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Evidence for Electronic Phase Separation between Orbital Orderings in SmVO₃
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We report evidence for phase coexistence of orbital orderings of different symmetry in SmVO₃ by high resolution x-ray powder diffraction. The phase coexistence is triggered by an antiferromagnetic ordering of the vanadium spins near 130 K, below an initial orbital ordering near 200 K. The phase coexistence is the result of the intermediate ionic size of samarium coupled to exchange striction at the vanadium spin ordering.
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In recent years, it has been shown that transition metal oxides with competing interactions involving spin, charge, and orbital degrees of freedom can exhibit a coexistence of different electronic phases, often associated with a coupling of the electronic degrees of freedom to the lattice. Much interest has been triggered by colossal magnetoresistance materials where a metal-insulator transition involves a discontinuous change in the molar volume [1–4]. Such a first-order transition can lead to phase coexistence of metallic and insulating states, where the length scales of these states are determined by strain and stress. In (La, Sr)MnO₃ and (La, Ca)MnO₃ [5], such phase coexistence has been observed for low concentrations of the divalent cation as droplets, and it results from competition between the “potential” and “kinetic” energy of the valence electron system. In manganite systems, extremely diverse types of phase separation can develop, occurring on a variety of length scales that can range from micrometers down to a few nanometers. Interestingly, phase coexistence not associated with metal-insulator transitions has also been observed; it can also arise from competition between different charge and orbitally ordered or disordered phases [6,7]. The spin, charge, and orbital orderings found in the manganites are often associated with large displacements of the ions in the lattice or even with changes in the molar volume. Generally, the orbital and charge ordering take place at higher temperatures than the magnetic ordering [8]. In both cases, the coupling between the different phases is mediated through coupling with the lattice. In contrast, the coupling of orbital and spin order is mostly of electronic nature by the antisymmetrization requirement of the wave function. In LaMnO₃ [9], the orbital interactions between the e_g electrons are much stronger (T_{OO} ~ 800 K) than the magnetic interactions (T_N ~ 150 K). In contrast, the Jahn-Teller active t_{2g} electrons in the vanadates orbitally order at T_{OO} ~ 200 K or lower. Although the difference between the spin and orbital ordering temperatures in RVO₃ (R = rare earth or Y) is smaller than that in the manganites, relatively little interaction between the spin and orbitally ordered states is observed. The orbital ordering temperature is higher than the magnetic ordering with the exception of LaVO₃. For the small ionic size R in RVO₃, complex behavior has been observed in which different types of orbital ordering occur [10,11]. Here a change in the orbital structure is accompanied by a change in spin order. This indicates a strong coupling between the two types of order as required by the antisymmetric nature of the electron wave function, including spin and orbit. Nevertheless, the orders originate at distinctly different temperatures. Here we report the orbital ordering of SmVO₃, in which coexistence of orbital orderings of different symmetry is triggered by magnetic ordering of the vanadium spins. This coexistence of orbital orderings is not associated with metal-insulator transitions but is the result of magnetic exchange striction, which provides the coupling to the lattice.

Polycrystalline samples of SmVO₃ were prepared by chemical reduction of SmVO₄ at 1400 °C under a H₂/N₂ atmosphere. The SmVO₄ powders were prepared by solid state reaction, using predried Sm₂O₃ (99.9%) and a 10% excess of V₂O₅ (99.95%) to compensate the V volatility which led to a small V₂O₃ impurity in the SmVO₃ sample. High resolution x-ray powder diffraction experiments were carried out on beam line ID31 at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) in Grenoble. Short scans were performed during cooling to 5 K, where a long scan was measured after temperature stabilization. Then short scans up to 254 K were measured, while heating to room temperature (RT). The powder diffraction patterns were analyzed by the Rietveld method [12] using the GSAS program [13] as implemented in the EXPGUI package [14]. The specific heat was measured between 3 and 300 K using a relaxation method in a commercial quantum design physical properties measurement system.

SmVO₃ has an orthorhombic perovskite structure at RT, as is common for all RVO₃ consisting of corner-sharing oxygen octahedra. The vanadium is located in the center of the octahedron, and the samarium is located between the octahedra. We could index the peaks belonging to the SmVO₃ phase with the orthorhombic Pbnm space group.
From the refinement of the diffraction pattern, we observed that SmVO₃ stabilizes in an O-orthorhombic structure at room temperature [15] \((a < c/\sqrt{2} < b)\) because of the buckling of the corner-shared octahedra [16]. SmVO₃ retains its orthorhombic structure down to \(T_{\text{OO}} = 200\) K. Here the symmetry changes to monoclinic \(P2_1/b11\) [17] as evidenced by the splitting and broadening of the diffraction lines. We identify this change in symmetry with the orbital ordering transition. This is confirmed by the specific heat measurement, as shown in Fig. 1, and is consistent with other reports [11]. The value of the monoclinic angle \(\alpha\) increases slowly from \(90.00^\circ\) at \(200\) K to \(90.019(4)^\circ\) at \(129\) K (Fig. 2). As the temperature further decreases, the value of \(\alpha\) increases rapidly to a maximum of \(90.131(1)^\circ\) below \(50\) K (Fig. 1). The onset of orbital ordering is accompanied by a change in the unit-cell volume (Fig. 2). This monoclinic phase persists from the \(T_{\text{OO}}\) down to the magnetic transition at \(T_N\) [Fig. 3(b)], which is observed by both specific heat and magnetization measurements (Fig. 1).

Below \(115\) K, we observe a coexistence of phases with monoclinic and orthorhombic symmetries, which remains down to our lowest measurement temperature of \(5\) K [Fig. 3(c)]. The proportion of orthorhombic phase increases from \(15\%\) at \(115\) K to \(25\%\) at \(5\) K. Similar ratios were observed upon both cooling and warming the sample. We note that the phase coexistence sets in continuously below \(115\) K, where both phases have the same molar volume. The V-O₁, V-O₂, and V-O₃ distances, where O₁ are the out-of-plane and O₂ and O₃ are the in-plane oxygens, are important indicators of the type of orbital ordering of a system. In our data, they are difficult to determine accurately because Sm, a heavy rare earth, dominates the diffraction pattern and also because there is considerable overlap of peaks from the coexisting phases at low temperatures. However, as shown in Table I, the V-O distances confirm that the RT structure is not orbitally ordered and strongly suggest that at \(5\) K both the monoclinic and orthorhombic phases are orbitally ordered. The V-O distances [18] in the monoclinic and orthorhombic phases are comparable within error bars to those in the so-called \(G\)-type and \(C\)-type orbitally ordered phases of YVO₃, respectively [19]. To confirm the nature of these phases over the whole temperature range, since the rapidly collected cooling/heating data do not allow a precise determination of the oxygen fractional coordinates [20], we have chosen the lattice parameter ratio \(b/a\) as an indicator of the type of orbital ordering and compared it to the ratios for other \(RVO_3\) [21]. This comparison provides further evidence that the low-temperature orthorhombic phase of SmVO₃ is also orbitally ordered. For YVO₃ [19], HoVO₃, and YbVO₃, the transition from \(G\)- to \(C\)-type orbital ordering is discontinuous first-order, and a large drop of the \(b/a\) ratio can be observed. Moreover, it occurs at much lower temperature than the magnetic ordering. In contrast, for SmVO₃ the transition is continuous and is observed to set in very close to \(T_N\).

The type of orbital ordering (OO) present in the ground state of the \(RVO_3\) compounds depends mainly on the degree of octahedral tilting caused by the deviation in size of the rare-earth cation from that in the ideal cubic perovskite. Although all \(RVO_3\) compounds initially order in the so-called \(G\)-type structure \((d_{xy}, d_{yz}, d_{zx}\) orbitals are occupied alternately along all three directions of the lattice), on increasing the octahedral tilting as the rare-earth cation becomes smaller, a first-order transition to a \(C\)-type OO ground state \((d_{xy}, d_{yz}\) and \(d_{zx}\) orbitals are occupied alternately in the \(ab\) plane while the same orbitals are occupied along \(c\)) occurs in the range \(50–80\) K for rare earths smaller than Tb [11]. This phase transition involves a large decrease in unit-cell volume. In these strongly distorted structures, the \(C\)-type ground state is largely stabilized by a shift of the \(R\) cation in order to maximize the \(R\)-O covalency [10,22]. SmVO₃ lies far into the \(G\)-type OO region of the phase diagram. As observed in our diffraction measurements, both the appearance of the \(C\)-type phase and the rapid increase in the monoclinic distortion of the \(G\)-type phase below \(115\) K (Fig. 2) are most likely associated with the onset of magnetic ordering.

It has long been known that magnetic exchange striction can occur at or shortly below \(T_N\) in transition metal oxides in order to increase the magnetic exchange interaction energy [23]. This has previously been observed in LaVO₃ [24,25] and CeVO₃ [24]. In the case of CeVO₃, the type of OO remains the same, but the exchange striction occurring at \(T_N\) involves an overall decrease in the unit-cell volume. In the case of LaVO₃, \(G\)-type OO arises below \(2\) K below the magnetic ordering temperature and may itself be the result of exchange striction. However, in the...
small radius” RVO₃ compounds such as YVO₃, the structural change at T_N is tiny [26]. The difference between the orbital and magnetic ordering temperatures in these compounds is much greater than in LaVO₃ and CeVO₃ [11], and the orbital ordering is fully developed at T_N [10]. Therefore, there is little further energy to be gained through a structural distortion.

The exchange striction occurring at T_N in SmVO₃ is expected to be intermediate in magnitude between that in CeVO₃ and YVO₃; the value of α suggests that orbital ordering in the G-type phase is incomplete at this point (Fig. 1). Since the exchange striction appears to cause a volume decrease, one may expect the onset of magnetic ordering to promote the C-type phase; that is, it will be lowered in energy to an extent that depends on the magnitude of the exchange striction. For compounds such as CeVO₃, the G-type phase is much lower in energy at all temperatures due to the smaller degree of octahedral tilting. At the other end of the phase diagram, the exchange striction in the G-type phase at T_N is too weak to have any effect on the crystal structure. However, for materials closer to the phase boundary such as SmVO₃, the exchange striction is still significant and may be strong enough to lower the energy of the C-type phase enough to become favored.

Somewhat surprisingly, in SmVO₃ the unit-cell volumes of the two OO phases are equal (at the precision of our diffraction measurements) immediately below T ОО (Fig. 2). However, this can be explained by a scenario where small droplets of the C-type phase are initially formed in a G-type matrix. Although the C-type droplets can be distinguished by x-ray diffraction, implying coherent OO over length scales of at least several hundred angstroms, they are still relatively small and isolated compared to the surrounding G-type phase. The unit-cell volume of regions of a droplet close to an interface will be forced to match that of the surrounding matrix, and large strain fields will thus extend throughout droplets that are small. On further cooling, the C-type droplets will tend to enlarge and coalesce into domains big enough for relaxation of the strain to take place. This relaxation is seen in the rapid decrease of the unit-cell volume as the fraction of the C-type phase grows. However, the remaining strain might still be large enough to inhibit further transformation of the G-type matrix, stabilizing the phase-separated state with little

| TABLE I. V-O bond lengths (Å) for SmVO₃ in the orbitally ordered phases at 5 K and nonordered phase at 295 K. |
|---|---|---|
| 5 K Pbnm | 5 K P2₁/b11 | 295 K Pbnm |
| V1-O1 | 2.025(5) | 1.996(15) | 1.9942(12) |
| V1-O2 | 1.977(12) | 1.973(16) | 2.0236(35) |
| V1-O2 | 2.025(12) | 2.070(14) | 2.008(34) |
| V2-O1 | 1.934(15) | | |
| V2-O3 | 2.024(13) | | |
| V2-O3 | 2.049(16) | | |

FIG. 3 (color online). Section of the diffraction pattern that shows the successive phases observed for SmVO₃ with (a) T > T ОО: Pbnm (R_p = 0.078), (b) T_N < T < T ОО: P2₁/b11 phase, (c) T < T_N: Pbnm (top markers) and P2₁/b11 (bottom markers) phases (R_p = 0.0517).

FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of the lattice parameter ratio b/a in SmVO₃: The filled triangles represent the orthorhombic phase above T ОО. The inset presents this ratio for other RVO₃ with R = Ho (stars) and Yb (squares).
change in phase fractions below 80 K. The difference in unit-cell volumes of the two phases at 5 K is 0.067%, which can be compared to changes of 0.16% on cooling through the first-order transition in YVO$_3$ [19], 0.15% in HoVO$_3$, and 0.16% in YbVO$_3$ [21], where full transformation to the C-type phase is achieved. The suppressed volume decrease in SmVO$_3$ is further evidence that significant strain remains in the system down to 5 K.

Although specific heat measurements show no transition between orbital orderings below $T_N$ in other “intermediate radius” vanadates such as EuVO$_3$, GdVO$_3$, and TbVO$_3$ [11], the low-temperature phase composition of these materials has thus far not been studied by techniques such as high resolution x-ray diffraction. It remains to be seen whether these materials will also display phase separation between the two types of OO. On one hand, the tendency towards C-type OO increases with smaller rare-earth radius, but, on the other hand, the exchange striction at $T_N$ that appears to promote transformation to the C-type phase is expected to decrease in magnitude. Nevertheless, it is likely that the border between the C- and G-type phases as a function of ionic radius is not a sharply defined line, as suggested by the phase diagram of Miyasaka et al. [11] but rather occurs via a broad phase-separated region. We thus use diffraction data to propose a modified RVO$_3$ phase diagram in Fig. 5. In summary, high resolution x-ray diffraction has demonstrated that vanadium spin ordering in SmVO$_3$ induces magnetic exchange striction that changes the symmetry of the orbital ordering in part of the sample. The two types of orbital ordering then coexist down to low temperature, a situation that is stabilized purely by lattice strains associated with the difference in unit-cell volumes of the two phases.
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