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Functional Proteomics Identifies Acinus L as a Direct Insulin- and Amino Acid-Dependent Mammalian Target of Rapamycin Complex 1 (mTORC1) Substrate*[^1^]

Jennifer Jasmin Schwarz†§¶,**, Heike Wiese§¶,**, Regine Charlotte Tölle‡§¶,**, Mostafa Zarei**‡‡§§¶¶, Jörn Dengjel¶‡**‡‡§§¶¶, and Kathrin Thedieck†**‡‡§§¶¶

The serine/threonine kinase mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) governs growth, metabolism, and aging in response to insulin and amino acids (aa), and is often activated in metabolic disorders and cancer. Much is known about the regulatory signaling network that encompasses mTOR, but surprisingly few direct mTOR substrates have been established to date. To tackle this gap in our knowledge, we took advantage of a combined quantitative phosphoproteomic and interactomic strategy. We analyzed the insulin- and aa-responsive phosphoproteome upon inhibition of the mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) component raptor, and investigated in parallel the interactome of endogenous mTOR. By overlaying these two datasets, we identified acinus L as a potential novel mTORC1 target. We confirmed acinus L as a direct mTORC1 substrate by co-immunoprecipitation and MS-enhanced kinase assays. Our study delineates a triple proteomics strategy of combined phosphoproteomics, interactomics, and MS-enhanced kinase assays for the de novo-identification of mTOR network components, and provides a rich source of potential novel mTOR interactors and targets for future investigation. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 14: 10.1074/mcp.M114.045807, 2042–2055, 2015.

[^1^]: The abbreviations used are: mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; 4E-BP, 4E-binding protein; aa, amino acid; acinus / ACIN1, apoptotic chromatin condensation inducer in the nucleus; AGC kinase, kinase of protein kinase A, G, C families; AHNAK, neuroblast differentiation-associated protein; AHNAK, AMPK, AMP-dependent kinase; ASAP, apoptosis- and splicing-associated protein; AUC, area under the curve, full width at 5% peak height; BCLAF1, bcl-2-associated transcription factor 1; CAD, carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase 2, aspartate transcarbamoylase, dihydroorotase; Deptor, bcl-2-associated transcription factor 1; TiO2, titanium dioxide; TSC, tuberous sclerosis complex; XIC, extracted ion chromatogram.
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remains a major goal in biomedical research. A vast body of literature describes a complex signaling network around mTOR. However, our current comparatively detailed knowledge of mTOR's upstream cues contrasts with a rather limited set of known direct mTOR substrates.

mTOR exists in two structurally and functionally distinct multiprotein complexes, termed mTORC1 and mTORC2. Both complexes contain mTOR kinase as well as the proteins mLST8 (mammalian lethal with SEC thirteen 8) (5–7), and deuto (DEP domain-containing mTOR-interacting protein) (8). mTORC1 contains the specific scaffold protein raptor (regulatory-associated protein of mTOR) (9, 10), whereas mTORC2 contains the specific binding partners rictor (rapamycin-insensitive companion of mTOR) (5–7), mSin1 (TORC2 subunit MAPKAP1) (11–13), and PRR5/L (proline rich protein 5/-like) (14–16). The small macrolide rapamycin acutely inhibits mTORC1, but can also have long-term effects on mTORC2 (17, 18). More recently, ATP-analogs (19) that block both mTOR complexes, such as Torin 1 (20), have been developed. As rapamycin has already been available for several decades, our knowledge of signaling events associated with mTORC1 as well as its metabolic inputs and outputs is much broader as compared with mTORC2. mTORC1 responds to growth factors (insulin), nutrients (amino acids, aa) and energy (ATP). In response, mTORC1 activates anabolic processes (protein, lipid, nucleotide synthesis) and blocks catabolic processes (autophagy) to ultimately allow cellular growth (21). The insulin signal is transduced to mTORC1 via the insulin receptor (IR), and the insulin receptor substrate (IRS), which associates with class I phosphoinositide 3-kinases (PI3Ks). Subsequent phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5 trisphosphate (PIP3) binding leads to relocalization of the AGC kinases phosphoinositide-dependent protein kinase 1 (PDK1) and Akt (also termed protein kinase B, PKB) to the plasma membrane, where PDK1 phosphorylates Akt at T308 (22, 23). In response, Akt phosphorylates and inhibits the heterocomplex formed by the tuberous sclerosis complex proteins 1 and 2 (TSC1-TSC2) (24, 25). TSC1-TSC2 is the inhibitory, GTPase-activating protein for the mTORC1-inducing GTPase Ras homolog enriched in brain (rheb) (26–30), which activates mTORC1 at the lysosome. mTORC1 localization depends on the presence of aa, which in a rag GTPase-dependent manner induce mTORC1 relocalization to lysosomes (31, 32). Low energy levels are sensed by the AMP-dependent kinase (AMPK), which in turn phosphorylates the TSC1-TSC2 complex (33) and raptor (34), thereby inhibiting mTORC1.

mTORC1 phosphorylates its well-described downstream substrate S6-kinase (S6K) at T389, the proline-rich Akt substrate of 40 kDa (PRAS40) at S183, and the translational repressor 4E-binding protein (4E-BP) at T37/46 (35–41). Unphosphorylated 4E-BP binds and inhibits the translation initiation factor 4G (eIF4G), which within the eIF4F complex mediates the scanning process of the ribosome to reach the start codon. Phosphorylation by mTORC1 inhibits 4E-BP’s interaction with eIF4E, thus allowing for assembly of eIF4F, and translation initiation (42, 43). More recently, also the IR-activating growth factor receptor-bound protein 10 (Grb10) (44, 45), the autophagy-initiating Unc-51-like kinase ULK1 (46), and the trifunctional enzymatic complex CAD composed of carbamoyl-phosphate synthetase 2, aspartate transcarbamoylase, and dihydroorotase (47, 48), which is required for nucleotide synthesis, have been described as direct mTORC1 substrates.

mTORC2 activation is mostly described to be mediated by insulin, and this is mediated by a PI3K variant that is distinct from the PI3K upstream of mTORC1 (49, 50). Furthermore, mTORC2 responds to aa (5, 51). In response, mTORC2 phosphorylates the AGC kinases Akt at S473 (52–55), and serum and glucocorticoid kinase SGK (56) and protein kinase C alpha (PKCalpha) (7) within their hydrophobic motifs (57, 58), to control cellular motility (5–7), hepatic glycolysis, and lipogenesis (59). In addition, mTOR autophosphorylation at S2481 has been established as an mTORC2 readout in several cell lines including HeLa cells (49).

Given the multiplicity of effects via which mTOR controls cellular and organismal growth and metabolism, it is surprising that only relatively few direct mTOR substrates have been established to date. Proteomic studies are widely used to identify novel interactors and substrates of protein kinases. Two studies have recently shed light on the interaction of rapamycin and ATP-analog mTOR inhibitors with TSC2 inhibition in mammalian cells (44, 45), and one study has analyzed the effects of raptor and rictor knockouts in non-stimulated cells (48).

In this work, we report a functional proteomics approach to study mTORC1 substrates. We used an inducible raptor knockdown to inhibit mTORC1 in HeLa cells, and analyzed the effect in combination with insulin and aa induction by quantitative phosphoproteomics using stable isotope labeling by amino acids in cell culture (SILAC) (60). In parallel, we purified endogenous mTOR complexes and studied the interactome of mTOR by SILAC-MS. Through comparative data evaluation, we identified acinus L as a potential novel aa/insulin-sensitive mTOR substrate. We further validated acinus L by co-immunoprecipitation and MS-enhanced kinase assays as a new direct mTORC1 substrate.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Culture and Cell Lines—**Phosphoproteome and interactome experiments were performed in stably transduced inducible shRaptor HeLa alpha-Kyoto cells (49). The other experiments were performed in wild type HeLa alpha-Kyoto cells. All cells were cultivated in DMEM (PAE, Pasching, Austria) supplemented with 10% FCS (PAA), and 1.5% L-glutamine (PAA). Insulin/aa induction was performed as described (61). Knockdown of raptor was induced with 2 μg mL⁻¹ of doxycycline (Calbiochem, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) for 2 or 3 days.

**SILAC Labeling—**shRaptor HeLa cells were labeled for at least 11 days in SILAC DMEM (PAA) supplemented with 10% dialyzed FCS (PAA), 1.5% L-glutamine (PAA) and either with “heavy” lysine (146
mg/L; $^{13}$C$_6$ $^{15}$N$_2$) and arginine (84 mg/L; $^{15}$C$_9$ $^{15}$N$_4$), “medium” lysine (146 mg/L; 4.4,5,5-D$_2$) and arginine (84 mg/L; $^{15}$C$_9$), or “light” lysine (146 mg/L) and arginine (84 mg/L). All amino acids were from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, MA. A minimal arginine-to-proline conversion (≤ 3%) and virtually full incorporation of SILAC amino acids into proteins (> 98.9%) were confirmed by LC/MS analysis (data not shown).

**Antibodies**—Antibodies for the analysis of mTOR signaling are described elsewhere (49, 61). Acinus isoform L and its N-terminal construct (acinus NT-myc-FLAG) were detected with AM26695AF-N of Acris antibodies GmbH, Herford, Germany. FLAG was detected in immunoblots with anti-FLAG rabbit antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA). All antibodies were used according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Monoclonal antibodies for mTOR (rat clone 3G6), raptor (rat clone 20D12) and control IgG (rat clone 7H8) for immunopurifications (IPs) and immunoblots were generated in rats by Dr. Elisabeth Kremmer, Helmholtz Zentrum, Munich, Germany as described (62).

**Cloning, Constructs, and Transfection**—pCAGGS and pCAGGS-acinus L were a kind gift from Prof. Tjusoya, Osaka University, Suita, Japan and Prof. Aoto, Ehime University, Toon-city, Japan, respectively, and have been described (63).

pGEX-4T-1 Acinus NT-myc-FLAG, pCMV6-Acinus NT-myc-FLAG—To create an N-terminal version of acinus L (corresponding to aa 1–523), pCAGGS-acusin L was used as a template. Acinus L NT 1–523 was amplified by using the following PCR primers: 5′-AATTGATCCATGTTGAGACCGAA-3′ and 5′-TATTTACGCGTGTCTGACTT-3′. The PCR product was then cloned into pCMV6-ENTRY using BamHI and MluI. To clone acinus L NT 1–523 into pGEX-4T-1, acinus NT 1–523 was cut out with BamHI and NotI from pCMV6-entry and inserted into pGEX-4T-1. The DNA sequence of the first 523 amino acids of acinus L with myc and FLAG-tag at the C terminus can be found in the supplemental text.

**Transfection of pCAGGS, pCAGGS-acinus L, pCMV6-entry, and pCMV6-acinus NT-myc-FLAG into HeLa cells** was performed with 15 μg of each construct per 15 cm dish, using JetPEI reagent (PolyPlus, Strasbourg, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 

Cells were harvested 48h after transfection.

**Purification of GST-Acinus NT-myc-FLAG Protein**—For the purification of acinus L N-terminal, pGEX-4T-1 GST-acinus NT-myc-FLAG was introduced into Rosetta competent cells (Rosetta F-ompT hsdSB (r-b-m) gal dcm pRARE2 (Cmr), Novagen, Merck)). The protein expression was induced by the addition of 1 μM IPTG (PEQLAB, Erlangen, Germany) overnight at 37 °C. The bacteria were harvested and lysed in 1× PBS (PAA) with Complete protease inhibitor (Roche). For each IP approach, lysates corresponding to one-half of a 15 cm dish were processed. Lysates were precleared with 10 μl Dynal Protein G magnetic beads (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Darmstadt, Germany) per ml lysate for 30 min at 4 °C. For each IP, 37 μl Dynal Protein G magnetic beads were incubated with 7.5 μg antibody (anti mTOR clone 3G6, anti-raport clone 7H8, anti-anti-raptor clone 20D12 (all Dr. Elisabeth Kremmer), and anti-FLAG M2 (Sigma-Aldrich)) were used. Magnetic beads were 3× shortly washed and 2× for 10 min with lysis buffer. Eluates were eluted by incubation at 95 °C in 2× (for MS analysis) or 1× (for immunoblot analysis) sample buffer.

**In Vitro Kinase Assay**—For mTOR in vitro kinase assays, co-IPs with anti-raptor antibody clone 20D12 were performed. The mock IP was carried out using anti-rat IgG clone 7H8 (kind gift of Dr. Elisabeth Kremmer). The lysis buffer (40 mM HEPES, 120 mM NaCl, 0.3% CHAPS, pH 7.5) was supplemented with 500 nM benzamidine (Sigma-Aldrich) and 20 μg/ml heparin (Sigma-Aldrich). Following raptor or rap IP, 26 μl of the kinase reaction mix (MnCl$_2$ 4 mM, DTT 10 mM and protease inhibitors without EDTA (Roche), heparin (2 μg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich)) in lysis buffer and 1 μg 4E-BP (Pro Spec, East Brunswick, NJ) or 12 μg of purified acinus L N-terminal construct were added to each eluate. The reaction mix was incubated with 250 μm or 500 μm Torin 1 (Tocris Bioscience, Bristol, UK) for 30 min at 30 °C with slight agitation. For the conditions without Torin 1, DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich) was added. The reaction was started by adding 4 μl 1 mA ATP in lysis buffer and incubated for 1 h at 30 °C with 1× sample buffer.

**Coomassie Staining and In-gel Digestion**—The samples of the kinase assay were loaded onto 4–20% Tris-Glycine gels (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL). Samples from mTOR IPs were loaded onto NuPAGE® Novex® 4–12% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen, Life Technologies). After SDS-PAGE, proteins were stained with SimplyBlue™ SafeStain (Invitrogen, Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s manual or by colloidal Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250. For in-gel digestion, the bands were cut out and destained with 50% buffer A (10 mM ammonium bicarbonate in water) with 50% buffer B (100% ACN). Proteins were reduced with 10 mM DTT in buffer A at 65 °C for 30 min, alkylated with 55 mM iodoacetamide in buffer B at RT for 30 min in the dark, and digested with trypsin (Promega) in buffer A at 37 °C overnight or at 42 °C for 4 h. The peptides were eluted twice in 50%...
Buffer C (0.1% TFA in water) with 50% buffer B for 10 min in an ultrasonic bath. The eluates were dried in vacuo and resuspended in 15 μl buffer C for LC/MS measurement.

**Strong Cation Exchange Chromatography**—Tryptic peptides were dissolved in SCX buffer A (5 m M potassium dihydrogen phosphate, 20% ACN (v/v), pH 2.8) and loaded onto a Polysulfoethyl-A column (inner diameter 4.6 mm, 20 cm, 5 μm, 200 Å, Dionex LC Packings/Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dreieich, Germany) using a Dionex Ultimate 3000 UHPLC system. Peptides were isocratically separated for 10 min with 0% B followed by a three-step gradient of 0–30% SCX buffer B (5 m M potassium dihydrogen phosphate, 20% ACN (v/v), 500 m M KCl, pH 2.8) in 50 min, 30–50% buffer B in 10 min and 50–100% buffer B in 10 min. The column was washed with 100% buffer B for 5 min and re-equilibrated for 20 min with 100% buffer A. Twenty-eight fractions at 2.1 ml were collected throughout the gradient. Twenty microliters of each fraction were directly used for LC/MS analysis, whereas the remaining digest was subjected to titanium dioxide (TiO₂) enrichment.

**Titanium Dioxide Enrichment**—TiO₂ enrichment of phosphopeptides was essentially performed as described (64). Briefly, 10 μl of a 25% slurry of TiO₂ (M2-Analyzerstechnich, Mainz, Germany) in 30 mg/ml 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) were added to each SCX fraction and incubated for at least 30 min at 4 °C. SCX fractions 1–28 were incubated 2 x, whereas fractions 1–10 were incubated 3 x with TiO₂ beads. Following elution with 50 μl 25% ammonium hydroxide in 20% ACN and 50 μl 25% ammonium hydroxide in 40% ACN, phosphopeptide samples were reduced to less than 5 μl and resuspended in 15 μl of 0.1% TFA for LC/MS analysis.

**High-performance Liquid Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry**—Reversed-phase capillary HPLC separations were performed using the Ultimate3000 RSLCnano system (Dionex LC Packings/Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dreieich, Germany). Chromatography was essentially performed as described previously (65) with slight modifications. For phosphoproteomics analysis, the HPLC system was coupled to an LTQ-FT system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). Peptides were separated using an Acclaim® PepMap™ analytical column (ID: 75 μm × 250 mm, 2 μm, 100 Å, Dionex LC Packings/Thermo Fisher Scientific) and a flow rate of 300 nL/min. Measurements were performed as described above with slight modifications as follows. Peptides were washed on a C18 μ-precolumn with 0.1% TFA for 5 min and separated on an Acclaim® PepMap™ analytical column (ID: 75 μm × 500 mm, 2 μm, 100 Å, Dionex LC Packings/Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a flow rate of 250 nL/min. The analytical column was equilibrated in 99% solvent A (4% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 0.1% FA) and 1% solvent B (4% DMSO, 0.1% FA, 30% ACN, 50% MeOH) or 95% A and 5% B. Samples were separated by a gradient of 1% (or 5%) B to 30% solvent B in 85 min (or 75 min), followed by a gradient from 30% to 45% solvent B in 30 min (or 40 min), 45% to 70% solvent B in 35 min and 70% to 95% solvent B in 5 min. Before re-equilibration for 15 min, the column was washed for 5 min with 99% solvent B. Both instruments were operated as described above. MSA was enabled on the LTQ-FT (see above, automatic exclusion 45 s) and on the LTQ-Orbitrap XL using neutral loss masses of 98, 49, and 32.7 Da and a dynamic exclusion window of 45 s.

Bioinformatics—For protein identification, peak lists were generated and searched against the Uniprot Human Proteome database (release 03.04.2013, 87,656 protein entries) and the contaminant file supplied with MaxQuant using Andromeda/MaxQuant 1.3.0.5 (66, 67). The species was restricted to Homo sapiens because only proteins from human cells were analyzed. MaxQuant was operated using default settings with slight modifications. Database searches were performed with trypsin as proteolytic enzyme allowing up to two (IP), three (in vitro kinase assay) or four missed cleavages (phosphoproteome). Oxidation of methionine as well as phosphorylation of serine, threonine and tyrosine residues was commonly set as variable modification and carbamidomethylation was set as fixed modification for in vitro kinase assays and interactome analyses. Raw data were recalibrated using the “first search” option of Andromeda with the full database using a precursor mass tolerance of 20 ppm and a fragment mass tolerance of 0.5 Da. Mass spectra were searched with Andromeda using default settings. The mass tolerance for precursor and fragment ions was 5 ppm and 0.5 Da, respectively. For automated quantification of protein groups, only “razor and unique” peptides and a minimum ratio count of two were considered. In addition, “requantify,” “filter labeled amino acids,” and “match between runs” with a 2
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min time window were enabled. Low-scoring peptides were excluded. A false discovery rate of 1% was applied on both peptide-spectrum-matches (on modified peptides separately) and protein lists. Protein ratios calculated by MaxQuant represent the median of all peptide ratios of a distinct protein or protein group. The reported ratio variability (in %) is the standard deviation of the In-transformed peptide ratios used to determine the protein ratio multiplied by 100 (66). For quantification of MS data from in vitro kinase assays, the label-free protein quantification option in MaxQuant was enabled using default settings. Only peptides with at least one unique peptide (phosphoproteome and interactome data) or at least two unique peptides (in vitro kinase assay) with a minimum length of seven aa are reported in this work. If proteins were not distinguishable based on the set of peptides identified, they were combined by MaxQuant and listed as protein group. Further information about protein and peptide identifications provided by MaxQuant are given in supplemental Tables S1A–S1C; S4A–S4C; S6A–S6G.

All raw data, including annotated (phospho-) peptide spectra, and original MaxQuant result files have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner repository (66) with the dataset identifiers PXD001196 (phosphoproteome), PXD001244 (interactome) and PXD001245 (in vitro kinase assay).

The number of proteins was counted based on the MaxQuant ID in the protein groups table. Unique phosphopeptides were counted based on the MaxQuant peptide ID in the PhosphoSTY sites table. Phosphorylation sites scored with a MaxQuant localization probability of ≥ 0.75 were considered “localized sites.” Sites with a localization probability of < 0.75 were counted as additional putative sites given that the aa sequence in combination with the number of phosphate moieties was not listed with localized sites elsewhere in the dataset. Sites with ambiguous localization (< 0.75) are listed separately (supplemental Table S2B). Normalized ratios provided by MaxQuant were used and only phosphopeptides quantified in at least three out of four replicates were considered for further analysis. For further analysis of interactome data, non-normalized ratios were used and only protein groups identified with at least two peptides and quantified in at least two out of three replicates were considered. Ratios were log-transformed (log_{10}), and the mean log_{10} across all experiments as well as the respective p values (interactome, one-sided t test; phosphoproteome, two-sided t test) were calculated. Phosphopeptides were considered regulated with a regulation factor of at least 1.5 and a p value below 0.05 for the insulin- and aa-dependent phosphoproteome. For raptor-dependent changes of protein phosphorylation levels, a minimum fold change of 1.3 or 1.5 with a p value < 0.05 was applied to define two sets of candidates. Potential interaction partners of mTOR were required to be identified with at least two peptides and quantified with a mean ratio ≥ 5 or higher and a p value < 0.01.

The quantification and normalization of immunoblots were performed as described in (49). The signal of acinus L for “starvation” was set to one and the relative intensity of the other signals was calculated. For statistical analysis, a two-tailed paired t test was performed using GraphPad prism 4 (GraphPad Software, Inc. La Jolla, CA).

Raw files of the in vitro kinase assay were analyzed with an in-house build software for peptide quantification based on extracted ion chromatograms (XIC). The XIC of the S240 or S243 monophosphorylated peptide LSEGSQPAEEEEDQETPSR observed at ion chromatograms (XIC). The XIC of the S240 or S243 monophosphorylated peptide LSEGSQPAEEEEDQETPSR observed at XIC calculation. The values for the AUC FWSM value was set to 100% relative intensity for each replicate (corresponding to raptor IP with GST-acinus NT-myG-FLAG).

GO term enrichment of the interactome data was performed with the Cytoscape 3.1.1 (69) plug-in ClueGO (version 2.1.3) (70). The proteins significantly enriched in the mTOR versus mock IP were analyzed against all identified proteins in the data set (reference data set). The significance level was set to p < 0.05 as determined by hypergeometric test and Benjamini and Hochberg correction.

RESULTS

Analysis of the Amino Acid- and Insulin-Dependent mTORC1 Phosphoproteome—To identify mTORC1-dependent phosphorylation events, stably transduced shRaptor HeLa cells were SILAC-labeled, and raptor knockdown was induced (Fig. 1A). Control cells and cells with raptor knockdown were starved for serum and aa for 16 h. Afterwards, control and shRaptor knockdown cells were stimulated for 30 min with aa and insulin. In addition, another batch of control cells remained starved. The experiment was performed in four independent biological replicates, including a SILAC label switch. Upon cell lysis and determination of protein content, aliquots of each sample were analyzed by immunoblotting (Fig. 1B). Insulin+aa stimulation expectedly induced the mTORC2 readouts Akt-pS473 and mTOR-pS2481, as well as the mTORC1 readout S6K-pT389. Upon shRaptor knockdown, raptor protein levels were strongly reduced, and concomitantly also the phosphorylation of S6K-T389 was inhibited, whereas the mTORC2 readouts remained unaffected. For global phosphoproteomics analysis, the three SILAC-encoded and differently treated samples were pooled in equal protein amounts and, after quality control as shown in Fig. 1B, digested with trypsin and fractionated by SCX (Fig. 1A).

An aliquot of each SCX fraction was directly measured by LC/MS. Phosphopeptides were enriched from SCX fractions by TiO2 chromatography and subsequently analyzed by LC/MS (Fig. 1A). By this approach, 4063 proteins were identified in the four replicates, of which 2708 were quantified by MaxQuant (Fig. 2A, supplemental Table S1A). Of all identified proteins, 1677 were phosphoproteins (756 quantified), corresponding to 3542 unique phosphopeptides (3349 quantified), and 4099 phosphosites (supplemental Table S2A). The majority of all sites (52%) could be localized with very high confidence (probability > 0.999) and further 38% were scored with probabilities of more than 0.75. Only 9% (372) of all identified sites could not be localized within the identified aa sequence (probability < 0.75) (Fig. 2B). Among the 3727 localized phosphorylation sites were 212, as yet, unknown sites (supplemental Table S3).

To identify significantly regulated phosphopeptides, scatter plots were generated by plotting the mean log_{10} SILAC ratios of phosphopeptides quantified in at least three out of four biological replicates of (1) starved versus insulin+aa stimulated cells and (2) insulin+aa stimulated cells with and without...
raptor knockdown against the respective negative log$_{10}$ $p$ values. With this approach, 128 phosphopeptides, corresponding to 101 phosphoproteins, were considered regulated on insulin+aa stimulation with a fold-change ≥ 1.5 and a $p$ value < 0.05 (Fig. 2C, supplemental Table S2A). Applying a minimum fold-change of 1.5 or 1.3, a set of 14 respectively 34 phosphopeptides were found significantly regulated in raptor-deficient cells, 13 of which responded to stimulation with insulin+aa (Fig. 2D, supplemental Table S2A). Based on this analysis, we identified 31 phosphoproteins regulated by raptor knockdown and, thus, in an mTORC1-dependent manner (marked green in supplemental Table S2A).

Analysis of the mTOR Interactome—Next, we reasoned that direct substrates of mTORC1 may not only be phosphorylated in an insulin/aa- and raptor-dependent manner, but also interact with mTOR. We therefore studied the interactome of mTOR with the aim to identify those candidates that overlap with the insulin+aa- and mTORC1-specific phosphoproteome. To this end, we labeled HeLa cells by SILAC and performed mTOR and mock IPs (Fig. 3A). Three independent biological replicates were performed, with a label switch in each. Aliquots of both the IP and lysate samples were analyzed by immunoblotting (Fig. 3B). As expected, the immunoprecipitated protein mTOR and its interacting proteins raptor, PRAS40 (mTORC1) and rictor (mTORC2) were detected in the lysates and the mTOR IP, but not in the mock IP, used as a negative control. To further delineate the mTOR interactome, eluates from mTOR and mock IPs were mixed in equal volumes, proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE, and analyzed by LC/MS combined with MaxQuant (Fig. 3A). The mean log$_{10}$ SILAC ratios of proteins identified in mTOR versus mock IPs and quantified in at least two out of three biological replicates were plotted against the negative log$_{10}$ $p$ values (Fig. 3C). Proteins with a mean regulation ratio > 5 and a $p$ value < 0.01 were considered significantly enriched (Fig. 3C, gray sector). The mTOR interactome established in this work displays known components common to both mTOR complexes (mTOR, MTOR; mLST8, MLST8), as well as components specific to mTORC1 (raptor, RPTOR) or mTORC2 (rictor, RICTOR; mSIN1, MAPKAP1) (Fig. 3C, orange dots), highlighting the specificity and sensitivity of our approach. In total, 248 proteins were found enriched with mTOR (supplemental Table S4A). The overlay of the mTOR interactome with the raptor and/or insulin/aa-dependent phosphoproteome yielded 14 proteins that were present in both datasets (Fig. 3D, supplemental Table S5), of which ACIN1 and EIF4G1 were at the intersection of all three datasets. Interaction analysis using the STRING 9.1 database (71) showed that eight of these proteins have already been described as mTOR interactors (yet not all of them as mTOR-dependent phosphorylation targets, see discussion), whereas for six proteins (SPTBN1, BCLAF1, ACIN1, SLK, AHNAK and PLEC) no interaction with mTOR is known according to information provided by the STRING database (date of September 2014). Thus, the latter proteins represent new potential interaction partners of mTOR. GO-term enrichment analysis showed that proteins regulating RNA transport, metabolism, and translation were overrepresented in the mTOR interactome (Fig. 3E). One of them, the apoptotic chromatin condensation inducer in the nucleus (ACIN1), in the following referred to as acinus L, which had so
far not been functionally linked to mTOR, was identified with high confidence as (1) insulin/aa- and raptor-dependent phosphorylation target, and (2) mTOR-interactor (Fig. 3D, marked in orange). In our further work, we thus focused on the analysis of acinus L.

**Acinus L is a Novel mTORC1 Substrate and Interactor**—Our central hypothesis was that proteins whose phosphorylation depends on the presence of insulin/aa and/or mTORC1, and that interact with mTOR have a high probability to be direct mTORC1 substrates. Our quantitative phosphoproteomics analysis revealed acinus L-S240 as raptor-dependent phosphorylation site. Because acinus L protein levels were not affected by raptor knockdown (supplemental Fig. S1A and S1B), acinus L may likely be a direct target of mTORC1. In order to determine whether mTORC1 indeed directly phosphorylates acinus L at S240, we designed a MS-enhanced *in vitro* kinase assay. mTORC1 was immunopurified from HeLa cells using a raptor-specific antibody (Fig. 4A). Acinus L consists of 1341 aa with a theoretical and apparent molecular mass of 151.9 and 220–250 kDa, respectively (63). As we needed the unphosphorylated protein as a substrate for kinase assays, we aimed to purify native acinus L from a bacterial expression system. Because of its large size, bacterial expression and protein purification of recombinant acinus L is challenging. We therefore cloned an N-terminal acinus L truncation construct (acinus L 1–523), comprising aa residues 1–523 with an N-terminal GST tag and a C-terminal myc-FLAG tag (cumulative theoretical mass of 87 kDa), into a bacterial expression vector. Acinus L 1–523 was purified by GST pulldown and used as a substrate in *in vitro* kinase assays with immunopurified mTORC1 (raptor-mTOR). In addition, we performed the following controls: (1) the specific mTOR inhibitor Torin 1 (20) was added to the reaction at two different concentrations, (2) immunopurified raptor-mTOR was incubated without addition of acinus L 1–523, and (3) acinus L 1–523 was incubated with a mock IP (instead of immunopurified raptor-mTOR complexes). The presence of the respective proteins in each reaction was tested by immunoblotting (Fig. 4A). To further confirm the specificity of the approach, the same reactions were carried out with the known mTORC1 substrate 4E-BP1 followed by immunoblotting (Fig. 4B). As expected, 4E-BP1 was phosphorylated at T37/46 in the presence of mTORC1, and phosphorylation was reduced by Torin 1, showing that the reaction was specifically exerted by mTOR kinase. As no signals were observed in the negative controls (i.e. mock IP, or no addition of 4E-BP1), the stimulated cells with and without raptor knockdown plotted against negative log_{10} p values (Student’s t test). Phosphopeptides were considered regulated with a regulation factor of at least 1.5 and a p value below 0.05 for the insulin- and aa-dependent phosphoproteome (gray sector). For raptor-dependent changes of protein phosphorylation levels, a minimum fold change of 1.3 (yellow and gray sector) or 1.5 (gray sector) with a p value < 0.05 was applied to define two sets of candidates.

---

**Fig. 2.** The phosphoproteome after insulin and amino acid stimulation with and without raptor knockdown. **A.** Numbers of identified and quantified (phospho-)proteins and (phospho-)peptides obtained by SILAC-MS analyses of four independent biological replicates. The number of phosphopeptides was calculated based on the number of MaxQuant peptide IDs in the Phospho(STY)sites table. **B.** Localization probabilities calculated for all 4,099 phosphorylation sites reported by MaxQuant. Only sites with a localization probability of ≥ 0.75 were considered localized. **C, D.** Mean log_{10} ratios of phosphopeptides in starved versus restimulated samples and in response to rapamycin.
**Fig. 3. The mTOR interactome.** A, Experimental setup of the mTOR interactome analysis. HeLa cells were differentially labeled with SILAC amino acids and subjected to mock or mTOR immunopurification (IP) experiments. Eluates were mixed in equal ratios and proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE followed by in-gel digestion with trypsin and LC/MS analysis. Data obtained from three biological replicates including a SILAC label switch were analyzed using MaxQuant. B, Immunoblot analysis of samples obtained from mock and mTOR IPs as depicted in A. The mTOR IP yielded intact mTOR complexes as shown by co-immunoprecipitation of the known interaction partners raptor, rictor, and PRAS40. C, Mean log10 ratios of proteins detected in mTOR versus mock IP experiments and quantified in at least two out of three biological replicates were plotted against the negative log10 p value (Student’s t test). Proteins were considered significantly enriched with a mean ratio > 5 and a p value < 0.01 (sector highlighted in gray). Core components of mTOR complex 1 and 2 are marked in orange (mTOR, raptor, rictor, mLST8, and mSIN1). Proteins that were also found regulated in our phosphoproteome analysis are marked in blue. Raptor was found regulated in both analyses (marked in blue + orange) D, Overlap of proteins enriched with mTOR, and with changes in phosphorylation levels following restimulation and raptor knockdown. Proteins marked in light blue are sensitive to amino acid and insulin treatment, whereas proteins depicted in orange are responsive to raptor knockdown. E, Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of proteins enriched with mTOR for the three main GO domains cellular compartment, molecular function, and biological process. The length of the bar represents the log10 Benjamini-Hochberg corrected p value. The numbers represent the percentage of associated genes for each term.
**Fig. 4.** Acinus L is directly phosphorylated by mTOR at S240/S243. A, B, Immunoblots of the mTOR kinase assay. To purify mTORC1 kinase, raptor IPs were performed. GST-tagged acinus NT-myc-FLAG served as substrate, and 4EBP1, known to be phosphorylated by mTOR on T37 and T46, served as a positive control. To test the specificity of the kinase reaction, the mTOR inhibitor Torin 1 was used in two different concentrations as indicated. The mock IP served as an additional negative control. C, Relative intensities of the monophosphorylated peptide LSEGSQPAEEEEDQETPSR of acinus L for all indicated conditions in two independent replicates. The dashed line indicates the cut-off for background phosphorylation as determined by the mock IP with acinus NT-myc-FLAG. D, E, Annotated MS/MS spectra of the monophosphorylated peptide LSEGSQPAEEEEDQETPSR of acinus L with localization of the phosphate moiety to S240 and S243, respectively. The zoom-in shown in D highlights the presence of the y₁₆ ion (m/z 1788.91) specific for pS240, which is absent in E. "b, "y: fragment ions with neutral loss of H₃PO₄ (97.9768 u).
tide LSEGSQPAEEEEDQETPSR of acinus L 1–523 only in its monophosphorylated forms with the phosphate group located either at S240 or S243 (Fig. 4D and 4E, supplemental Fig. S2). Because both phosphoforms (pS240, pS243) showed the identical elution profile under the conditions applied here, relative quantification was performed based on the signal detected for both phosphoforms (supplemental Fig. S3). Our results showed acinus L 1–523 to be specifically phosphorylated in an mTORC1-dependent manner at S240/S243 (Fig. 4C–4E). These findings are in agreement with the raptor-dependent phosphorylation sites of acinus L identified in our phosphoproteome analysis (supplemental Table S2A, id 3676).

Thus, based on our in vivo and in vitro data, we propose that mTORC1 directly phosphorylates acinus L at S240/S243.

Finally, we sought to confirm whether endogenous acinus L interacts with mTORC1. Indeed, acinus L was specifically detected in an mTOR IP but not in a mock IP (Fig. 5A). To further characterize the interaction, we overexpressed FLAG-acinus L and acinus NT-myc-FLAG or transfected the empty control plasmids in mammalian cells (Fig. 5B), performed FLAG IPs, and detected mTOR and raptor. We found that the mTOR-raptor complex copurified with both full length FLAG-acinus L and truncated acinus NT-myc-FLAG (Fig. 5C). Thus, we conclude that the mTOR1-acinus interaction domain and the mTOR1 substrate sites are both located within the N-terminal region of acinus L.

**DISCUSSION**

In this work, we characterized the insulin+aa- and raptor-dependent phosphoproteome and identified the overlap with the mTOR interactome by a combined quantitative phosphoproteomics and interactomics approach. Three previous proteomic studies have analyzed different aspects of mTOR signaling in mammalian cells. First, Hsu et al. (44) analyzed insulin stimulation in combination with rapamycin or Torin 1 (ATP-analog mTOR inhibitor) treatment in HEK293 fibroblasts, as well as Torin 1 treated TSC2 knockout (KO) mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) by quantitative MS using iTRAQ. Second, Robitaille et al. (48) studied raptor and rictor KO MEFs without stimulation by a SILAC-based quantitative proteomics approach. And third, Yu et al. (45) analyzed TSC2 KO MEFs in combination with rapamycin treatment, and insulin-induced MEFs without stimulation by a SILAC-based quantitative proteomics approach. And third, Yu et al. (45) analyzed TSC2 KO MEFs in combination with rapamycin treatment, and insulin-induced MEFs in combination with rapamycin and/or Ku-0063794 (ATP-analog mTOR inhibitor) using SILAC. In our study, we followed a SILAC-based phosphoproteomics approach that takes advantage of the specificity of a direct raptor knockdown combined with mTOR-inhibition by insulin and aa in HeLa cells. Moreover, to obtain a comprehensive picture of mTOR signaling, we delineated the mTOR interactome by SILAC-MS, which allowed us to suggest acinus L as a novel direct mTOR1 substrate. In general, our data correlate well with the three previous mTOR proteome studies, as discussed in more detail in the following. Hsu et al. (44) reported 127 Torin 1-sensitive phosphopeptides in HEK293T, and 231
in MEFs by calculating the median absolute deviation (MAD) to determine outliers for two out of four replicates. If we follow the same statistical approach, we determine 120 to 200 mTORC1-dependent phosphopeptides as outliers depending on the replicates chosen in our data set. Application of stringent criteria as reported in this work resulted in a set of 34 raptor-dependent phosphopeptides.

We identified mTORC1 target sites in both 40S ribosomal protein S6 (RPS6) and raptor. RPS6 is the best described S6K substrate, and is phosphorylated at S235, S236, and S240 (72–74). RPS6 phosphorylation, as determined by phospho-substrate, and is phosphorylated at S235, S236, and S240 by mTOR inhibitors. The mTORC1 core component raptor (9, 10) is phosphorylated at S859 and S863 by mTOR (75, 76). The identification of these bona fide mTORC1-dependent phosphorylation sites, as well as the identification of raptor in our mTOR interactome, underscores the validity of our approach for the study of mTORC1 signaling. In addition, we report the cap-component and eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4GI (eIF4GI) as mTOR interactor, and identified eIF4GI phosphorylation at S1185 to be mTORC1-dependent. Interestingly, eIF4GI-S1185 phosphorylation was down-regulated by insulin-aa, but induced by raptor knockdown, suggesting that this change is mediated by an mTORC1-dependent phosphatase. However, this site may also be phosphorylated by mTOR as Robitaille et al. (48) found the synthetic eIF4GI peptide SFSKEVEER to be phosphorylated by recombinant mTOR in an in vitro kinase assay. Our findings also complement data from the Sonenberg lab that reported over a decade ago that serum-induced modulation of eIF4GI phosphorylation at Ser1108, Ser1148, and Ser1192 is mediated by PI3K and mTOR signaling (77). eIF4GI mediates mTOR-dependent translation, proliferation and autophagy inhibition, and eIF4GI inhibition phenocopies nutrient starvation and mTOR inhibition (78). Thus, eIF4GI is a major downstream effector of mTORC1, which is corroborated by our data.

Apart from translational control, negative feedback regulation of insulin signaling is another major mTORC1 output, involving IRS phosphorylation by S6K downstream of mTORC1 and subsequent destabilization of the IRS protein (79–81). This mechanism in conjunction with Grb10 phosphorylation by mTORC1 (44, 45) contributes to a well described negative feedback loop (2) that renders the IR complex refractory to insulin when mTORC1 is active. IRS exists in two isoforms, and phosphorylation of IRS-2 is far less studied than for IRS-1. Fritsche et al. (82) have shown that insulin-induced phosphorylation at IRS-2-S675 is mTOR-dependent, and Yu et al. (45) reported IRS-2 phosphorylation to be sensitive to mTOR-inhibitors. In this work, we report insulin-induced phosphorylation of IRS-2 at T527 to be raptor-dependent, possibly adding to an mTORC1-dependent negative feedback mechanism.

As a result of our combined phosphoproteome and interactome analysis, we identified acinus L as a potential direct mTORC1 substrate. Acinus L was significantly enriched with mTOR, and phosphorylation of acinus L at S240/S243 was insulin- and raptor-dependent in HeLa cells. This phosphorylation site appeared as Torin 1- and rapamycin-sensitive in one replicate of the phosphoproteome study by Hsu et al. (44). Another phosphopeptide (SQSPPSPPLPEDLEK, acinus L-pS391) of acinus L has been identified by Robitaille et al. (48) as an mTORC2 substrate in mouse embryonic fibroblasts by phosphoproteomics and a peptide array-based in vitro kinase assay. Neither of the two previous studies reported binding studies for acinus L. In this work, the overlay of the mTOR phosphoproteome and interactome in human cells led to the identification of acinus L as a prime candidate for both mTOR binding and mTORC1-dependent phosphorylation. In addition, our study identified further novel insulin-dependent phosphotargets and mTOR interactors (Fig. 3D, supplemental Tables S2, S4, and S5), which may link to mTORC2 substrates and/or insulin dependent mTORC1 and mTORC2 regulation. We chose to focus here on mTORC1-specific phosphotargets and interactors, and we thus proceeded to validate acinus L as a new direct substrate of mTORC1.

Our functional analyses confirmed that acinus L is a direct mTOR interactor, which is specifically phosphorylated at S240/243 by mTORC1. Hence, our initial hypothesis that direct mTOR interactors whose phosphorylation is raptor-dependent are direct mTORC1 targets turned out to be valid. Furthermore, our data provide a rich source for follow-up studies of mTOR interactors and substrates, and the experimental strategy outlined here is well-suited to delineate mTOR substrate networks under different metabolic conditions and in different cell types in future work.

What may be the functional connection between acinus L and mTORC1? Acinus L is a component of the apoptosis- and splicing-associated protein (ASAP) complex, which associates with the exon junction complex (EJC) (83, 84). The EJC plays an important role in mRNA surveillance by binding upstream of exon–exon junctions of newly spliced mRNA to ensure the accuracy and efficiency of eukaryotic gene expression in the first, pioneer round of protein synthesis. Thus, deposition of EJCs within the ORF correlates with enhanced cytoplasmic polysome association of spliced mRNAs and an increased translational yield (85). Of note, activated S6K contributes to this process, as when recruited to newly spliced mRNA by the EJC protein SKAR, S6K1 increases the translation efficiency (84). In addition, when bound to the 3′-UTR of mRNAs (85), the ASAP complex and the EJC function together in nonsense-mediated decay (86) to eliminate mRNAs that would prematurely terminate translation.

Acinus L belongs to the “peripheral” components of the EJC (87). Interestingly, the EJC core component mago nashi homolog 2 (MAGOHB) (88), the peripheral EJC component pinin and the NMD factors UPF1, UPF2, and UPF3B (85) scored also as highly significant in our mTOR-interactome analysis. Further core and peripheral EJC components (i.e.
histone deacetylase complex subunit SAP18, RNA-binding protein with serine-rich domain 1 (RNP51), eukaryotic initiation factor 4A-III (eIF4AIII), RNA-binding protein 8A (Y14), and metastatic lymph node gene 51 protein (MLN51) were also found enriched with mTOR but did not pass our stringent filter criteria for candidate proteins. The high representation of EJC components in our mTOR interactome together with the discovery that acinus L is a direct mTORC1 substrate strongly suggest that, under insulin and aa stimulation, the EJC complex may provide a framework for the regulation of the pioneer round of translation by mTORC1. Acinus L may be a component that transmits a signal from mTORC1 to the EJC, thus contributing to the enhanced translation efficiency of spliced over nonspliced mRNAs (84). In line with this, the oncogenic kinase Akt, which is upstream mTORC1, has been shown to bind acinus L in a PI3K-dependent manner (89). Acinus L itself is strongly overexpressed and phosphorylated in human patients with myeloid hematological malignancies (87), and the ACIN1 gene is hypermethylated in lung carcinoma (90) and found mutated in up to 8% of the analyzed tumors depending on the study and tumor entity (91). Thus, we advocate further investigation of acinus L to explore its potential as molecular target downstream of mTORC1 in cancers.
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