Review

Reviewed Work(s): The Name of God and the Angel of the Lord: Samaritan and Jewish Concepts of Intermediation and the Origins of Gnosticism by Jarl E. Fossum

Review by: Ioan Petru Culianu


Published by: The University of Chicago Press

Stable URL: https://www.jstor.org/stable/1062182

Accessed: 30-10-2018 10:47 UTC

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at https://about.jstor.org/terms
arts on the one hand, for instance, and the summary reduction of Taranis, Esus, Sucellos, and others to Celtic Dis Pater figures on the other. More serious, perhaps, is insufficient recognition that, in the mass of Irish testimony to the relationship between the rulership and the land, between the king and sovereignty goddess, both human morality and the feminine divinity are active forces. The failure of a king to rule justly, more than any physical blemish or defeat, occasions the return of the divinity as goddess of death. Here the feminine principle plays a more determining role than in Sterckx's reductionist paradigm, while man is not simply condemned to reflect the inadequacies of divine prototypes. [William Sayers, Council of Ontario Universities]


This is one of the most important books on Gnosticism to appear after the complete publication of the Nag Hammadi texts. The author searches for the origin of the Gnostic demiurge in the Samaritan (and Jewish) traditions, among which the sect of Dositheus, and especially its antinomian splinter groups, play a crucial role (pp. 45–75, esp. 64 ff.).

A thorough investigation leads the author to establish a set of representations that seem to derive, historically and logically, from one another, thus forming a continuous series that would eventually bring about the Gnostic demiurge as a lesser, mainly negative, creator of this world. According to Fossum, the whole process commences when the Word of God is transformed in a hypostasis: the Angel-Word (pp. 86 ff.). There is enough evidence, from (Hellenized) Judaism, Samaritanism, and from Simon the Magician of Samaria and his successors, whom the author proposes to call “protopagnostics,” that the creation of the actual world is attributed to an angel of the Lord. This angel is by no means an evildoer (pp. 192 ff.). Even the “protopagnostics” would not consider him as such. They would only stress the fact that this angel, who is identified as being the God of the Jews, could not be God Himself (pp. 216 ff.). The idea that the same angel was also the creator (or, actually, one of the creators) of the human body was widespread “at a time when the borderlines between the various divisions within the wider phenomenon of Judaism were vague. While Gen. 1.26 could be taken to imply that the body of man was the production of (certain) angels, Gen. 2.7 lent itself to the view that Adam's body was produced by the principal angel, the Angel of YHWH” (p. 237).

Several other sets of Samaritan beliefs, such as the magic power of the Name of God and the qualities bestowed upon prophets by the endowment with God’s Name, are presented by the author as a sequel to the Word hypostasis. Simon would actively use these Samaritan traditions (pp. 112 ff.). The whole sequence would thus be: Word, Name, Angel of the Lord, being a hypostasis of both Word and Name. The prophet as “bearer of the Name” is a distinct
character. Simon Magus would claim to being such a prophet, "God's agent sent for the salvation of mankind. His appearence was necessary because the angels who had created the world subsequently had turned against God and brought the world to the brink of destruction through their bad government" (p. 337).

The materials discussed in this book are extremely rich. Statements are put forward very cautiously, which is a sign of cleverness, but which might present a difficult exercise for less experienced readers. [IOAN PETRU CULIANU, University of Groningen]


This is a fine piece of scholarship, containing a historicoreligious description of the pilgrimage center of Ayodhya and an edition of the Ayodhyamāhātmya according to ten manuscripts (of which four are newly discovered), with commentaries, indexes, an impressive bibliography, and accurate maps.

Ancient Sanskrit epics describe the mythical town of Ayodhya, but they never mention Sāketa, which was, from the sixth century b.c. on, an important center of northern India, known by geographers and tradesmen. Moreover, Sāketa happened to be situated on the same spot as the actual Ayodhya, at least as early as the sixth century, when the royal court of the Guptas moved there. This implies that at some time an ideftification took place between Ayodhya, seat of Prince Rāma, and the town of Sāketa. Rāmaite temples were installed in Ayodhya from the twelfth century on. The cult of (the name of) Rāma grew there between the thirteenth and the sixteenth centuries. A Rāmaite theology was elaborated along orthodox vedantic lines in the fifteenth century.

The process by which, in the sixteenth century, Ayodhya developed into a sacred city is very curious. First of all, newly discovered ancient holy sites are identified by pious Hinduists as being the place where Rāma used to brush his teeth or where he created a pleasure grove for Sītā (pt. 1, p. 136). By the same time Ayodhya had become a flourishing pilgrimage center. To Rāma devotees several actual sites could be shown whose names were borrowed from those of sites of the mythical town. "Once a start was made with the rediscovery of the ancient places of the Tretāyuga this paradigm could in principle be employed without limit, and the present situation in Ayodhya bears witness to its prolificacy. The rediscovery was guided by the conception of a celestial city of Ayodhya that is forever established in Viṣṇu's heaven, and of which the terrestrial town is thought to be a replica... The rediscovery of the ancient places of the Tretāyuga had not only become a means of recovering the glorious past, but simultaneously provided devotees with a true reflection of the eternal paradise of Rāma" (pt. 1, pp. 143–44).