Formation and Maturation of Parallel Fiber-Purkinje Cell Synapses in the Staggerer Cerebellum Ex Vivo
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ABSTRACT

In vivo, homozygous staggerer (Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup>) Purkinje cells (PCs) remain in an early stage of development with rudimentary spineless dendrites, associated with a lack of parallel fiber (PF) input and the persistence of multiple climbing fibers (CFs). In this immunocytochemical study we used cerebellar organotypic cultures to monitor the development of Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PF-PC synapses in the absence of CF innervation. Ex vivo the vesicular glutamate transporters VGluT1 and VGluT2 reactivity was preferentially localized around the Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PC soma and proximal dendrites, which are typically CF domains. The shift from VGluT2 to VGluT1 in PF terminals during development was delayed in Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> slices. The postsynaptic receptors mGluR1 and GluR<sub>H9254</sub> were differently distributed on Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PCs. mGluR1 reactivity was evenly distributed in PC soma and dendrites, whereas GluR<sub>H9254</sub> reactivity, normally restricted at PF synapses, was dense in Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PC somata. The presynaptic distribution of VGluT1 and VGluT2 on Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PCs matched the postsynaptic distribution of the glutamate receptor GluR<sub>H9254</sub>, but not mGluR1. J. Comp. Neurol. 512: 467–477, 2009. © 2008 Wiley-Liss, Inc.
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The formation of neuronal networks in the cerebellum, in particular the wiring of the Purkinje cell (PCs), has been widely studied. Activities of two excitatory afferents, climbing fibers (CFs) and parallel fibers (PFs), underlie the plasticity of PC synapses, inducing cerebellar long-term depression (LTD) and permitting cerebellar motor learning (Crepel, 1982; Ito, 1989; Linden and Connor, 1995). After the formation of first CF-PC, and then PF-PC synapses, connections are dynamically modified, resulting in the elimination of supernumerary CFs and the establishment of the one-to-one CF-PC relationship, in parallel with ongoing synaptogenesis onto PCs from PFs (Eccles et al., 1966; Crepel et al., 1976; Mariani and Changeux, 1981; Chedotal and Sotelo, 1992; Sotelo, 2004). These two processes are profoundly disturbed in the staggerer mutant mouse (Sotelo and Changeux, 1974; Herrup, 1983; Vogel et al., 2000; Doulazmi et al., 2001). In adult Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> mice, the surviving PCs (about 15%) remain in an early stage of development, with rudimentary spineless dendrites, associated with almost complete absence of PF synapses and the persistence of multiple CF innervations (Crepel et al., 1980; Mariani, 1982; Boukhtouche et al., 2006a; Gold et al., 2007). We have previously shown that, in organotypic cerebellar cultures, Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PCs also remain in an immature developmental stage and that induction of hROR<sub>x</sub>1 protein within them is sufficient to restore a cell-autonomous process of spinogenesis (Boukhtouche et al., 2006b).

Little is known, however, about the organization of pre- and postsynaptic elements during the formation of PF synapses on Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PC somata and dendrites. Here we studied the establishment of PF-PC connectivity by examining ex vivo the localization of presynaptic vesicular glutamate transporters 1
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and 2 (VGluT1 and VGluT2) and of postsynaptic glutamate receptors mGluR1 and GluR62. In vivo, PF terminals express predominantly VGlUT2 during the first 10 postnatal days and then switch to VGlUT1 with further maturation (Miyazaki et al., 2003). GluR62 is required for consolidating PF synapses, whereas both GluR62 and the mGluR1-signaling pathway are involved in eliminating redundant CF synapses at the proximal dendrites (for recent reviews, see Hirano, 2006; Gounko et al., 2007). We used organotypic cerebellar cultures from postnatal day (P)0 pups, an age when most CF-PC connections have not yet been established, and kept them for 7, 14, and 21 days in vitro (DIV). Organotypic slice cultures are an established method to study many aspects of neural development and function of the cerebellum in the absence of mossy and climbing fiber afferents (Tanaka et al., 1994; Dusart et al., 1997). The preservation of the intracerebellar neuronal circuitry is advantageous to monitor spatiotemporal events of cerebellar development, in particular, PC development, which is essentially normal. More important, the lack of persistent multiple CFs input on developmentally challenged Rora<sup>sg</sup>s PCs provides a unique opportunity to analyze the postnatal maturation of the other excitatory afferents, the PFs in the staggerer mutant.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

The staggerer mutant is maintained on a C57BL/6J genetic background in the breeding colony at the animal facilities of the University of Groningen following the guidelines of the European Communities Council Directive of November 24, 1986 (86/609/EEC). Approval to conduct the study was obtained from the Ethics Committee on Animal Experimentation, University of Groningen (D4630C/D4285D). Homozygous staggerer (Rora<sup>sg</sup>/<sup>sg</sup>), heterozygous staggerer (Rora<sup>sg</sup>/<sup>+/</sup>), and wildtype (Rora<sup>+/+</sup>) mice were bred from Rora<sup>sg</sup>/<sup>sg</sup> pairs. Animals had access to food and water ad libitum and were housed under controlled temperature (22 ± 2°C) and a 14/10-hour light/dark cycle.

In the litters, Rora<sup>sg</sup>/<sup>sg</sup>, Rora<sup>+/+</sup>, and Rora<sup>+/+</sup> mice are generated in a 1:2:1 ratio. Since the latter two genotypes have indistinguishable traits, genotype analysis was required to differentiate Rora<sup>+/+</sup> and Rora<sup>+/+</sup> animals. Genotype was determined a posteriori by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) on tail biopsy. The minimum number of animals required to show an effect was used, and procedures were designed to reduce or completely eliminate pain and distress. P0 animals were used. No apparent differences were found between Rora<sup>+/+</sup> and Rora<sup>+/+</sup> pups and they were therefore considered as one group, referred to as control.

**Genotype analysis**

Genomic DNA was extracted from tail biopsies and amplified in two sets of reactions, one for each allele by PCR. Staggerer allele primers were: 5'-CGTTTGGCAAAACTCCACC-3' and 5'-GATTGAAAGCTGACTCGTGC-3'. The + allele primers were: 5'-TCTCCCTCTCAGTCGGCA-3' and 5'-TATATTCCACCAAGCGGA-3'. The amplified fragments (318 bp Rora<sup>+</sup> and 450 bp Rora<sup>sg</sup>) were detected by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel.

**Organotypic slice cultures of mouse cerebellum**

Organotypic cerebellar cultures were prepared as previously described by Ghoumari et al. (2000). Briefly, brains were dissected into cold Gey’s balanced salt solution (GBSS, Sigma, St. Louis, MO) supplemented with 5 mg/mL glucose and the meninges were removed. Parasagittal slices (350–<i>µ</i>m thick) were cut on a McIlwain tissue chopper and transferred onto membranes of 30 mm Millipore membrane culture insert with 0.4 <i>µ</i>m pore size (Millicell CM, Millipore, Bedford, MA). Slices were maintained in culture in six-well plates containing 1 mL/well of medium containing 50% basal medium with Earle’s salts (BME; Invitrogen, La Jolla, CA), 2.5% Hank’s balanced salt solution (HBSS; Invitrogen), 25% horse serum (Invitrogen), 1 mM L-glutamine, and 5 mg/mL glucose at 35°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Medium was changed every 2–3 days.

**Antibodies**

1) Mouse monoclonal anti-calbindin D-28K (CaBP) (1:5,000 dilution; Cat. No. 300; Lot No. 18/F; Swant, Bellinzona, Switzerland) was raised in mouse against calbindin D-28K purified from chicken gut. It specifically stains the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-binding spot of calbindin D-28K (molecular weight 28 kD) in a two-dimensional gel and does not crossreact with calretinin or other known calcium-binding proteins (manufacturer’s technical information). CaBP immunostaining is commonly used to study PCs, since in the cerebellar cortex it is specifically and strongly expressed in PCs (Célio et al., 1990).

2) Rabbit polyclonal anti-VGluT1 (1:1,000 dilution; Cat. No. 135302; Lot No. 2; Synaptic Systems, Germany) was generated in rabbits against a Strep-Tag fusion protein of rat VGLUT 1 (aa 456–560). The Strep-Tag fusion protein (aa 456–560 of rat VGLUT 1) has been tested in preadsorption experiments and blocks efficiently and specifically the corresponding signal in Western blots (manufacturer’s technical information) and in immunolabeling (Zhou et al., 2007). VGLUT expression in the cerebellar cortex has been well documented (Takamori et al., 2001; Kaneko and Fujiyama, 2002; Hioki et al., 2003; Miyazaki et al., 2003; Hallberg et al., 2006). Our results were in agreement with previous studies on its distribution in the cerebellar cortex.

3) Guinea pig polyclonal anti-VGluT2 (1:1,000, kind gift from Prof. Watanabe, Hokkaido University School of Medicine, Japan). The affinity-purified polyclonal antibody to VGlUT2 was generated in the guinea pig using C-terminus polypeptide-glutathione-S-transferase (GST) fusion proteins. The sequence of antigen polypeptides was 519–582 amino acid residues of rat VGlUT2 (GenBank Access. No. AF271235). In the developing mouse cerebellum, specificity of the VGlUT antibody, used in this study, and the VGlUT1 antibody were assessed by Miyazaki et al. (2003) by means of immunoblotting, recognizing a 60-kDa protein band, and abolition of specific immunolabeling after preabsorption with antigen proteins. Miyazaki et al. (2003) showed further specificity and efficacy of the VGlUT2 antibody in the developing mouse cerebellum by the use of immunoperoxidase, immunofluorescence and immunoelectron microscopy. Together, these results are consistent with immunostaining patterns in other studies using separate VGlUT1 and VGlUT2 antibodies (Takamori et al., 2000; Fremeau et al., 2001, 2004; Herzog et al., 2001).
4) Rabbit polyclonal anti-Pax-6 IgG (1:100 dilution; Cat. No. ab5790; Lot No. 0506001181; Chemicon, Temecula, CA) was used to visualize granule cells in the organotypic slices, since the protein is an external germinal marker layer and Pax-6 has been recognized as a critical regulator in cell polarization in the cerebellar granular cell (Yamasaki et al., 2001). The polyclonal Pax-6 IgG was raised in rabbit using a synthetic peptide: C-REEKLRRNORQASNTPSSH1, corresponding to amino acids 267–285 of Mouse Pax-6 (peptide available as ab5895, Abcam, Cambridge, MA). Specificity of the Pax-6 antibody used in this study was assessed by means of immunoblotting, recognizing a 47-kDa protein band (manufacturer’s technical information).

5) Rabbit anti-mGluR1α antibodies (1:1000 dilution; Cat. No. G9665; Lot No. 032K 1309; Sigma-Aldrich). The anti-glutamate receptor 1α, metabotropic antibody is produced in rabbit, synonyms: MDL number MFD01633637, MDL number: MFCD01633637. The immunogen is a synthetic peptide corresponding to the sequence of amino acid 1116–1130 of rat metabotropic glutamate receptor 1α (mGluR1α), with N- appended lysine, coupled to thyroglobulin using glutaraldehyde. The antibody is purified using peptide-agarose. It recognizes mGluR1α by immunoblotting and immunohistochemistry in tissues and cells from rat, mouse, and cat. The antibody does not react with other splice variants or mGluR5.

The antibody was purchased from Sigma. The mGluR1α is abundant in PCs and is localized at the perijunctional site of both the PF-PC and CF-PC synapses (Baude et al., 1993; Nusser et al., 1994); this is in agreement with our observations.

6) Rabbit polyclonal anti-α2 glutamate receptor (Glur2; 1:200 dilution; a kind gift from Prof. M. Watanabe, Hokkaido University School of Medicine, Japan) (Araki et al., 1993). Anti-GluR2α antibody was generated in rabbit using GST fusion protein containing the c-terminal of the 62 subunit. The sequence of antigen polypeptides was 837–916 amino acid residues of the 62 subunit of mouse. We have previously shown that the GluR2α antibody recognized a single band at ~116 kDa in lysates both from normal cerebellum and from cerebellar organotypic slices (Gounko et al., 2005). Specific expression of this rabbit polyclonal anti-α2 glutamate receptor in mouse and rat cerebellar Purkinje cells has been demonstrated by RNA blot, in situ hybridization analyses, immunoblotting, immunohistochemical, and electron microscope immunocytochemical analyses (Araki et al., 1993; Miyagi et al., 2002; Gounko et al., 2005).

**Immunocytochemistry procedures**

Cerebellar slices were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M phosphate-buffer (PB) (pH 7.4) for 1 hour at room temperature.

**Triple immunofluorescent labeling of CaBP, VGluT1, and VGluT2.** After washing in PBS 0.1 M, slices were incubated for 1 hour in PBS containing 0.25% Triton X-100, 0.1% gelatin, and 0.1 M lysine. Slices were incubated with primary antibodies, mouse anti-calbindin D-28K (1:5,000), rabbit anti-VGluT1 (1:1000), and guinea pig anti-VGluT2 (1:1,000) overnight in PBS containing 0.25% Triton X-100 and 0.1% gelatin at 4°C. The following day, slices were washed and incubated for 2 hours with, respectively, Alexa-488 antimonouse (1:200, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), Cy3-conjugated donkey antiguinea pig (1:500 dilution; Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA), and Cy5-conjugated donkey antirabbit (1:500 dilution). Finally, slices were rinsed, and coveredslipped in mowiol (Calbiochem, France Biochem, Meudon, France).

**Immunofluorescent labeling of Pax-6.** Slices were incubated with primary antibody, rabbit anti-Pax-6 (1:100) as described above. The following day, slices were washed and incubated for 2 hours with Alexa-488-conjugated donkey antirabbit (1:200 dilution; Molecular Probes). Slices were then rinsed, mounted, and coveredslipped in mowiol.

**Double immunofluorescent labeling of CaBP and mGluR1.** Slices were incubated with primary antibodies, mouse anti-CaBP (1:5,000) and rabbit anti-mGluR1 (1:1000) as above. The following day, slices were washed and incubated for 2 hours with, respectively, Cy3-conjugated donkey antimouse (1:500 dilution; Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories), and Alexa-488-conjugated donkey antirabbit (1:200 dilution; Molecular Probes). Slices were then rinsed, mounted, and coveredslipped in mowiol.

**Double immunofluorescent labeling of CaBP and GluR2.** After 4% PFA fixation, slices were treated at 37°C for 1 minute with pepsin (Dako, Carpinteria, CA) in 0.2 N HCl. Slices were permeabilized and nonspecific binding blocked with 0.25% Triton X-100, 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma). Slices were incubated with primary antibodies, mouse anti-CaBP (1:5,000 dilution) and rabbit anti-GluR2 (1:200 dilution) at 4°C overnight. Primary antibodies were detected with Cy3-conjugated donkey antimouse (1:500 dilution; Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories), and Alexa-488-conjugated donkey antirabbit IgG (1:200 dilution; Molecular Probes). After this, slices were rinsed, mounted, and coveredslipped in mowiol.

Immunofluorescent images were captured with a confocal laser scanning microscope (Leica DMXR with confocal TCS NT unit; Germany) using 20× or 63× immersion lenses. Confocal images were acquired as a series of optical z-sections at 0.5-μm intervals through the whole PC. In double- and triple-labeling experiments, fluorescence was recorded separately. Images of individual optical sections were digitally stored for quantitative analysis.

**Morphological analysis**

To get a representative view of VGluT1 and VGluT2 immunoreactivity on individual PCs, we quantified the immunoreactive elements contacting the PCs. Series of optical z-sections of complete triple-labeled PCs were recorded successively for CaBP, VGluT1, and VGluT2 immunofluorescence. We used an ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD)-macro to determine the area occupied by VGluT1 and VGluT2 terminals associated with the PC area in at least four different slices per group. In total, 311 PCs were analyzed and measurements were performed twice.

In control and Roraαsp/αsp organotypic slice cultures we measured the ratio of PC-associated VGluT1 and VGluT2 areas, and the ratio of the cellular distribution (soma vs. dendrites) of VGluT1- and VGluT2-positive terminals. The cultures were kept either for 7, 14, or 21 DIV. Furthermore, it was possible to estimate, for each genotype, the amount of VGluT1 and VGluT2 immunoreactive elements related to the PC surface. The postsynaptic distribution of mGluR1 and GluR2 has been qualitatively assessed. Figures were prepared using Adobe Photoshop v. 6.0 Software and Adobe Illustrator CS (Adobe System, San Jose, CA) to adjust contrast and brightness.
The mean area occupied by VGluT1 and VGluT2 terminals associated with the PC area were assessed by a two-way (age, genotype) analysis of variance (ANOVA) with, in case of interactions between genotype and age, multiple comparison of different groups by a Scheffé test. The ratios of VGluT1/VGluT2 immunolabeling per PC were assessed by one-way ANOVAs. Data are presented as the mean ± SEM.

RESULTS
Distribution of VGluT1 and VGluT2 terminals in P0-DIV21 slices

Figure 1 illustrates the triple immunohistochemical staining for CaBP, VGluT1, and VGluT2 of cerebellar slices of P0 control and Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> pups kept for 21 DIV. At this stage, control PCs were fully differentiated (Fig. 1A1) while the Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PC morphology still resembled a developmental stage observed at around birth (Fig. 1B1). PF terminals invade CF restricted areas along primary and secondary dendrites (see merged image A4 and B4). C,D: High-magnification view of dendrites is shown with presynaptic VGluT1- (blue) and VGluT2 (magenta)-positive terminals. In control slices, dendritic spines are associated with VGluT1 and 2 PFs (C1-2), whereas in Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> slices VGluT1 and 2 PFs are also closely associated with dendrites lacking spines (D1-2). The distribution of granule cells in a control (E1) and Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> (E2) cerebellar slice is revealed by PAX-6 staining (E). Scale bars = 25 μm in A,B; 5 μm in C,D; 75 μm in E. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

In both genotypes, VGluT1 and VGluT2 terminals were dense around PC, with more VGluT1 than VGluT2 terminals. VGluT1 and VGluT2 immunoreactivity appeared in beaded or varicose fibers and as coarse VGluT1 and VGluT2 immunodeposits (Fig. 1A2–A4,B2–B4). VGluT1 and VGluT2 were not evenly distributed in P0 slices, although this was not related to the distribution of granule cells as revealed by Pax-6 staining (Fig. 1E). Furthermore, the absence of CFs resulted in an invasion of VGluT1- and VGluT2-positive PFs on proximal dendrites and even the soma of PCs (Fig. 1E). In some rare cases VGluT1 and VGluT2 immunoreactivity could be detected around PC axons (Fig. 1A).

There were genotype differences in the distribution of VGluT1 and VGluT2 terminals on PCs. On control PCs, VGluT1- and VGluT2-positive puncta were localized on proximal dendrites and closely associated with spines (Fig. 1C). Some terminal-like structures apposed to PCs showed immunoreactivity for both transporters (Fig. 1C2,D2). On Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PCs, which lack tertiary dendrites and are spineless (Fig. 1B,D), VGluT1 and VGluT2 puncta were apposed to the soma and primary and secondary dendrites (Figs. 1B,D, 2).
VGluT2-to-VGluT1 switch is delayed in the Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> mutant. Organotypic cultures from control (Rora<sup>+/+</sup> and Rora<sup>+/</sup>) (A,C,E,G) or Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> or (B,D,F) P0 mice after 7 DIV (A,B), 14 DIV (C,D), 21 DIV (E,F), and 28 DIV (G). Triple immunofluorescence for CaBP (green) VGluT1 (blue) and VGluT2 (magenta). During postnatal development Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PCs remained fusiform, however, prolonging their simple dendrites (B1,D1,F1); control PCs differentiated into cells with an extensive and complex dendritic tree (A1,C1,E1). In control slices, VGluT1 immunolabeling was increased until 14 DIV with a concomitant decrease in VGluT2 immunolabeling (A4,C4,E4), whereas in Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> slices VGluT1 immunolabeling increased until 21 DIV, and VGluT2 immunolabeling decreased after 14 DIV. Note the intense concentration of VGluT2 immunolabeling around the PC soma and the short protrusions of Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PCs after 14 DIV (D4 and Fig. 4). Some control PCs were contacted by VGluT2 terminals even after 28 DIV (G3,G4). Scale bars = 25 μm. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

Figure 2.
VGluT2-to-VGluT1 switching in PFs is delayed in staggerer ex vivo

Next we examined the spatiotemporal distribution of VGluT1 and VGluT2 terminals in PF varicosities contacting developing PCs (Fig. 2). Ex vivo the distribution of VGluT1 and VGluT2 in PF terminals underwent remarkable changes during the first 3 weeks of postnatal development. In agreement with previous studies in vivo (Miyazaki et al., 2003) we found globally increasing densities of VGluT1-positive terminals throughout postnatal development, with a peak after 14 DIV in controls slices, when the density is 90% higher than in Rorasg/sg slices \( (P < 0.001; \text{Fig. } 3A) \). No differences in densities of VGluT2-positive terminals were found according to age or genotype in slices after 7, 14, or 21 DIV (Fig. 3B).

However, the comparison of the ratio of VGlut1/VGluT2 PF terminals at ages P0–DIV7, P0–DIV14, and P0–DIV21 revealed a different timing of the VGluT2-to-VGluT1 switching in Rorasg/sg and control slices (Figs. 2, 3). After 7 DIV, the percentage of VGluT1 and VGluT2 terminals contacting control PCs were, respectively, 40% and 60%, and contacting Rorasg/sg PCs 34% and 66% (Figs. 2A, B, 3C). One week later, at P0–DIV14, the proportion of VGlut1 terminals contacting control PCs had doubled (78%) and VGluT2 expression had diminished (22%; Figs. 2C, 3D). In contrast, the ratio of VGlut1/ VGluT2 terminals contacting Rorasg/sg PCs remained stable until DIV14 (27/73) (Fig. 2D and 3D). At P0–DIV21 the difference between the genotypes had disappeared (Figs. 2E, F, 3E). At this stage the ratio of VGlut1/VGluT2-labeled terminals contacting control PCs was 86/14 while, the ratio of VGlut1/VGluT2 contacting Rorasg/sg PC was 77/33 (Fig. 3E). Interestingly, even at the later stages studied (P0–DIV28 and P0–DIV42) some PF terminals contacting PCs remain VGluT2-positive (Fig. 2G).

VGluT2 accumulation around Rorasg/sg Purkinje cells

In contrast to control PCs, there was a strong accumulation of VGluT2-positive terminals around Rorasg/sg PC somatic areas and proximal primary dendrites, particularly after 14 DIV (Figs. 4A, B). To address the question of whether, ex vivo, in the absence of excitatory CF inputs, PF terminals contact PC areas normally innervated by CFs in vivo were preferentially VGluT1- or VGluT2-positive, we measured in the same series of control P0–14 DIV slices the ratio of VGlut2/VGluT1 contacting Rorasg/sg PC was 77/33 (Fig. 3E). Interestingly, even at the later stages studied (P0–DIV28 and P0–DIV42) some PF terminals contacting PCs remain VGluT2-positive (Fig. 2G).
the lack of tertiary dendrites made it impossible to perform a similarly reliable analysis in Rorasg/sg slices.

We next correlated VGluT immunoreactivity patterns with staining patterns of the glutamatergic receptors mGluR1 and GluR2, which in vivo are expressed specifically at postsynaptic PF-PC contacts.

**Diminished mGluR1 immunoreactivity in Rorasg/sg PCs ex vivo**

Figure 5 shows P0 DIV14 and P0 DIV control and Rorasg/sg slices labeled with anti-mGluR1 receptors. After 14 DIV, control PCs (Fig. 5A) showed intense mGluR1 labeling in dendritic tree and soma, and weaker labeling in a subpopulation of granule cells and few other neurons, possibly Golgi cells. Stellate and basket cells were not discernable. After 21 DIV, mGluR1 labeling remained intense in the dendritic area of PCs, localized to spines, and was only light in the soma (Fig. 5C). Axonal projections lacked mGluR1 labeling either after 14- or 21-DIV.

In contrast, the staining pattern for mGluR1 receptors was different in Rorasg/sg slices. Immunolabeling for mGluR1 of Rorasg/sg PCs was weak, particularly after 14 DIV (Fig. 5B2,B3) and showed a punctate distribution. Other cells in the slice displayed a moderate immunoreactivity for mGluR1. Note that mGluR1 appears at the margin of the dendritic shafts of Rorasg/sg PCs, although no spines are present (Fig. 5D).

**Somatic GluR62 protein accumulation in Rorasg/sg PCs in long-term cultured slices**

At P0–DIV14, GluR62 immunoreactivity was present both in somatic and dendritic areas of control PCs, whereas no reliable immunoreactivity was detected in Rorasg/sg PCs (Fig. 6A,B). At P0–DIV21, control PCs showed an intense GluR62 immunolabeling along the dendritic branches, especially in the dendritic spines (Fig. 6C). A weak immunolabeling was still noted in the PC soma (Fig. 6C2).

At the same stage, the staining pattern for GluR62 receptors was different in Rorasg/sg PCs (Fig. 6D). To our knowledge this is the first time that the GluR62 protein has been detected in Rorasg/sg PCs. After 21 DIV there was strong GluR62 immunolabeling at Rorasg/sg PC soma, with few GluR62 labels in primary dendrites. In the soma, GluR62 immunolabeling was specifically intense in the apical side of the Rorasg/sg PC soma (Fig. 6D). The staining pattern for GluR62 and mGluR1 receptors clearly differed in intensity and distribution, the mGluR1 labeling being weaker and more uniformly distributed (Figs. 5D2, 6D2).

**DISCUSSION**

By using cerebellar cultures from wildtype and Rorasg/sg P0 mice we show that granule cells recapitulate ex vivo the development...
opamental expression of VGluT1 and VGluT2 in PF terminals described in vivo (Miyazaki et al., 2003) and in rat granule cell cultures in vitro (Hallberg et al., 2006). We also found that ex vivo, as in early studies in vivo (Sotelo and Arsenio-Nunes, 1976), the absence of CFs leads to the extension of PF terminals onto the primary dendrites and soma of control PCs. In \textit{Rora}^{sg/sg} cerebellar slices this appeared more evident, since VGluT1- and VGluT2-positive PF terminals accumulated around the \textit{Rora}^{sg/sg} PC soma and proximal primary dendrites. The different distribution of VGluT1 and VGluT2 terminals on control or \textit{Rora}^{sg/sg} PCs was unlikely due to the massive death of \textit{Rora}^{sg/sg} PCs, as observed in vivo, since in organotypic slice culture \textit{Rora}^{sg/sg} PCs have higher survival rates (Boukhtouche et al., 2006b). Ex vivo, \textit{Rora}^{sg/sg} PCs grow progressively longer dendrites but are unable to progress beyond an early fusiform stage of differentiation (Shirley and Messer, 2004; Boukhtouche et al., 2006b). In vivo, \textit{Rora}^{sg/sg} PCs develops synapses with CFs, but their dendritic tree might be too immature and not provide enough space for sufficient PF innervation. Since in the present study we showed that in cerebellar cultures PFs did contact the long and thin spineless \textit{Rora}^{sg/sg} dendrites, we therefore suggest that it is the poor dendritic development of \textit{Rora}^{sg/sg} PC in vivo that drives PFs to expand their territory to include the \textit{Rora}^{sg/sg} PC soma.

In vivo during postnatal cerebellar development, from P10 onward, VGluT1 gradually replaces VGluT2 in PF terminals in a spatiotemporal pattern, with VGluT1 expression
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Figure 5.
Localization of mGluR1 in P0 control (A,C) and \textit{Rora}^{sg/sg} (B,D) PCs in organotypic cerebellar cultures kept for 14 and 21 DIV. Representative images of double immunofluorescence for CaBP (magenta) and mGluR1 (green) after DIV14 (A,B) and DIV21 (C,D). In control PCs, strong mGluR1 immunolabeling was noticeable in PC dendrites and weaker immunolabeling in the soma after 14 and 21 DIV (A2 and C2). Arrows point to mGluR1 immunolabeling on control PC spines after 21 DIV. In contrast, mGluR1 immunoreactivity was greatly reduced in \textit{Rora}^{sg/sg} PCs and appeared evenly distributed in soma and dendrites at both ages studied (14 and 21DIV). Scale bar = 25 \(\mu\)m. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]
moving from internal (i.e., proximal dendrites) to external (i.e., distal dendrites) parts of the molecular layer and correlating with CF translocation (Miyazaki et al., 2003). However, in culture this laminar distribution of molecular, PC, and granule cell layer is mostly abolished, plus there is no CF innervation. Thus, after 14 DIV the higher proportion of VGluT2-positive PF terminals on control PC soma and proximal primary dendrites might indicate 1) different regulatory mechanisms at CF-PC synapses, compared to PF-PC connection sites, or 2) the simple lack of layered organization.

In the present study we provide evidence that the VGluT2-to-VGluT1 switch is delayed in PF terminals of Rora<sup>app/sg</sup> granule cells, suggesting delayed granule cell

---

**Figure 6.** Perisomatic GluRδ2 accumulation in P0 Rora<sup>app/sg</sup> PCs kept for 21 DIV. Double immunofluorescence for CaBP (magenta) and GluRδ2 (green). After 14 DIV GluRδ2 was expressed in control PCs both in soma and dendrites (A), but no reliable GluRδ2 could be detected in Rora<sup>app/sg</sup> PCs. After 21 DIV, as shown in the merged image (C3,D3), GluRδ2 was mainly localized in spines in control PCs. In contrast, GluRδ2 labeling was scarce in Rora<sup>app/sg</sup> PC dendrites, but was accumulated in the somatic region of the Rora<sup>app/sg</sup> PC (D2,D3). Scale bar = 50 μm. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

---
maturation. In the cerebellum, RORα is highly expressed in PCs, and to a lesser extent in a number of interneurons, but not in granule cells (Hamilton et al., 1996; Steinmayr et al., 1998; Ino, 2004). The failure of a proper PC–PF connection in Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PCs (Sotelo and Changeux 1974; Herrup and Mullen 1979) might slow down the maturation of PFs. A possible mechanism is via altered levels of neurotrophin expression and reduced transcription response to thyroid hormone in Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> and Rora<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Koibuchi et al., 1999; Qiu et al., 2007).

To assess the degree of PF-PC synaptic maturation in Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PCs ex vivo, we also analyzed the expression pattern of two postsynaptic receptors, mGluR1 and GluR2. In vivo, in adult wildtype PCs, mGluR1 is present at both PF and CF synapses, whereas GluR2 is only found at PF synapses (Baude et al., 1993; Nusser et al., 1994; Takayama et al., 1996; Landsend et al., 1997; Lopez-Bendito et al., 2001). We found that ex vivo in Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PCs the immunoreactivity for mGluR1 receptors was weak and evenly distributed along the PC inner membrane. However, this did not match the presynaptic staining pattern of VGluT1 terminals, which were more intense on the PC soma, as shown in Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> cerebellum in vivo (Ryo et al., 1993). Interestingly, PF-PC synapse formation appears normal in mGluR1 mutant mice (Kano et al., 1997), but does lead to persistent CF innervation. The staggerer mutant, on the other hand, displays low levels of mGluR1, persistent CF innervation, but has a dysfunctional PF-PC synapse formation.

We found that in cerebellar slices, after 21 DIV immunoreactivity for GluR2 was more evident in the apical part of the soma of Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PCs than in its dendrites, where labeling was scarce. This contrasts to control PCs were that the GluR2 labeling was restricted to the dendrites, as is seen in vivo by 21 days. However, the accumulation in ex vivo Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PCs of somatic GluR2 appeared to be cytoplasmic rather than membrane-bound. In wildtype PCs, GluR2 is efficiently transported to the PC surface with only a few GluR2 proteins being retained in the cytoplasmic pool (Yuzaki, 2003). The fact that in Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PCs, GluR2 was found more in somatic areas compared to dendrites might be due to a defective intracellular trafficking of GluR2 proteins to dendritic areas and membranes. Previous studies on the double mutant staggerer/lurcher imply that the staggerer mutation blocks mutant receptor protein localization rather than mRNA transcription (Messer and Kang, 2000). We need to learn more about the intracellular trafficking of GluR2 in the immature dendrites of Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PCs. Indeed, adequate surface expression of GluR2<sub>2</sub> is essential to its role in the formation and/or maintenance of PF-PC synapses (Kurhara et al., 1997; Morando et al., 2001; Yuzaki, 2003), as is illustrated by GluR2<sub>2−/−</sub> mutant mice, in which PF-PC synaptogenesis is impaired with up to 40% of PC being devoid of PF synapses (Kurhara et al., 1997).

Taken together, our data have linked defective RORα expression in PCs to a delayed differentiation of granule cells, as revealed by the delayed switch of the glutamate transporters VGluT2 into VGluT1 in the PF terminal, and to an altered distribution of the postsynaptic glutamate receptors mGluR1 and GluR2 in Rora<sup>sg/sg</sup> PCs. This enlightens a novel role of RORα in cerebellar circuitry formation.
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