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Overlap in the feeding morphology of bivalves from species-rich and species-poor intertidal flats using gill:palp ratios for comparative analyses of mollusc assemblages
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ABSTRACT: Using morphological variables to describe how species coexist within morphospace, previous studies have shown that species-rich assemblages can (1) show no morphological overlap between species, and (2) occupy a larger morphospace than species-poor assemblages. To describe morphospace occupation in bivalve species from a species-rich tropical (Roebuck Bay, northwestern Australia) and a species-poor temperate (Wadden Sea, The Netherlands) intertidal flat, we used a functional trait that has a clear ecological interpretation: bivalve feeding morphology. Bivalve feeding morphology can be represented by the dimensionless log-ratio of the size of the pumping apparatus (gills) to the size of the sorting apparatus (palps). This log gill-to-palp mass ratio is tightly related to both feeding mode and environment. At both intertidal flats, the log gill-to-palp mass ratios of all species sampled fell along a continuous gradient from those typical of deposit feeders to those typical of suspension feeders. Surprisingly, the log gill-to-palp mass ratios of the species-rich assemblage at Roebuck Bay displayed greater morphological overlap within a larger total occupied morphospace than the species-poor assemblage at the Wadden Sea. The larger total morphospace at Roebuck Bay appears to reflect greater species richness and broader environmental ranges. Because gills and palps of bivalves are easily measured, we propose that they provide a basis for further morphospace comparisons among communities, e.g. along entire latitudinal, depth or productivity gradients.
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INTRODUCTION

For species to coexist within increasingly diverse (e.g. tropical) assemblages, MacArthur (1972) proposed that the niche packing of species would change along an axis of competition either by a decrease in niche width, an increase in the total niche space or greater niche overlap. However, greater niche overlap between species goes against the prediction of the limiting similarity hypothesis, which assumes that coexistence between species is maintained by niche differentiation (MacArthur & Levins 1967). Niche packing between species-rich and species-poor assemblages has been described by morphospace occupation (Ricklefs & Miles 1994). Morphospace is an n-dimensional hypervolume where the axes are morphological vari-
ables, e.g. the feeding apparatus of fishes (Bellwood et al. 2006). A species can occupy a part of this total morphospace, and the assemblage of species determines the total morphospace occupied (Ricklefs & O’Rourke 1975, Roy & Foote 1997).

Comparisons between assemblages of birds, bats, lizards and fishes suggest that species at taxonomically diverse sites display the same morphological differentiation as less diverse sites, but occupy a larger total morphospace (Ricklefs & Miles 1994). Such morphological differences are often interpreted in line with the limiting similarity hypothesis (Ricklefs & Travis 1980, Ricklefs & Miles 1994). Intriguingly, 2 recent studies of gastropod shell shape and fish feeding morphology document considerable morphological overlap between species (Roy et al. 2001, Bellwood et al. 2006). This overlap was partly attributed to phylogenetic constraints, and, in the case of fish feeding morphology, to flexibility in feeding strategies.

McGill et al. (2006) emphasise that we need clear and interpretable axes to examine morphospace occupation. An example of a clear functional trait is the feeding morphology of bivalves as represented by the dimensionless ratio of the size of the pumping (gills) to sorting (palps) apparatus, log transformed to ensure normality. The log gill-to-palp mass ratio reflects a continuum between the 2 main feeding modes: suspension- and deposit-feeding (Fig. 1). Large gills and small palps, i.e. high ratios, are characteristic of suspension-feeding bivalves, with the gills pumping large amounts of water with relatively scarce phytoplankton food items onto the palps (Jørgensen 1966). In contrast, large palps and small gills, i.e. small ratios, are characteristic of deposit-feeding bivalves, where the gills pump high sediment loads and food particles onto the palps where they are sorted (Yonge 1949). Within this spectrum of suspension- and deposit-feeding morphology, it has also been demonstrated that the mechanisms associated with particle feeding and selection are complex, including species-specific processes that involve selection based on physical and chemical characteristics of food particles (Ward & Shumway 2004).

Underscoring the functional relevance of the log gill-to-palp mass ratio, the feeding environment (e.g. turbidity of the overlying water and sediment characterisation) of several bivalve species is reflected by the relative sizes of the gills and palps (Essink et al. 1989, Barille et al. 2000, Honkoop et al. 2003, Drent et al. 2004). In turbid waters, suspension feeders increase their palp size and, thus, their sorting capacity (Payne et al. 1995a,b). In the deposit-feeding bivalve *Macoma balthica*, palp size also increased in relation to mud content both in the field and under experimental conditions (Drent et al. 2004). Because bivalve gill and palps are easily measured morphological variables, we propose that they provide an ideal set of axes for morphospace comparisons among species assemblages.

In the present study, we describe morphospace occupation in bivalves from a species-rich tropical (Roe-buck Bay, northwestern Australia) and a species-poor temperate (Wadden Sea, The Netherlands) intertidal flat using the log gill-to-palp mass ratio. Morphospace occupation at each intertidal flat is described as (1) the total morphospace occupied, (2) the part of morphospace occupied by each species, and (3) the amount of morphological overlap between species using 2 measures: morphological overlap and nearest-neighbour distance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bivalve collection.** Bivalves were collected from Roe-buck Bay, northwestern Australia (17°S and 122°E) and the western part of the Wadden Sea, The Netherlands (53°N and 5°E) in 2003 and 2004 from a variety of sites within each location. Environmental differences between the 2 tidal flats include temperature, chlorophyll a (chl a) (organic content), tidal range and sediment characterisation.

The mudflat of Roe-buck Bay is subject to relatively constant warm temperatures (average 28.6 ± 2.8°C, range: 15.5 to 37.5°C), and the Wadden Sea mudflat is subject to more variable lower temperatures (average 11.8 ± 6.6°C, range: −0.4 to 28.1°C) (Compton et al. in press). In Roe-buck Bay, chl a values were low and ranged between 0.7 ± 0.4 mg l⁻¹ over a 3 yr period (Rose et al. 1990), whereas chl a values were approximately 10-fold higher (average of approx. 7.26 mg l⁻¹ over...
27 yr) in the Wadden Sea (Cadée & Hegeman 2002). Tidal inundation at Roebuck Bay is large, from 3.5 m at neap to 8.5 m at spring tide, whereas the Wadden Sea exhibits a tidal range from 1.5 m at neap to 2 m at spring tide (Pepping et al. 1999). A larger range of sediment grain sizes occurs at Roebuck Bay relative to the sampled parts of the Wadden Sea (Pepping et al. 1999, Piersma et al. 2001). Reflecting the difference in sedimentary environment between the 2 intertidal flats, the bivalves from the Wadden Sea were collected from a gradient of sandier sediments (86 to 245 µm median grain size) than the Roebuck Bay bivalves. The latter were collected from a range of very muddy to coarse sandy sediments (13 to 380 µm median grain size).

The Roebuck Bay assemblage is more diverse (65 species, Pepping et al. 1999) than the Wadden Sea assemblage (16 species, Wolff 1983). At each location the aim was to collect as many species as possible from a variety of sites at different times of the year. Using knowledge of bivalve distributions (Beukema 1976, Pepping et al. 1999), we tried to ensure that species were sampled from the entire area. A variety of collection methods were used: (1) brooming the mud on the out-going tide; (2) sieving the sediment through a 1 mm sieve; (3) digging in the mud with our hands; and (4) dredging the muddy sediments. We collected approximately half (30 species) of the species known in Roebuck Bay, and more than half (10 species) of the species known in the Wadden Sea. The sampled species represent the majority of bivalve biomass at both locations (Wolff 1983, Pepping et al. 1999).

**Bivalve feeding morphology.** After collection in the field, live bivalves were stored in fresh seawater. Dissection of live bivalves was completed within 24 to 48 h under a binocular microscope. Using surgical forceps, the gills and palps were separated and dried in platinum crucibles for 3 d at 60°C, and then cooled in a desiccator and weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg (Mettler Toledo AE 160 balance). Following weighing, gills and palps were incinerated at 560°C for 5 h. After cooling, the incinerated samples were reweighed. The difference between the dried and ashed mass is the ash-free dry mass (AFDM). We use the log gill-to-palp mass ratio, as calculated from the gill and palp AFDM, to describe bivalve feeding morphology (Drent et al. 2004). The mass of the gills and palps is an accurate and commonly used (Honkoop et al. 2003, Drent et al. 2004) measurement of these 3-dimensional organs. Measuring the mass rather than the surface area is a preferred method of analysis because surface area does not quantify the folds of the gills and palps accurately.

**Morphospace calculations.** At each location we describe the part of morphospace occupied by an individual species as an interquartile range. Interquartile ranges are measures of statistical dispersion, i.e. the range between the third and first quartile represents 50% of the data. We examined if species' interquartile ranges were significantly different between the 2 intertidal flat systems using a 1-way ANOVA. Species at each location were ranked based on their median log gill-to-palp mass ratios, and a Pearson correlation was used to examine if the rank value of the log gill-to-palp mass ratio was correlated with the interquartile range of each species.

To define the total morphospace occupied by bivalves at the 2 locations, 2 different measures were applied: (1) the standard deviation of the species' means of log gill-to-palp mass ratio; (2) the total range occupied between the highest third quartile of the largest species and the smallest first quartile of the smallest species of the log gill-to-palp mass ratio. Because the total range occupied is determined by the difference between the highest third and lowest first quartile, this might lead to an upward bias in the estimate of total morphospace occupied due to the greater number of species sampled in the species-rich Roebuck Bay. We ran a Monte Carlo randomization simulation (1000 times, with replacement) on the Roebuck Bay species to control for such a bias due to sampling more species. For each simulation, 10 species were randomly selected, the same number of species as sampled in the Wadden Sea, and the total range occupied was calculated. To estimate the probability of a larger total morphospace occupied in the Roebuck Bay assemblage, we estimated the proportion of values where the total range occupied in Roebuck Bay was greater than the total range occupied by the Wadden Sea assemblage.

To determine how total morphospace was occupied by species at each location, an average nearest-neighbour distance and an average morphological overlap value was estimated. The nearest-neighbour distance between species was calculated by subtracting the median log gill-to-palp mass ratios of ranked neighbours. Then an average nearest-neighbour distance was calculated for all bivalves at each location. A 1-way ANOVA was used to test whether the nearest-neighbour distances in Roebuck Bay were different from those of the Wadden Sea. Morphological overlap was calculated by summing each species' interquartile range and dividing this value by the total range occupied. We re-ran all calculations after removing the species with a low sample size (n < 10 individuals) in Roebuck Bay to check that these species samples did not affect the overall conclusions (N = 20 species tested).

**Phylogenetic analyses.** A test for serial independence was run (Abouheif 1999, Reeve & Abouheif 2003) to examine whether the bivalve feeding morphology was phylogenetically constrained (Harvey &
Pagel 1991). The phylogenetic topology of the bivalve species was drawn from Giribet & Wheeler (see Fig. 11, p. 296, in Giribet & Wheeler 2002) and family descriptions in Beesley et al. (1998). In Roebuck Bay, although some species have not received formal names, genetic analyses have shown that the species are genetically distinct (P. C. Luttikhuizen et al. unpubl.). 

To determine whether morphological overlap is driven solely by phylogeny, a measure of taxonomic distinctness ($\Delta^+$) was calculated for the Roebuck Bay and Wadden Sea assemblages, and for a randomly subsampled set of Roebuck Bay species (n = 10) multiple times (n = 25) (Clarke & Warwick 1998). These values were then correlated with morphological overlap.

**RESULTS**

Ranking the species by median log gill-to-palp mass ratios (Fig. 2) showed an almost continuous gradient within each assemblage. In addition, the interquartile ranges of species within the Roebuck Bay assemblage were correlated with their rank value, which indicates that deposit-feeding species generally have smaller interquartile ranges, whereas suspension-feeding species generally have larger interquartile ranges ($r = -0.60, N = 30, p < 0.01$). This trend was not apparent in the Wadden Sea assemblage ($r = -0.28, N = 10, p = 0.43$).

The standard deviation of the species' mean log gill-to-palp mass ratios indicated that the Roebuck Bay assemblage occupied a larger total morphospace (Roebuck Bay: SD = 0.46, N = 30) than the Wadden Sea assemblage (SD = 0.37, N = 10, Table 1), even when species with small sample sizes were excluded (SD = 0.45, N = 20). The total range occupied, between the highest third quartile of the largest species and the smallest first quartile of the smallest species, also showed that the total morphospace in the Roebuck Bay assemblage is larger (Roebuck Bay: 1.60, N = 30 or 1.44, N = 20, vs. Wadden Sea: 1.11, N = 10, log gill-to-palp mass ratios, Fig. 2). Taking the unequal number of sampled species into account with a Monte Carlo randomization, the difference in the total morphospace occupied was significant for the entire dataset (Fig. 3A, $p = 0.04$) and marginally insignificant when species with small sample sizes were excluded (Fig. 3B, $p = 0.07$).

Despite the larger total morphospace occupied in the Roebuck Bay assemblage, the bivalves here had a higher amount of morphological overlap (3.30, N = 30 and 1.77, N = 20; log gill-to-palp mass ratios) than the Wadden Sea bivalves (1.17, N = 10). Furthermore, a decrease in species' interquartile ranges at each location was not evident ($F_{1,34} = 0.98, p = 0.33$ or $F_{1,28} = 0.02, p = 0.88$, Table 1). Instead, there was a trend for species in Roebuck Bay to be more tightly packed within the total morphospace occupied, with much smaller average nearest-neighbour distances in Roebuck Bay (0.05 ± 0.05, N = 30 or 0.07 ± 0.08, N = 20) than in the Wadden Sea (0.11 ± 0.08, N = 10). A 1-way ANOVA showed a significant difference in the nearest-neighbour distances between Roebuck Bay and the Wadden Sea assemblages when all species were compared ($F_{1,36} = 7.47, p = 0.01$), but did not remain significant when species with low sample sizes were excluded ($F_{1,26} = 1.59, p = 0.22$).

The phylogenetic test for serial independence of log gill-to-palp mass ratios yielded a significant result ($p = 0.01$), indicating that the distribution of log gill-to-palp mass ratios in the total morphospace occupied is significantly affected by phylogeny. This can also be seen in the ranking of log gill-to-palp mass ratios (Fig. 2); i.e. the Tellinidae species in Roebuck Bay, and to a certain extent in the Wadden Sea, share similar log gill-to-palp mass ratios. Furthermore, in Roebuck Bay the 2 protobranch species (*Nucula* sp. and *Ledella* sp.) share similar rankings.

There was no correlation between morphological overlap and taxonomic distinctness for the subsampled set (n = 10) of Roebuck Bay species (n = 25, $R = 0.29, p > 0.05$, $2.6 < \Delta^+ > 4.6$ for random sampled species, cf. $\Delta^+ = 4.7$).

---

**Table 1.** Morphospace calculations from medians and quartiles on the basis of log gill-to-palp mass ratios for the species-rich Roebuck Bay and the species-poor Wadden Sea. All calculations in the Wadden Sea were completed with the same number of species (N = 10), whereas Roebuck Bay calculations were completed for all species (N = 30), and for species with higher sample sizes only (n > 10, N = 20).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Roebuck Bay</th>
<th>Wadden Sea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupied morphospace</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total occupied range</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species’ interquartile ranges</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlap between species</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nearest-neighbour distance</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. Ranked log gill-to-palp mass ratios of bivalves in Roebuck Bay and the Wadden Sea display a gradient between mostly sorting (deposit feeding) and mostly pumping (suspension feeding), within the total range of morphospace occupied at each location, as indicated by the vertical dashed lines. Number of individuals (n) collected for each species is shown. *: species with a low sample size (n < 10). Boxplots show the median and the upper and lower quartile of log gill-to-palp mass ratios; whiskers show the range of values outside of the box. O: large outliers; χ: small outliers.
3.91 for the 30 sampled Roebuck Bay species. In all cases, the taxonomic distinctness of the Roebuck Bay assemblage was lower than that of the Wadden Sea assemblage ($\Delta^+ = 4.91$). The morphological overlap values for these randomizations were similar even though the taxonomic distinctness was variable. This suggests that morphological overlap is not driven by taxonomic distinctness alone.

### DISCUSSION

At both locations, the log gill-to-palp mass ratios of bivalves fall along a gradient from small values typical of deposit-feeding species to large values typical of suspension-feeding species (Beesley et al. 1998). This indicates the use of a wide spectrum of feeding modes at each location. However, within the Roebuck Bay assemblage, the log gill-to-palp mass ratios displayed a striking amount of morphological overlap within the larger total morphospace occupied. Part of the high morphological overlap is correlated with phylogeny, e.g. members of the family Tellinidae show considerable overlap in log gill-to-palp mass ratios typical of deposit feeders. Phylogeny plays an important role in morphospace occupation, as genetic relatedness is an inherent property of the natural systems that we investigate; however, it is not solely responsible for the morphological overlap, as the taxonomic distinctness values indicated no correlation with morphological overlap. An overlap in log gill-to-palp mass ratios suggests that families such as the Tellinidae may have diversified along other niche axes, for example, a sedimentary axis (van der Meer 1991), or an anti-predation axis including shell strength (Vermeij 1978) and burying depth (Stanley 1970). Morphological overlap may also be indicative of flexibility in feeding mode and diet in changing environments (Bellwood et al. 2006). Currently, we know that such phenotypic flexibility (Piersma & Drent 2003) exists in some of the Wadden Sea species (Essink et al. 1989, Drent et al. 2004), but we do not know whether it occurs in species from Roebuck Bay. Bellwood et al. (2006) suggest that flexibility in function, expressed as morphological overlap, may be instrumental in maintaining biodiversity.

The total occupied morphospace as determined by 2 different measures (the standard deviation of the species’ means of log gill-to-palp mass ratios and total range occupied) showed that the total morphospace occupied in the tropical assemblage was larger than in the temperate assemblage. However, ecological factors are also expected to play a role; thus, we hypothesize that the larger sediment and tidal ranges

Fig. 3. Frequency distributions of the total occupied morphospace expected if only 10 bivalve species are randomly sub-sampled 1000 times from the (A) total Roebuck Bay dataset (N = 30) or the (B) reduced Roebuck Bay dataset (N = 20) where species with a small sample size (n < 10) are removed. The total occupied morphospace expected in Roebuck Bay is (A) significantly larger than the Wadden Sea when species are sub-sampled (N = 30, p = 0.04), but (B) marginally insignificant when small sample size species are excluded (N = 20, p = 0.07, Fig. 3b). Dashed vertical line indicates the observed total occupied morphospace of the Wadden Sea (WS) assemblage.
at Roebuck Bay (Pepping et al. 1999), compared to the studied areas within the Wadden Sea (Piersma et al. 2001), also contribute to the amount of total morphospace occupied.

We acknowledge that this study encompassed 2 locations at extreme ends of a species richness gradient. Comparisons of log gill-to-palp mass ratios at a number of different tidal flats, along various environmental axes (e.g. latitude, depth, primary productivity) are needed to determine whether morphospace occupation at other locations display similar patterns. Strikingly, our findings are in agreement with 2 other recent studies, suggesting that morphological overlap between species may be a more general phenomenon in the tropics (Roy et al. 2001, Bellwood et al. 2006).

Here, we propose that the differences in morphospace occupation observed are a good starting point for future in-depth morphospace explorations at the level of assemblages and communities (see McGill et al. 2006). Furthermore, work focusing on the functionality of the gill-to-palp mass ratio might bring understanding to general patterns such as the latitudinal gradient in mollusc species richness (Roy et al. 2000).
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