Dating bog bodies by means of $^{14}$C-AMS
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Abstract

We have made efforts to date a substantial number of bodies from northwest European peat bogs by means of $^{14}$C. In our research, we compared materials such as skin, hair, bone, textile, leather and wood where available. Most of the bodies we investigated were found to date from the Late Iron Age/Roman period (c. 2nd century BC–4th century AD). Our data set shows that bog bodies in general can indeed be successfully dated by means of $^{14}$C analysis. Our results contradict comments in the literature (e.g. C.S. Briggs, Did they fall or were they pushed? Some unresolved questions about bog bodies, in: R.C. Turner, R.G. Scaife (Eds.), Bog Bodies—New Discoveries and New Perspectives, British Museum Press, London, 1995, pp. 168–182) to the effect that ‘peat bogs can age corpses so as to distort completely the usefulness of Radiocarbon’.
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1. Introduction

Many human bodies have been found in the peatlands of north and northwest Europe. Most of the bodies came to light in the 18th, 19th and early 20th centuries. On more than one occasion those early finds frightened the peat cutters who chanced upon them to death. In those days, peat was a major source of energy in northwest Europe. Many bog bodies did not survive their discovery for very long. Quite a few were reburied in local churchyards; others were thrown back into the bog or cut into pieces which were taken to different institutions. Fortunately several bodies found their way into museums in the Netherlands, Germany, Denmark, Ireland and England. Among the most famous bodies are Tollund Man, Grauballe Man, Lindow Man, Windeby Girl and Yde Girl [40].

The best preserved human remains come from raised bogs. A crucial factor in their preservation is the presence of a polysaccharide (Sphagnan) in the Sphagnum moss found in such bogs (for details see [40], 18). In raised bogs, soft tissues, nails, hair, intestines and organs like kidneys and the liver may survive, as well as any clothing made of leather or wool (garments made of plant materials dissolve).

Bones decalcify in raised bogs. They deform and may ultimately dissolve completely. Fig. 1 shows an example of bodies preserved in a raised bog. These bodies, of two males, were found in Bourtanger Moor near Emmen, the Netherlands, in 1904. The flat remains consist mainly of skin and hair; the few bones that have survived are deformed. The age of this find, which is known as the ‘Weerdinge couple’, is 2000 years.

Establishing the age of bog bodies was for a long time a major problem as most of the bodies came to light unaccompanied by objects that could be dated on the basis of typological evidence. In the past, this led to interesting speculations, as in the case of for example Haraldskær Woman, who was in 1835 identified as Queen Gunhild of the Viking Age (we now know that the body actually predates this queen’s reign by 1500 years). Later, attempts were made to date bog bodies indirectly by means of palynological research. In the 1950s the first efforts were made to obtain radiocarbon dates of bog bodies. The first body to be dated in this way was that of Grauballe Man, which was discovered.
in 1952 [33]. It was another 20 years before the next two bodies, of Tollund Man and Elling Woman, were to be radiocarbon dated [34]. Until the discovery of Lindow Man, in 1984, radiocarbon analysis was not considered an ideal means for dating bog bodies. This is understandable, because a substantial amount of bone or skin was needed for conventional radiocarbon dating, and museum curators were of course reluctant to sacrifice the necessary samples. Moreover, bog bodies were not a ‘hot issue’ in those days.

With the discovery of Lindow Man in the 1980s and the development of AMS dating, which requires samples of only 1 mg, things started to change. The published dates of Lindow Man however initiated an intense debate. The peat surrounding the body yielded $^{14}$C dates of 750–200 BC, whereas samples of the body itself were in Oxford found to date from the 1st century AD and in Harwell from the 5th–first half of the 6th century AD [10,11,27,31]. There is still no satisfying explanation for the difference in the dates obtained by the two laboratories [20]. The Oxford dates seem to be the more likely of the two. This controversy led some archaeologists to question the reliability of radiocarbon dates obtained for bog bodies [4]. It is true that bog bodies are not the most ideal subjects for $^{14}$C dating, as will be discussed below. The results of our research nevertheless show that reliable $^{14}$C dates can indeed be obtained for bog bodies.

Radiocarbon dating of bog bodies cannot be considered a straightforward, standard procedure because of the nature of the material involved. The highly humified water of the peat bog which preserved the tissue also subjected the biological remains to a tanning process. A complicating factor is that carbon atoms from the water can cause contamination that will interfere with the determination and will usually result in an age that is too
old. The peat context in which a body is found may moreover be several centuries older than the body itself, as was demonstrated in the case of Lindow Man.

Any sample subjected to $^{14}$C dating must be pretreated prior to the determination to remove such carbon-containing components relating to different periods (i.e. contamination with allochthonous carbon). The required pretreatment procedure has been summarised by [23]. It usually comprises a physical pretreatment step designed to remove contaminants (substances not of interest in the subsequent analysis, such as rootlets, which are removed e.g. by sieving) and chemical pretreatment steps, the most common of which is AAA (Acid–Alkali–Acid), in which the datable fraction of the sample is extracted. A sample's $^{14}$C-quality can be inferred from the $\delta^{13}$C value obtained and the measured carbon content [24].

As already mentioned above, the introduction of AMS, involving samples of only a few milligrams, made it feasible to date bog bodies by means of $^{14}$C (see e.g. [18,22]). In this report we present AMS $^{14}$C dates obtained for a substantial group of northwest European bog bodies. Most of these bog bodies have now been dated for the first time. We also redated some bodies that had previously been dated by other laboratories, first by conventional means and more recently by means of AMS, such as the famous bodies of Tollund Man and Elling Woman (see [19] for AMS dates). In our research we also compared different materials (bone, hair, skin, clothing), and studied the effects of chemical pretreatment. We show that by careful sampling and pretreatment, bog bodies can indeed yield reliable $^{14}$C dates.

2. Methods

All the analyses whose results are presented here were performed by the AMS facility of the University of Groningen [36]. After pretreatment, the samples were combusted by an automated Elemental Analyser/Mass Spectrometer (EA/MS) combination [1]. The EA com- busts samples to CO$_2$, which is subsequently purified. The MS yields a $\delta^{13}$C value for the sample. The combusted CO$_2$ is cryogenically trapped and reduced to graphite, which is compressed into a target for the ion source of the AMS. The AMS also yields a $\delta^{13}$C value, though this value is less precise than that obtained with the MS. The AMS value is used for correction in isotopic fractionation and serves as a diagnostic tool in AMS measurement procedures.

Samples must usually be submitted to chemical pretreatment to obtain the required datable fraction, i.e. material (in terms of carbon atoms) representing the proper age of the find—in our case a bog body. Bog bodies were buried in peat for a long period of time prior to their discovery. The main contaminants in the case of samples of bog bodies are humic and fulvic acid from the peat.

We employed the 'standard' AAA pretreatment procedure described by [23] for the bog bodies. In the first acid step of this procedure, carbonates, resins and fulvic acids are removed. Tannic acids and lignin are removed in the subsequent alkali step. The aim of the final acid step is to remove any CO$_2$ adsorbed during the alkali treatment. The extracts are separated from the residue.

In some cases it may be useful to determine the age of the compound removed by means of extraction. This information may indicate whether the original sample contained foreign material of a different age. If so, it is to be hoped that the contaminant has been removed completely. If, on the other hand, the extracted fraction containing any contaminant is found to be of the same age as the residue, the date obtained may be considered to be reliable.

From medieval shoes made of leather recovered from humic soils it is known that any contaminants present will usually be humic and fulvic acid from the soil. In such cases, an extract obtained in alkali treatment will contain no residue [25]. Hot water treatment appears to suffice to extract such soil components.

Peat has a low pH, so the bog bodies may be considered to have already undergone an acid treatment. Some of the samples were therefore not subjected to pretreatment, but were combusted directly by the EA/MS.

3. Results: catalogue of dated bog bodies

We $^{14}$C-analysed skin, hair and bone samples of bog bodies where available; in addition, we also analysed any associated wood and fur/leather and wool from clothing. The data presented below comprise the name/sex of the bog body, the findspot, repository, associated finds, $^{14}$C dates (in years BP and calibrated dates) and other relevant results of isotopic analysis such as $\delta^{13}$C values. The survey includes a grand total of 40 bog bodies from 35 findspots (some finds consist of several bodies).

The $^{14}$C dates are reported in years BP, with correction for isotopic fractionation using the stable isotope ratio $\delta^{13}$C. Note that the analyses yielded two different $\delta^{13}$C values—one obtained with the aid of the AMS and one with the aid of an IRMS (Mass Spectrometer for stable isotopes). The first values were used only for fractionation correction; they are not quoted below as they are not very accurate. The second values were obtained in the actual combustion of the samples. Those values are considered to be reliable $\delta^{13}$C values; they are quoted in the tables below.

The $^{14}$C dates obtained had to be calibrated to obtain calendar dates. This was done using the Groningen
Radiocarbon Calibration Program [35], updated with the latest recommended calibration curve Intcal98 [32]. The calibrated results are given as 1σ probability ranges [5,35], rounded off to the nearest 5.

In the following catalogue the bodies have been grouped per country, in the order of the year of their discovery (indicated between brackets). The information provided under ‘literature’ comprises the body’s number in Alfred Dieck’s catalogue [6] and some of the most recent publications (in which all the older sources can be found).

**Denmark**

1: Elling Woman (1938)

Findspot: Bjaeldskovdal, Viborg Amt

Associated objects: two fur capes, a woollen belt and a leather halter


Literature: [6], No. 506; [7]; [40], 34–35, 76–77, 84, 103, 141, 145–147, 155, 194; [19], 287

Expected date: previous K and AAR 14C measurements

Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. no.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>14C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>δ13C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K-2876</td>
<td>soft tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td>2170</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-2877</td>
<td>fur cape</td>
<td></td>
<td>2120</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR-3415</td>
<td>cape hairs</td>
<td></td>
<td>2195</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−23.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-8012</td>
<td>fur cape</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>3390</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>−24.60</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1770–1605, 1560–1530 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-10154</td>
<td>fur cape</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−22.26</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>AD 1030–1155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-13434</td>
<td>fur cape</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−24.56</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>AD 240–375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14315</td>
<td>fur cape</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2210</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>−24.19</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>355–205 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14321</td>
<td>fur cape</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>AD 75–130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-15637</td>
<td>fur cape</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2350</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−24.37</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>515–365 BC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2: Tollund Man (1950)

Findspot: Bjaeldskovdal, Viborg Amt

Associated objects: a fur cap, a leather belt and rope

Repository: Silkeborg Museum, Silkeborg (inv. No. 201–1950)

Literature: [6], No. 646; [7]; [40], 16–18, 34, 61, 76–77, 84, 97, 103, 108–111, 118, 124, 133, 135–136, 141, 144–147, 155, 195; [19], 287

Expected date: previous K and AAR 14C measurements

Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. no.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>14C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>δ13C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K-2814A</td>
<td>soft tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-2814B</td>
<td>soft tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td>2260</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-2814B</td>
<td>soft tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td>2110</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-2814B</td>
<td>soft tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td>2140</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR-3328</td>
<td>bone</td>
<td></td>
<td>2345</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−21.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-8028</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>−21.09</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>AD 45–205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-10188</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2450</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−23.88</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>760–410 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14179</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2290</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>−23.56</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>395–360, 270–265 BC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Germany, Lower Saxony**

3: Bareler Moor Girl (1784)

Findspot: Bareler Moor, Landkreis Oldenburg

Associated objects: none

Repository: Staatliches Museum für Natur und Mensch, Oldenburg (inv. No. 1687)

Literature: [14]; [40], 39–40, 192

Expected date:

Radiocarbon result:
Lab. no. Sample Pre-treatment $^{14}$C (BP) Error (1σ) $\delta^{13}$C (‰) %C Calibrated date (1σ)
GrA-9153 skin AAA 1710 50 $-21.82$ 49.1 AD 260–395

4: Marx-Etzel (1817)
Findspot: Hilgenmoor, Landkreis Wittmund
Associated objects: posts, a sleeveless woollen tunic, a pair of woollen knee-length breeches, remains of a third woollen garment and a leather shoe
Repository: Niedersächsisches Landesmuseum, Hanover (without inv. No.)
Literature: [6], No. 24; [30], 19; [40], 49, 127, 147, 166, 192
Expected date: Iron Age (based on textile typology)
Radiocarbon results:

Lab. no. Sample Pre-treatment $^{14}$C (BP) Error (1σ) $\delta^{13}$C (‰) %C Calibrated date (1σ)
GrA-8024 wool alkaline 1750 80 $-24.50$ 46.2 AD 140–395
GrA-12418 wool AAA 1970 70 $-23.58$ 51.9 AD 45–125

5: Marx-Stapelstein (1861)
Findspot: Hilgenmoor, Landkreis Wittmund
Associated objects: remains of several woollen garments
Repository: Niedersächsisches Landesmuseum, Hanover (without inv. No.)
Literature: [6], No. 140; [13], 112; [30], 19–20
Expected date: Iron Age (based on textile typology); mid 7th –1st cent. BC (based on palynological evidence)
Radiocarbon results:

Lab. no. Sample Pre-treatment $^{14}$C (BP) Error (1σ) $\delta^{13}$C (‰) %C Calibrated date (1σ)
GrA-9155 wool-1 AAA 170 40 $-24.20$ 43.0 AD 1665–1810, 1920–1950
GrA-10289 wool-1 none 280 40 $-24.29$ 44.4 AD 1520–1580, 1625–1660
GrA-12425 wool-2 AAA 1780 50 $-23.85$ 58.6 AD 135–385
GrA-14782 wool-2 none 1840 50 $-24.21$ 47.3 AD 125–240
GrA-14783 wool-3 none 1910 50 $-25.65$ 44.3 AD 5–205

6: Oberaltendorf Man (1895)
Findspot: Kehdinger Moor, Landkreis Cuxhaven
Associated objects: a woollen cloak, a tunic, a pair of breeches, leg wrappings, shoes and two silver Kapselberlocks
Repository: Schwedenspeicher-Museum, Stade (inv. No. 930)
Literature: [6], No. 297; [39], 139–141; [40], 43, 76–77, 79, 93–94, 127, 146–147
Expected date: 1st–4th cent. AD (based on silver ornaments)
Radiocarbon results:

Lab. no. Sample Pre-treatment $^{14}$C (BP) Error (1σ) $\delta^{13}$C (‰) %C Calibrated date (1σ)
GrA-1351 textile A 1690 50 $-23.89$ 44.4 AD 260–415
GrA-1352 hair A 1750 50 $-21.12$ 39.8 AD 245–385

7: Neu-Versen Man/Roter Franz (1900)
Findspot: Bourtanger Moor, Landkreis Emsland
Associated object(s): woollen thread (and woollen cloak?)
Repository: Niedersächsisches Landesmuseum, Hanover (inv. No. 17351)
Literature: [6], No. 310; [39], 141; [28]; [40], 76–77, 79, 97, 141
Expected date: 1st–2nd cent. AD (based on palynological evidence)
Radiocarbon results:

Lab. no. Sample Pre-treatment $^{14}$C (BP) Error (1σ) $\delta^{13}$C (‰) %C Calibrated date (1σ)
GrA-1532 hair none 1780 50 $-20.00$ 42.6 AD 245–385
GrA-16196 hair AAA 1760 70 $-22.43$ 45.0 AD 135–385

8: Brammer Man (1903)
Findspot: Kreepen, Landkreis Verden
Associated objects: branches, twigs and stones
Repository: body kept in Museum für Vor- und Frühgeschichte in Berlin until 1945; tuft of hair in Moor- und Fehnmuseum, Elisabethfehn
Expected date:
Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. no.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-8014</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−19.85</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>AD 1440–1520, 1585–1625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-12208</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−20.59</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>AD 1325–1345, 1395–1435</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9: Bernuthsfeld Man (1907)
Findspot: Hogeheam Moor, Landkreis Aurich
Associated object(s): a stick, two woollen cloaks, leg wrappings, a sleeved tunic, two leather belts and a leather knife sheath
Repository: Ostfriesisches Landesmuseum, Emden (inv. No. 0952 097 072)
Literature: [6], No. 354; [39], 141–142; [40], 76–77, 79, 93, 95, 100, 127–128, 147, 176, 192
Expected date: Middle Ages (based on textile typology)
Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. no.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-782</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>−20.35</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>AD 680–775</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10: Kayhausen Boy (1922)
Findspot: Kayhauersmore, Landkreis Ammerland
Associated objects: fur cape, textile
Repository: Landesmuseum für Natur und Mensch, Oldenburg (inv. No. 5935)
Literature: [6], No. 433; [29], 65–79; [39], 142; [40], 76–77, 79, 93, 97, 113, 135, 141, 161, 166–167, 192
Expected date: 1st–2nd cent. AD (based on palynological evidence)
Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. no.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-9150</td>
<td>bone</td>
<td>collagen</td>
<td>2920</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−23.54</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>1250–1020 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14314</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2240</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>−25.37</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>380–355, 295–210 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14404</td>
<td>bone</td>
<td>collagen</td>
<td>2360</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−21.79</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>515–385 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14780</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>−27.50</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11: Jührdenerfeld Man (1934)
Findspot: Jührdenerfeld (also known as Bockhornerfeld), Landkreis Friesland
Associated objects: posts, woollen cloak, fur cape
Repository: Landesmuseum für Natur und Mensch, Oldenburg (inv. No. 5936)
Literature: [6], No. 471; [39], 142; [40], 76–77, 79, 97, 99, 192
Expected date: 4th–1st cent. BC (based on palynological evidence)
Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. no.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-1325</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−20.91</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>40 BC–AD 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-9149</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−23.66</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>170–45 BC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12: Husbäke Man (1936)
Findspot: Vehnemoor, Landkreis Oldenburg
Associated objects: none
Repository: Landesmuseum für Natur und Mensch, Oldenburg (inv. No. 5933)
Literature: [6], No. 480; [29], 70; [39]; [40], 76–77, 79, 97, 113, 192
Expected date: 6th–3rd cent. BC (based on palynological evidence)
Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. no.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-1350</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1880</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>AD 75–215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-9148</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2480</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−23.55</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>765–520 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-10153</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2370</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−25.08</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>520–385 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-15631</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1690</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−22.80</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>AD 260–420</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13: Hunteburg Foot (1938)
Findspot: Grossen Moor, Landkreis Diepholz
Associated object(s): (left) shoe, leather, post
Repository: Niedersächsisches Landesmuseum, Hanover (inv. No. 1940:38)
Literature: [6], No. 505; [12], 116–119; [39], 143; [40], 76–77, 79, 192
Expected date: 13th–mid-14th cent. AD (based on shoe typology)
Radiocarbon result:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. no.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>¹⁴C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>δ¹³C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-914</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-20.58</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>AD 1215–1300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14: Sedelsberger Dose Man (1939)
Findspot: Sedelsberger Dose, Landkreis Cloppenburg
Associated objects: none
Repository: Landesmuseum für Natur und Mensch, Oldenburg (inv. No. 5775)
Expected date: 11th–12th cent. AD (based on palynological evidence)
Literature: [6], No. 510; [16], 59–63; [29], 65
Radiocarbon result:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. no.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>¹⁴C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>δ¹³C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-9151</td>
<td>bone</td>
<td>collagen</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-20.34</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>AD 1040–1210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15: Neu-England Man (1941)
Findspot: Lengener Moor, Landkreis Oldenburg
Associated objects: none
Repository: Landesmuseum für Natur und Mensch, Oldenburg (inv. No. 5810)
Expected date: 2nd–4th cent. AD (based on palynological evidence)
Radiocarbon results:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>¹⁴C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>δ¹³C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-1349</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1775</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>AD 135–340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-9196</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-23.09</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>AD 135–255, 300–320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16: Hunteburg Men (I and II) (1949)
Findspot: Grossen Moor, Landkreis Diepholz
Associated objects: two woollen cloaks, small bunch of flowering heather
Repository: Niedersächsisches Landesmuseum, Hanover (inv. No. 185:50)
Literature: [6], Nos. 633 and 634; [39]; [40]
Expected date: Iron Age (based on textile typology); 5th–1st cent. BC (based on palynological evidence)
Radiocarbon results:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>¹⁴C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>δ¹³C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-1535</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-19.91</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>AD 260–275, 325–420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-16197</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1710</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>AD 245–415</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17: Hunteburg III (1949)
Findspot: Grossen Moor, Landkreis Diepholz
Associated objects: piece of animal skin (deer?)
Repository: Niedersächsisches Landesmuseum, Hanover (inv. No. 185:50)
Literature: [39], 145; [40], 76–77, 180
Expected date: (based on palynological evidence)
Radiocarbon result(s):
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>¹⁴C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>δ¹³C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-508</td>
<td>bone</td>
<td>collagen</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-20.56</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>40 BC–AD 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-509</td>
<td>bone</td>
<td>residue</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-23.75</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>35 BC–AD 75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18: Bentstreek Foot (1955)
Findspot: Lengener Moor, Landkreis Wittmund
Associated objects: leather shoe, textile
Repository: *Niedersächsisches Landesmuseum*, Hanover (inv. No. 142:55)
Literature: [6], No. 668; [12], 114; [13]; [40], 91
Expected date: 10th–9th cent. BC (based on palynological evidence)
Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-9156</td>
<td>leather</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1830</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-23.67</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>AD 125–240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-10288</td>
<td>leather</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-25.48</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>AD 600–655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-12416</td>
<td>leather</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-21.18</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>40 BC–AD 125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14311</td>
<td>leather</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1870</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-24.41</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>AD 85–215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14681</td>
<td>leather</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-26.02</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>AD 430–535</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19: Johann Spieker (1978)
Findspot: Goldenstedter Moor, *Landkreis* Vechta
Associated objects: coins, remains of prayer book, buttons, woollen jacket
Literature: [15], 14–16; [17]
Expected date: 1828 AD (based on historical information)
Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-19543</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-25.69</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>AD 1670–1875, 1915–1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-19544</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-20.53</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>AD 1665–1810, 1925–1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-19547</td>
<td>textile</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-24.64</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>AD 1640–1675, 1760–1805, 1935–1945</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Germany, *Schleswig-Holstein*

20: Rendswühren Man (1871)
Findspot: Grossen Moor, *Landkreis* Plön
Associated objects: fur cape and woollen cloak
Literature: [6], No. 170; [8], 20–23; [30], 22; [39], 145; [40], 49–50, 76–77, 81, 121, 193
Expected date: Iron Age (based on textile typology)
Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-1346</td>
<td>textile</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-23.92</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>AD 20–120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-10195</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-24.34</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>AD 425–535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14306</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-24.25</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>AD 360–365, 385–430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14313</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-23.81</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>AD 135–255, 305–315</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21: Damendorf (1884)
Findspot: Ruchmoor, *Landkreis* Rendsburg-Eckernförde
Associated objects: fur cape and remains of two woollen garments
Repository: *Archäologisches Landesmuseum Schloss Gottorf*, Schleswig (inv. No. KS 5949)
Literature: [6], No. 225; [30], 16
Expected date: Iron Age (based on textile typology)
Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-9195</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>alkaline</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-25.58</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>385–355, 315–205 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-10156</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-25.25</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>970–835 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-10692</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2370</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>755–700, 540–385 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14403</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2350</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-25.92</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>480–385 BC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

22: Bunsoh (1890)
Findspot: Bunsoh, *Landkreis* Dithmarschen
Associated object(s): posts, woollen ‘necklace’
Repository: *Archäologisches Landesmuseum Schloss Gottorf*, Schleswig (KS without inv. No.)
### 23: Damendorf Man (1900)

**Findspot:** Seemoor, *Landkreis Rendsburg-Eckernförde*

Associated objects: a woollen cloak, a pair of woollen breeches, two woollen leg wrappings, two leather belts, a pair of leather shoes

Repository: Archäologisches Landesmuseum Schloss Gottorf, Schleswig (inv. No. KS 10924)

Expected date: Iron Age (based on textile typology)

### Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-8026</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-26.66</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>AD 785–840, 875–1020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-10155</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1510</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-23.30</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>AD 535–615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14318</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>AD 560–620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 24: Dätgen (1906)

**Findspot:** Grossen Moor, *Landkreis Rendsburg-Eckernförde*

Associated objects: a woollen cloak, a pair of woollen knee-length breeches and the remains of a woollen tunic and several other garments/accessories

Repository: Archäologisches Landesmuseum Schloss Gottorf, Schleswig (inv. No. KS 11919)

Expected date: Iron Age (based on textile typology)

### Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-7509</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>alkaline</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-24.86</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>AD 345–535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-10192</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1530</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-24.68</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>AD 440–600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-15633</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1630</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>-24.18</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>AD 345–535</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 25: Röst Girl (1926)

**Findspot:** Röster Moor, *Landkreis Dithmarschen*

Associated objects: woollen cloak

Repository: body destroyed during WW II, cloak now in the *Archäologisches Landesmuseum Schloss Gottorf*, Schleswig (inv. No. KS 15609)

Expected date: Iron Age (based on textile typology)

### Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-9194</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>alkaline</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-24.46</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>195–50 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-13431</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-23.66</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>40 BC–AD 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14402</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>-24.16</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>200–95 BC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 26: Damendorf Girl (1934)

**Findspot:** Ruchmoor, *Landkreis Rendsburg-Eckernförde*

Associated objects: short woollen skirt, fur cape, leather bowl, crooks and stones

Repository: Archäologisches Landesmuseum Schloss Gottorf, Schleswig (inv. No. KS 22412)

Expected date: Early Iron Age (based on palynological evidence)

### Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-506</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-26.05</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>895–810 BC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
27: Osterby Man (1948)
Findspot: Köhlmoor, Landkreis Rendsburg-Eckernförde
Associated objects: fur cape
Repository: Archäologisches Landesmuseum Schloss Gottorf, Schleswig (KS without inv. No.)
Literature: [6], No. 632; [8], 28–31; [39], 147; [40], 6, 64, 76–77, 91, 145–146, 159, 193
Expected date: Roman Iron Age (Swabian knot mentioned in Tacitus’ Germania, ch. 38, and depicted on Trajan’s Column)
Radiocarbon result:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-822</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$-24.30$</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>AD 75–130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

28: Windeby Girl (1952)
Findspot: Domlandsmoor, Landkreis Rendsburg-Eckernförde
Associated objects: woollen band, fur cape, pottery sherds, a stone, a branch and several twigs
Repository: Archäologisches Landesmuseum Schloss Gottorf, Schleswig (inv. No. KS 22672)
Literature: [6], No. 658; [8], 32–47; [39], 147; [40], 16–18, 32, 55, 81–82, 93–94, 97–98, 100, 104, 112, 123, 131, 149, 164–165, 168–169
Expected date: 1st–2nd cent. AD (based on palynological evidence)
Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-10687</td>
<td>fur cape AAA</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2150</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>$-25.00$</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>255–65 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-10689</td>
<td>fur cape alkaline</td>
<td>2180</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>$-26.43$</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>365–115 BC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14175</td>
<td>fur cape AAA</td>
<td>bone</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$-24.86$</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>85 BC–AD 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA-15123</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA-15911</td>
<td>cape hair</td>
<td>3122</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIA-15912</td>
<td>cape hair</td>
<td>2168</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29: Dätgen Man (1959/1960)
Findspot: Grosses Moor, Landkreis Rendsburg-Eckernförde
Associated objects: crooks, woollen thread
Repository: Archäologisches Landesmuseum Schloss Gottorf, Schleswig (inv. No. KS C410)
Literature: [6], No. 690–691; [2]; [40], 113–114, 135, 146, 159, 161–162, 165, 168
Expected date: mid-2nd cent. BC (based on three radiocarbon dates obtained for peat samples; KI 17: 2090 ± 60 BP; KI 86: 2040 ± 50 BP; KI 92: 2030 ± 60 BP)
Radiocarbon result:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-8027</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1760</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>$-21.06$</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>AD 135–385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ireland
30: Tumbeagh (1998)
Findspot: Tumbeagh Bog, Offaly County
Associated objects: wood
Repository: National Museum of Ireland, Dublin (inv. No. 98E452)
Literature: [41]
Expected date:
Radiocarbon result(s):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-15627</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$-23.04$</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>AD 1420–1515, 1600–1615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-15628</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>$-25.47$</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>AD 1190–1285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14393</td>
<td>peat</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>$-24.23$</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>AD 1245–1285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14305</td>
<td>peat</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>880</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$-25.85$</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>AD 1070–1080, 1125–1215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-15314</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>$-27.88$</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>AD 1325–1345, 1395–1430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14304</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$-27.58$</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>AD 1485–1525, 1560–1630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Netherlands

31: Yde Girl (1897)

Findspot: Yde, municipality of Tynaarlo

Associated objects: woollen sprang band, woollen cloak

Repository: Drents Museum, Assen (inv. No. N 1897/VI-1)

Literature: [6], No. 298; [37] 80–83, 98; [38]; [40], 31, 37, 60, 76–77, 82–83, 91, 129, 135–139, 150–153, 155–157, 161, 164–165, 191

Expected date: previous OxA date

Radiocarbon result(s):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (%)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OxA-1724</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td></td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>−24.86</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>830–760, 680–670 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-9200</td>
<td>wool (sprang)</td>
<td>alkaline</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−24.81</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>195–55 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-10291</td>
<td>wool (sprang)</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2110</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−24.36</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>45 BC–AD 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14176</td>
<td>wool (sprang)</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>−25.12</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>195–50 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-9199</td>
<td>wool (blanket)</td>
<td>alkaline</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−24.36</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>90 BC–AD 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-10158</td>
<td>wool (blanket)</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−24.36</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>15 BC–AD 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14178</td>
<td>wool (blanket)</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>−24.36</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>20 BC–AD 120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

32: Wijster Four (1901)

Findspot: Wijster, municipality of Midden-Drenthe

Associated objects: branches, a sleeved woollen jacket, a pair of woollen breeches, a leather jacket, a leather strap, 16 coins and a bronze cauldron

Repository: Drents Museum, Assen (inv. No. N 1901/VI-1; H1901-8 (clothing); H1903-28 (cauldron) and M1901-1-13 (coins))

Literature: [6], Nos. 322–325; [37], 61–62; [41]

Expected date: late 16th cent. (based on typology of the clothing); after AD 1585 (youngest coin)

Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (%)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-13423</td>
<td>wool</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−24.01</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>AD 1435–1485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14319</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td></td>
<td>370</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>AD 1455–1625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-13429</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−23.73</td>
<td>49.1</td>
<td>AD 1415–1465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14392</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>−23.59</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>AD 1405–1435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-13428</td>
<td>bone ind.1</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−22.65</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>AD 1425–1470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14397</td>
<td>bone ind.1</td>
<td>collagen</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>−21.66</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>AD 1475–1525, 1570–1625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-13424</td>
<td>bone ind.3</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−25.40</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>AD 835–980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14405</td>
<td>bone ind.3</td>
<td>collagen</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>−20.70</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>AD 1475–1525, 1570–1625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-13426</td>
<td>bone ind.4</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−22.09</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>AD 1445–1625, 1595–1625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14407</td>
<td>bone ind.4</td>
<td>collagen</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>−21.63</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>AD 1435–1475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-13427</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−26.89</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>AD 1475–1630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14323</td>
<td>peat</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1215</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>AD 775–880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-13439</td>
<td>peat</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−27.81</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>AD 1265–1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-15620</td>
<td>peat</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−28.27</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>AD 1280–1320, 1350–1385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrN-23974</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>−26.26</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>AD 1405–1440</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33: Weerdinge Men (1904)

Findspot: Weerdingerveen, municipality of Emmen

Associated objects: none


Literature: [6], Nos. 335–336; [37], 83–86, 98; [40], 51, 76–78, 97, 101–102, 133, 135, 137, 146, 155, 161, 178–180, 191

Expected date: previous OxA date

Radiocarbon results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (%)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OxA-1723</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td></td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>−22.01</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>115 BC–AD 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-12442</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−22.01</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>115 BC–AD 50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Discussion

In this section we will first discuss the $^{14}$C dates obtained for the bog bodies in the order in which they are presented in the above catalogue. In the next section we will then discuss the results in terms of different sample materials.

4.1. The dates of the bog bodies

4.1.1. No. 1. Elling Woman, found in 1938, was previously dated by the $^{14}$C laboratories in Copenhagen (conventional method) and Aarhus (AMS). The results are as follows: soft tissue-2170±55 BP (K-2876); fur cape—2120±55 BP (K-2877); hairs from fur cape—2195±40 BP (AAR-3415).

We performed additional tests on one of the capes of this body, which we analysed both with and without AAA pretreatment. The dates obtained for the samples that were not pretreated (GrA-10154 and 13434) are too young. For some unknown reason, two of the four AAA-treated samples (GrA-8012 and 14321) yielded results that deviate from those previously obtained in Denmark. It could be that these particular fragments were inadequately pretreated or the sampling was incorrectly performed. The GrA-14315 date is in full agreement with the dates obtained in Denmark; the date obtained for GrA-15637 is older but acceptable with the $2\sigma$ criterion. The weighted average of these two GrA dates and the three published Danish dates is 2210±20 BP, which yields a calibrated date of 355–205 cal BC for Elling Woman. Unfortunately, in spite of the precisely averaged $^{14}$C date, a more precise calibrated date cannot be obtained due to a wiggle in the calibration curve.

4.1.2. No. 2. Tollund Man was found in 1950, not far from the spot where Elling Woman had come to light twelve years earlier. Tollund Man had previously been dated by the $^{14}$C laboratories in Copenhagen (conventional method) and Aarhus (AMS). The results were as follows: soft tissue: 2150±75 BP and 2260±75 BP (K-2814A); another soft tissue sample: 2110±75 BP and 2140±55 BP (K-2814B); bone-2345±40 BP (AAR-3328).

We tested soft tissue with and without AAA pretreatment. We obtained two results for the pretreated samples which differ from the previous results, but are acceptable with the $2\sigma$ criterion: 1950±80 BP (GrA-8028) and 2290±30 BP (GrA-14179). The weighted average of the 2 GrA measurements and the five Danish

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrA-13437</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−22.03</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>AD 125–230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-14310</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−24.56</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>40 BC–AD 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-13436</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−24.10</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>AD 5–120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-12449</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2210</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−21.17</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>365–115 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-13438</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−20.32</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>165–50 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrN-15459</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2980</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>−26.47</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>1285–1130 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-19531</td>
<td>textile</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>3110</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−24.74</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>1435–1315 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-19532</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2995</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>−22.52</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>1315–1130 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-19533</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−24.11</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>1370–1135 BC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34: Exloërmond Man (1914)
Findspot: Exloërveen, municipality of Borger-Odoorn
Associated objects: none
Repository: Drents Museum, Assen (inv. No. 1914/V-1)
Literature: [6], No. 391; [37], 86–89, 98; [40], 76–78, 114–115, 191
Expected date: previous OxA date
Radiocarbon result(s):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OxA-1725</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td></td>
<td>2280</td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-12449</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2210</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>−21.17</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>365–115 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-13436</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−20.32</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>165–50 BC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

35: Emmer-Erfscheidenveen Man (1938)
Findspot: Emmer-Erfscheidenveen, municipality of Emmen
Associated objects: branches, fragments of woollen undergarment, shoe, fur cap, fur cape
Repository: Drents Museum, Assen (inv. No. 1962/II-207a)
Literature: [6], No. 502; [37]; [40]
Expected date: 14th–9th cent. BC (based on palynological evidence)
Radiocarbon result(s): previous GrN date

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pre-treatment</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Error (1σ)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>Calibrated date (1σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GrN-15459</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2980</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>−26.47</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>1285–1130 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-19531</td>
<td>textile</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>3110</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>−24.74</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>1435–1315 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-19532</td>
<td>hair</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>2995</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>−22.52</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>1315–1130 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrA-19533</td>
<td>skin</td>
<td>AAA</td>
<td>3020</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>−24.11</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>1370–1135 BC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
measurements is 2240 ± 20 BP, which yields a calibrated date of 375–210 cal BC for Tollund Man. As in the case of Elling Woman, a more precise calibrated date cannot be given because of a wiggle in the calibration curve. Nevertheless, Tollund Man and Elling Woman appear to be contemporary.

We would like to add that a wooden trackway found close to the two Danish bog bodies has also been dated using the conventional method. The results of two measurements are 2490 ± 50 (GrN-22994) and 2520 ± 70 (GrN-23165); they yield an average of 2500 ± 40 BP, which coincides with the “Hallstatt plateau”, a flat part of the calibration curve. The calibrated age range is therefore very large: 780–520 cal BC. We nevertheless conclude that the wood from the trackway is a few (at least two) centuries older than the bog bodies.

4.1.3. No. 3. Bareler Moor Girl, found in 1784, was cut into pieces shortly after the body’s discovery. Various parts of the body were sent to institutes all over Europe. Only part of the skin of the right chest survives. This bog body had never been dated. We dated a sample of the skin after full (AAA) pretreatment. The result is 1710 ± 50 BP (GrA-9153). The calibrated age range is cal AD 260–395, which means that Bareler Moor Girl lived in the Roman period.

4.1.4. No. 4. The Marx-Etzel body, discovered in 1817, was the first bog body to be associated with Tacitus’ Germania. Schlabow [30] dated the clothing to the Eisenzeit, i.e. the pre-Roman/Roman Iron Age. We dated two wool samples from the tunic and breeches. The date obtained for the alkaline extract is younger than that obtained for the fully pretreated sample; we consider the latter date the more reliable. The 14C date of this textile is 1970 ± 70 BP (GrA-12418). The calibrated age range is cal AD 45–125.

4.1.5. No. 5. The Marx-Stapelstein body, found in 1861, was on the basis of its clothing assumed to date from the pre-Roman Iron Age. We dated three pieces of wool. Surprisingly, one piece of wool sent to us by the museum proved to be subrecent (GrA-9155 and 10289). This material probably stems from the time of the body’s discovery. The non-pretreated samples yielded older dates than the AAA-treated samples. Only a non-pretreated result could be obtained for one sample (No. 3). The AAA-treated sample No. 2 yielded a date of 1780 ± 50 BP (GrA-12425). This we consider the 14C date of this bog body. The calibrated age range is cal AD 135–385.

4.1.6. No. 6. Oberaltendorf Man, who came to light in 1895, has always been assumed to date from the Roman Iron Age (on the basis of silver ornaments). We dated a sample of hair from this body to 1750 ± 50 BP (GrA-1352). A second sample, consisting of wool from the clothing, yielded a date of 1690 ± 50 BP (GrA-1351). Because of the delicate nature of the materials, we pretreated the samples only partially (only step A of the pretreatment procedure). The two results are in excellent agreement, and we therefore consider these dates to be reliable. The calibrated date obtained for the average of the two measurements is cal AD 260–380, which agrees with the expected date.

4.1.7. No. 7. Neu Versen Man, better known as Roter Franz, was dated to the Roman Iron Age on the basis of the results of pollen analysis. We dated two samples of hair from this body (GrA-1532 and 16196), one after AAA pretreatment and one without pretreatment; the results agree within the error range. The calibrated age range obtained for the fully pretreated sample, and therefore for the body of Roter Franz, is cal AD 135–385.

4.1.8. No. 8. Brammer Man, found in 1903, was always assumed to be a prehistoric bog body. As this body was destroyed during WWII, we had little hope of ever being able to prove this—until one of us (WvdS) came across a tuft of hair from the body among the finds of the collection of the Moor- und Fehnmuseum in Elisabethfehn. Alfred Dieck had presented the hair to the Museumsleiter. We dated a sample of hair from this tuft. The result is 390 ± 60 BP (GrA-8014), obtained for a fully (AAA) pretreated sample. We also analysed a sample without pretreatment and obtained a slightly older date of 540 ± 50 BP (GrA-12208). From the results of the analysis of the fully pretreated sample we infer that the body dates from cal AD 1440-1520 or 1585–1625.

4.1.9. No. 9. The clothing of Bernuthsfeld Man, found in 1907, was dated to the Middle Ages on the basis of typological criteria by the textile expert of the Landesmuseum in Schleswig. We dated a sample of hair from the body. The result is 1290 ± 45 BP (GrA-782). Because of the delicate nature of the sample we omitted pretreatment. The calibrated date (cal AD 680–775) nevertheless corresponds to the expected date.

4.1.10. No. 10. Kayhausen Boy, found in 1922, was 8–14 years old when he met a violent death. The body was dated to the first half of the Roman Iron Age on the basis of the results of pollen analysis. We dated bone, hair and wool from this bog body. The bone collagen was found to date from 2360 ± 40 BP (GrA-14404), the hair from 2160 ± 50 BP (GrA-1393) and the wool of the clothing from 2240 ± 30 BP (GrA-14314). We obtained one deviating 14C date for a second bone sample (GrA-9150); this particular piece of bone however had a rather low carbon content, so we consider this result to be unreliable. Calibration of the resulting 14C date of c.
2200 BP yields inaccurate results because of the Hallstatt plateau in the calibration curve. The result spans 2–3 centuries. The $^{14}$C dates are older than the dates assumed on the basis of palynological evidence.

Surprisingly, part of the wool sample that was not pretreated yielded a recent date.

4.1.11. No. 11. Jährdenerfeld Man, found in 1934, was assumed to date from 400-0 BC on the basis of palynological evidence. We measured a skin and a hair sample. The result obtained for the skin sample is 2090± 50 BP (GrA-9149). Calibrated, this corresponds to 170–45 cal BC. The date obtained for the hair sample, which was only partially pretreated (A pretreatment only), is slightly older: 1975± 50 BP (GrA-1325). Both dates average to 2030± 35 BP, calibrated: 90–80 cal BC or 55 cal BC–cal AD 25.

4.1.12. No. 12. Husbäke Man, discovered in 1936, was assumed to date from between 600 and 200 BC on the basis of palynological evidence. A skin sample yielded a date of 2480± 50 BP (GrA-9148). Unfortunately, this result coincides with the ‘Hallstatt plateau’ in the $^{14}$C calibration curve; the calibrated time range is therefore large, 765–520 cal BC. The $^{14}$C date nevertheless closely corresponds to the date based on palynological evidence. This sample underwent full pretreatment (AAA). We later analysed another piece of skin without pretreatment and obtained a slightly younger result: 2370± 50 BP (GrA-10153). In this case the hair samples yielded much younger results (GrA-15631, 1350).

The hair sample derives from Dieck’s collection. This source could very well be unreliable.

4.1.13. No. 13. The Hunteburg Foot was found in 1938. The foot is clad in a shoe. The shoe has been dated to the 13th–mid-14th century on typological grounds. We measured a sample of skin and obtained a date of 750± 70 BP (GrA-914). The calibrated age range is cal AD 1215–1300. The sample was very delicate, so we restricted pretreatment to washing with hot water.

4.1.14. No. 14. The skeleton of Sedelsberger Dose Man was found in 1939. The body was dated to the Middle Ages (11th–12th century) on the basis of palynological evidence. We were able to extract collagen from a bone sample. The $^{14}$C date is 900± 45 BP (GrA-9151), corresponding to a calibrated age range of cal AD 1050–1200.

4.1.15. No. 15. Neu England Man, found in 1941, was dated to between AD 100 and 400 on the basis of palynological evidence. A fully pretreated skin sample yielded a date of 1800± 50 BP (GrA-9196). A second sample, consisting of hair, was found to date from 1775± 50 BP (GrA-1349). Since the two results are in excellent agreement we consider the dates to be reliable.

The calibrated date obtained for the average of the two measurements (1790± 35 BP) is cal AD 140–320. This is also consistent with the dates based on palynological evidence.

4.1.16. No. 16. The Hunteburg Men were unearthed in 1949. The find’s antiquity was estimated on the basis of palynological evidence (5th–1st century BC) and the typology of the two cloaks (Eisenzeit, i.e. pre-Roman/Roman Iron Age). We dated a hair sample from Hunteburg-I to 1710± 70 BP (GrA-16197). The calibrated age range is cal AD 245–415. The sample that was not pretreated (GrA-1535) yielded the same range (within the error range).

4.1.17. No. 17. The Hunteburg III body was also discovered in 1949, shortly after the bodies referred to under No. 16. The find never received any attention in the literature. We dated bone from which collagen could be extracted. The $^{14}$C date obtained for the collagen fraction is 1975± 45 BP (GrA-508). The calibrated age range is 40 cal BC–cal AD 75. Analysis of a sample of residue (GrA-509) yielded the same age (within the error range).

4.1.18. No. 18. The Bentstreek Foot, found in 1955, consists of a shoe containing a foot attached to the lower part of a leg; the shoe also contained a fragment of textile. The find was dated to the Late Bronze Age (10th–9th cent. BC) on the basis of palynological evidence. Triplicate radiocarbon dating of the leather however yielded an average date of 1875± 25 BP (GrA-9156, 14311, 12416). The calibrated results are cal AD 80–135, 160–170 and 195–210. These three dates were obtained for AAA-pretreated samples. The dates obtained without pretreatment are significantly younger (GrA-10288 and 14681). A hair found on the body was found to be of recent date (GrA-12291; $^{14}$C activity 123.8± 0.7%), so is apparently not associated with the bog body.

4.1.19. No. 19. All that remains of the body of Johann Spieker, a hawker who died on Goldenstedter Moor in 1828, are a few hairs found on his clothing. We dated a tiny fragment of wood and some hair and textile found during the excavation in 1978. The $^{14}$C dates obtained for the samples, which were all AAA-pretreated, are 155± 40 BP (GrA-19543), 175± 40 BP (GrA-19544) and 225± 40 BP (GrA-19547). The weighted average of these dates is 185± 25 BP. Calibration yields multiple results due to the large wiggles in the $^{14}$C calibration curve, but all possible dates are consistent with the find’s historical age.

4.1.20. No. 20. Rendswühren Man came to light in 1871. The body was dated to the pre-Roman/Roman Iron Age on the basis of the clothing. We dated a skin sample to
4.1.21. No. 21. All that remains of Damendorf Woman(?), discovered in 1884, is the clothing. The garments were dated to the pre-Roman/Roman Iron Age. We dated wool in duplicate (GrA-10692 and 14403) after subjecting both samples to full pretreatment (AAA). A non-pretreated sample yielded a date that was too old, while the alkaline fraction yielded a date that was slightly younger than that obtained for the fully pretreated samples.

The average of the two measurements is 2355 ± 30 BP, corresponding to a calibrated age range of 410–390 cal BC. This happens to be a very precise range because the averaged 14C date coincides with a steep slope in the calibration curve.

4.1.22. No. 22. Little remains of the Bunsoh body, which was found in 1890. The woollen ‘necklace’ found with the body is assumed to date from the pre-Roman/Roman Iron Age. We dated a skin sample. Our first attempt resulted in a yield of only 1%. The very delicate sample had evidently been too rigorously pretreated. The resulting 14C date, 1100 ± 80 BP (GrA-8026), we therefore consider to be unreliable. In our next attempt we conducted the chemical pretreatment at room temperature. A fully (AAA) pretreated sample yielded a result of 1480 ± 30 BP (GrA-14318). We obtained the same result within the error range for an untreated sample (1510 ± 40 BP; GrA-10155). The calibrated age range is cal AD 560–620. This does not conflict with the assumed date of the woollen ‘necklace’.

4.1.23. No. 23. Damendorf Man was found in 1900. His clothing was dated to the pre-Roman/Roman Iron Age. We dated a wool sample to 1780 ± 45 BP (GrA-507), corresponding to a calibrated age range of cal AD 135–335. Pretreatment was again restricted to step A because of the delicate nature of the material, so there is a possibility that some residual contamination interfered with the determination.

4.1.24. No. 24. The Dätgen bog body that was found in 1906 has not survived. The clothing, however, has. It was dated to the pre-Roman/Roman Iron Age. We dated a wool sample. The fully (AAA) pretreated sample yielded a 14C date of 1630 ± 60 BP (GrA-15633), corresponding to a calibrated age range of cal AD 345–535. The alkaline fraction yielded the same result within the error range; non-pretreated wool yielded a slightly younger date. This may be regarded as evidence confirming the reliability of the pretreatment procedure (i.e. the removal of all interfering contaminants).

4.1.25. No. 25. Röst Girl was discovered in 1926; the body was destroyed during the Second World War. The woollen cloak found in association with the body fortunately survived. It was dated to the pre-Roman/Roman Iron Age. The fully (AAA) pretreated wool sample yielded a 14C date of 2130 ± 35 BP (GrA-14402), corresponding to a calibrated age range of 200–95 cal BC. As in the case of the previous bog body (DWöpten, No. 24), the alkaline fraction yielded the same result within the error range; non-pretreated wool yielded a slightly younger result.

4.1.26. No. 26. Damendorf Girl, found in 1934, was dated to the early pre-Roman Iron Age on the basis of palynological evidence. We dated a hair sample to 2700 ± 45 BP (GrA-506), which corresponds to a calibrated age range of 895–810 cal BC (Late Bronze Age). The sample could not be pretreated because of the delicate nature of the material, so contaminants may have interfered with the determination, making the reliability of the date questionable.

4.1.27. No. 27. The head of Osterby Man was found in 1948. It was wrapped in a deerskin cape. The man’s hair was tied in a so-called ‘Swabian knot’, known from Tacitus’ Germania (written c. AD 98) and representations in Roman sculpture. This led to the assumption that the head is around 2000 years old. We dated a hair sample to 1895 ± 30 BP (GrA-822). Calibrated, this 14C date indeed corresponds to the expected date (cal AD 75–130), but the sample could not be pretreated due to its delicate nature. Despite the agreement with the expected date, the date’s reliability is questionable.

4.1.28. No. 28. Windeby Girl, whose body was found in 1952, died at an age of about 14. The body was dated to the first part of the Roman Iron Age on the basis of palynological evidence. We first dated wood associated with this bog body. The result, 6540 ± 40 BP (GrN-70), was clearly influenced by some substance used to preserve the wood (some wood associated with a bog body found close to Windeby Girl—that of Windeby Man—was likewise found to be much too old: 7130 ± 70 BP; GrN-20547). A second attempt, for which we were granted a fragment of the fur cape, proved more successful. We dated two AAA-pretreated samples (GrA-10687 and 14175) and obtained an average date of 2060 ± 40 BP. Calibrated, this corresponds to 150–135 and 115–0 cal BC. The alkaline fraction yielded a similar result. The bog body was at a later stage also 14C dated in Kiel, Germany [9]. The cape hair result (KIA-15912)
is consistent with our measurements. Bone from the body (KIA-15123) yielded a younger date. An associated wood sample (KIA-15911) yielded a date in the Bronze Age, which is of course too old.

4.1.29. No. 29. Dätgen Man comprises the remains of a decapitated man; the body was found in 1959, the head—with hair tied in a Swabian knot—in 1960. Radiocarbon dates obtained for peat samples from beneath and above the human remains led to the conclusion that the body was deposited in the peat in the mid-2nd century BC (the average of three $^{14}$C dates is 2060±35 BP). We dated a fully pretreated hair sample to 1760±80 BP (GrA-8027), which yields a calibrated date of cal AD 135–385.

4.1.30. No. 30. The Tumbeagh bog body, found in 1998, is the most recently discovered bog body. Only a few parts of the body survived impact with a mechanical excavator.

We dated the bog body to 430±60 BP (GrA-15627). The same date was obtained for a skin sample from the right leg after AAA pretreatment. We also analysed non-pretreated skin and obtained a much earlier date of 790±60 BP (GrA-15628). The latter date however closely corresponds to a radiocarbon date obtained for a peat sample from immediately beneath the calf of the left leg after full AAA pretreatment, i.e. 765±35 BP (GrA-14393). The result obtained without pretreatment is a century older (880±30 BP; GrA-14305). Some samples of brushwood stakes found under the lower right thigh were also analysed with and without pretreatment. The date obtained for the fully pretreated wood is 545±45 BP (GrA-15314); without pretreatment the wood yielded a younger date (345±30 BP; GrA-14304).

In such cases full pretreatment of skin samples is evidently necessary to remove older contaminants from the peat.

4.1.31. No. 31. Yde Girl is the body of a 16-year-old girl that was found in 1897 (Fig. 2). The girl died through strangulation with a woollen band woven with the sprang technique. This bog body acquired worldwide fame thanks to the reconstruction of the girl’s head [38].

The girl’s body was first $^{14}$C dated in Oxford, yielding a result of 1980±80 BP (OxA-1724). When the Groningen AMS facility became operational, this body was one of the first to be subjected to more extensive radiocarbon dating. The Oxford date closely corresponds to the following dates obtained in Groningen: hair from the body—1960±50 BP (GrA-9201), wool from the girl’s cloak—1970±35 BP (GrA-14178) and wool from the sprang band: 2015±30 BP (GrA-14176). All the dates overlap within the error range. The weighted average of the four dates is 1990±20 BP, a high-precision result. Calibration of this date with INTCAL98 [32] yields less precise results because of the wiggles in this part of the calibration curve. The calibrated result, which is shown in Fig. 3, implies that Yde Girl died between 40 cal BC and cal AD 50. The four samples were all subjected to standard AAA pretreatment. We also analysed non-pretreated wool samples and the alkaline fraction. The latter samples all yielded older dates as a result of contamination with humic substances from the peat.

4.1.32. No. 32. The Wijster Four were discovered in 1901. Only a few remains of the four bodies have survived. Among the surviving remains is a hand. All the individuals died before they had reached the age of 25.
4.1.34. No. 34. The body of Exloërmont Man was found in 1914. A skin sample was previously dated to 2280 ± 75 BP (OxA-1725) in Oxford. This is in excellent agreement with the date we obtained for a sample of hair: 2210 ± 60 BP (GrA-12449).

The sample underwent full (AAA) pretreatment. The calibrated age range corresponds to 365–115 cal BC.

4.1.35. No. 35. Emmer-Erfscheidenven Man, found in 1938, is the oldest bog body with soft tissue preserved in the Netherlands. The body was found in association with sticks and several pieces of clothing. Pollen analysis suggested that the body dated from the 14th–9th century BC. One of the sticks was with the conventional method dated to 2980 ± 35 BP (GrN-15459). The dates we have now obtained for samples of textile, hair and skin are 3110 ± 50 BP (GrA-19531), 2995 ± 45 BP (GrA-19532) and 3020 ± 40 BP (GrA-19533), respectively.

All four samples underwent full (AAA) pretreatment. The measured results are within 2σ from each other. The averaged result of the four 14C dates is 3015 ± 35 BP. Unfortunately, calibration of this result yields a far less precise outcome: the calibrated age ranges are 1370–1365, 1315–1260 and 1235–1215 cal BC.

4.2. Comparison of sample materials

4.2.1. Hair. We analysed samples of hair associated with a total of 18 bog bodies. Depending on the quality and available quantity of material, we subjected the samples to standard, full AAA pretreatment, step A of the pretreatment procedure (delicate samples) or no pretreatment other than cleaning with hot water.

The 14C hair dates obtained for bog bodies Nos. 6 (Oberaltendorf), 19 (Goldenstedt), 31 (Yde), 33 (Weerdinge), 34 (Exloërmont) and 35 (Emmer-Erfscheidenven) are considered to be reliable. Sample No. 6 underwent only step A of the pretreatment procedure, all the others were subjected to the full procedure. By “reliable” we mean that the dates obtained are comparable with 14C dates obtained for other datable sample materials such as skin or textile. The 14C hair dates obtained for bog bodies Nos. 10 (Kayhausen), 11 (Jührdenerfeld) and 13 (Huneburg) are reasonably reliable in that they are similar to dates obtained for other datable materials. But these hair samples were very delicate and underwent only step A of the pretreatment procedure, which means that any residual contaminants may have interfered with the determination.

The 14C dates obtained for hairs from bog bodies Nos. 7 (Neu Versen), 8 (Brammer), 15 (Neu England), 16 (Hunteburg) and 29 (Dätgen) also seem to be reasonably reliable, but we had no other samples to...
enable comparison. These samples all underwent full AAA pretreatment.

The hairs from bog bodies Nos. 9 (Bernuthsfeld), 26 (Damendorf) and 27 (Osterby) were very delicate, precluding pretreatment, so we are unable to say whether the 14C dates obtained for these samples are correct.

The results obtained for hair from bog body No. 12 (Husbäke) are questionable. Both an AAA-pretreated sample and a sample subjected to A treatment only yielded dates that are much later than that obtained for a sample of skin from this body.

In some cases (bog bodies Nos. 7 (Neu Versen), 8 (Brammer), 17 (Hunteburg), 33 (Weerdinge) and 34 (Exloëmond)) we also dated non-pretreated samples to check the dates obtained for AAA-pretreated samples of hair from the bodies. In three cases the same 14C dates were obtained for both samples and in two cases the non-pretreated sample was found to be older.

As part of our experiment we also dated some other hair finds from bogs (not associated with bog body finds). In the first place we analysed a sample of human hair that was found on Molberger Dose (Landkreis Cloppenburg, Lower Saxony) in 1886 ([16], 21–23). After AAA treatment, this hair yielded a 14C date of 1840 ± 60 BP (GrA-12499); a non-pretreated sample yielded 1890 ± 70 BP (GrA-12498).

We also dated a horsetail that is said to have been found near Valthe on Bourtanger Moor (municipality of Emmen, the Netherlands; [39], 188). Fully pretreated hair samples measured in duplicate yielded dates of 270 ± 40 BP (GrA-9170) and 300 ± 50 BP (GrA-15636). A non-pretreated sample yielded a much older date of 1810 ± 40 BP (GrA-10290). This difference must be attributable to older peat present in the sample.

Our general conclusion is that bog bodies can indeed be 14C dated via hair samples. In general, full AAA pretreatment is recommended. In the case of delicate materials step A of the pretreatment procedure may suffice; reliable 14C dates are obtained in all cases. In some cases reasonable to good results may also be obtained for non-pretreated samples, but this cannot be guaranteed.

4.2.2. Clothing (wool, textile, fur). We dated clothing samples associated with a total of 14 bog bodies. Depending on the quality and available quantity of material, we subjected the samples to standard, full AAA pretreatment, step A of the pretreatment procedure (delicate samples) or no pretreatment other than cleaning with hot water.

The 14C textile dates obtained after full AAA pretreatment are all considered to be reliable. The dates concerned relate to bog bodies Nos. 4 (Marx-Etzel), 5 (Marx-Stapelstein), 10 (Kayhausen), 19 (Goldenstedt), 21 (Damendorf), 24 (Dätgen), 25 (Röst), 28 (Windebey), 31 (Yde) and 32 (Wijster). The dates are assumed to be reliable because they coincide with dates based on textile typology (mostly Iron Age) and/or similar dates obtained for samples of other materials associated with the bog body.

The date obtained for the fur cape of body No. 1 (Elling) is also assumed to be reliable on the basis of comparisons with dates of other materials and dates obtained by other laboratories. Some 14C dates were found to deviate for an unknown reason. The differences are presumably attributable to contaminants not removed in the pretreatment.

Two 14C dates were obtained for textile samples that underwent only step A of the pretreatment procedure. These dates are also considered to be reliable on the basis of typological features of associated ornaments (bog body No. 6, Oberaltendorf) or textile (bog body No. 20, Rendswühren).

In a few cases (Nos. 24, 25 and 28) we also dated the alkaline fraction. The dates obtained were the same as those obtained for the fully treated fractions within the error range; slightly younger results were obtained for non-pretreated wool. This may be regarded as evidence confirming the reliability of the pretreatment procedure (i.e. the complete removal of contaminants).

One wool sample associated with bog body No. 23 (Damendorf) was dated without proper pretreatment. Whether the outcome is reliable we do not know; Iron Age textile typology suggests that the 14C date is reasonable.

Our general conclusion is that bog bodies can be 14C dated using samples of clothing (textile, wool). In general, full AAA pretreatment is recommended. In the case of delicate materials step A of the pretreatment procedure yields reliable 14C dates. Non-pretreated samples may also yield reliable results, but this cannot be guaranteed.

We should add that textile is particularly suitable for 14C dating because it has a short life [42].

4.2.3. Skin. We dated samples of skin from a total of twelve bog bodies. All the samples were fully pretreated according to the AAA procedure. The 14C dates obtained for the following ten samples are considered to be reliable: bog bodies Nos. 2 (Tollund), 3 (Bareler Moor), 11 (Jährdenerfeld), 13 (Hunteburg), 15 (Neu England), 22 (Bunshoi), 30 (Tumbeagh), 32 (Wijster), 33 (Weerdinge) and 35 (Emmer-Erscheidenveen). These dates are assumed to be reliable because they overlap with 14C dates obtained for samples of other materials, dates obtained by other laboratories or dates based on typological evidence. In some cases non-pretreated samples yielded the same 14C dates (Nos. 22, 32 and 33).

The results obtained for two bog bodies (No. 12, Husbäke, and No. 20, Rendswühren) are problematic. The skin date obtained for bog body No. 12 (Husbäke) is much older than the hair date, while both samples were
subjected to AAA pretreatment. Non-pretreated skin yielded the same \(^{14}C\) date as fully pretreated skin. And the skin date obtained for bog body No. 20 (Rendswühren) is much younger than the textile date. In this case non-pretreated skin yielded a \(^{14}C\) date that is too young.

We conclude that bog body skin is also suitable for \(^{14}C\) dating. Full pretreatment (AAA) of samples usually yields reliable \(^{14}C\) dates.

4.2.4. Bone. Bog body finds only rarely include bone. Bone is usually dissolved by chemical processes in the peat. We analysed bone samples from four bog bodies: Nos. 10 (Kayhausen), 14 (Sedelsberger Dose), 17 (Hunteburg) and 32 (Wijster). In all cases, bone collagen was extracted as the datable fraction.

The bone date obtained for bog body No. 10 (Kayhausen) is slightly older than the dates obtained for samples of wool (after AAA pretreatment) and hair (after step A only). The date obtained for a second piece of bone is considered to be unreliable on the basis of the \(\delta^{13}C\) and carbon content values of the collagen.

The \(^{14}C\) dates obtained in the other cases are considered to be reliable. In the case of bog body No. 17 (Hunteburg) we also dated the residue fraction and obtained the same date as for the collagen fraction. This can be seen to confirm that any contaminants present in the original sample were adequately removed in the pretreatment.

No. 32 (Wijster) is a find consisting of four bodies which are only a few centuries old. We dated bones of three of the individuals. Unreliable results were obtained in trial dating without pretreatment.

We conclude that bog body bones, when available, yield good \(^{14}C\) dates after proper pretreatment of the samples. The \(\delta^{13}C\) and carbon content values are indicative of the reliability of the results.

4.2.5. Leather. Leather samples associated with bog body finds may come from shoes, belts, etc. and are relatively rare. We used leather samples to date one of the bog bodies, No. 18 (Bentstreek). Triplicate measurements clearly showed that such samples require full pretreatment (AAA). Radiocarbon results obtained for non-pretreated samples were far too young.

4.2.6. Peat and wood. Peat and wood are both commonly used for \(^{14}C\) dating. A complicating factor in the case of bog bodies, however, is that it is often not clear to what extent the body is associated with its peat context. We will nevertheless report some of the results we obtained for peat and wood for the sake of completeness.

We obtained \(^{14}C\) dates for peat samples associated with bog bodies Nos. 30 (Tumbeagh) and 32 (Wijster). In both cases the peat was found to be older than the bog body buried in it. This is indeed logical and confirms previously proposed theories—see e.g. discussions of Lindow Man [3]. Peat samples yield reliable \(^{14}C\) dates only after full AAA pretreatment.

Over the years, the Groningen Radiocarbon laboratory has obtained broad experience in dating wood finds from peat bogs. Wood associated with bog bodies is not very common; this is unfortunate, because wood can be dated very reliably. We obtained \(^{14}C\) dates for wood associated with bog bodies Nos. 19 (Goldenstedt), 30 (Tumbeagh), 32 (Wijster) and 35 (Emmer-Erfscheidenveen). The dates are all reasonable to good (in terms of the extent to which they correspond to the \(^{14}C\) dates obtained for the samples of body parts). From our wood bog finds we conclude that pretreatment is essential for obtaining reliable results in the case of wood. The pretreatment may be either the standard AAA procedure or it may involve the preparation of cellulose from the wood.

4.3. The stable isotope \(^{13}C\)

In conclusion, we will discuss the different sample materials in terms of the stable isotope \(^{13}C\). All radiocarbon dates are reported in years BP, which by definition includes correction for isotopic fractionation [26]. This is a correction based on the stable isotope \(^{13}C\) for mass-dependent effects occurring both in nature and in laboratory procedures. The \(^{13}C\) content is calculated in \(\delta^{13}C\), which is defined as the relative deviation of the \(^{13}C/^{12}C\) ratio of the sample from that of a standard, expressed in per mille. By convention, all \(^{14}C\) dates are corrected to \(\delta^{13}C = -25\%\) [23]. Apart from their use as correction factors for isotope fractionation, \(\delta^{13}C\) values also provide important information on matters such as the quality and origin (and possible contamination) of sample materials. The \(\delta^{13}C\) values for wood samples generally range from \(-23\%\) to \(-27\%\), those for peat from \(-25\%\) to \(-29\%\) and those for human bone collagen from \(-19\%\) to \(-21\%\) [24]. Hair and skin yield collagen-like \(\delta^{13}C\) values.

Fig. 4a presents a survey of the \(\delta^{13}C\) values obtained for the bog finds discussed in this paper (bodies and associated samples). The values have been plotted in the categories skin, hair, wool, textile, bone, wood, peat and leather. The samples’ carbon contents (\(\%C\)) are given in Fig. 4b. For a \(^{14}C\) date to be considered reliable, the average carbon content should be approximately 40\% in the case of hair and bone, approximately 43\% in the case of wool and textile and approximately 48\% in the case of skin. The data presented in Fig. 4 include only Groningen (GrA) measurements.

On the whole, the \(\delta^{13}C\) values obtained may be considered to be reasonably reliable, especially in view of the nature and origin of the samples. A few outlying values imply the presence of residual contaminants; the more negative values in particular may be attributable to incomplete removal of peat components. Note that Fig.
5 presents all the available data (i.e. data obtained for both pretreated and non-pretreated samples). As discussed above, we used both the $\delta^{13}$C and %C values in assessing the reliability of the 14C dates obtained.

5. Conclusion

We have dated a large, unique collection of bog body finds by means of the 14C method. Our catalogue consists of 40 bog bodies from 35 findspots and more than 100 AMS dates. Our main conclusion is that bog bodies usually yield reliable 14C dates. This is an important conclusion because it has hitherto always been generally assumed—mainly on the basis of unresolved dating problems concerning Lindow Man—that bog bodies cannot be reliably dated via this method. The majority of the bog bodies from northwest Germany and the northeastern part of the Netherlands were found to date from the Roman period.

In our research we tested a variety of dating materials and pretreatment procedures.

We dated wood, fur and textile as materials associated with bog body finds. Wood is preferred for 14C dating, providing it is unambiguously associated with the bog body. We do realise however that wood finds are quite exceptional. Textile is also suitable for 14C dating because it is a short-lived material. We observed no differences between leather, fur and hair.

We dated bone, skin and hair taken from the bodies themselves as direct bog body samples. The datable fraction in these cases is collagen. Bone finds are rare, and even when bone is available it does not always contain collagen. Hair and skin samples both yield reliable 14C dates. One of the basic rules in radiocarbon dating applies to bog body samples, too: if the $\delta^{13}$C and carbon content values are within the usual ranges, the 14C date may usually be considered to be reliable.

Full AAA treatment is the recommended procedure for sample pretreatment. This is generally the case in 14C dating, and has now been found to hold for bog bodies, too. In view of the delicate nature of the samples, the standard pretreatment procedure may be adapted slightly and the reactions may be conducted at room temperature for a shorter duration, using a more strongly diluted acid bath (<1% HCl).

We should add that materials treated for preservation purposes (for example using substances like paraaffin) should not be used for radiocarbon dating as the complete removal of such contaminants cannot be guaranteed.

In conclusion, we have shown that in almost all the cases studied AAA pretreatment results in reliable 14C dates for bog bodies. In most cases, reliable results were also obtained after only step A of the pretreatment procedure. In the case of extremely fragile samples 14C dating without pretreatment may sometimes, but not always, yield correct dates.

Samples of skin, wool, textile or leather are also recommended for 14C dating of bog bodies. Hair may also be used, but that will usually be a very delicate material.
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