Concordant Symptomatic Intracranial Aneurysm in a Monozygotic Twin: A Case Report and Review of the Literature
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Intracranial aneurysms (IAs) are acquired lesions. Both genetic and environmental factors play a role in the pathogenesis of IAs and they are therefore classified as a complex disease. The two main lines of evidence for a genetic predisposition are: (1) the association of IAs in several genetic disorders, such as Ehlers-Danlos syndrome (EDS) and autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD); and (2) the familial occurrence of IAs (Nahed, 2007; Schievink, 1997). Cigarette smoking is the only environmental factor that has consistently been identified as playing a role in the pathogenesis of IAs in all the populations studied (Schievink, 1997). Arterial hypertension and a moderate-to-high level of alcohol consumption also pose a risk of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage (aSAH) (Schievink, 1997). The diagnosis of aSAH or IA in twins is particularly interesting, since both genetic and environmental factors can be studied. The presence of an aSAH in one monozygotic twin also raises the question of whether the other, asymptomatic twin should also be examined for an IA. Only a limited number of twins with IAs have been reported, so here we report briefly on our MZ twin-pair with IA in both twins and we review all the cases reported in the literature.

Case Report

Patient A

A 49-year-old healthy male (patient A) presented at our emergency department. He had started to suffer from a sudden severe headache accompanied by nausea and neck pain three days earlier. He had experienced no loss of consciousness or other relevant complaints. This patient was a heavy smoker and suffered from arterial hypertension. A CT scan of the brain (3 days after the onset of symptoms) was normal. CSF examination confirmed the clinical suspicion of a subarachnoid hemorrhage and CT angiography revealed a multi-lobular aneurysm of the anterior communicating artery (Figure 1). This aneurysm was treated successfully the next day by endovascular coiling. The patient was discharged 8 days after admission in good medical condition. Angiographical follow-up was unremarkable.
Patient B

The monozygotic twin brother (patient B) of our index case (patient A) had suffered an aSAH 4 years earlier, at the age of 45 years. He too was a heavy smoker and had arterial hypertension. He had presented 2 days after a sudden onset of severe headache with neck pain and nausea. A CT scan of the brain confirmed the clinical suspicion of a SAH. MR angiography showed a multi-lobular anterior communicating artery aneurysm (Figure 2) that was treated by surgical clipping. He was discharged in a good clinical condition. Follow-up was uneventful. There were no other siblings or family members with a history of IA and/or aSAH. Monozygosity of the twins was confirmed by DNA testing, using seven polymorphic markers on different chromosomes.

Literature Review

Methods

We searched our files for any other twins with IA over the past 20 years. In order to identify reports of twins with IA in the literature, we searched the databases of Medline, PubMed, EMBASE and ISI Web of Science, using the terms ‘monozygotic twins’, ‘dizygotic twins’, ‘subarachnoid hemorrhage’ and ‘intracranial aneurysm’, or combinations of these. In addition, reference lists in selected papers were checked for related articles. Articles in foreign languages were also translated and checked.

Results

The literature search led to 18 papers dealing with twins, subarachnoid hemorrhage and intracranial aneurysms: 16 reported on monozygotic twins and one reported two dizygotic (DZ) twin-pairs in which only one of the twins had an aneurysm, but where two or more individuals with IAs were known in the respective families (Leblanc et al., 1995). One paper mentioned 101 cases of SAH in twins but gave no further details (Koskenvuo et al., 1992). One historical report mentioned a twin-pair with probable aneurysmal SAH in both, but gave very few details and was therefore excluded (O’Brien, 1942).

The details of 14 monozygotic twin-pairs, including our present twins, are listed in Table 1. In eight pairs (including our case), both twins had an aSAH (Brisman & Abbassioun, 1971; Fairburn, 1973; Hagen et al., 1997; Nakajima et al., 1998; Ohno et al., 2004; Schon & Marshall, 1984; Wilson & Cast, 1973), in six pairs the other (non-symptomatic) twin was found to have one or more aneurysms after screening (Hager & Steiger, 2004; Parekh et al., 1992; Porter, 2001; Sakovich et al., 1998; Sharma & Brown, 2001; Weil et al., 1988). All except one individual had their aSAH between age 30–50 years (mean age at time of rupture: 40.5 years). The average time between aSAH occurring in both twins was less than 4.5 years (range 2–10 years in 8 pairs). Six twin-pairs (including our own) were concordant (i.e., their IAs were at exactly the same site), four twin-pairs with multiple IAs had some at the same site, while in three twin-pairs the IAs were identical but on opposite sides (mirroring). Multiple aneurysms were present in 36% of these individuals (Table 1). Monozygosity of the twins was concluded in most cases based upon the identical phenotype of the twins. It was confirmed by DNA testing in only four pairs (including our present case). Two monozygotic twin-pairs were reported with...
only one twin having an IA and the other a negative screening result (not shown in table) (Astradsson & Astrup, 2001; Puchner et al., 1994).

**Discussion**

To date, only 16 MZ twin-pairs and two DZ twin-pairs with IAs have been reported. This number is extremely low, and it is very likely due to underreporting. The prevalence of IAs in MZ twins (as a sporadic case in an unaffected family) should be the same as in the general population: at least 2.3% (Rinkel, 2005). A rough calculation for the situation in the Netherlands (with approximately 17 million inhabitants) leads to an estimate of 60 MZ twin-halves with aSAH that should have been treated in the past 20 years in Dutch neurosurgical centers. However, our present case is the only MZ twin-pair reported in our country. There are several possible explanations as to why so few cases have been reported in the international and national medical journals. First, the issue of twinning is not a routine question when a patient is admitted, especially not in the emergency of an acute SAH. Second, if the aSAH is fatal, the patient is not followed-up, and questioning about familiarity and twinning can easily be omitted. Third, geographical factors (both members of a twin living in different countries or different areas) can interfere with the collection of the data required for proper analysis. Fourth, medico–legal issues (difficulties to get access to files, post-mortem destruction of patient data) may be responsible for frustrating research and result in failure to complete the report. Fifth, the issue of screening and the debate about the screening method, and the suitability of available treatment options may result in a decision not to proceed with testing of the other twin. The very low number of reported cases also implies there is a realistic risk of selection bias.
suggest that familial IAs are a separate disorder to sporadic IAs (Hagen et al., 1997; Hager et al., 2004; Lozano & Leblanc, 1987; Norrgard et al., 1987; Ruigrok et al., 2004). Although IAs may be complex diseases, several published pedigrees are compatible with an autosomal dominant mode of inheritance (with reduced penetrance) (Schievink et al., 1994; Verlaan et al., 2006; Wills et al., 2003), and based on this, screening of individuals with two or more affected first-degree relatives is generally advised (Rinkel, 2005). When confronted with one MZ twin with a cerebral aneurysm, it should be realized that MZ twins are genetically one individual. As such, a MZ twin-pair with IA(s) in both twins does not represent familial IAs but (provided their family history for IAs is negative) they are an example of sporadic IA(s). Consequently, one might expect the aneurysm characteristics of MZ twins with sporadic IAs to differ from those of familial IAs, and to resemble those of sporadic IAs. However, when we compared the characteristics of the present series of MZ twins with a series of familial IAs reported by Ronkainen (1995) and a series of sporadic IAs reported by Ellamushi (2001), we found the localization of the aneurysms in MZ twins differs from both familial IAs and sporadic IAs (see Figure 3). In MZ twins, aneurysms arising from the anterior communicating artery and basilar artery (both are fusion arteries) are rare, and the preferred sites for IAs are seen equally in the internal carotid artery (ICA) and middle cerebral artery (MCA) (both are branching arteries). In familial IAs, MCA aneurysms predominate (Ronkainen, 1995), while anterior communicating artery aneurysms are most frequently seen in sporadic IAs (Ellamushi, 2001).

In 10 MZ twin-pairs (10/14 = 71%), IAs were located on the same vascular segment, and in three MZ twin-pairs (3/14 = 21%), IAs were mirroring (see Table 1). Mirroring of developmental anomalies is a phenomenon that occurs in 20 to 25% of MZ twin-pairs (Springer, 1978). The event leading to mirror-image anomalies is thought to be directly related to the twinning process, and is explained by the incomplete redefinition of laterality after the MZ embryos separated (Sperber, 1994). From this perspective, mirroring in MZ twin-pairs also represents concordance. This means that 93% of MZ twin-pairs in the present review were concordant with respect to the site of their IA(s). Although very speculative, these peculiarities might suggest there is a correlation between aneurysm site and MZ twinning. The division of the blastocoel to form MZ twins occurs just before implantation. This is thought to disrupt the developmental clock, putting the two resulting embryos at a disadvantage, rendering them more susceptible to environmental agents, and leading to developmental arrest (Hall, 2003; Myrianthopoulos, 1978). A zygote that is delayed at an early stage of its development (i.e. at the time of the monozygotic split) is more likely to be delayed later on and, consequently, is more likely to be affected by a malformation (Hall, 2003; Myrianthopoulos, 1978). With respect to the classification of cerebrovascular malformations, a subdivision into fusion and branching anomalies is made. During embryogenesis at the level of the circle of Willis, both fusion and branching mechanisms play a role (Hager & Steiger, 2004). The basilar artery and anterior communicating artery result from fusion (dots), ACA and VBA by fusion (stripes).
Clearly, the above speculations and hypotheses need to be tested by comparing the details of large numbers of DZ and MZ twins. This could lead to new insights into the role of developmental, environmental and genetic factors in IA formation, and could eventually provide reasons for screening. The available large twin registries (e.g. the Finnish Twin Cohort (Koskenvuo et al., 1992)) should be studied for this purpose.

The fatality rate for aneurysm rupture in the second twin, if no screening was conducted after aSAH in the index twin, proved to be very high (6/9 [67%]). In contrast, if IAs were identified during screening of the second twin (five patients), there were no fatalities reported. Based on these findings, and until more evidence about IAs in relation to MZ-twinning is available, screening (and eventual treatment) of the asymptomatic MZ twin should be strongly considered if the other twin has presented with an aSAH (Porter, 2001; Rinkel, 2005).

Conclusions

The finding of IAs and/or aSAH in MZ twins is an intriguing phenomenon. Very likely, such cases are heavily underreported, because we found only 16 pairs of MZ twins with IAs in the international literature. This small number of cases means it is difficult to compare with familial and sporadic IAs. The characteristics of IAs in the present series of MZ twins seem to be different from those in reported series of familial and sporadic IAs, and suggests MZ twins have a prevalence of IAs in the branching arteries. There seems to be a high concordance in the sites for IAs in MZ twins, which might be related to the process of MZ-twinning (i.e., [dys]embryogenesis and (in)complete redefinition of laterality resulting in mirroring). However, selection bias and the very small number of reported cases might also be responsible for this finding. To clarify this, and to test the hypotheses about the etiology of IAs in MZ twins, there should be studies started on the large twin registries — like the Finnish Twin Cohort (Koskenvuo et al., 1992).

Based on what is now known about the clinical course of IAs in MZ twins, we advise screening of the asymptomatic twin after an aSAH in an index twin. Future research will hopefully provide a well-founded guideline.
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