An Engineered Yeast Efficiently Secreting Penicillin
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Abstract

This study aimed at developing an alternative host for the production of penicillin (PEN). As yet, the industrial production of this β-lactam antibiotic is confined to the filamentous fungus Penicillium chrysogenum. As such, the yeast Hansenula polymorpha, a recognized producer of pharmaceuticals, represents an attractive alternative. Introduction of the P. chrysogenum gene encoding the non-ribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS) δ-(L-α-aminoacyl)-L-cysteinyl-D-valine synthetase (ACVS) in H. polymorpha, resulted in the production of active ACVS enzyme, when co-expressed with the Bacillus subtilis spf gene encoding a phosphopantetheinyl transferase that activated ACVS. This represents the first example of the functional expression of a non-ribosomal peptide synthetase in yeasts. Co-expression with the P. chrysogenum genes encoding the cytosolic enzyme isopenicillin N synthase as well as the two peroxisomal enzymes isopenicillin N acyl transferase (IAT) and phenylacetyl CoA ligase (PCL) resulted in production of biologically active PEN, which was efficiently secreted. The amount of secreted PEN was similar to that produced by the original P. chrysogenum NRRL1951 strain (approx. 1 mg/L). PEN production was decreased over two-fold in a yeast strain lacking peroxisomes, indicating that the peroxisomal localization of IAT and PCL is important for efficient PEN production. The breakthroughs of this work enable exploration of new yeast-based cell factories for the production of (novel) β-lactam antibiotics as well as other natural and semi-synthetic 

Introduction

β-Lactam antibiotics (penicillins and cephalosporins) represent a class of important drugs of major clinical value. Their significant economical value is evident from the fact that β-lactam antibiotics contribute to over 40% of the total antibiotic market [1]. The industrial production of penicillin (PEN) occurs via fermentation using the filamentous fungus Penicillium chrysogenum. There is considerable interest in developing novel cell factories for the production of (new) β-lactam antibiotics, because of the intrinsic drawbacks of filamentous fungi for large scale industrial fermentations. Unicellular yeast species are very attractive alternatives as they have superior fermentation characteristics over filamentous fungi. Production of PEN and other β-lactam antibiotics in yeast will also provide new opportunities for highly sustainable production processes and the development of generic strategies to produce modified β-lactams and eventually other peptide antibiotics using the power of yeast genetics.

The yeast Hansenula polymorpha, a recognized producer of pharmaceuticals [2], represents an attractive alternative for PEN production. Advantages of this organism include the availability of very strong and regulatable promoters and excellent fermentation properties. An example includes the large scale industrial production of hepatitis B antigen [2]. Also, in this yeast peroxisomes can be massively induced. This is a favorable property to facilitate PEN production, which is known to involve peroxisomal enzymes.

Adapting yeast to produce PEN requires the introduction of the complete PEN biosynthetic pathway in the organism. This involves the non-ribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS) δ-(L-α-aminoacyl)-L-cysteinyl-D-valine synthetase (ACVS), isopenicillin N synthase (IPNS), isopenicillin N acyl transferase (IAT) and phenylacetyl CoA ligase (PCL). Of these, ACVS and IPNS are cytosolic, whereas IAT and PCL are localized to peroxisomes (Fig. 1) [3]. Notably, ACVS belongs to a class of enzymes (NRPS’s) that exclusively occurs in certain filamentous fungi and bacteria (Actinomycetes, Bacilli).

Here we show that we successfully engineered H. polymorpha to produce biologically active PEN. Our work involves major breakthroughs by showing:

1. the functional expression of an NRPS in yeast,  
2. the successful reconstitution of the complete, compartmentalized PEN biosynthetic pathway in H. polymorpha  
3. that PEN is highly efficiently secreted by H. polymorpha.  
4. that the peroxisomal localization of the last two enzymes of the PEN biosynthesis pathway is important for efficient PEN production.
These achievements are of major significance for the development of novel yeast-based production platforms for the production of novel β-lactams and other important peptide-based pharmaceuticals.

Results

Functional Production of ACVS in *H. polymorpha*

In our approach to reprogram *H. polymorpha* to produce PEN, we first set out to generate a strain synthesizing enzymatically active ACVS, a 450 kD NRPS. Upon introduction of the *P. chrysogenum* *pcbAB* gene encoding ACVS in *H. polymorpha* (strain HpPen1), the protein was properly produced and localized to the cytosol (Fig. 2 and 3). However, when these cells were grown in the presence of the ACVS substrate α-aminoadipic acid (AAA), ACV production could not be demonstrated (Fig. 4). This was most likely related to the fact that activation of NRPS enzymes requires covalent attachment of a phosphopantetheinyl moiety to the peptidyl carrier protein domain of the enzyme [4], a reaction that is catalyzed by phosphopantetheinyl transferases (PPTases). Apparently, *H. polymorpha* does not contain a PPTase that is able to activate ACVS in vivo. Therefore, we introduced the *Bacillus subtilis* PPTase Sfp, which exhibits a broad substrate specificity [5], in HpPen1, thus generating strain HpPen2 (Fig. 3).

When HpPen2 was grown in the presence of AAA, ACV was indeed produced (Fig. 4), demonstrating that *B. subtilis* Sfp had activated ACVS. ACV was not observed when HpPen2 cells were grown in the absence of AAA (Fig. 4), which indicates that AAA is a limiting substrate in *H. polymorpha*, but can be taken up by the yeast cells from the cultivation medium.

Secretion of a Bioactive β-Lactam by *H. polymorpha*

Subsequently, we introduced *P. chrysogenum* IPNS in HpPen2 to produce IPN, the next intermediate of the PEN biosynthesis pathway [6] (Fig. 1). In the resulting strain (HpPen3), ACVS, Sfp and IPNS were properly produced (Fig. 3). Upon growth of these cells in medium containing AAA, intracellular accumulation of a β-lactam antibiotic (presumably IPN) could be demonstrated in a

---

**Figure 1. Schematic representation of the penicillin G (PenG) biosynthetic pathway in *P. chrysogenum*.** In the cytosol, the enzyme ACVS (ACVS-OH) is activated by a PPTase into ACVS-SH. The active enzyme produces ACV from the three precursor molecules AAA, L-cysteine and L-valine. IPNS subsequently converts ACV into the β-lactam IPN, which is transported into peroxisomes. In this organelle PAA is activated by PCL into phenylacetyl CoA (PA-CoA), which is used by IAT to synthesize PenG from IPN. PenG is exported from the organelle and ultimately secreted into the medium. The precursors and intermediates of PenG biosynthesis pathway are boxed.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008317.g001

**Figure 2. Subcellular localization of ACVS in *H. polymorpha*.** Immunocytochemistry using anti-ACVS antibodies showing the presence of ACVS protein in the cytosol of strain HpPen4. Cells were fixed in 3% glutaraldehyde for 1 h on ice, dehydrated in an ethanol series and embedded in Lowicryl, polymerized by UV light. Post-staining was with 0.5% uranylacetate. M – mitochondrion; P – peroxisome; V – vacuole. The bar represents 0.5 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008317.g002
bioassay using the β-lactam sensitive indicator strain Micrococcus luteus [7] (Fig. 5A). Growth of this indicator strain was not inhibited when an extract was used of similarly grown HpPen2 control cells (Fig. 5A). The presence of enzymatically active ACVS and IPNS in cells of strain HpPen3 to form IPN was confirmed using an in vitro assay (Fig. 5B).

Figure 3. Expression of genes involved in penicillin G production in H. polymorpha. Western blot analysis demonstrating the presence of ACVS, Sfp, IPNS, IAT and PCL in cells of the various indicated H. polymorpha strains grown in batch cultures on methanol. The blots were decorated with the indicated antibodies except for Sfp, which was produced as a His6 tagged protein and detected by anti-His6 antibodies. Per lane 20 µg of protein was loaded, except for IPNS for which 2 µg of protein was used. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008317.g003

Figure 4. ACV production in HpPen2. Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) chromatograms from ACV in extracts of strains HpPen1 and HpPen2 cells grown in batch cultures on methanol in the presence (+AAA) or absence (-AAA) of 8 mM AAA. The data show that ACV is only present in HpPen2 cells grown in the presence of AAA (lowest panel). An MRM chromatogram from the co-eluting 13C labeled ACV internal standard is included as control (upper panel). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008317.g004

PEN Production in Yeast

As a final step to produce PEN in H. polymorpha, we introduced the genes that encode the P. chrysogenum peroxosomal enzymes IAT and PCL in HpPen3, resulting in strain HpPen4 (Fig. 3). We previously showed that both heterologous proteins are properly synthesized and sorted in H. polymorpha [8,9]. To test whether HpPen4 cells produced and secreted the β-lactam antibiotic penicillin G (PenG), cells were grown in media supplemented with AAA and phenylacetic acid (PAA), the PenG side chain precursor. As shown in Fig. 5C (extracellular), a clear zone of growth inhibition of M. luteus was observed in the bioassay using spent medium of the HpPen4 culture. Growth inhibition was not observed when medium of a control HpPen3 culture was used. This data suggests that PenG is produced and secreted by HpPen4 cells.

LC-MS/MS analyses of spent medium of the HpPen4 culture confirmed the presence of compounds that have the same accurate masses as PenG and IPN (Fig. 6). Furthermore, MS/MS fragmentation patterns of these substances were identical to those obtained using pure IPN or PenG (Fig. 6).

Interestingly, using the same volume of spent media of cultures of P. chrysogenum NRRL1951 and HpPen4 halo’s of similar size were obtained in the bioassay (Fig. 5C). P. chrysogenum NRRL1951 is the strain from which all subsequent high producing strains are derived.

Halo’s were also observed when crude extracts were used of HpPen3 or HpPen4 cells, which had been grown in the presence of AAA and PAA (Fig. 5C intracellular). However, extracts corresponding to large culture volumes (100 times more than of the spent medium) had to be used to obtain these halo’s (Fig. 5C). In HpPen3 cell extracts the halo is most likely due to the accumulation of IPN, whereas for HpPen4 cells residual amounts of PenG and IPN may remain inside the cells.

PEN Is Efficiently Secreted by HpPen4 Cells

Subsequent detailed quantitative analysis of IPN and PenG using ion-pair reversed-phase liquid chromatography–electrospray ionization isotope dilution tandem mass spectrometry (IP-LC–ESI-ID-MS/MS) confirmed that IPN indeed accumulates inside HpPen3 cells (Fig. 7). In identically grown HpPen4 cultures the level of intracellular IPN was reduced relative to those in HpPen3 cells. However, these cells produced PenG, which was predominantly present extracellularly, confirming that PenG is secreted by HpPen4 cells. Calculation of the intracellular metabolite concentrations revealed that PenG is efficiently secreted byHpPen4 cells (the ratio of the extracellular/intracellular concentration of PenG is 24). However, how PenG and its intermediates are transported over the peroxisome and plasma membrane is still largely speculative. Recently, the presence of a regulatable porin in the peroxisomal membrane has been described [10] that could be involved in this process. The HpPen4 cells also produced low concentrations of 6-amino penicillinic acid (6-APA), which was barely detectable in HpPen3. In HpPen4 cells 6-APA is most likely formed by hydrolysis of IPN [11]. In line with the analyses shown in Fig. 6, IPN was also detectable in the medium of the HpPen4 cultures. The amount however was too low to allow accurate quantification using the IP-LC–ESI-ID-MS/MS method used.

Peroxisomes Are Important for Efficient PEN Production

To analyse the importance of the peroxisome compartment in PEN-producing H. polymorpha cells, we analyzed PenG production in HpPen4 cells in which the PEX3 gene was deleted (Δpex3 HpPen4).
Cells were grown on glucose/choline to allow P\textsubscript{AOX} induction, as \textit{Dpex3} \textit{HpPen4} cells can not grow on methanol. Deletion of \textit{PEX3} in \textit{H. polymorpha} results in the complete absence of recognizable peroxisomal structures and the mislocalization of all peroxisomal enzymes to the cytosol [12]. Bioassays revealed that a significantly smaller halo was formed using medium of the \textit{Dpex3} \textit{HpPen4} culture relative to \textit{HpPen4} medium (Fig. 8). This was confirmed by MS/MS data, which indicated that in glucose/choline grown cells the PenG production had decreased over 50% (1.1 \text{mg/ml} in \textit{HpPen4} vs 0.4 \text{mg/ml} in \textit{Dpex3} \textit{HpPen4}). Hence, compartmentalization of IAT and PCL in peroxisomes is important for efficient PenG production in \textit{H. polymorpha}.

**Discussion**

Here we present a proof of principle that cells of the yeast \textit{H. polymorpha} can be engineered to produce the important \textit{\beta}-lactam antibiotic PEN by introduction of four \textit{P. chrysogenum} genes and one \textit{B. subtilis} gene. This achievement includes several major breakthroughs. First, we show the functional expression of an

---

**Figure 5. Production of \textit{\beta}-lactam antibiotics in \textit{H. polymorpha}**

(A) In vivo production of IPN by \textit{HpPen3} cells. \textit{HpPen3} cells were grown in a methanol-limited chemostat in the presence of 8 mM AAA. Cell extracts (corresponding to 1250 \text{ml} culture) were loaded in a well of a bioassay plate, which had been overlayed with the indicator strain \textit{M. luteus}. After incubation of the plate, a halo, representing a zone of growth inhibition, was observed indicating that an antibiotic compound was produced. A halo was not observed when an extract of identically grown cells of the \textit{HpPen2} control strain were used. (B) In vitro production of IPN using \textit{HpPen3} cell extracts. Cell extracts were prepared from methanol grown \textit{HpPen3} cells and a volume corresponding to 500 microgram of protein was used for \textit{in vitro} IPN synthesis. As a control, a desalted cell extract of \textit{P. chrysogenum} DS17690 cells (250 \text{mg} protein) was used. Extracts were incubated in the presence of ATP and the amino acids AAA, L-cysteine, L-valine and subsequently loaded in a well of a bioassay plate, which had been overlayed with the indicator strain \textit{M. luteus}. After incubation of the plate, a halo was observed indicating that an antibiotic compound was produced. This halo was absent in the control experiments performed without ATP or when \textit{\beta}-lactamase was added to the reaction mixture. (C) Secretion of antibiotic compounds by \textit{HpPen4} cells. \textit{HpPen3} and \textit{HpPen4} cells were grown in continuous cultures on a mixture of glucose and methanol in the presence of 1 mM AAA and 1 mM PAA. A small aliquot (12.5 \text{ml}) of the spent medium of \textit{HpPen4}, but not \textit{HpPen3} cultures, resulted in growth inhibition of the indicator strain (panels marked extracellular) on bioassay plates. Using crude cell extracts (panels marked intracellular) of \textit{HpPen3} and \textit{HpPen4} cells antibiotic compounds were detected as well. The amount of crude extracts used for the bioassay corresponded to 1250 \text{ml} of the culture volume. (D) \textit{HpPen4} cells secrete comparable amounts of antibiotics relative to \textit{P. chrysogenum} NRRL1951. \textit{HpPen4} cells were grown in batch cultures on methanol in the presence of 1 mM PAA and 1 mM AAA. \textit{P. chrysogenum} NRRL1951 cells were grown in batch cultures on production medium in the presence of 3 mM PAA. The figure shows that similar amounts of antibiotics are secreted by both organisms. 25 \text{ml} spent medium of both cultures was used.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008317.g005
NRPS in yeast. Secondly, we successfully reconstituted a complex, compartmentalized biosynthetic pathway in yeast that is catalyzed by cytosolic and peroxisomal enzymes and requires transport of precursors, intermediates and end products across the peroxisomal and plasma membrane (Fig. 1). Finally, we demonstrate that intact peroxisomes are required for efficient product formation.

Functional expression of the NRPS ACVS in \textit{H. polymorpha} was dependent on the function of a heterologous PPTase, to modify the protein by covalent binding of phosphopantetheinyl moieties. Apparently, the endogenous \textit{H. polymorpha} PPTase (Lys5) is unable to activate ACVS, whereas the broad specificity bacterial enzyme is suitable for this modification and functional \textit{in vivo} in \textit{H. polymorpha}. While this paper was under review [13] published a similar result, expressing functional ACVS in baker’s yeast.

Functionally expression of an NRPS in yeast opens the way to explore novel and improved processes to produce other NRPS-based natural peptides in this cell factory. NRPS’s allow synthesis of a broad range of valuable compounds, including almost all peptide-based antibiotics as well as other clinically important compounds such as immunosuppressors and anti-tumor compounds [14].

Our data open the way to use yeast as a host organism for NRPS engineering. NRPS engineering is a strongly emerging field [15,16] with ample opportunities to develop novel products and processes. These developments rely on efficient NRPS production systems that have now become available in yeast. A single NRPS consist of an arrangement of modules, in which each module is responsible for the incorporation and modification of one amino acid building blocks in the growing polypeptide chain. This modular structure of NRPS’s renders them highly attractive targets for protein engineering by domain swapping or active-site modifications. Taking advantage of the power of yeast genetics and handling, our finding offers important novel options that highly facilitate NRPS engineering.

In \textit{P. chrysogenum}, PEN production is compartmentalized in peroxisomes and the cytosol. The efficient secretion of PEN produced in \textit{H. polymorpha} indicates that highly dedicated \textit{P. chrysogenum} transporter proteins apparently are not required for the intracellular transport processes, for uptake of PAA and AAA, nor for the efficient secretion of the end product. Also, compartmentalization is important for efficient PEN production, as the PEN production levels were reduced in a peroxisome deficient (\textit{dipex3}) background. In \textit{Aspergillus nidulans} a relation between peroxisome function and PEN production was observed as well [17]. Why the peroxisomal localization of IAT and PCL is important, remains however obscure.

The current non-optimized \textit{H. polymorpha} strain produces similar amounts of PEN as the original \textit{P. chrysogenum} strain (NRRL1951). Future up-scaling programs will strongly benefit from the advanced molecular toolbox available for \textit{H. polymorpha} [2,18,19].
that is not yet available for *P. chrysogenum*. These approaches can also be used to rapidly develop novel antibiotics by introducing additional heterologous genes and high throughput screening procedures. The development of novel antibiotics is extremely important because of the continuous combat against antibiotic resistant bacteria. Because *H. polymorpha* grows well on various cheap carbon sources (e.g. methanol), the newly engineered cell factory also enables producing these components from alternative and sustainable feedstocks.

### Microorganisms and Growth

The *H. polymorpha* strains used are derivatives of NCYC495 *ade11.1 leu1.1* [20] and listed in Table 1. All plasmids are indicated in Table 2.

Yeast cells were grown at 25°C in batch cultures on 0.5% methanol for 36 hours [6] or in carbon-limited chemostat cultures [21,22]. Chemostat cultures were grown at a dilution rate of 0.1 h⁻¹, pH 5.0. The feed contained a mixture of glucose (0.25%) and methanol (0.2%) [22] or glucose (0.25%) and choline (0.2%) [21].

*P. chrysogenum* strains DS17690 [23] and NRRL1951 [24] were grown in batch cultures on a defined PEN production medium supplemented with 3 mM PAA [25]. *Micrococcus luteus* ATCC 9341 was used for bioassays and grown on 2 x YT agar plates containing 2% bacto-tryptone, 1% yeast extract and 1% NaCl at 30°C.

### Construction of Plasmids

**Plasmid pZA-pcbAB.** To facilitate cloning of the *P. chrysogenum* pcbAB gene, a *HindIII* site was introduced upstream of the start codon by PCR with the primers ACVS-forward (5' AGAAAGGTTATGACTGAAGCCAAA-3') and ACVS reverse (5' CTTATCTGAAACAAATCGGAC 3') using plasmid pME1213 as template. Subsequently, the resulting 1.1 kb product was cloned as a *HindIII*-XbaI fragment into the *HindIII*-XbaI-digested vector pHIPZA. The resulting plasmid was digested with *XbaI*-SmaI and an *XbaI*-SmaI fragment of plasmid pME1213, containing the remainder of the pcbAB gene, was inserted. The resulting plasmid, designated pZA-pcbAB, contains the entire *P. chrysogenum* pcbAB gene flanked by the inducible *H. polymorpha* alcohol oxidase promoter (*P_{AOX}*) and the amine oxidase terminator (*T_{AMO}*)

**Plasmid pG4U-pcbAB.** A 13,777 bp *NotI*-SmaI fragment of plasmid pZA-pcbAB, containing the *P. chrysogenum* pcbAB gene and the *H. polymorpha* *P_{AOX} region*, was inserted into SmaI blunted by Klenow treatment) + *NotI*-digested plasmid pHIPG4U. The resulting plasmid, designated pG4U-pcbAB, contains the entire *P. chrysogenum* pcbAB gene flanked by the *H. polymorpha* *P_{AOX} and T_{AMO} regulatory sequences*, a dominant selection marker.

### Materials and Methods

#### Plasmid Construction

1. **Plasmid pG4U-pcbAB.** The *NotI*-SmaI fragment of plasmid pZA-pcbAB was cloned into pG4U as a replacement for the native *P_{AOX} region*.

2. **Plasmid pG4U-pcbAB.** The *NotI*-SmaI fragment of plasmid pZA-pcbAB was cloned into pG4U as a replacement for the native *P_{AOX} region*. The resulting plasmid, designated pG4U-pcbAB, contains the entire *P. chrysogenum* pcbAB gene flanked by the inducible *H. polymorpha* alcohol oxidase promoter (*P_{AOX}*) and the amine oxidase terminator (*T_{AMO}*)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Table 1. H. polymorpha strains used in this study.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strain</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HpPen1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HpPen2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HpIPNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HpIPNS-4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HpPen3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HpPen4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δpex3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008317.t001**

---

**Figure 8. Deletion of *PEX3* results in reduced PEN secretion.** HpPen4 and Δpex3 HpPen4 cells were grown in a glucose-limited chemostat culture supplemented with choline as nitrogen source in the presence of 1 mM PAA and 1 mM AAA. Using spent medium of Δpex3 HpPen4 cultures a smaller halo was produced relative to HpPen4. 6 μl of spent medium of both cultures was used.

**doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0008317.g008**
A Penicillin Secreting Yeast

conferring resistance to G-418 and the H. polymorpha URA3 gene for targeted integration.

**Plasmid pA7-Sfp.His6**. We first amplified the B. subtilis sfp.His6 fusion gene with primers Sfp-Fl (5' GCGGAT-TCGATAGAAGTTCATCGGAATTTATAGG 3') and Sfp-R (5' TCGGTCGACTTATGATTTGATGATGATGATGATGATGC 3') using plasmid pQ600-Sfp.His6 as template. The resulting PCR product was then inserted as a BamH-I fragment into pBM4-IAT.PCLSKL-digested plasmid pHIP4A4, resulting in plasmid pA4-Sfp.His6. In order to have constitutive expression of the Sfp.His6 gene, the H. polymorpha P_AOX region of pA4-Sfp.His6 (flanked by Nat and BamH-I sites) was replaced by a Nat-BamHI fragment containing the H. polymorpha TEF1 promoter of pHIPX7. The resulting plasmid, pA7-Sfp.His6, contains the B. subtilis sfp.His6 gene flanked by the H. polymorpha P_TEF1 and T_AMO regulatory sequences and the H. polymorpha ADE11 gene as auxotrophic marker.

**Plasmid pBM4-IAT.PCLSKL**. First, we isolated the blasticidin gene from plasmid pPIC6-A as a 1969 bp BamHI-SalI-linearized fragment into BamHI-SalI-digested plasmid pHIP4A4, resulting in plasmid pHIP4A4-Sfp.His6. In order to have constitutive expression of the sfp.His6 gene, the H. polymorpha P_AOX region of pHIP4A4-Sfp.His6 was linearized with NdeI and used to transform the H. polymorpha strain HpIPNS 4.2. Transformants were selected on YPD plates that contained nourseothricin (300 μg/ml). A strain producing ACVS was designated HpPen1. Strain HpPen2 was generated by integration of Ndel-linearized plasmid pA7-Sfp.His6 in the genome of HpPen1 with selection on adenine prototrophy and production of both ACVS and Sfp.His6.

**HpPen3 and HpPen4**. For the purpose of generating an H. polymorpha strain expressing all PEN biosynthesis genes, plasmid pH4-pcbAB was digested with SfiI and used to transform the H. polymorpha strain HpIPNS 4.2. Transformants were selected on YPD plates that contained zeocin (300 μg/ml). A strain producing all PEN enzymes was designated HpPen4.

**Construction of H. polymorpha Strains HpPen1 and HpPen2**. A H. polymorpha strain producing ACVS (strain HpPen1) was constructed as follows: Plasmid pG4U-pcbAB was linearized with SfiI and used to transform H. polymorpha URA3 region and transformed into H. polymorpha NCY495 ade11.1 leu1.1 ura3.3 met6. Uracil-prototrophic transformants were selected and also tested for G-418 resistance (50 μg/ml). A strain producing ACVS was designated HpPen1. Strain HpPen2 was generated by integration of Ndel-linearized plasmid pA7-Sfp.His6 in the genome of HpPen1 with selection on adenine prototrophy and production of both ACVS and Sfp.His6.

**HpPen3 and HpPen4**. For the purpose of generating an H. polymorpha strain expressing all PEN biosynthesis genes, plasmid pH4-pcbAB was digested with SfiI and used to transform the H. polymorpha strain HpIPNS 4.2. Transformants were selected on YPD plates that contained nourseothricin (300 μg/ml). A strain producing ACVS and IPNS was designated HpIPNSACVS. For construction of HpPen3, plasmid pH4-pcbAB was digested with NdeI and KpnI and the resulting 4565 bp DNA fragment was used to transform strain HpIPNSACVS. A strain producing ACVS and IPNS was designated HpPen3.

**HpPen4**. For the purpose of stable integration of the P. chrysogenum penDE genes, plasmid pBM4-IAT.PCLSKL was linearized using Ndel in the MET6 locus. The linearized plasmid was then introduced into strain HpPen3. Transformants were selected on YPD plates with blasticidin (300 μg/ml). A strain producing all five PEN enzymes was designated HpPen4.

**Δpex3 HpPen1**. In order to create a peroxisome deficient derivative of HpPen4, the pex3::nat deletion cassette was PCR amplified from plasmid pSN404 with primers pex3-nat-fw (5' ACCGCGTGAACTTTATATCG 3') and pex3-nat-rev (5' CAAGGAACGCGATGTATGTT 3'). The resulting 1923 bp fragment was used to transform HpPen4. Transformants were selected on YPD plates with nourseothricin (100 μg/ml). Correct deletion of PEX3 was confirmed using Southern blot analysis. The resulting strain was designated Δpex3.HpPen4.
Biochemical Methods

Crude extracts of *H. polymorpha* cells [26] and *P. chrysogenum* hyphae [27] were prepared as described previously. Protein concentrations were determined using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay system using bovine serum albumin as a standard. Western blots were prepared using extracts of *H. polymorpha* and *P. chrysogenum* cells, obtained using the TCA method [27], and decorated using antibodies raised against IPNS, ACVS, IAT, PCL [8,29], or the His6 tag (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, INC.).

Bioassays

The presence of β-lactams was analysed by a bioassay using agar plates on which *M. luteus* cells were plated. Samples were loaded in wells in the plates and growth was monitored upon overnight incubation at 30°C. To detect the formation of β-lactams in vitro, crude extracts were prepared in buffer A containing 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 20% glycerol, 2 mM DTT, 25 mM KCl and 1 mM PMSF. Small molecules were removed by *P. chrysogenum* crude extracts by gel filtration using a PD-10 column. Extracts were incubated at 25°C in buffer A supplemented with 5 mM AAA, 1 mM L-cysteine, 5 mM L-valine, 3 mM ATP, 20 mM MgCl2, 0.43 mM FeSO4.7H2O and 14.1 mM L-ascorbic acid. After 60 min of incubation, the reaction was terminated by addition of 5 mM of EDTA pH 8.0. The presence of β-lactams was monitored using the bioassay as detailed above. As a control, samples were incubated with β-lactamase (50,000 IU per reaction) prior to termination of the reaction.

Detection of Metabolites

10 ml of chemostat broth was sampled directly into a filtration beaker containing 50 ml of a −40°C 60% v/v aqueous methanol quenching solution. The quenched cells were filtered over a glass fiber filter (type A/E, Pall Corporation, East Hills, NY, USA, m pore size) and was washed with 2-5x50 ml of the quenching solution to completely remove extracellular metabolites. For accurate quantification purposes by IDMS [29] 100 μl of 13C internal standard solution was added to the cells. This 13C internal standard solution contained all relevant metabolites as U-13C-labeled isotopes. Immediately after the cells were submerged in a tube containing 30 ml of a 73°C 75% v/v aqueous ethanol solution. The tube was vigorously mixed and transferred to a water bath at 95°C for 5 min for extraction of metabolites and inactivation of enzymes. The extract was washed under vacuum and analysed via ion-pair reversed-phase liquid chromatography-isotope dilution tandem mass spectrometry (IP-LC-ESI-ID-MS/MS) as described previously [30]. The same analysis method was used to determine extracellular metabolite concentrations in quenched and filtered chemostat broth, which was obtained as described previously [31]. The concentration of intracellular metabolites were calculated, assuming a cellular liquid content of 2.38 ml per g dry weight [32].

Mass Spectrometry Analysis of β-Lactams

For liquid chromatographical analysis, samples were separated on a C18 capillary column (Waters sunfire C18, 2.1×150 mm, 1.8 μm particle size LC column, Waters Chromatography B.V. The Netherlands) coupled to an Accela pump (Thermo Electron Corporation). The injection volume was 25 μl, the flow rate 200 μl/min and the elution temperature 30°C. Gradients were prepared using solution A (20 mM ammonium formate in milli Q water) and solution B (a 1:1 mixture of 20 mM ammonium formate in milli Q water and acetonitrile). A gradient of 5-50% solution B was ran during 12 min, followed by a gradient of 50–65% solution B during 3 min, a washing step with a gradient of 65–5% solution B during 3 min followed by regeneration of the column with 5% solution B. As controls, standard IPN (synthesized by Syncom B.V., the Netherlands) and PenG (Sigma Aldrich) solutions were analysed to determine their respective retention times. Following LC separation, the eluates were directly analysed by mass determination using an LTQ orbitrap (Thermo Electron Corporation). MS data acquisition was performed in positive ion mode. For structure determination MS/MS was performed using LTQ XL (Thermo Electron Corporation) with an m/z range of 200–1000.
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