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Essentials

• A pediatric pharmacogenetic dosing algorithm for acenocoumarol has not yet been developed.
• We conducted a multicenter retrospective follow-up study in children in the Netherlands.
• Body surface area and indication explained 45.0% of the variability in dose requirement.
• Adding the genotypes of VKORC1, CYP2C9 and CYP2C18 to the algorithm increased this to 61.8%.

Summary. Background: The large variability in dose requirement of vitamin K antagonists is well known. For warfarin, pediatric dosing algorithms have been developed to predict the correct dose for a patient; however, this is not the case for acenocoumarol. Objectives: To develop dosing algorithms for pediatric patients receiving acenocoumarol and without genetic information. Methods: The Children Anticoagulation and Pharmacogenetics Study was designed as a multicenter retrospective follow-up study in Dutch anticoagulation clinics and children’s hospitals. Pediatric patients who used acenocoumarol between 1995 and 2014 were selected for inclusion. Clinical information and saliva samples for genotyping of the genes encoding cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2C9, vitamin K epoxide reductase complex subunit 1 (VKORC1), CYP4F2, CYP2C18 and CYP3A4 were collected. Linear regression was used to analyze their association with the log mean stable dose. A stable period was defined as three or more consecutive International Normalized Ratio measurements within the therapeutic range over a period of ≥3 weeks. Results: In total, 175 patients were included in the study, of whom 86 had a stable period and no missing clinical information (clinical cohort; median age 8.9 years, and 49% female). For 80 of these 86 patients, genetic information was also available (genetic cohort). The clinical algorithm, containing body surface area and indication, explained 45.0% of the variability in dose requirement of acenocoumarol. After addition of the VKORC1, CYP2C9, and CYP2C18 genotypes to the algorithm, this increased to 61.8%. Conclusions: These findings show that clinical factors had the largest impact on the required dose of acenocoumarol in pediatric patients. Nevertheless, genetic factors, and especially VKORC1, also explained a significant part of the variability.
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Introduction

Vitamin K antagonists (VKAs) can be used to treat or prevent thromboembolic events in pediatric patients.
Dosing of VKAs in pediatric patients is complex, partly because of the developing hemostatic system in these patients. In this population, VKA pharmacokinetics are age-dependent, with younger patients requiring a higher dose per kilogram of body weight [1]. A limited number of studies have investigated the dosing of acenocoumarol in pediatric patients. Therefore, current pediatric guidelines generally use extrapolations of adult dosing recommendations [2–4]. Only one study has investigated the initial acenocoumarol doses needed to achieve the target International Normalized Ratio (INR) in pediatric patients [5]. These initial doses are based on weight and age group, and are incorporated in the guideline of the Dutch Federation of Anticoagulation Clinics [6]. In 2009, Spoor et al. studied the initial and maintenance doses in pediatric patients for acenocoumarol and phenprocoumon in the Netherlands. The doses recommended in the guidelines seem to be safe, but are not yet optimal, with percentages of time in which the INR is within the therapeutic range (time in therapeutic range [TTR]) of around 50% during the first year of treatment [7]. Within the cohort of the Children Anticoagulation and Pharmacogenetics Study, we obtained similar results within the first 3 months of acenocoumarol use (54.6%), and higher percentages after the first 3 months of use (>64%) [8].

There is large interindividual and intraindividual variability in VKA dose requirements. The dose is influenced by many factors, such as height, weight, age, sex, indication for VKA treatment, concurrent drug therapy, and vitamin K intake. Besides these factors, variations in certain genes can influence the dose requirement. The genes that are now known to have the largest influence are those encoding cytochrome P450 (CYP) 2C9 and vitamin K epoxide reductase complex subunit 1 (VKORC1). Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in CYP2C9 (CYP2C9*2 and CYP2C9*3; rs1799853 and rs1057910, respectively) reduce the metabolism of VKAs, and an SNP in VKORC1 (rs9934438) increases sensitivity to VKAs. In both cases, the required dose is lower for patients with the variant than for those with the wild-type genotype, to prevent overanticoagulation [9]. The frequency of carrying one or two variant alleles (CYP2C9*2 or CYP2C9*3) in CYP2C9 is 35.1% in the European population [10]. For VKORC1, 61.8% of the European population has one or two variant alleles [10]. Polymorphisms in or flanking CYP4F2 (rs2108622), CYP2C19 (rs115777851) and CYP3A4 (CYP3A4*1B and CYP3A4*22; rs2740574 and rs35599367, respectively) have also been shown to explain part of the variation in adults [11–14].

For warfarin, a dosing algorithm was constructed for adults by the International Warfarin Pharmacogenetics Consortium [15]. In a study by Biss et al., the maintenance dose in pediatric patients was correlated with the calculated doses by the use of this algorithm; however, there was a continuous non-linear overestimation of the dose [16]. This indicated that there is a need for a specific algorithm for pediatric patients. Up to now, several studies have been carried out to create a warfarin pharmacogenetic dosing algorithm for pediatric patients [16–23]. However, to the best of our knowledge, no studies have been carried out to establish an algorithm for acenocoumarol in pediatric patients.

The aim of this study was to develop two dosing algorithms for acenocoumarol in pediatric patients: one algorithm with genetic information, and one without genetic information. The latter can be applied when genotyping is not (yet) available.

Methods

Study design and patient collection

We performed a retrospective follow-up study in four academic pediatric hospitals (Emma Children’s Hospital Amsterdam, Wilhelmina Children’s Hospital Utrecht, Sophia Children’s Hospital Rotterdam, and Beatrix Children’s Hospital Groningen) and the Leiden anticoagulation clinic in the Netherlands. Patients who used acenocoumarol for >1 month between January 1995 and December 2014 and who were aged ≤18 years at the time of acenocoumarol use were eligible for participation. The follow-up of a patient ended at the end date of data collection at the anticoagulation clinic (between 11 January 2014 and 10 March 2016), when they reached 19 years of age, when they stopped receiving acenocoumarol therapy, or when they were lost to follow-up. A sample size of 110 patients was required to be able to detect a difference of 1 mg daily between the CYP2C9*2 and CYP2C9*3 genotypes (α = 0.05 [two-sided]; power = 80%; standard deviation of 2.1 mg in the outcome [16]).

The Medical Ethics Review Committee of the University Medical Center Utrecht decided that the study did not need ethical approval, because non-invasive DNA collection was used. The UPPER Institutional Review Board of the Division of Pharmacoepidemiology and Clinical Pharmacology of Utrecht University approved the study protocol. All participants (and/or their parents or legal guardians) provided informed consent before taking part.

Data collection

Participants and/or their parents were asked to fill in a questionnaire. They were asked for their ethnicity, whether breastfeeding had occurred during therapy, and, if applicable, whether the breastfeeding was combined with vitamin K use by the mother.

To obtain complete data for all patients, data were collected at both the hospital where they were treated and at their anticoagulation clinic. Information on the therapeutic INR range (TR), INR values and acenocoumarol
doses was collected at the anticoagulation clinics. Information on the indication for acenocoumarol therapy, date of birth, sex, TR, INR and acenocoumarol doses was collected at the hospital. Furthermore, information on height and weight was collected at the hospital at the start of and during acenocoumarol therapy.

Genotyping

Saliva was used for collection of DNA. Saliva collection packages were sent to the participants after they had signed informed consent forms. The Oragene-DNA (DNA Genotek, Ottawa, Canada) (OG-575) kit for Assisted Collection was used for participants aged between 0 years and 4 years, and the Oragene-DNA (OG-250) kit was used for participants aged > 4 years. Genotyping was performed by the laboratory of the Leiden University Medical Center by use of a LightCycler 480 with a TaqMan SNP genotyping assay (ThermoFisher, Waltham, MA, USA). The following SNPs were genotyped: VKORC1 rs9934438, CYP2C9 rs1799853 and rs1057910, CYP3A4 rs5599367 and rs274057, and CYP2C19 rs1998591. Only genotypes of the SNPs that were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (\( P \geq 0.05 \)) were included in the analysis.

Outcome and determinants

The outcome of interest was the stable maintenance dose (in mg daily) defined as the mean dose during the first stable period after initiation without missing information on dose and INRs. A stable period was defined as three or more consecutive INR measurements within the patient-specific TR over a period of \( \geq 3 \) weeks. Patients who did not reach a stable period were excluded from the analysis.

For the development of the algorithm, the following determinants were used: age at start of stable period, sex, indication for anticoagulation, TR, weight, height, and body surface area (BSA) calculated with the formula of Haycock. For the genotype-guided algorithm, the VKORC1, CYP2C9, CYP4F2, CYP3A4 and CYP2C19 genotypes were also used as determinants (number of variant alleles).

Height and weight change continuously in pediatric patients; hence, the last available measurement could be outdated at the start of the stable period. Therefore, we constructed time windows by using the World Health Organization growth tables of height and weight for age [24–27]. For each age, the duration of time in which the mean height increased by \( \leq 5 \) cm and the mean weight by \( \leq 2 \) kg was determined. We considered height and weight to be still valid when measured within the time window. The applied time windows per age at the start of the stable period are shown in Table S1. Only weight and height values that were valid according to the above time windows were used.

Statistical analysis and algorithm development

Two algorithms were generated for acenocoumarol: one with genetic information (genetic model) and one without genetic information (clinical model). Linear regression was used to model the relationships between stable dose as outcome and determinants. Determinants that were univariately associated with outcome (\( P < 0.2 \)) were used as candidate variables for the algorithm. Two transformations of the outcome were considered, i.e. 10-log and square root transformation, to establish whether transformation would, by visual inspection, improve the normal distribution. If this was the case, the transformation by which the outcome was visually most normally distributed was used. A forward stepwise selection procedure was used for the multivariate analysis, in which all determinants with a \( P \)-value of < 0.05 were entered into the model.

To analyze differences in mean dose between categories of a determinant, a Student’s \( t \)-test or a one-way ANOVA was used.

A sensitivity analysis was conducted in which, instead of a forward stepwise selection procedure, a backward selection procedure was used. A second sensitivity analysis was conducted to check whether defining the stable period more strictly (three or more consecutive INR measurements within the TR over a period of \( \geq 4 \) weeks and no dose changes of \( > 10\% \)) would lead to different results with regard to \( R^2 \), covariates entered in the model, and the \( \beta \) estimates.

The observed mean stable dose was compared with the doses predicted by the genetic model and the doses calculated on the basis of weight and age group as described in the guideline of the Dutch Federation of Anticoagulation Clinics [6]. They were compared by use of a Wilcoxon signed rank test.

Statistical analysis was carried out with spss version 23.0.

Results

Patient characteristics

In total, 175 patients were included in the study, of whom 123 had a stable period and dose information available. The patients with valid weight and height information were included in the clinical cohort (\( n = 86 \)). Of these 86 patients, genetic analysis failed in one, and no saliva sample was collected for five. Therefore, for 80 patients, genetic information was available, and these were included in the genetic cohort (Fig. 1). An overview of the characteristics of the cohorts (including indications for anticoagulation) is shown in Table 1. The cohorts consisted of similar numbers of females and males, with median ages of 8.9 years (clinical cohort) and 9.7 years (genetic cohort). Most patients were treated with the standard-intensity (2.5–3.5) or high-intensity (3.0–4.0) TRs that were used at the time.
of the study in the Netherlands. No patients were breastfed during the stable period.

Genetic characteristics

The genotype distribution of the SNPs in the five studied genes with the observed mean stable doses are shown in Table 2. Almost all genotypes showed a trend of decreasing dose with an increase in number of variant alleles, except for CYP3A4*1B, for which a trend in the opposite direction was shown. All genotypes were in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, and all SNPs had call rates of \( \geq 99.5\% \).

Association of clinical and genetic variables with acenocoumarol dose

From the tested transformations, the 10-log transformation of the mean stable dose resulted in the visually most normal distribution, and was therefore used.

High associations existed between log mean stable dose and age (\( R^2 = 30.5; P < 0.001 \)), height (\( R^2 = 36.9; P < 0.001 \)), weight (\( R^2 = 36.5; P < 0.001 \)), and BSA (\( R^2 = 40.4; P < 0.001 \)). Patients with a Fontan circulation required a statistically significantly lower log mean stable dose than the other patients (mean difference of 1.10 mg; 95% confidence interval (CI) \([0.60–1.60]\); \( P < 0.001 \)), as shown in Fig. 2. This could not be explained by the fact that Fontan patients in general have a lower TR. When Fontan patients were compared with non-Fontan patients stratified per TR group, the mean dose was always lower in Fontan patients than in non-Fontan patients (Table S3). The TR was not statistically significantly associated with the log mean stable dose (\( R^2 = 4.2\%; P = 0.058 \)). The median of the individual mean observed doses per TR seemed to increase when the TR increased, as shown in Fig. 3. However, the observed mean stable doses of patients with a TR of 2.0–3.5 reached from the lowest to the highest observed mean stable dose in all patients in the cohort.

VKORC1, CYP2C9*2/CYP2C9*3 and CYP3A4*22 were associated with a lower log mean stable dose when the number of variant alleles increased (\( VKORC1, R^2 = 19.2\%; P < 0.001; CYP2C9*2/CYP2C9*3, R^2 = 3.9\%; P = 0.080; CYP3A4*22, R^2 = 4.2\%; P = 0.067 \)). The log mean stable dose was statistically significantly higher in patients with the VKORC1 GG genotype than in patients with the AG genotype (mean difference of 0.93 mg; 95% CI \([0.29–1.58]\); \( P = 0.010 \)) or AA genotype (mean difference of 1.35 mg; 95% CI \([0.48–2.21]\); \( P < 0.001 \)), as shown in Fig. 2. For CYP2C9*2/CYP2C9*3 and CYP3A4*22, there were no statistically significant differences in mean stable dose between the genotypes.

No associations with \( P\)-values of \(< 0.2 \) were found for ethnicity, sex, CYP4F2, or CYP3A4*1B.

Multivariate linear regression

From the univariate analysis, BSA, Fontan circulation, TR, VKORC1, CYP2C18, CYP3A4*22 and CYP2C9*2/CYP2C9*3 were used as candidate variables in the multivariate regression analysis (because \( P < 0.2 \)). For the

Fig. 1. Flowchart of patients included in the cohort for the clinical algorithm and genetic algorithm. *More information on the 47 patients who were excluded because of missing a stable period can be found in Table S2. SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.
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Table 1 Patient characteristics at start of stable period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Clinical cohort*</th>
<th>Genetic cohort*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female sex, n (%)</strong></td>
<td>(n = 86)</td>
<td>(n = 80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), median (IQR)</td>
<td>8.9 (4.2–13.3)</td>
<td>9.7 (4.2–14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (2.4)</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3, n (%)</td>
<td>16 (18.8)</td>
<td>15 (19.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–6, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (21.2)</td>
<td>15 (19.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–9, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (11.8)</td>
<td>9 (11.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–12, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (14.1)</td>
<td>12 (15.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–15, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (15.3)</td>
<td>12 (15.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–18, n (%)</td>
<td>14 (16.5)</td>
<td>14 (17.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European</td>
<td>73 (84.9)</td>
<td>68 (85.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>4 (4.7)</td>
<td>4 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>5 (5.8)</td>
<td>4 (5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indication for anticoagulation, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fontan circulation</td>
<td>26 (30.2)</td>
<td>21 (26.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosthetic heart valve</td>
<td>24 (27.9)</td>
<td>23 (28.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dilated cardiomyopathy</td>
<td>9 (10.5)</td>
<td>9 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep vein thrombosis/</td>
<td>18 (20.9)</td>
<td>18 (22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pulmonary embolism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneurysm</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary hypertension</td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
<td>3 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other cardiac indication†</td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
<td>3 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TR, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra-low (2.0–2.5)</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (2.0–3.0)</td>
<td>18 (20.9)</td>
<td>15 (16.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard (2.5–3.5)</td>
<td>43 (50.0)</td>
<td>42 (54.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (3.0–4.0)</td>
<td>22 (25.6)</td>
<td>20 (24.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra high (3.5–4.5)</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA†, median (IQR)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.67–1.38)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.68–1.38)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**BSA**, body surface area; **IQR**, interquartile range; **TR**, therapeutic International Normalized Ratio range. *The genetic cohort is derived from the clinical cohort, with exclusion of six patients without genetic information available. †Consists of supraventricular tachycardia and an unspecified arrhythmia. ‡Calculated with the formula of Haycock.

When the stricter definition of a stable period was used, 47 patients could be included in the analysis. Multivariable analysis resulted in a genetic algorithm consisting of BSA, *VKORC1* genotype, and *CYP2C9* genotype (adjusted $R^2 = 62.2\%$). The coefficients were similar to those generated with the cohort with the less strict definition. The clinical algorithm consisted only of BSA and explained 42.6\% of the variability in the dose requirement. Data are shown in Tables S4–S6.

When age was used instead of BSA, 116 patients could be included in the genetic cohort. The same variables with comparable coefficients were found, with an adjusted $R^2$ of 54.4\%. The clinical algorithm ($n = 123$) with age and Fontan circulation explained only 37.9\% of the variability in the dose requirement. Data are shown in Tables S7–S9.

**Guideline versus the genetic algorithm**

Overall, the current guideline of the Dutch Federation of Anticoagulation Clinics shows a slight trend of underestimating the dose for homozygous wild-type genotypes and overestimating the dose for homozygous variant genotypes (Table 2). For the *VKORC1* AA genotype, homozygous variant type, there was a statistically significant overestimation of the dose according to the guideline as compared with the required observed stable dose (median difference of 0.44 mg; interquartile range [IQR] 0.28–0.98; $P = 0.019$). Furthermore, for the *CYP2C18* GG genotype, homozygous wild-type, a statistically significant underestimation of the dose was observed (median difference of $–0.20 \text{ mg;}$ IQR $–0.88$ to $0.25$; $P = 0.025$).

Figure 4 shows that the difference between the predicted and the observed dose was small for most patients (median differences of 0.38 mg [genetic model] and 0.54 mg [clinical model and current guideline]). With the genetic model, 82.5\% of the patients had a predicted dose that was $\leq 1$ mg higher or lower than the observed dose. For the clinical model and the current guideline, these proportions were 77.5\% and 75\%, respectively. Two patients (cases 6 and 11) had large overestimations of the dose by the genetic model (differences of $>2$ mg). Neither patient had a Fontan circulation or variant *VKORC1*, *CYP2C9* and *CYP2C18* genotypes. However, they had obesity (body mass index [BMI] $>30$) and had a distinctively higher BSA than other patients of the same age (Fig. S1). Four patients (cases 16, 55, 62, and 68) had large underestimations of the dose ($<2$ mg) by the clinical model and the guideline (and for case 68, also the genetic model). These patients did not have variant *VKORC1*, *CYP2C9* and *CYP2C18* genotypes or a Fontan circulation. However, they had higher observed doses than other patients of similar age (Fig. S2).

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first pediatric cohort in which the effects of clinical and genetic
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factors on the acenocoumarol dose requirement have been studied. It shows that almost two-thirds of the variability in acenocoumarol dose requirement can be explained by BSA, Fontan circulation, and VKORC1, CYP2C9 and CYP2C18 genotypes. Almost half of the total variability can be explained by clinical factors.
With our data, we were able to develop both a clinical and a genetic dosing algorithm for acenocoumarol in pediatric patients.

Age or age-related factors have been shown to be important explanatory factors for the variability in dose requirement in all pediatric pharmacogenetic studies, ranging from 12% to 52.8% [16–21,23]. Mostly, age was used, but sometimes height or weight was also used. We decided to use BSA, which incorporates both height and weight, and had the highest correlation with the required maintenance dose in our cohort. BSA explained 38.3% of the variability in dose requirement. The choice of BSA resulted in a marked decrease in sample size, because a large number of patients had no (valid) BSA information available. The number of patients (80) included in the genetic cohort is lower than the number required (110) on the basis of the sample size calculation. However, we found a standard deviation of 1.26 mg in the outcome for CYP2C9 (data not shown), which is lower than the 2.1 mg used in the sample size calculation. Consequently, a power of 97.7% was achieved with these 80 patients. The sensitivity analysis in which age was used instead of BSA led to a similar model (same variables and similar coefficients). This shows that the smaller sample size of the cohort when BSA was used did not materially influence the model or decrease the chance of other factors being included in the model.

Fig. 3. The observed mean stable dose per therapeutic International Normalized Ratio range (TR) in the clinical cohort. IQR, interquartile range.

Table 3 Genetic and clinical algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Genetic algorithm* (n = 80)</th>
<th>Clinical algorithm† (n = 86)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficients (95% CI)</td>
<td>Univariate unadjusted $R^2$ (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA</td>
<td>0.316 (0.228 to 0.404)</td>
<td>38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fontan circulation</td>
<td>$-0.102 (-0.187 to -0.017)$</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VKORC1</td>
<td>$-0.120 (-0.171 to -0.069)$</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C18</td>
<td>$-0.084 (-0.146 to -0.022)$</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C9<em>2 and CYP2C9</em>3</td>
<td>$-0.090 (-0.155 to -0.026)$</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted $R^2$ of the algorithm (%)</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted $R^2$ of the algorithm (%)</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BSA, body surface area; CI, confidence interval; CYP, cytochrome P450; VKORC1, vitamin K epoxide reductase complex subunit 1; –, not applicable. *Regression equation: $\log$ daily dose (mg) = $0.105 + 0.316 \text{ (BSA, m}^2\text{)} - 0.102 \text{ (Fontan circulation, yes = 1; no = 0)} - 0.120 \text{ (number of VKORC1 variant alleles)} - 0.084 \text{ (number of CYP2C18 variant alleles)} - 0.090 \text{ (number of CYP2C9*2 and CYP2C9*3 variant alleles).}$
†Regression equation: $\log$ daily dose (mg) = $-0.061 + 0.319 \text{ (BSA, m}^2\text{)} - 0.149 \text{ (Fontan circulation, yes = 1; no = 0).}$
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Patients with a Fontan circulation required a lower acenocoumarol dose than patients without a Fontan circulation. The presence of a Fontan circulation explained 17.3% of the variability. This is substantially higher than the 3.2% and 2.4% found in two other studies [16,21]. Patients with a Fontan circulation were, on average, younger than the other patients and had therefore a lower BSA. Adding Fontan circulation to the model next to BSA resulted in only a minor change in the unadjusted $R^2$ (6%). Furthermore, the TR could have influenced the dose in patients with a Fontan circulation. In the Netherlands, the range between 2.0 and 3.0 is mostly used for patients with a Fontan circulation (in our genetic cohort, 60% of the patients with this TR had a Fontan circulation). However, we showed that patients with a Fontan circulation required a lower dose even when stratified on the TR. The remaining dose-lowering effect of a Fontan circulation can probably be explained by abnormalities in these patients in liver function and coagulation [28,29].

In line with other studies, VKORC1 is the most important genetic factor, and explains a larger part of the variability (19.2%) than CYP2C9*2 and CYP2C9*3 (3.9%). The percentages of the explained variability fluctuate between the different studies, from 2.8% to 47% [18,20] for VKORC1, and from 0.3% to 26.6% for CYP2C9 [16,18]. The reasons for this fluctuation could be the differences in patient characteristics and the small sample sizes of many studies.

In line with the findings of Teichert et al. in adults, we showed an association with the SNP (rs1998591) flanking CYP2C18 and the acenocoumarol dose [12]. We found that patients with the variant allele needed a lower acenocoumarol dose. The role of this SNP in the metabolism of acenocoumarol is still unclear, which makes interpreting these results difficult.

The ontogeny of the associated CYP450 enzymes and that of the target enzyme of acenocoumarol are similar. They already approach adult levels in early childhood. After birth, the concentration of CYP2C9 quickly increases, and adult values are already approached in the early years of life [30,31]. However, there is variation in expression levels at every age. Little is known about the ontogeny of CYP2C18, but it seems to have a similar ontogeny to that of CYP2C9 [32]. VKORC1 shows low activity in liver tissue in the early prenatal period. After the postnatal period, the activity stabilizes at adult values [33]. Therefore, the influences of ontogeny on the results are most likely minimal.

We did not find a statistically significant association for CYP4F2, CYP3A4*22, and CYP3A4*1B, which is in line with all other pediatric studies [17,21–23]. Furthermore, there was no statistically significant association between the TR and dose requirement. This is in line with the results of three other pediatric studies with warfarin [16,18,21]. Only two studies showed an association between dose and the TR, explaining 4.4% and 18% of the variation in warfarin dose requirement [17,20]. We showed that the observed doses per TR were overlapping substantially.
meet the dose requirement of the patient. Furthermore, some pharmacies were already manufacturing capsules for patients needing low doses. In this study, we found that acenocoumarol tablets would be required for young patients. In clinical setting costs money for genotyping. Verhoef et al. showed that pharmacogenetic dosing of acenocoumarol in adults could slightly increase health, but would only be cost-effective when the costs of genotyping decreased to €30 or less [34]. Furthermore, the costs of genotyping are rapidly decreasing, so prices in this range might be possible in the near future.

The pediatric acenocoumarol dosing algorithm is based only on age group and weight. van Schie et al. have described how the calculated mean maintenance dose can be achieved with the current available tablets of 1 mg [35]. This method can also be used for tablets of lower strength.

We have provided two dosing algorithms; however, we recommend using the genetic algorithm. When it is not possible to perform genotyping, the clinical model can be used. In Table S9, we also show a model with only age instead of BSA, which could be used when weight and height are unknown. However, the percentage of variability that was explained by the clinical model with age instead of BSA was 7.1% lower (37.9% versus 45.0%). This is a high percentage, which makes it worthwhile to determine height and weight in clinical practice before starting acenocoumarol.

In conclusion, we have shown that clinical factors explain a large proportion of the variability in dose requirement of acenocoumarol in pediatric patients. Furthermore, we have demonstrated that polymorphisms in \( VKORC1 \), \( CYP2C9 \) and \( CYP2C18 \) all increase the explained variability. Together, clinical and genetic factors were able to explain 61.8% of the variability. Both the clinical model and the genetic model are expected to improve acenocoumarol therapy in pediatric patients, as compared with the dosing method used today, which is based only on age group and weight.
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