Valence bonds in elongated boron clusters
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Abstract
A well-defined class of planar or quasi-planar elongated boron clusters, of type $B_{q+3n}^{2-5}$, serves as a basis to identify the valence bond picture of delocalized boron networks. The origin of the series is the $B_7^+$ cluster, which exhibits σ-aromaticity. The cluster generating step is the repetitive expansion by three boron atoms in the direction of elongation. Specific electron counting rules are obtained for π-bonding, peripheral σ-bonding and multicenter inner σ-bonding. A valence bond structure is introduced which explains the remarkable regularity in the bonding pattern. The analysis supports 4c-2e bonds as an alternative to the common 3c-2e bonds. The results are validated by symmetry induction and ab initio calculations.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The recent literature reports on a wide variety of planar and bowl shaped boron clusters. Proposed structures are usually based on theoretical calculations, but for some cases structures could be confirmed by photoelectron or infrared spectroscopy[1] on clusters produced by laser evaporation.[2–4] A further special feature of some clusters which are shaped like two concentric rings is the almost barrierless rotatory motion of the inner ring with respect to the outer ring.[5] This motion has been compared to a Wankel motor at the molecular scale.[6] In view of the rich variety of shapes and properties, which challenges accepted concepts of chemical bonding,[7–9] to build a consistent valence bond picture that would apply to all these clusters, a gradual approach is required based on a well-defined set of structures. For this, we chose the particular family of the so-called elongated boron clusters. The aim is to obtain a set of rules that rationalize the electronic structure calculations on a series of structures extending from $B_7^+$ to $B_{28}^{2-}$. [10–18]

2 | ELONGATED BORON CLUSTERS

The origin of the elongated family is $B_7^+$. Extension of this structure is based on adding $B_3$ units along a fixed direction. This leads to a family of $B_{7+3n}^+$ structures. For $n$ even, stable clusters acquire a closed shell ground-state as mono-anions. For $n$ odd, previous calculations on $B_{16}^-$ have revealed that it becomes a perfectly planar closed shell structures as a dianion.[19] Here, we will report a similar finding for $B_{28}^{2-}$. On this basis we define the family of elongated boron clusters as the planar or quasi-planar structures with general formula $B_{7+3n}^{2-}$, with:
A set of clusters belonging to this family is shown in Figure 1. Previous calculations on B_{10} show that for the mono-anion the elongated structure (C_{2}A^{n}) is the global minimum.\cite{13} The elongated structure of B_{13} was predicted by Boustani to be the global minimum as well.\cite{20} This was later confirmed by Fowler and Ugalde.\cite{18} For the dianion B_{16}^{2-}, the elongated structure (D_{2h}^{1}A_{1g}) is the minimum at B3LYP and CCSD(T) level of theory.\cite{19} The B_{22} cluster is a local minimum (C_{2v}^{1}A_{1}).\cite{21} At LDA level it is 7 kcal/mol above a disk-like structure which is the global minimum. For B_{22} cluster the mono-anion was investigated by Zope et al. at PBE level.\cite{22} It was found to be the second lowest minimum, 5.5 kcal/mol above a double-ring structure. Sergeeva et al.\cite{11} proposed for the global minimum of this cluster an anthracene-like planar structure, but did not investigate the elongated isomer. Finally the elongated form of B_{25}^{2-} is competing with bowl-shaped alternatives with a marginal difference of only 0.9 kcal/mol at CCSD(T)/6-311+ G(d)// PBE0/6-311+ G(d) level.\cite{12} In Figure 2, we show the optimized geometry of the largest cluster of our series, with n = 7. The accurate symmetry is C_{2n} due to some ruffling of the inner boron chain.

\[ q = \frac{3 - (-1)^{n}}{2} \]  (1)
Elongated boron clusters: valence electron counts, with a partitioning over π, outer σ, and inner σ bonds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>( B_n^{2-} )</th>
<th>( N_{total} )</th>
<th>( N_π )</th>
<th>( N_σ )</th>
<th>( N_{σo} )</th>
<th>( N_{σi} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>( B_7 )</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( B_{16}^{2-} )</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( B_{13} )</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( B_{26}^{2-} )</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( B_{24} )</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( B_{22} )</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( B_{28} )</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>( B_{24} )</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 | METHODS

In this article, we will investigate in detail a set of 8 clusters, from \( B_7 \) till \( B_{24}^{2-} \). For each cluster we perform a geometry optimization and detailed electronic structure calculations using density functional theory (DFT) methods. All clusters are optimized at the B3LYP/6-311G** level of theory and are confirmed as local minima by calculation of vibrational frequencies using the G09 package. For dianions some test calculations were also performed with the inclusion of diffuse functions, at the B3LYP/6-311G** level. To describe the electron distribution in chemical systems, a variety of indices have been proposed, all of them carrying their pros and cons. In this article, we have opted for the adaptive natural density partitioning (AdNDP) analysis, which provides a quantitative and comprehensive picture of bonding in many nonclassical chemical structures including boron clusters. In previous papers, AdNDP has been used successfully to examine bonding patterns in a variety of boron clusters.

The bonding analysis was carried out using the AdNDP method at the B3LYP/6-311G** level of theory as implemented in Multiwfn software. In addition some test calculations were carried out with other functionals (PBE0, PBE, M06, TPSSh) to examine the stability of the AdNDP occupation numbers. Canonical molecular orbitals were visualized using Avogadro and AdNDP bonds using Molden and Gaussview software. The orbital composition of the resulting ground states is classified according to the irreducible representation of a standard \( D_{2n} \) symmetry group. The in-plane directions are labeled as \( z \) and \( y \) for the long and short axis respectively. The \( x \) direction coincides with a twofold rotation axis perpendicular to the plane of the molecule, as indicated in Figure 2.

4 | RESULTS

4.1 | Electron counting rules

The total number of valence electrons in the clusters under investigation is \( 3(7 + 3n) + q \). Our strategy to find out the bonding rules of these electrons is based on reverse engineering. Table 1 shows how this total electron count can be distributed over several types of bonding. First of all, since all clusters are quasi-planar or exactly planar, the valence orbitals can be partitioned in a simple particle in an elongated box model.

The bonding analysis was carried out using the AdNDP method at the B3LYP/6-311G** level of theory as implemented in Multiwfn software. In addition some test calculations were carried out with other functionals (PBE0, PBE, M06, TPSSh) to examine the stability of the AdNDP occupation numbers. Canonical molecular orbitals were visualized using Avogadro and AdNDP bonds using Molden and Gaussview software. The orbital composition of the resulting ground states is classified according to the irreducible representation of a standard \( D_{2n} \) symmetry group. The in-plane directions are labeled as \( z \) and \( y \) for the long and short axis respectively. The \( x \) direction coincides with a twofold rotation axis perpendicular to the plane of the molecule, as indicated in Figure 2.

The total number of valence electrons in the clusters under investigation is \( 3(7 + 3n) + q \). Our strategy to find out the bonding rules of these electrons is based on reverse engineering. Table 1 shows how this total electron count can be distributed over several types of bonding. First of all, since all clusters are quasi-planar or exactly planar, the valence orbitals can be partitioned in a simple particle in an elongated box model.

The bonding analysis was carried out using the AdNDP method at the B3LYP/6-311G** level of theory as implemented in Multiwfn software. In addition some test calculations were carried out with other functionals (PBE0, PBE, M06, TPSSh) to examine the stability of the AdNDP occupation numbers. Canonical molecular orbitals were visualized using Avogadro and AdNDP bonds using Molden and Gaussview software. The orbital composition of the resulting ground states is classified according to the irreducible representation of a standard \( D_{2n} \) symmetry group. The in-plane directions are labeled as \( z \) and \( y \) for the long and short axis respectively. The \( x \) direction coincides with a twofold rotation axis perpendicular to the plane of the molecule, as indicated in Figure 2.
for the case of the $B_{22}^{10}$ dianion, including diffuse basis functions. This stabilizes the energy by a marginal 0.48 eV, but the molecular orbitals and electron density distribution are not affected at all. The total in-plane bonding in each cluster can, thus, be considered as a sum of two main “fractions”: the totally bonding outer perimeter (including outward lone-pairs) and the remainder responsible for inner bonding. The latter bonding must necessarily be delocalized since the remaining number of electrons is insufficient to link all inner boron atoms by 2c-2e bonds. The electron count for the 2c-2e bonds in the outer fraction is denoted as $N_{ro}$. Note that there are six outer bonds in $B_7$ and that for each $n$ an additional two outer bonds are added. Hence, in total there are $6 + 2n$ perimeter bonds. So $N_{ro}$ is equal to twice that number. The remainder is the inner bonding count, denoted as $N_{ri}$:

$$N_{ro} = 12 + 4n$$
$$N_{ri} = N_{o} - N_{ro} = 5 + 3n + q$$  \(3\)

These numbers can be found in Table 1. It is intriguing to find that the delocalized bonding follows a peculiar regularity, the number of electrons going up alternately by four and by two, as: 6, 10, 12, 16, 18, 22, 24, 28. As a consequence of this regularity, the inner $\sigma$-count for even values of $n$ is a multiple of six, while for odd values of $n$ it is exactly equal to the number of boron atoms! To understand how the electron deficient boron succeeds in providing such a regular delocalized bonding scheme over the cluster interior forms the main topic of this article.

4.2 | Delocalized bonding

Boron is known for its tendency to form triangular 3c-2e bonds, so it is a good starting point for the AdNDP analysis to search for local three-center bonds. For the case of $B_{22}^{10}$, Sergeeva et al.\(^{19}\) identified four isolated inner triangles, with occupation numbers above 1.86 $\varepsilon$. The remaining two electron pairs were allocated to 4c-2e bonds on either side, with occupation numbers up to 1.97 $\varepsilon$. This scheme explains the 16 inner electrons, as counted in Table 1. However, when one tries to apply the same pattern to the other members of the cluster, discrepancies arise both for smaller and larger $n$. Indeed, adding or subtracting a $B_3$ unit in this scheme accounts for a change by 4 in the inner electron count. Extension of the model by Sergeeva et al. for arbitrary $n$ is expressed by the quantity $Q_{o}$:

$$Q_{o} = 4 + 4n$$  \(4\)

As shown in Table 2 this quantity does not match the $N_{ri}$ result, except for $n = 2, 3$.

So a more general scheme is needed. We note that according to the $Q_{o}$ count in Table 2, the starting cluster of the series, $B_7$, is assigned only two bond pairs, while it actually has three electron pairs for inner $\sigma$-bonding. This strongly suggests that this bonding could be $\sigma$-aromatic and provides a central clue that 4c-2e rather than 3c-2e bonds should be at the basis of the delocalized bonding. The hexagon around the central boron in this cluster contains six rhombic four-center units, such that the partitioning of three 4c-2e pairs over this hexagon will make up two Kekule-type combinations as shown in Figure 3. Their resonance leads to a fully delocalized 3$\sigma$ aromatic sextet. Thus, a viable hypothesis is to base the bonding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$B$</th>
<th>$N_{ri}$</th>
<th>$Q_{o}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$B_7$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$B_{10}^{2}$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$B_{13}$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$B_{16}$</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$B_{19}$</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$B_{22}^{2}$</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$B_{25}$</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$B_{28}^{2}$</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pattern on isolated stable hexagonal units in the boron network. In this hypothesis, there is a clear distinction between clusters with even and odd \( n \). For even, clusters are mono-anionic, hence, \( q = 1 \), and the number of inner \( \sigma \) electrons is equal to \( 6 + 3n \). This is a multiple of 6, and indeed these clusters can be partitioned in isolated \( \sigma \)-aromatic rings. Note that in this pattern neighboring hexagons share a common vertex, leaving two non-bonding triangles in between. This partitioning scheme is illustrated in Figure 4. For odd, a perfect partitioning in isolated hexagons falls short of two hexagons sharing a common bond. These clusters can, thus, be partitioned in isolated hexagons, and two additional 4c-2e bonds, as shown in Figure 4. Such unequal distribution of density, thus, requires to adopt resonance as an essential ingredient of the bonding. The smallest cluster of this type is \( \text{B}^{10}_{12} \). Keeping the 4c-2e partitioning, this cluster matches the topology of naphthalene, and one can identify the five bonding combinations as the five double bonds in the three resonating Kekule forms of this carbon analogue. This fits the expected electron count of 10. Hence, the increase of \( n \) by one, from \( n = 0 \) to \( n = 1 \), gives rise to four extra electrons. Then, from \( n = 1 \) to \( n = 2 \) only two more electrons are needed to make up for an additional aromatic sextet. Following the same partition, the \( N_{\text{el}} \) counts for \( \text{B}^{16}_{16} \) and \( \text{B}^{22}_{22} \) are 16 and 22, respectively. Indeed one can easily show that, for odd, the number of delocalized \( \sigma \) electrons exactly equals the number of boron atoms.

\[
N_{\text{el}} = 3(n+1) + 4 = 7 + 3n
\]

4.3 | Molecular orbital symmetries

The proposed bonding schemes comply with the electron counts, but this mere coincidence can hardly be considered as a proof for the validity of these claims. AdNDP analysis in itself is not conclusive either, since all 3c-2e and 4c-2e bonds, as obtained by AdNDP, usually have occupation numbers above a threshold of 1.6 \( |e| \). However, the proposed bonding scheme uses only a subset of all possible triangular and rhombic bonds, and invokes resonance to cover the whole atomic mesh. Hence, for a more direct proof of the proposed scheme, we need to identify the symmetries of the localized bonds that correspond to the proposed pattern, and compare these to the symmetries of the MO’s, obtained from the DFT calculation. Quasi-planar clusters with \( C_{2h} \) symmetries were reoptimized under a \( D_{2h} \) constraint, so as to maximize symmetry information. The reason why we
chose to do the analysis in the idealized planar group is based on a simple group-theoretical argument: if the prediction of the irreducible representations of the occupied MOs can be shown to hold in the covering group, it will necessarily be obeyed in the subgroups, while the opposite is not the case. In this symmetry, the plane of the molecule is identified as the $\sigma_{yz}$ reflection plane, with the $z$-defined by a $C_2^z$ axis coinciding with the major axis of the molecule. The symmetries of localized bonds are generated by the induction method. For in-plane bonds, three types of inductions are possible. The induction process takes an object at a particular site of the molecule, and generates all its symmetry related copies. Here, the object is a valence bond, localized on a given site. Such a bond is a totally symmetric object in the site symmetry and is labeled as an $a$-type irreducible representation in the site group. This is shown schematically in Figure 5. In case of a central bond, the induction is trivial since the site group coincides with $D_{2h}$ and all symmetry elements will map it onto itself. Such a bond, thus, transforms as the totally symmetric irreducible representation in $D_{2h}$, and receives the label $A_g$. This trivial orbit is denoted as $O_1$. A bond which is cut by the $z$-axis, but is off-center, has two copies at either side of the center of inversion. Their symmetries are given by the induction from the $C_{2v}^z$ subgroup, and correspond to orbit $O_2$. Similarly, a perimeter bond which is lying on the $y$-axis has a symmetry related mirror image at the opposite side of the perimeter, as obtained by induction from the $C_{2v}^y$ subgroup. This is orbit $O_3$. Finally, for a bond which is not lying on a twofold symmetry axis and has only in-plane reflection symmetry, there are four identical copies, the symmetries of which are obtained by induction from $C_{yz}^z$, and form four orbits:

$$
O_1 = \Gamma(a_1 D_{2h} \uparrow D_{2h}) = A_g
$$

$$
O_2 = \Gamma(a_1 C_{2v}^z \uparrow D_{2h}) = A_g + B_{1u}
$$

$$
O_3 = \Gamma(a_1 C_{2v}^y \uparrow D_{2h}) = A_g + B_{2u}
$$

$$
O_4 = \Gamma(a_1 C_{yz}^z \uparrow D_{2h}) = A_g + B_{3g} + B_{1u} + B_{2u}
$$

In Table 3 are listed the symmetry orbits for the peripheral bonds. To determine the symmetry for the multicenter inner bonds, we have to take into account the difference between clusters with even $n$ which are $\sigma$-aromatic, and the ones with odd $n$. In the aromatic $B_{10}^2$ cluster the usual three bonding molecular orbitals are found, which are already adapted to the point-group symmetry: the nodeless totally symmetric 6c orbital, has $A_g$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$B$</th>
<th>Peripheral 2c-bonds</th>
<th>$A_g$</th>
<th>$B_{2g}$</th>
<th>$B_{1u}$</th>
<th>$B_{2u}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$B_{10}^{-}$</td>
<td>$O_2 + O_4$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$B_{10}^{+}$</td>
<td>2$O_4$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$B_{13}^{+}$</td>
<td>$O_3 + 2O_4$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$B_{16}^{+}$</td>
<td>3$O_4$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$B_{19}^{+}$</td>
<td>$O_3 + 3O_4$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$B_{22}^{+}$</td>
<td>4$O_4$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$B_{25}^{+}$</td>
<td>$O_3 + 4O_4$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>$B_{28}^{+}$</td>
<td>5$O_4$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
symmetry, and the two degenerate orbitals with one nodal plane transform as \( B_{1u} \) and \( B_{2u} \). We denote these irreducible representations of a central sextet hexagon by capital letter \( S \).

For aromatic rings lying off-center, such as in \( B_{13} \), induction now involves site objects which are not yet adapted to the full point group symmetry. The 6c fully bonding orbital is totally symmetric in the \( C_{2v} \) sitegroup and, thus, induces the \( O_2 \) orbit. This is also the case for the combination which has a nodal plane perpendicular to the \( z \)-axis, since this plane does not coincide with a reflection plane of the central point group. However, for the remaining combination the nodal plane coincides with the \( \sigma_{xz} \) reflection plane, indicating that this combination has \( b_2 \) symmetry in the \( C_{2v} \) site group. This gives rise to a further orbit, as depicted in Figure 6. In this way all orbital symmetries of delocalized \( \sigma \) bonds for clusters with even \( n \) are easily obtained, as given in Table 4.

\[
S = A_g + B_{1u} + B_{2u}
\]  

(7)

To identify the orbital symmetries of the members with \( n \) odd, we must make a distinction between two subclasses: the first subclass is formed by the series \( B_{10+2m} \) (with \( m = 0, 1, \ldots \)), as exemplified by the clusters \( B_{10} \) and \( B_{12} \), while the second subclass is defined as \( B_{16+12m} \), with \( B_{16} \) as its first member. Starting with \( B_{10} \), to find the symmetry of its five delocalized inner \( \sigma \)-bonds, we rely on the analogy with conjugated carbon analogues. As shown before its electronic structure corresponds to an aromatic sextet, to which two extra bonds are added. The corresponding carbon analogue is, thus, identified as naphthalene, which has three resonating Kekule structures. However, two of these are broken symmetry solutions.

To determine the symmetry orbits, we, thus, must choose the unique Kekule structure that is invariant under the \( D_{2h} \) symmetry, as shown in Figure 6.

\[
O_2 = \Gamma(B_2 C_{2v} \uparrow D_{2h}) = B_{3g} + B_{2u}
\]  

(8)

**TABLE 4**  Symmetry orbits for the delocalized inner \( \sigma \)-bonds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>( B )</th>
<th>Inner 4c-bonds</th>
<th>( A_g )</th>
<th>( B_{3g} )</th>
<th>( B_{1u} )</th>
<th>( B_{2u} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>( B_{10} )</td>
<td>( S )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( B_{10} )</td>
<td>( O_1 + O_4 )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( B_{13} )</td>
<td>( 2O_2 + O_5 )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( B_{16} )</td>
<td>( O_2 + O_3 + O_4 )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( B_{19} )</td>
<td>( S + 2O_2 + O_5 )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( B_{22} )</td>
<td>( O_1 + O_2 + 2O_4 )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( B_{25} )</td>
<td>( 4O_2 + 2O_5 )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>( B_{28} )</td>
<td>( 3O_2 + O_2 + O_4 + O_5 )</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6. From this structure, the 4c-2e bonds can be identified as the O_1 O_4 orbits. The next odd n member of this subclass, B_{22}^2, can then immediately be described as a central B_{10} unit with two aromatic sextet at either side, so we could formally write $B_{22}^2 = B_{10} + 2B_7$, or in general:

$$B_{10+12m} = B_{10} + 12m \pm 2B_7$$

(9)

The remaining series, $B_{16-12m}^2$, starts out at $B_{16}^2$. According to the scheme in Figure 4, this would correspond to the sum of $B_{10}^2$ and one aromatic sextet, yielding indeed eight delocalized σ-orbitals. However, there is no conjugated hydrocarbon that would combine a phenyl and a naphthyl in a centrosymmetric way. Hence, to account for the eight orbitals and keep $D_{2h}$ symmetry, we formally partition $B_{16}^2$ as two aromatic sextets at either side, and two 3c-2e bonds in the center, thus, approaching the valence bonds proposed previously by Boldyrev et al.\textsuperscript{[19]} The corresponding symmetry induction is given in Table 3. This building-up principle can then easily be extended to the next member $B_{28}^2$, which can be summarized as: $B_{28} = B_{16} + 2B_7$, or in general:

**FIGURE 7** AdINDP analysis of the σ bonds in $B_{13}^2$, showing ten localized peripheral bonds, and twelve four-center bonds with an occupation number exceeding 1.87 $|e|$
In this way, the symmetries of the entire set of orbitals can be characterized by four integer numbers, describing the frequencies of the allowed irreducible representations of the $D_{2h}$ symmetry group:

$$\Gamma_{\sigma} = a_1A_g + a_2B_2g + a_3B_1u + a_4B_2u$$

(11)

These numbers are obtained by adding the results for peripheral and central in-plane bonds in Tables 3 and 4 respectively. As an example, for the largest cluster of our series, one finds:

$$\Gamma_{\sigma}(B_{28}^2) = 10a_2 + 7B_2g + 9B_1u + 8B_2u$$

(12)

The corresponding orbitals, calculated by DFT, are all listed with their symmetries in $D_{2h}$ in the Supporting Information. There is exact correspondence between $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ and the calculated symmetries. Since in this analysis each cluster is uniquely identified by a code of four integer numbers, this observed total eclipse provides a strong proof for the proposed valence bond structure.

5 | DISCUSSION

The proposed decomposition of the valence bonds in these elongated clusters can be further analyzed using the AdNDP technique. In Figure 7, we provide the AdNDP analysis of $B_{13}$. This is one of the two clusters, which according to Table 2 can equally well be split into eight 4c-2e or 3c-2e bonds. Table 5 lists corresponding density amounts for several resonance structures with 4c-2e and 3c-2e schemes. The residues are the remaining densities that are not accounted for by the proposed partitioning. The results all refer to calculations with the B3LYP functional. Application of other functionals did not affect the occupation numbers, changes being limited to 0.1%. It is seen that the 4c-2e bonding leaves less unrecovered amount of the total density. As expected, the AdNDP analysis recovers the ten peripheral 2c-2e bonds. As for the internal four-center bonds, the $B_{13}$ network contains 16 four-center units formed by two triangles sharing a common edge. Of these, exactly 12 have an electron occupation larger than a threshold of 1.87 $|\psi|$, while the occupation of the four remaining ones cannot be detected up to a threshold 1.87 $|\psi|$. The twelve dominant 4c-2e bonds precisely constitute all the possible Kekule structures that can be drawn from two isolated hexagons, as indicated by the color scheme in Table 5. We, thus, claim that AdNDP analysis supports the proposed bonding scheme of two Clar aromatic sextets. In this interpretation AdNDP yields the basic Kekule patterns on which resonance is to be applied.[38] The Table also offers a comparison with the strictly localized scheme, consisting of two 4c-2e bonds at either side, and four internal 3c-2e bonds. It is seen that the 4c-2e bonding leaves less unrecovered amount of the total density. The arrangement of the inner $\sigma$ electrons in 4c-2e bonds grants higher occupation numbers and confirms the low occupation numbers for the unused triangles in agreement with the ideal $D_{2h}$ symmetry. The arrangement of the inner $\sigma$ electrons in 4c-2e bonds grants higher occupation numbers and confirms the low occupation numbers for the unused triangles in agreement with the ideal $D_{2h}$ symmetry.

6 | CONCLUSION

Electron counting rules for small elongated boron clusters are provided to rationalize the preference of boron for multicenter bonding. The rules can be summarized as follows: chemical bonding in the family of $B_{7n+3}$ comprises three levels:

1. Delocalized $\pi$-bonding: the number of bonding combinations is equal to $n + 2$ and closely follows the orbital scheme of a particle in a rectangular box.
2. Localized $\sigma$-bonding at the outside: the perimeter of the cluster always consists of a $(6 + 2n)$-membered chain of localized 2c-2e bonds.
3. Delocalized $\sigma$-bonding at the inside: Three subclasses are identified:
a. Clusters of type $B_{7+6m}$ comprise $m + 1$ isolated $\sigma$-aromatic sextets.

b. Clusters of type $B_{10+12m}$: their inner electron count is equal to the number of boron atoms and consists of a 10-electron naphthalene like structure and 2m aromatic sextets.

c. Clusters of type $B_{16+12m}$: their inner electron count is equal to the number of boron atoms and consists of 2m isolated $\sigma$-aromatic sextets and two central 3c-2e bonds.

These findings emphasize the dominance of $\sigma$-aromaticity in these clusters. The patterns for the inner delocalized bonding are essentially based on 4c-2e bonds and are supported by symmetry induction and by ab initio analysis with the AdNDP technique. Those 4c-2e regularities are valid for elongated boron clusters but we expect them to be useful as well for more complex cases with different topologies.
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