}}^{\text{JC}}(t) - Z_{\text{MC}}(t) M_{\text{MC}}^{\text{ISC}}(t)/t_{\text{acc}}(t), \]  
(11)

where \( Z_{\text{diff}} = M_{\text{Z}}^{\text{diff}}(t)/M_{\text{MC}}^{\text{ISC}}(t) \) and \( Z_{\text{MC}} = M_{\text{Z}}^{\text{MC}}(t)/M_{\text{MC}}^{\text{ISC}}(t) \) are the metallicities of the diffuse and dense gas.

The major improvement in the chemical network is in the equations describing the evolution of the dust. In the previous work (Valiante et al. 2011), we assumed that at each time a fixed fraction of the total dust mass is shielded against destruction by interstellar shocks and can experience grain growth; in addition, no dust ejection in SN-driven or BH-driven outflows was implemented. These assumptions, although oversimplified, allowed us to reproduce the observed dust mass of J1148, pointing out that grain growth in MC dominates the dust mass even at \( z \geq 6 \). In this work, we overcome these two limitations, as we can now follow consistently the evolution of the two different phases as a function of time. In the new chemical network, dust evolution is described as

\[ \dot{M}_{\text{d}}^{\text{diff}}(t) = - \dot{D}_{\text{diff}}(t) M_{\text{cond}}(t) + \dot{Y}_d(t) + \dot{D}_{\text{diff}}(t) M_{\text{diff}}(t) - \dot{D}_{\text{diff}}(t) M_{\text{diff}}^{\alpha}(t), \]  
(12)

and

\[ M_{\text{d}}^{\text{MC}}(t) = - \dot{D}_{\text{MC}}(t) SFR(t) + \dot{D}_{\text{MC}}(t) M_{\text{cond}}(t) + M_{\text{d}}^{\text{MC}}(t)/t_{\text{acc}}(t) - \dot{D}_{\text{MC}}(t) M_{\text{MC}}^{\text{ISC}}(t)/t_{\text{acc}}(t), \]  
(13)

where \( \dot{D}_{\text{diff}}(t) = \dot{M}_{\text{d}}^{\text{diff}}(t)/M_{\text{MC}}^{\text{ISC}}(t) \) and \( \dot{D}_{\text{MC}}(t) = \dot{M}_{\text{d}}^{\text{MC}}(t)/M_{\text{MC}}^{\text{ISC}}(t) \) are the dust-to-gas ratios in the two phases. The destruction timescale \( t_{\text{d}} \) is the same as in Valiante et al. (2011, equation 13). Note that the time evolution of the total mass of metals and dust are \( M_{\text{Z}}(t) = M_{\text{Z}}^{\text{diff}}(t) + M_{\text{Z}}^{\text{MC}}(t) \) and \( M_{\text{d}}(t) = M_{\text{d}}^{\text{diff}}(t) + M_{\text{d}}^{\text{MC}}(t) \), consistently with equations 17 and 18 in Valiante et al. (2011). In each phase, the mass of the gas-phase metals can be computed as \( M_{\text{Z}}(t) - M_{\text{d}}(t) \).

The considerations discussed in Section 2 and, in particular, the observed tight correlation between the observed M_{\text{dust}} and M_{\text{d}} suggest that rapid and highly efficient grain growth takes place in the dense molecular gas of high-redshift QSOs. We therefore maximize the dust accretion process assuming that all the gas-phase metals, \( M_{\text{d}}(t) - M_{\text{d}}^{\alpha}(t) \), that collapse in MC (during the clouds condensation stage) and survive the SF process (are not reincorporated into stars) can be accreted on to dust grains. Hence, in each galaxy of the merger tree the grain growth rate is computed as

\[ \dot{M}_{\text{d}}^{\alpha}(t)/t_{\text{acc}} = [Z_{\text{d}}(t) - \dot{D}_{\text{d}}(t)][M_{\text{cond}}(t) - \dot{SFR}(t)] \]  
(14)

where \( Z_{\text{d}}(t) - \dot{D}_{\text{d}}(t) \) is the mass fraction of gas-phase metals in the diffuse phase. Hence, in our formulation the dust accretion timescale depends on local conditions (metallicity and gas mass in MC), and shows a large variation among different progenitor galaxies, with average values ranging between a few Myr to a few tens of Myr.
Star formation rate as a function of redshift for 5 QSOs: J1148 at $z = 6.4$ (upper left), J2310 at $z = 6$ (upper right), J0927 and J1044 at $z = 5.8$ (lower left), and J0338 at $z = 5$ (lower right). The solid lines indicate the average over 50 realizations of the merger history of each object with the shaded regions representing the $\sigma$ dispersion. The data points in each panel indicate the IMF-corrected SFR inferred from the FIR luminosity (see the text for details).

4 MODEL RESULTS

All the QSOs in the $5 \leq z \leq 6.4$ sample show similar properties in terms of the SMBH, molecular gas, dynamical, and dust masses. Thus, one can expect that their evolution has occurred along similar pathways. To investigate this issue, we apply the two-phase fiducial model to 5 out of the 12 QSOs listed in Table 1: J1148 at $z = 6.4$, J2310 at $z = 6$, J0927 and J1044 at $z = 5.8$, and J0338 at $z = 5$. As shown in Fig. 1, J1148 and J1044 are the most peculiar objects in the sample, presenting the largest deviation from the local $M_{\text{BH}} - M_{\text{star}}$ relation. Conversely, J0927 and J0338 are located closer to the local value in the BH-dynamical/stellar mass plane, but still outside the observed scatter. Finally, J2310 is the brightest QSO in the sample, with the largest estimated dust mass.

For each QSO, we compute 50 different merger histories. In all the figures presented in this section, the solid lines indicate the results averaged over the 50 merger trees and the shaded area show the $\sigma$ dispersion. For all but the QSOs J0338 and J1044, we use the two-phase fiducial model with the same parameters adopted in the model B3 presented in Valiante et al. (2011) and the new free parameter $\alpha_{MC} = 3.08$ suited for J1148 (see Table 2). The QSO J0338 requires a higher BH accretion efficiency in the BHL formula (see equation 6 in Valiante et al. 2011), $\alpha = 230$, to compensate for the lower gas density at $z = 5$. Indeed, both in semi-analytical models and in hydrodynamical simulations, $\alpha$ parametrizes out poor knowledge of the gas density in the vicinity of the BH accretion radius. In the case of J1044, a higher BHL accretion efficiency, $\alpha = 210$, combined with a lower BH-feedback efficiency, $\epsilon_{\text{BH, AGN}} = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$, are required in order to ensure the growth of the largest SMBH of the sample, with a final BH mass of $\sim 10^{10} M_\odot$ at $z = 5.8$. Even if detailed local variations of the gas density cannot be captured by a semi-analytical approach, in our model the average gas density, the rate of major mergers, and the distribution of seed BHs in the first progenitors, which depend on redshift, all concur in shaping the evolution of the QSO. For a discussion of the non-linear dependence of BH growth on the alpha parameter, the AGN wind efficiency, and the adopted mass of BH seeds we refer the interested reader to Valiante et al. (2011).

In Fig. 4, we show that all QSOs follow a bursting SFHs with high final rates ranging between 800 and 2000 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$. These values are in good agreement with the rates computed from the FIR luminosity, once the correction due to the (non-negligible) contribution of the AGN to dust heating is taken into account (see discussion in Section 2). In all the panels, the data points indicate the SFRs corrected for the Larson IMF with $\alpha_{MC} = 0.35 M_\odot$, which are approximately a factor 2 lower than the values for a Salpeter IMF quoted in Table 1. The down-turns and the modulations of the SFHs at $z \leq 8$ are due to the negative effect of BH feedback, which drives a powerful outflow of material.

The corresponding evolutionary pathways followed in the $M_{\text{BH}} - M_{\text{star}}$ plane are shown in Fig. 5; for reference, we also show the observed values for high-redshift QSOs (filled data) and local galaxies (open squares). As expected, the final SMBHs are all reproduced by the model, while the high SF efficiencies result in final stellar masses in the range $(3-5) \times 10^{11} M_\odot$, required to reproduce the observed dust mass and final SFRs. These values are $\sim 3-30$ larger than the observed values estimated as $M_{\text{dyn}} - M_{\text{HI}}$, which are shown by the filled data points in all panels. This tension is less critical for QSOs like J0927 and J0338 which are found to lie within the scatter of the correlation observed for local galaxies.

The differences among different QSOs can be explained by noting that, although similar final BH masses are produced and the same value for the SF efficiency is adopted for all QSOs (see Table 2), the nuclear BHs grow at slightly different rates: on the one hand, the assembly of the SMBHs of J2310, J0927, and J0338 is slower than that of J1148, allowing a $\sim 1.5$ times larger final stellar masses;
The model well reproduces the observed dust and molecular gas masses but overpredicts the final stellar mass. The curves show the redshift evolution of these quantities as predicted by the fiducial model B3 for each selected QSO. Following the hierarchical build-up of the host galaxy, the dust mass increases with increasing molecular and stellar masses (see black arrows in the upper left panels), driven by the enrichment of the ISM with heavy elements and dust and by efficient grain growth in MCs. At the final redshift, each evolutionary track matches the corresponding observed data point in the $M_{\text{dust}} - M_{\text{H}_2}$ plane, but it is off-set towards larger stellar masses in the $M_{\text{dust}} - M_{\text{star}}$ plane. These evolutionary paths confirm for a larger sample of QSOs the results obtained from our previous analysis that were applied to QSO J1148 only (Valiante et al. 2011, Valiante et al. 2012; Schneider et al. 2014b).

In the picture of the Galaxy-BH co-evolution, the so-called cosmic cycle (Hopkins et al. 2006), the onset of the active QSO phase follows in time a stage in which the emission of the central source is completely obscured by the surrounding optically thick material. At this stage, the BH continues to grow in the buried QSO while a strong starburst ($\geq 1000 M_{\odot}\text{yr}^{-1}$) is ongoing. These are the properties of SMGs that are observed to have dust and molecular/stellar masses comparable to high-redshift QSOs hosts (Michalovski et al. 2010; Santini et al. 2010; Magnelli et al. 2012).

In the models shown in Figs 6 and 7, the transition between a starburst-dominated and a QSO-dominated evolution is regulated by the growth of the central SMBH. When the stellar bulge reaches a mass $M_{\text{bulge}} = (2 - 4) \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$, the ISM is enriched by a large dust mass, $M_{\text{dust}} = (0.5 - 1) \times 10^{6} M_{\odot}$, with a dust-to-gas ratio $D \approx 1/200$, comparable to the values inferred for SMGs and ULIRGs (Santini et al. 2010). At this stage, the nuclear BH has already grown to a mass $\sim 2 \times 10^{8} - 10^{9} M_{\odot}$, a strong energy-driven wind starts to clear up the ISM of dust and gas through a large outflow, damping the star formation rate and un-obscuring the line of sight towards the QSO (see the hook-like shapes in the tracks of Figs 6 and 7). For the QSOs that we have investigated, we predict a final gas (molecular+atomic) mass of $\sim (2 - 8) \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ and an AGN-driven gas outflow rate ranging within $(4 - 6) \times 10^{4} M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$. At these large rates, AGN-driven winds would be able to completely deplete the host galaxies of their gas content in less than $\sim 20$ Myr, shutting down both the star formation and BH activity, and leaving behind a dead QSO that will presumably evolve in a red (cD) galaxy. In other words, our model predicts the active QSO phase to last $\sim 10^7$ yr. This value is in perfect agreement with typical QSO lifetime values ($10^8 - 10^9 \text{yr}$) required to match the present-day BH mass function and the QSO luminosity function at $z = 3$ (Haimann & Loeb 1998; Martini 2004), as well as with estimates obtained through the transverse proximity effect (Worseck et al. 2007; Gallerani et al. 2008).

5 THE SMG PROGENITORS OF HIGH-Z QSOS HOSTS

All the evolutionary tracks discussed in the previous section represent the time evolution of the global SFH, dust, stellar, and gas content of the host galaxy, without discriminating among the properties of single progenitor systems of the final QSO host. In reality, at each redshift a given QSO host is characterized by a large number of progenitor galaxies, each one characterized by its specific evolution. Hence, the hypothesis that high-redshift QSOs have passed through an SMG-phase has to be tested against the properties of their individual progenitors.
We focus on two QSOs, J1148 and J0338, that represent the most and the less distant QSOs among the objects that we have considered in the present study. Following Hayward et al. (2013), we compute the flux density at 850 μm of each progenitor galaxy in the merger trees as

\[
S_{850 \, \mu m} = 0.81 \, \text{mJy} \left( \frac{SFR}{100 \, M_\odot / yr} \right)^{0.43} \left( \frac{M_3}{10^7 M_\odot} \right)^{0.54}.
\]  

Among all the progenitors, we classify as starbursts those which are characterized by an SFR > 100 M_\odot/yr, and as SMG starbursts which also have a dust mass M_3 > 10^7 M_\odot and a flux density of S_{850 \, \mu m} > 3 mJy (Coppin et al. 2008; Michalowski et al. 2010, 2012; Magnelli et al. 2010, 2012; Hayward et al. 2011, 2012).

In Fig. 8, we show the redshift distribution of the average number of SMG, n_{SMG}, and of the average fraction of starbursts which are SMG, f_{SMG}; for both QSOs, each quantity is averaged over 50 different merger trees. As it can be seen in the upper and middle panels, the first progenitor galaxies of J1148 (J0338) start to enter the SMG phase at redshifts z \approx 9.5 (7.5); hence, in the last \approx 0.4 \, 0.5 Gyr of their evolution. At earliest epochs, there are many starbursting progenitors but the dust content in their ISM is too low to power a significant FIR luminosity. Thereafter, an increasing number of progenitors are classified as SMGs, reaching up to \approx 40 percent of the total starbursts progenitors around the final redshift z \approx 6.45. As it is shown in the bottom panels of the figure, both QSO hosts meet the criteria to be characterized as SMGs.

We conclude that if the semi-empirical formula (equation 15) that we have used to compute the flux density at 850 μm can be extrapolated at redshifts z > 7, we should expect to observe SMG precursors of high-z QSOs up to z \approx 7–8 although their number rapidly decreases with redshift.

Current surveys show that observed redshift distribution of SMGs has a maximum at z \approx 2–3 (Chapman et al. 2005; Smolcic et al. 2012; Yun et al. 2012). The redshift distribution and evolution of SMGs appear to be very similar to those of QSOs, suggesting a link between the two populations of objects (Maiolino 2008). The exact SMGs number counts at z > 4 require the identification of the optical (or near-IR) counterparts of SMGs to determine their redshift through spectroscopic followup. Most of the SMG detections have been obtained so far through single dish telescopes, whose angular resolution is low (11 arcsec–18 arcsec). This implies that several optical/near-IR candidate counterparts are found within the telescope beam. It is therefore necessary to obtain mm-submm observations of high-z SMGs at higher angular resolution to better constrain their redshift evolution. PdBI observation, characterized by angular resolution \approx 1.5 arcsec, have indeed shown that the surface density of z > 4 SMGs is higher than predicted by models (Smolcic et al. 2012 and references therein). Moreover, submm colour-selection techniques have recently enabled the detection of a massive starburst galaxy at redshift 6.34 (Riechers et al. 2013). Although these observations are very challenging, larger and deeper mm surveys in the future will allow us to better constrain the evolution of starburst galaxies at high redshift.

6 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have presented a two-phase semi-analytical model for the formation and evolution of high-redshift QSOs and their host galaxies in the framework of hierarchical structure formation. The model allows us to investigate possible pathways to the assembly of the first SMBHs and of their host galaxies through cosmic times. The evolution of the mass of gas, metals and dust are consistently followed in both the diffuse and dense ISM as described in Section 3. This model has been applied to a sample of QSOs observed at redshifts z = 5 and z = 6.4 that show similar observed properties.

To explain the observed properties of these QSOs, our study points to a common evolutionary scenario: during the hierarchical assembly of the host DM halo, stars form according to a standard IMF (hence a Larson IMF with a characteristic mass of m_3 = 0.35 M_\odot), via quiescent star formation and efficient merger-driven bursts. At the same time, the central BH grows via gas accretion and mergers with other BHs. As the BH reaches a threshold mass of \approx 2 \times 10^{10} M_\odot, its growth becomes more rapid and the predicted M_{BH}–M_{star} evolution steepens (see Fig. 5). In this scenario, the QSOs host galaxies are characterized by final stellar masses in the range (3 – 5) \times 10^{11} M_\odot, a factor of 3–30 larger than the maximum values allowed by the observed M_{dyn} – M_{BH}. Note that similar conclusions have been found by numerical simulations aimed to describe both the formation mechanism, metal enrichment and dust properties of z \approx 6 QSOs, among which J1148, (Li et al. 2007, 2008), which also predict by a final stellar mass of \approx 10^{12} M_\odot.

To better understand this apparent tension among model predictions and observed data, in our previous investigations, which have focused on J1148, we have explored alternative scenarios that we critically propose here for discussion.

A top-heavy stellar initial mass function?, A top-heavy IMF represents an alternative way to increase the integrated dust and metal yields without requiring a higher star formation efficiency and thus a larger final stellar mass. At high redshift, a stellar mass distribution biased towards more massive stars could be favoured by the physical properties of the ISM (Klessen, Spaans & Jappsen 2007;
This value is the average over 50 different merger tree realizations with the error representing the 1σ dispersion.
The radius of the disc enclosing the dynamical mass predicted by the fiducial models as a function of the inclination angle. Each line corresponds to give a QSO, as labelled in the figure. The two vertical dashed lines correspond to the inclination angles of 65° and 40° adopted in the literature for high-z QSOs and used in Section 2. Similarly, the horizontal dotted line shows the 2.5 kpc value.

2004, Riechers et al. 2009). As we have discussed in Section 2.2, a disc radius of $R = 2.5$ kpc and inclination angles $i = 40°, 65°$ have been commonly assumed to describe high-z QSOs (Wang et al. 2010, 2013). It is important to note, however, that such compact regions represent only a very small fraction of the virial radius, $\sim 1/40$, for these very massive haloes. This may be an indication of a more extended distribution of their actual baryonic content (Khandai et al. 2012, see discussion below).

To accommodate the stellar masses predicted by the fiducial models, $M_{\text{star model}}$, the minimum upward correction to $M_{\text{dyn}}$ ranges between 2 and 3 for J2310, J0927, and J0338; larger corrections are required for J1148 (a factor 10) and J1044 (a factor 15). In Fig. 9, for each QSO, we show the properties of the disc that would yield, for a given CO line FWHM, the minimum dynamical mass expected by the models, $M_{\text{dyn model}}$: $R = M_{\text{dyn}} G/\nu_{\text{circ}}^2$, where $\nu_{\text{circ}} = (3/4)\text{FWHM}_{\text{CO}} / \sin i$, $M_{\text{dyn model}} \geq M_{\text{star model}} + M_{\text{H}_2 \text{obs}}$ and $M_{\text{H}_2 \text{obs}}$ is the observed H$_2$ mass given in Table 1. It is clear from the figure that a given dynamical mass can be accommodated within a radius that grows with the inclination angle.

For J1148, the predicted dynamical mass $M_{\text{dyn model}} \sim 4.23 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ would be enclosed within a radius of $\sim 25$ kpc or within a highly inclined disc $i < 15°$ (Wang et al. 2010). However, high-resolution Very Large Array observations of the CO emission show that the emission breaks up into two regions, separated by 1.7 kpc, possibly revealing an ongoing merger (Walter et al. 2004) and thus, indicating a more complex gas dynamics than described by a simple disc model.

Fig. 9 shows that for QSOs which would require a relatively small correction to the inferred dynamical mass (J2310, J0927, and J0338), a disc radius in the range (5–8) kpc would be adequate if seen with an inclination angle of 40°; conversely, a disc radius of 2.5 kpc would require an inclination angle of $i \sim 25°–30°$. For J1044, in which the largest fraction of stars is missing, the predicted dynamical mass would be accommodated within a radius of $\sim 35$ kpc or $i = 10°$.

It is important to note that recent Atacama Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) observations have marginally resolved the [C ii] emission in QSOs J2310 and J1044 (Wang et al. 2013). For J2310, the estimated inclination angle is $i = 46°$, larger than the value used to infer the dynamical mass from the CO. However, Wang et al. (2013) underline that the measurement of the sizes of high-redshift sources and thus of the disc inclination angles are still highly uncertain even at the ~0.7 arcsec spatial resolution with ALMA. For J1044, ALMA observations pointed out that there are differences in the [C ii] and CO spectra which may indicate a more complex dynamics. The [C ii] line profile of this source has a larger redshift and a broader line width with respect to that of the CO(6–5) detection. This suggest either a difference in the kinematical properties of the two gas components or that a significant fraction of the CO emission may be undetected.

However, for most of these high-redshift sources the apparent tension between model predictions and observational data may be alleviated by modifying the adopted gas disc geometry or by allowing for more complex merger-like gas distributions. Note that Narayanan et al. (2009) have modelled CO molecular lines in high-redshift SMGs via numerical simulations, showing that if SMGs are typically a transient phase of major mergers, the usage of standard CO techniques to infer physical quantities may lead to inaccurate measurements of the true enclosed dynamical mass by a factor of $\sim 2$ from the actual value. Deep imaging of the CO line emission with better measurements of the CO line profile and spatial distribution is needed to better constrain the dynamical masses of these systems.

The idea of a more complex and extended distribution of the stars in high-z QSO host galaxies, is supported by recent hydrodynamical simulations (Khandai et al. 2012). The simulations show that QSO host galaxies at $z = 5$ are indeed compact gas-rich systems with the bulk of star formation occurring in the very inner regions. These regions are surrounded by a number of star forming clouds, providing a significant amount of stars, distributed on a larger scale, within the DM halo virial radius ($\sim 200/h$ kpc). This suggest that the regions in which the CO is observed (when spatially resolved) may not trace the spatial distribution of the stellar component of the whole galaxy.

We conclude that high-z QSO host galaxies follow a complex evolution and gain the bulk of their stellar mass content through intense dust-enshrouded starbursts that occur as early as 500 Myr after the big bang. The SPH and metal enrichment of these galaxies are tightly coupled to the growth of their nuclear black hole. When the black hole has grown to a mass $\sim 2 \times 10^9–10^{10} M_\odot$, a strong energy-driven wind starts to clear up the ISM of dust and gas through a large outflow, damping the star formation rate and rendering the QSO optically bright. At this stage, the stellar bulge has already grown to values that exceed the upper limits inferred from dynamical mass and molecular gas measurements. However, for most of these sources, the apparent tension between model predictions and observational data may be alleviated by modifying the adopted gas disc geometry or by allowing for more complex merger-like gas distributions. Deep imaging of the CO line emission with better measurements of the CO line profile and spatial distribution is needed to better constrain the dynamical masses of these systems.
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