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ABSTRACT

To mitigate the effects of population aging, measures aimed at encouraging people to work longer are being implemented in many countries. However, older people are usually in poorer physical health, and poorer physical health is associated with premature labor force withdrawal. We investigate whether the age-related decline in physical health represents a hurdle to higher labor force participation levels at older ages by proposing a simulation in which the age profile of physical health stays constant over time, while all other factors that predict labor force participation are postponed. The model is fitted using data collected by the Survey of Health, Aging and Retirement in Europe (SHARE) in 14 European countries. The results show that on average across these countries, the effect of health on labor force participation levels is small. This effect is slightly bigger in countries in which labor force participation levels and the share of the population receiving disability benefits are already high. Thus, the decline in physical health with age should not greatly limit the effectiveness of policies designed to encourage employment at older ages.

In the context of population aging, measures are being taken in many OECD countries to promote work at older ages. One such measure is raising the pensionable age, or the age at which workers can start collecting pension benefits. Examples of countries that have increased the pensionable age include the United States, the Netherlands, the United Kingdom, Italy, and France (OECD, 2015).

Concerns have been raised about whether people will remain healthy enough to be able to continue to participate in the labor market as they grow older. The implicit assumption of linking changes in the pensionable age to changes in life expectancy is that the ability to work changes along with life expectancy. However, shifts in healthy life expectancy are arguably more closely related to changes in the ability to work than life expectancy as such. So far, the question of whether longer life is accompanied by more years in good health has not been fully answered. While the prevalence of severe disability seems to be declining over time, less severe forms of disability are becoming more common (Klijs et al. 2010; Lefrancois et al. 2013; Cambois et al. 2008). Trends in chronic conditions suggest that morbidity is expanding (Chatterij et al. 2015; Crimmins and Beltran-Sanchez 2011). Other measures of health have uncovered contradictory trends in different countries (Verropoulou and Tsimbos 2016).

People often retire before reaching pensionable age, and secure income via other governmental programs. Retiring prior to reaching pensionable age is associated with poorer health. This association is weaker among people who collect early retirement benefits or unemployment benefits (Bazzoli 1985; Alavinia and Burdorf 2008; Robroek et al. 2013) but stronger among people who collect disability benefits (Karpansalo et al. 2004; Robroek et al. 2013). If healthy life expectancy stays constant over time and people do not become eligible for pension benefits until they reach a higher age, we can assume that more people will use these routes to early retirement. This dynamic could put more pressure on these programs, thereby undermining the efficiency of a higher pensionable age. But how big is this problem likely to be?

The paper is organized as follows. First, we provide a framework that establishes the conditions for higher participation in the context of a higher retirement age. Then, in the methods section, we give an overview of the data and variables used, we introduce the model, and we show how the simulation is performed. In the results section, we illustrate the fit of the model. Next, we present the results of the simulation for an average European country, first in the form of working life expectancy, then in the form of characteristics-based ages. In the last part of the results section, we test the sensitivity of the results by running the simulation on two groups of countries that differ concerning work and health at older ages. In the last section, we discuss the findings and the limitations of the study.
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FRAMEWORK

The increase in the age at which individuals become eligible to receive pension benefits is expected to have a positive effect on labor force participation levels at older ages. To our knowledge, however, it has never before been clearly stated how much labor force participation levels are expected to increase as a result of raising the normal retirement age. Furthermore, we know of no existing framework that has clarified through which mechanisms changes in the pensionable age will lead to higher labor market participation levels. In this section, we propose a framework that sheds light on these two issues.

Our framework builds on the characteristics approach to the measurement of population aging developed by Sanderson and Scherbov (2013). The application of this approach has shown that the pace at which the average individual ages varies across different populations and subpopulations. Because chronological age is always computed the same way (i.e., using time from birth), it does not capture such differences. The characteristics that are relevant for defining how old a person is can be used alongside age to compare how people age across subpopulations. For example, a study conducted in the United States used the characteristics approach to compare the aging rates of different subpopulations based on grip strength. The results showed that, on average, more educated people of a given chronological age had the same grip strength as less educated people with a younger chronological age. The authors therefore concluded that as measured by grip strength, more educated people age at a slower pace than less educated people (Sanderson and Scherbov 2014b).

We consider here a hypothetical country with a normal retirement age of 65 years old. The characteristic “being eligible for pension benefits” is bound to age 65. Let us further assume that, in the same country, legislation is passed that postpones eligibility by two years of age. The individuals affected by the change in legislation will have the characteristics “being eligible for pension benefits” at the chronological age of 67 instead of 65. In both cases, however, the characteristics-based ages of these people are the same as measured by eligibility for pension benefits.

Eligibility for pension benefits does not always equate to retirement, as people often retire before or after that age. In the present paper, we are interested in people’s behavior as measured by their participation in the labor force. We will therefore concentrate on the characteristic “being out of the labor force because of retirement”. We will assume that a change in legislation that postpones by n years the age at which an individual becomes eligible for pension benefits is intended to encourage an equivalent postponement of retirement. In other words, we assume a change of two years in the characteristic “being eligible for pension benefits” to mean an expected change of two years in the characteristic “being out of the labor force because of retirement”, regardless of the age at which the change takes place.

Whether this expectation will materialize depends on the change in the schedule of all of the characteristics that together determine the timing of retirement. This set of characteristics includes, for example, the social norms regarding retirement and financial preparedness. The discrepancy between the expected change in retirement timing and the actual change will depend on two things: the size of the impact of each characteristic of the set on retirement timing; and the amount of change that takes place in this characteristic’s schedule.

In the present paper, we investigate the specific impact of one of those characteristics; namely, physical health. Cross-sectional studies have consistently shown that there is an association between being in poor physical health and leaving the labor force before reaching the statutory retirement age. For example, conditions like stroke, diabetes, heart disease, and arthritis (Alavinia and Burdorf 2008; Smith et al. 2014; Kalwij and Vermeulen 2008); a lack of physical
activity (Alavinia and Burdorf 2008); musculoskeletal problems (Alavinia and Burdorf 2008; Smith et al. 2014); and lower grip strength (Kalwij and Vermeulen 2008) are all factors associated with being out of the labor force prior to reaching the statutory retirement age. These associations hold when studied from a cross-sectional (Van Rijn et al. 2014) as well as from a longitudinal perspective (Van der Noordt et al. 2014).

Out of all of the characteristics that could hinder an increase in the labor market participation rates of older people, we consider the characteristic physical health to be of particular importance. While it may be possible to intervene to support some personal characteristics that pertain to the capacity of an older person to perform work, like motivation or skills; there is little that can be done to reverse a decline in physical health with age (Ilmarinen 2001). Furthermore, while changes in legislation may be able to influence characteristics like norms or financial preparedness, they have no or little impact on physical health.

Another important characteristic that influences work ability is mental health. The relationship between retirement and mental health is complex. While mental health has been found to have an impact on retirement (Olesen 2012), the opposite causality has also been found (Van der Heide 2013). Thus, age-related changes in mental health can be misleading (Riffe et al. 2017). By contrast, physical health can be more easily considered as a function of age, as there is no consistent evidence that retirement affects this dimension of health (Johnston and Lee 2009). For this reason, we focus on physical health, although the method used to measure physical must be chosen with care (Bound and Waidman 2007).

In the present paper, we propose a simulation in which we simulate a change in legislation that postpones eligibility for pension benefits by n years. We thereby assume that except for physical health, all of the characteristics that determine timing to retirement are also postponed. We then provide a measure of the discrepancy between the expected n value and the actual n value that originates from delaying the schedule of all characteristics that predict timing to retirement except physical health.

**METHODS**

**Data Source**

We use data from wave 2 (2006–2007) of The Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE) (Börsch-Supan et al. 2013; Börsch-Supan 2016a). Although SHARE offers data at more points in time, wave 2 is the wave that best suits our needs in terms of measures of physical health. Furthermore, using data from this period allows us to avoid the negative impact that the Great Recession had on labor market participation at older ages in many countries. The countries that participated in wave 2 are Austria, Germany, Sweden, the Netherlands, Spain, Israel, Italy, France, Denmark, Greece, Switzerland, Belgium, the Czech Republic, Poland, and Ireland. We dropped observations collected in Israel to focus on Europe.

---

9 The SHARE data collection has been primarily funded by the European Commission through FP5 (QLK6-CT-2001-00360), FP6 (SHARE-I3: RII-CT-2006-062193, COMPARE: CIT5-CT-2005-028857, SHARELIFE: CIT4-CT-2006-028812) and FP7 (SHARE-PREP: N°211909, SHARE-LEAP: N°227822, SHARE M4: N°261982). Additional funding from the German Ministry of Education and Research, the U.S. National Institute on Aging (U01_AG09740-13S2, P01_AG005842, P01_AG08291, P30_AG12815, R21_AG025169, Y1_AG-4553-01, IAG_BSR06-11, OGHA_04-064) and from various national funding sources is gratefully acknowledged (see www.share-project.org).
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only. We further limit the observations we use to those in which the respondents were aged 50–64 at the time of the interview. The lower age limit is imposed by the survey. The upper age limit was chosen because the estimates become increasingly erratic at higher ages as the number of people who remain active in the labor market declines. The number of observations for those countries at these ages is 17,983. Figure 4.1 breaks them down by country.

Figure 4.1 Number of observations included in the dataset, by country. Source: own calculations with SHARE data.

The variables

The health variable

The health variable is a composite measure in which we take the average of the standardised score obtained in three tests of physical health: grip strength, peak expiratory flow, and chair stand. Although SHARE offers more measures of health, we have chosen to limit ourselves to these three as they are the only measures available that are objective and measured on a continuous scale. The objective property allows us to avoid any justification bias (Lindeboom and Kerkhofs 2009), while the continuous property enables us to make a more precise assessment of the impact of health on labor force participation (more on this below). The three measures are all part of SHARE’s module on objective measures of physical health. A fourth measure, walking speed, is not used here as it is only collected among people aged 75 and older.

Grip strength measures upper body strength and is an indicator of general physical vitality. It is assessed using a Smedley spring-type dynamometer and is given in kilograms. Grip strength has been shown to predict incapacity, hospital stays, death, and decline in cognitive health. Good reviews of the literature on the link between grip strength and different health outcomes can be found in Bohannon (2008) and Sanderson and Scherbov (2014b).

The lung test is performed using a Mini-Wright Peak Flow Meter. It measures the maximum strength with which the respondent expires air out of his or her lungs. The result is given in litres per minute. This test is especially useful in detecting respiratory problems such as asthma and emphysema. It can predict death (Cook et al. 1991) and the decline in cognitive capacities (Albert et al. 1995) and is linked to other measures of physical health (Seeman et al. 1994).
The chair stand test measures lower body strength and is also an indicator of general physical vitality. Some variants of this test exist. We use the variant where the participant is asked to sit on a chair with his or her arms crossed and to get up and sit down again five times as quickly as possible. The result of the test is given in seconds. This test has been shown to predict mortality (Cooper 2010b) and constitutes a good proxy of general health (Jones et al. 1999; Rozanska-Kirschke et al. 2006).

**Labor force participation**

The SHARE participants were asked about their labor force status at the moment of the interview. SHARE breaks down the answers into six categories: retired, working, unemployed, permanently disabled, homemaker, and other. We considered the people who were classified as working or unemployed to be active on the labor market and assigned them the value one. Conversely, we considered the people who were classified as belonging to any of the other categories to be inactive and assigned them the value zero.

**Imputation**

Some observations did not have a valid value for each variable of health or for the variable of labor force participation. Furthermore, the values that were less than three seconds for the chair rise were reconverted into missing, as we judged them to be very unlikely. This cut-off is based on another survey that contains results for the same test. The numbers of missing values are as follows: 1183 observations concerning grip strength, 1773 observations concerning peak expiratory flow, 3379 observations concerning the chair stand, and 469 observations concerning labor force participation.

The missing values for health are mainly attributable to the respondent being unable to perform the necessary test, often because of a temporary or a long-lasting injury or disability. Accordingly, having a missing value is significantly associated with worse self-assessed health (regression models controlling for age and sex; coef: −.5400 (grip); −.4449 (peak); .6048 (chair); all significant at the 0.01 level). Ignoring those values would likely underestimate the impact of physical health on work.

We used multiple imputation in order to replace the missings with imputed values (Little and Rubin 2002). We performed a sequential imputation using a logistic regression on labor force status and a linear regression on grip strength, peak expiratory flow, and chair stand. The predictors were self-assessed health, country, gender, and age. The analyses were produced based on the average of 25 imputations. The command mi impute of Stata version 12 was used to produce the imputation (Stata Corp 2011).

**Standardization**

Our analyses are based in part on the density function of the composite measure of physical health. We assume that each of the three measures of physical health are normally distributed. From the outset, the distributions of the grip and peak expiratory flow outcomes were fairly close to a normal distribution for each sex. The chair stand outcomes were skewed to the right. We reconverted this measure’s values into their natural logarithms. Tables 4.1 and 4.2 present summary statistics on the distribution of each variable for men and women, respectively.

---

10 The English Longitudinal Survey on Ageing did not contain any value below 3 concerning this same measurement.
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Table 4.1: Summary statistics for the three measures of health, men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Grip Strength</th>
<th>Peak expiratory flow</th>
<th>Chair Stand (log)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>466.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>470.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>880.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.2: Summary statistics for the three measures of health, women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Grip Strength</th>
<th>Peak expiratory flow</th>
<th>Chair Stand (log)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>319.7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>320.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>850.0</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the value of each measure of health may not have the same meaning between the sexes and across countries, we reconverted each measure according to the standard deviation to which it belonged. The standard deviations were computed by sex and country. For each measure, we created 60 categories with a 0.1 standard deviation width going from three standard deviations below the median up to three standard deviations above the median. The values below and above those marks were considered to be extreme outliers, and the observations to which they belonged were dropped. The analyses were run on a total of 17,507 observations. As the results of the Pearson test displayed in Table 4.3 show, each measure of health is relatively independent of the other two. We therefore assume that the composite measure covers a fairly broad spectrum of physical health.

Table 4.3 Correlation matrix between the measures of physical health grip strength, peak expiratory flow and chair stand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Grip Strength</th>
<th>Peak expiratory flow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grip Strength</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak expiratory flow</td>
<td>0.2040</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair Stand</td>
<td>0.3438</td>
<td>0.2427</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The model

The goal of the model is to isolate the specific influence of physical health from the other factors that influence retirement timing. This will allow us to simulate a postponement of all the characteristics that are relevant to retirement timing, except for physical health. The model rests on three building blocks: (1) participation as a function of age, (2) health as a function of age, and (3) participation as a function of health. The model is fully parameterised, which allows us to obtain more consistent estimates. Here we give a formal description of the model.
 Participation as a function of age. Age captures much of the variation in participation at older ages. It is a good proxy for different underlying factors that determine retirement timing, such as financial preparedness, norms, and health. We model change in labor force participation according to age following the logistic function
\[
L_x = c_l + \frac{a_l e^{b_l (x - m_l)}}{1 + e^{b_l (x - m_l)}}
\]  
(1)

where \(L_x\) is the proportion of people participating on the labor market at age \(x\), \(b\) determines the strength of the age-related change in participation, \(m\) is the age where the slope is the steepest (i.e. the modal age of exits from the labor market), \(c\) is the lower boundary (i.e. the minimum proportion of people active) and \(c + a\) is the upper boundary (i.e. the maximum proportion of people active). The subscript \(l\) refers to labor force participation. In estimating the parameters, we imposed the constraint that \(c\) could not go below 0, as negative participation is impossible.

 Health as a function of age. The age-related decrease in health is the main source of concern when considering the possibly negative impact of health on the postponement of labor force participation. The normally distributed variable of health is modeled based on its mean value and standard deviation. We modeled change in mean health according to age based on a logistic function, supposing that mean health varies between an upper and a lower boundary as we move along the \(x\) axis representing age
\[
\mu_x = c_h + \frac{a_h e^{b_h (x - m_h)}}{1 + e^{b_h (x - m_h)}}
\]  
(2)

where \(\mu_x\) is mean health at age \(x\), \(b\) determines the strength of age-related changes in mean health, \(m\) is the age where the slope is the steepest, \(c\) is the lower boundary (i.e. the minimum mean health) and \(c + a\) is the upper boundary (i.e. the maximum mean health). The subscript \(h\) refers to health status.

We consider the health of the active population on the one hand and the health of the whole population on the other hand. For each specification of the model, the health of the active population was found to be significantly better than the one of the non-active population (controlling for age and sex). Due to some random fluctuation, the curves of the age specific mean values of physical health for the active population and the one for the whole population sometimes crossed. In order to obtain a realistic model, we impose two constraints. For both populations we set \(c\) at “0”, supposing that both curves approach 0 as age gets higher. Then, we impose that \(a\) be at least equally high for the active population as for the whole population.

The health function of age is further defined in terms of the age-specific standard deviations. The variation of the observed standard deviations according to age do not show any significant slope. As there is also no theoretical reasons to believe standard variation should vary according to age, we model the standard variation based on the average standard deviation observed between age 50 and 64 for both sexes.

 Participation as a function of health. The variation in participation according to health is found based on the age-participation as well as the age-health functions described above. Based on the age-specific mean health and standard deviation, we find for each age the health density of the whole population. Using the same parameters, we find the health density of the active population and we weight it according to the age-participation function described by Equation (1). The health-specific levels of participation are found by dividing the function describing the health of the active population weighted by the proportion participating on the labor market by the function describing the health of the whole population.
More formally, the health of the whole population is defined in terms of the density function

\[ W_{h,x} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{w,x}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{h - \mu_{w,x}}{\sigma_{w,x}}\right)^2} \]  

(3)

where \( W_{h,x} \) = the share of the whole population with health status \( h \) at age \( x \), \( \mu_{w,x} \) is the mean health of the whole population at age \( x \) as provided by equation (2), \( \sigma_{w,x} \) is the standard deviation of the health measure of the whole population and \( h \) is a health value of infinitesimal width.

The health of the active population is defined in terms of the product of the age-specific level of participation and of the density function

\[ A_{h,x} = L_x (\frac{1}{\sigma_{a}\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{h - \mu_{a,x}}{\sigma_{a}}\right)^2} ) \]  

(4)

where \( A_{h,x} \) = the share of the active population with health status \( h \) at age \( x \), \( L_x \) the participation level at age \( x \), \( \mu_{a,x} \) is the mean health of the active population at age \( x \) as provided by equation (2), \( \sigma_{a} \) is the standard deviation of the health measure for the active population and \( h \) is a health value of infinitesimal width.

We divide the proportion of people active on the labor market inside of the density function of the active population by the density function one of the whole population. This provides us with health and age specific labor force participation rates

\[ \frac{A_{h,x}}{W_{h,x}} = l_{h,x} \]  

(5)

where \( l_{h,x} \) = the level of participation at health \( h \) and age \( x \).

The simulation

The simulation consists in applying the set of health specific levels of participation described by Equation (5) to the population that is 6 years older. We base this figure on Eurostat’s projected raise in life expectancy at age 65 to the horizon 2057 (Eurostat high variant scenario; Eurostat 2016b), as many countries have decided to synchronize changes in the age at which pension benefits are available with changes in life expectancy (OECD 2011a).

The simulation can be described as a two steps procedure. First, the product of the postponed health-specific levels of participation and of the health density of the whole population is found

\[ l_{h,x+n_t}W_{h,x+t} = A_{h,x,t+T} \]  

(6)

where \( A_{h,x,t+T} \) = the density for the population that is active on the labor market with health \( h \) and age \( x \), supposing a postponement in all characteristics inherent to retirement timing except for physical health. Then, by summing up the values of \( A \) over all values of \( h \)

\[ \sum_h A_{h,x,t+T} = l_{x+n_t+T} \]  

(7)

we obtain a set of age specific participation rates, where \( l_{x+n_t+T} \) = the age specific participation rate supposing a postponement in all factors allowing people to work longer, except physical health.
Panel 1: Labor force participation by years of age (eq. 1)

Panel 2: Mean health by years of age (eq. 2)

Panel 3: Standard deviation by years of age

Figure 4.2 Estimated and observed values, for the parameters proportion participating on the labor market, mean health and standard deviation of health, men and women
RESULTS

The results are presented in three parts. First, we present the outcomes for men and women, pooling the data from all of the countries included in the dataset. We also demonstrate the fit of the model and illustrate how we simulated a postponement of retirement while keeping physical health constant. Second, using working life expectancy, we present for the same sets of observations the size of the impact of declining physical health on the postponement of retirement. Third, we assess the sensitivity of the results by comparing them between two groups of countries.

Fitting the model

Figure 4.2 presents for men and women the fitted and observed values of labor force participation by year of age (Panel 1), mean health by year of age (Panel 2) and the standard deviation by year of age (Panel 3). The fitted values give the L, μ and σ, parameters, respectively.

The μ and σ values represented by the blue line (whole) in Panel 2 and Panel 3 allow us to obtain health densities for the whole population for each year of age (Equation 3). The health density of men age 55, \( W_{x,55} \), is represented in blue in the top left graph of Figure 4.3. The μ and σ values represented by the red line (active) in the same panel allows us to obtain health densities for the active population (Equation 4). These are multiplied by the proportion of people of the same age that is active on the labor market as described in Figure 4.2. The resulting proportion is represented by the red, bell-shaped surface in the top left graph of Figure 4.3 (\( A_{x,55} \)).

![Figure 4.3 Illustration of the simulation of a postponement of labor force participation keeping physical health constant, men, age 55 to 61](image-url)

Simulation age 55 (postponed to 61)

Top left:  
Blue = \( W_{x,55} \) (eq. (4))  
Red = \( A_{x,55} \) (eq. (5))

Top right:  
\( h_{x,55} \) (eq. (6))

Bottom Left:  
Blue = \( W_{x,55} \) (eq. (4))  
Red = \( h_{x,55}*A_{x,61} \) (eq. (7))
Dividing the red bell-shaped curve with the blue one of the top left graph of Figure 4.3 (Equation 5) provides us with the top right graph in the same figure. The curve represents the health specific participation rates ($l_{h,55}$).

The blue bell-shaped curve in the bottom left graph represents the health density of men age 61. These men are assumed to have the same labor force participation as people age 55 after postponement. However, the health of the men age 61 is poorer than that of the men age 55, as represented by the lower $\mu$ value (age 55 = 31.5; age 61 = 29.4). We multiply this health function with the health specific participation levels of people age 55 (Equation 6), as represented in the top right graph ($l_{h,55}$). This provides us with the proportion inside the bell-curve represented in red. It is the proportion of people working at age 61 supposing a 6 years postponement of all the factors that determine labor force participation except physical health (Equation 7). As a result of the limiting effect of declining physical health, the surface in red in the bottom left graph is slightly smaller than the surface in red in the top left graph.

---

**Figure 4.4 Illustration of the simulation of a postponement of labor force participation keeping physical health constant, men, age 60 to 66**

Figure 4.4 illustrates the same process taking place between age 60 (baseline) and age 66 (postponement). Here, we see that the health as well as the participation levels are lower due to higher age. Figure 4.5 illustrate the resulting levels of participation for all years of age and compares them with the levels that would have been obtained if physical health did postpone along with the rest of the characteristics determining retirement timing.

**Work life expectancies**

As a way to present the results, we computed abridged working life expectancy for each sex, pooling all observations from the 14 countries. The results represent the situation of an average European country. Here, the working life expectancy is just the area under the participation
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curve, as defined by Equation (7). It is calculated on 20 years of age, and conditionally on being active at baseline (i.e., the area under the curve is divided by participation at baseline).

The working life expectancy was first calculated between age 50 and age 70, without supposing any change in characteristics. The results of this computation are represented in Figure 4.6 by the full bars, which reach 10.74 years for men and 9.28 years for women. The postponement of the eligibility for retirement benefits, with a corresponding postponement in the whole set of characteristics inherent to retirement timing including physical health would mean that people aged 56–76 would, at baseline, have the same working life expectancy as people aged 50–70. The right part of the bars shows the discrepancy introduced by the failure to postpone the decline in physical health, while all of the other factors are postponed. The discrepancy is quite small in each case; reaching 0.36 years for men and 0.55 for women.

Figure 4.5 Proportion active on the labor market by year of age, with and without the limiting effect of physical health on postponement

Figure 4.6 Work life expectancy age 56-76, with and without the limiting effect of physical health on postponement, men and women

Another way to look at the same results is by using the characteristics-based age. Here, we use the remaining working life expectancy as a characteristic to assess the size of the discrepancy introduced by postponing all of the factors inherent in labor force participation except health. The results are presented in Table 4.4. Assuming a six-year postponement, people aged 56 are expected to have the same remaining working life expectancy as people aged 50 if all of the
relevant factors are postponed. The failure to postpone the decline in physical health brings this figure down to 55.62 for men and to 55.42 for women.

Table 4.4 Age at which work life expectancy is the same as reference age, supposing a postponement in all factors except physical health, men and women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference age</th>
<th>Age at which work life expectancy is the same as reference age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>55.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sensitivity analysis

The results presented above give us an idea of the impact of a constant age profile of physical health when the rest of the factors predicting retirement are postponed in an average European country. Here, we assess whether this average could hide big discrepancies between countries. In order to obtain more stable estimates, we produced results for two groups of countries rather than for single countries.

The groups are built so that they diverge from each other in terms of the impact of health on the postponement of retirement. The first group is comprised of countries that have higher levels of labor force participation at older ages and higher shares of the population aged 50–65 receiving disability benefits: namely, Sweden, Denmark, the Netherlands, Ireland, the Czech Republic, and Spain. The second group is comprised of countries that have lower levels of participation and lower shares of the population aged 50–65 receiving disability benefits: namely, France, Greece, Austria, Belgium, and Italy. Levels of participation at older ages are calculated by Eurostat for the year 2007 (Eurostat 2016a). The proportions of the population receiving disability benefits are calculated based on auto-declaration and are found in Börsch-Supan (2011).

Our a priori assumption is that the impact of physical health on the postponement of retirement will be bigger in the first group, because the people in this group tend to still be working at higher ages, and older people tend to be in poorer physical health. Furthermore, we expect the higher share of the population receiving disability benefits to translate into a bigger impact of health on labor force participation. We call the first group the high-impact countries, and the second group the low-impact countries.

The results in Figure 4.7 confirm our expectation. In the high-impact countries, the number of years men are expected to spend in the labor market between ages 56 and 76 is 11.63. The actual figure is 11.12; a difference of 0.51 years. In the low-impact countries, men are expected to spend 9.48 years in the labor market between ages 56 and 76 with the postponement of all characteristics. When the limiting effect of physical health is taken into account, the figure is 9.28; a difference of 0.25 years.

The discrepancy between the high- and the low-impact countries is smaller among women. The number of years women are expected to spend in the labor market between ages 56 and 76 assuming a postponement is 10.13 in the high-impact countries. The actual value after taking the limiting effect of physical health into account is 9.50; a difference of 0.62 years. In the low-impact countries, working life expectancy is 8.24 years when the postponement of all factors is assumed. The actual figure is 7.80; a difference of 0.44 years.
The impact of physical health on the postponement of retirement

**Figure 4.7 Work life expectancy age 56-76, with and without the limiting effect of physical health on postponement men and women, high vs. low impact countries**

The characteristic ages translate into the same results (Table 4.5). In the high-impact countries, men aged 55.47 and women aged 55.33 have the same working life expectancy as their 50-year-old counterparts at baseline. In the low-impact countries, men aged 55.74 and women aged 55.55 have the same working life expectancy as their 50-year-old counterparts at baseline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference age</th>
<th>Age at which work life expectancy is the same as reference age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Men high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

In the present paper, we proposed a simulation of the impact of physical health on labor force participation when the age at retirement is postponed. The simulation was based on a framework in which we considered physical health as a distinct characteristic predicting retirement timing. In this framework, physical health was the only characteristic that was not postponed. The model – which is based on an age-function of labor force participation, as well as on changes in physical health according to age in the active population relative to the whole population – allowed us to isolate the effect of physical health on participation. Physical health was measured objectively using standardized grip strength, peak expiratory flow, and chair stand tests. The estimates were based on data collected in 2006 and 2007 in 14 SHARE countries.

The results suggest that physical health had a limited effect on the postponement of retirement. We saw that the link between labor force participation and physical health varied little between people aged 56–76 years and people aged 50–70. More precisely, when we included in our analysis a postponement of all of the characteristics that are relevant to retirement timing except physical health, we found that relative to the younger group, the older group had a working life expectancy that was only 0.36 years shorter among men, and 0.55 years shorter among women. In other words, a man aged 55.62 and a woman aged 55.42 could expect to work as many years...
as their 50-year-old counterparts if all of the characteristics that predict retirement except for physical health are postponed six years. The results did show some sensitivity to country differences. This was especially true among men, for whom the impact of stagnating physical health doubled between the so-called low- and high-impact countries. However, the impact in these countries remained small, as the loss in terms of working life expectancy due to physical health hovered at around 0.5 years out of almost 12 years.

We attribute our finding that stagnating health had only a small effect on the postponement of retirement to the following mechanism. Although physical health has an important effect on labor force participation – as has been repeatedly shown in occupational health research – this effect is strong for only a relatively small number of people. Furthermore, although physical health declines considerably with age, it does not deteriorate so quickly that older people are unable to continue working at higher ages, as long as the postponement of retirement stays within reasonable boundaries. Our observation that the impact of physical health was greater in the countries in which higher shares of the population were participating in the labor market and receiving disability benefits confirms those findings.

These results echo the findings of some previous studies that examined the question of whether older people are physically and mentally able to work longer. Crimmins et al. (1999) and Reynolds and Crimmins (2010) found that the self-assessed ability to work has been rising in the American population aged 50–70 since the end of the 1980s. Milligan and Wise (2015) found for a sample of OECD countries and Rehkopf et al. (2017) found for the United States that the unused capacity to work at older ages was “substantial”. Milligan and Wise reached that conclusion based on levels of labor force participation specific to mortality conditions over time, while Rehkopf et al. did so based on estimates of the link between labor force participation and a battery of health and socio-demographic variables.

These results, as well as the findings presented in this paper, all strongly suggest that health should not be a serious hurdle to higher labor force participation at older ages. The present paper expands the existing evidence in several ways. First, we documented the specific role of physical health. Moreover, our use of objective measures allowed us to avoid the justification bias, which has been shown to have the potential to bias upwards the effect of health on labor force participation. The three measurements (grip strength, peak expiratory flow, and chair stand) cover a broad spectrum of physical health characteristics. The model, which rests on a few parameters that are easy to estimate, is fairly simple and can be reproduced with data from SHARE’s sister studies, like the Health and Retirement Study and the English Longitudinal Studies on Ageing.

However, the approach we used here has some limitations. We did not consider the role of mental health, which has also been shown to also have an important impact on early exits from the labor force. If the physical demands of work continue to decrease, studying the impact of mental health on the ability to continue working could become more relevant. The three measures of physical health that we used were the only ones available that met our criteria. Even though these measures have been shown to be fairly independent of each other, they do not necessarily cover all aspects of physical health. As a result, the impact of physical health on labor force participation could turn out to be somewhat larger than is estimated here, although we do not believe that the findings of a study that covered a wider range of physical health characteristics would be dramatically different. Finally, we did not investigate how the impact of health varies according to socioeconomic status. Given that levels of health differ considerably across subpopulations, and that the work done by people with lower socioeconomic status is often physically demanding, this question constitutes an important topic for future research.