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The gas field ion microscope was used to investigate helium and neon ion beam induced etching of nickel as a candidate technique for extreme ultraviolet (EUV) lithography mask editing. No discernible nickel etching was observed for room temperature helium exposures at 16 and 30 keV in the dose range of $1 \times 10^{15}$–$1 \times 10^{18}$ He$^+/\text{cm}^2$; however, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) revealed subsurface damage to the underlying Mo-Si multilayer EUV mirror. Subsequently, neon beam induced etching at 30 keV was investigated over a similar dose range and successfully removed the entire 50 nm nickel top absorber film at a dose of $\sim 3 \times 10^{17}$ Ne$^+/\text{cm}^2$. Similarly, TEM revealed subsurface damage in the underlying Mo-Si multilayer. To further understand the helium and neon damage, the authors simulated the ion–solid interactions with our EnvizION Monte-Carlo model, which reasonably correlated the observed damage and bubble formation to the nuclear energy loss and the implanted inert gas concentration, respectively. A critical nuclear energy density loss of $\sim 80 \text{eV/nm}^3$ and critical implant concentration of $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{20} \text{atoms/cm}^3$ have been estimated for damage generation in the multilayer structure. © 2014 American Vacuum Society. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1116/1.4868027]

I. INTRODUCTION

Extreme-UV lithography (EUVL) is a next generation lithographic technique proposed to continue the trend of miniaturization in the nanoelectronics industry toward the 10 nm node. However, this high energy source ($\sim 13.5 \text{ nm}$ wavelength) requires reflective masks, and thus, a new paradigm for the mask geometry, which consists of a multilayer dielectric mirror of two different materials with alternating refractive indices and thicknesses ($2\text{–}5 \text{ nm}$), tuned to reflect a very narrow bandwidth. To protect the multilayer stack from oxidation and damage during mask processing, a thin protective layer ($\sim 2.5 \text{ nm}$) and a top EUV absorbing layer ($\sim 50 \text{ nm}$) is used to produce the pattern. Currently, ion-beam or magnetron sputter deposited Mo and Si multilayer stacks are most commonly used and studied for EUV lithography, which is capped by a thin ruthenium protective layer. The Mo/Si multilayer system is chosen due to its ability to act as a mirror in the 13.5 nm wavelength region with measured reflectivity as high as $\sim 70\%$. However, this peak reflectance occurs in a narrow spectral range where the reflectivity is only $10\%$ at $13.0 \text{ nm}$ and $13.7 \text{ nm}$ wavelengths. Hence, subtle variations in construction and in the material properties, such as the formation of silicides, can be deleterious to the mask fidelity. In another similar structure, the Mo/Be system outperforms slightly the Mo/Si, but Be is less desirable due to its toxicity. Thin Ru barrier layers located in between the Mo and the Si layers have resulted in substantially less silicide formation at the interfaces. Other barrier materials such as a-C and B$_4$C have also been characterized. Au, W, Ta, and TaN have also been investigated for absorber films.

Tantalum nitride (TaN) is the most commonly studied absorber layer; however, TaN spontaneously etches when exposed to XeF$_2$ during mask repair, thus requiring advanced passivation schemes. Nickel has superior EUV absorption over TaN and thus is being explored here as a candidate EUV absorber layer. To be a possible candidate material, there must be appropriate mask repair solutions. In 1985, Vietzke and Philipp investigated the high temperature erosion of Ni under 5 keV Ne$^+$ irradiation. They found no enhanced release of nickel atoms exceeding physical sputtering and normal thermal sublimation. One of the leading mask repair solutions is electron beam induced etching; however, the low volatility of nickel halides has made it challenging to etch via focused electron beam induced etching. Hence, we have explored using the new gas field ion microscope as a possible strategy for repairing opaque nickel EUV defects.

a)Present address: Center for Nanophase Materials Sciences, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831; electronic mail: prack@utk.edu
Focused electron beam and focused ion beam (FIB) induced processing (IP) are well documented techniques. While gallium FIB IP (Ga-FIBIP) has been used historically in many applications, the resolution and gallium staining have made it obsolete as a mask repair tool for current and future state-of-the-art lithography masks. The enhanced resolution of the new gas field ion source (GFIS) microscope compared to liquid gallium ion sources and the fact that the species are inert gases makes it an intriguing option to study for mask repair. Focused helium or neon ions seem a logical choice for mask-repair applications because their low atomic mass will dissipate less of the ion energy through nuclear loss—most of it being lost to electronic interactions. Recently, helium and neon focused ion beams have been shown to be capable of high-resolution additive deposition and subtractive etching that is superior to the gallium focused ion beam and in some cases with enhanced nanostructures, such as higher purity platinum nanowires, relative to electron beam induced deposition. Hydrogen ions (H+ and H2+) generated via GFIS have also been characterized for EUVL mask repair. In this case, the authors concluded that, on actinic images, there was no difference between the repaired area and the non-repaired one. Livengood et al. have performed a dose-dependent study of He-beam induced damage in crystalline Si and Cu. Results demonstrated that no damage was observed up to a dose of ∼1 × 1015 ions/cm². However, at a critical dose between 1 × 1015–5 × 1016 He+/cm², the defect density is sufficient to cause dislocations and amorphization. For doses higher than ∼5 × 1016 He+/cm², helium is no longer soluble and the formation of subsurface nanobubbles is visible in transmission electron microscopy (TEM). These subsurface processes induce a measurable swelling in Si and Cu.

In order to optimize the optical properties during growth and to reduce stress in the multilayers, the thermal stability of the Mo/Si stacks for EUV applications has previously been explored. Interdiffusion between the layers and the formation of molybdenum silicide intermetallics deteriorates the optical properties of the mirror. Because industrial applications require high mirror quality and lifetime, the kinetics of interdiffusion have been extensively characterized. Importantly for stability of the mask, Bozorg-Grayeli et al. concluded that in a multilayer system such as Mo/Si, heat transfer and dissipation between layers is substantially degraded due to a thermal conductivity 100× lower than for bulk values. This single fact may be responsible for enhanced silicidation at the interfaces.

According to the phase diagram, molybdenum silicides (especially: Mo2Si, and hexagonal and tetragonal MoSi2) form at temperatures at and above 700 K and result in a reduction of the lattice parameters. The volume of one MoSi2 unit cell is smaller than the summed volumes of one Mo and two Si atoms (Mo + 2Si → MoSi2) by ∼27%. In the case of the widely investigated MoSi2, its structure is hexagonal (h) initially and then transforms to tetragonal (t) with a = 0.321 nm and c = 0.785 nm. Thus, thermal treatments have been demonstrated to result in a contraction in Mo/Si multilayers. Interestingly, it has been proposed that contraction due to electron-beam induced heating may be used to locally correct phase defects in mirrors. Montcalm reported a measurable change in reflectivity for a 30 s anneal at 100 °C and a 2% reduction in reflectivity after 30 s at ~300 °C. At increased temperatures, reflectance diminishes rapidly as volumetric contraction alters the optical properties of the mirror. TEM analysis revealed interlayer diffusel after a 1-h anneal at 316 °C. As previously mentioned, to counteract interdiffusion, several different types of diffusion barriers have been proposed.

In this paper, the He and Ne ions are explored as a method for etching a 50 nm thick nickel absorber layer on a Mo-Si multilayer EUV mask. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) and TEM analyses have been performed to investigate the induced changes as a function of dose in the Mo-Si multilayer stack. The experimental results are subsequently simulated with the EnviZION Monte-Carlo modeling program in which we simulate the nickel sputtering process as well as the damage caused by the nuclear energy loss and implanted inert gas species.
208, 254, 300, and 346 for 0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 1.1, 1.3, and 1.5 nC/μm², respectively. The chamber base pressure without neon was $3.97 \times 10^{-7}$ Torr, and after the neon valve was opened, the pressure stabilized at $2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr. The source trimer was stable during the entire test and did not require new tip formation. Milling was carried out at a working distance of 6.1 mm and with a 20 μm aperture.

SIMS/TRIM simulations were initially performed in order to obtain predictive information for the experiment. Subsequently Monte-Carlo ion–solid simulations were performed using our EnvizION simulation. Exposures of 100 000 and 150 000 ions per run were simulated for helium and neon, respectively. The raster grid was 10 nm × 10 nm, with 1 nm FWHM pixels, 2 nm pixel spacing, and a dwell time of 0.5 μs. In order to simulate the multilayer, each scattering event is randomized to be either from Mo or Si in accordance with their volumetric ratio in the structure (taken as 60% Mo and 40% Si). The binding energy of nickel was assumed to be 4.46 eV, which corresponds to its heat of sublimation.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Focused helium beam exposures

TEM cross-sectional images were obtained for doses ranging from $1 \times 10^{16}$ to $1 \times 10^{18}$ He⁺/cm² and for two beam energies, 16 and 30 keV. Figure 1 shows TEM micrographs of the exposed Ni-Mo/Si multilayer films as a function of increasing dose. The results demonstrate that the film stack contracts slightly with increasing dose, while there is no evidence of nickel etching. Also evident is a clear “beam interaction region,” which emerges at the 5 × 10¹⁶ dose and is more obvious at the dose of $\sim 1 \times 10^{17}$ ions/cm². Finally, Figs. 1(e) and 1(j) shows significant swelling at a higher dose of $1 \times 10^{18}$ ions/cm² with noticeable bubble formation. Note that the bottom silicon substrates are aligned from (a) to (j), indicating the small changes in the thickness of the multilayer stack. Specifically, there is a series of contractions up to the $5 \times 10^{17}$ dose, a smaller increase at $1 \times 10^{17}$ (onset of swelling), followed finally by a dramatic $\sim 180$ nm expansion at $1 \times 10^{18}$ He⁺/cm². See supplementary material in Ref. 57 for AFM data with measured heights as a function of helium ion doses. Aside from the contractions and swelling, we also observe (and measured via AFM) slight depressions, which indicate that subsurface contractions have taken place. The depressions are dose dependent and TEM analysis rules out ion-beam induced surface sputtering since there is no measurable reduction in the thickness of the Ni top layer. The depressions are consistent with silicidation as more energy is deposited with higher doses. This increases the probability that the required activation energy for Mo/Si interdiffusion is overcome and hence the stack densifies. These observations are consistent with the results of Livengood et al. and can be explained by nuclear energy loss of the helium atoms causing beam induced mixing. At sufficiently high concentrations this leads to the formation of helium nanobubbles. Bubbling has been documented previously, especially in studies involving helium irradiation effects on silicon and silica substrates.29,50 It is clear from these micrographs that helium, under these conditions, is not viable for nickel etching.

A closer inspection of the multilayer stack after exposure [Fig. 2(a)] reveals a thinning down of the silicon layer and intermixing of the Mo/Si layers, which is also shown in the energy filtered TEM image [Fig. 2(b)]. The intermixing is attributed to the nuclear energy loss via either thermal spike or knock-on processes. Heating is possible and exacerbated since interplanar heat transfer is hindered by the many interfaces present in such multilayer systems. Moreover, bubbles are observed in the amorphous silicon layer (bright regions) within the stack [Fig. 2(c)]. The observation that the bubble formation is preferential to the silicon layers (as seen in 2d) may be explained by a lower solubility limit for helium in silicon. Additionally, amorphous silicon (50–100 GPa and 0.13 GPa)59 has significantly lower modulus of elasticity and yield strength, with respect to molybdenum (329 GPa and 0.45 GPa). Hence, we expect Si to elastically deform more under the same internal stress and plastically deform at a lower dose than Mo due to strains introduced by the implanted helium atoms.

![Fig. 1. TEM cross-sectional micrographs of nickel on top of a Mo/Si multilayer stack that was exposed to 16 (a)–(e) and to 30 (f)–(j) keV helium ion energies in doses ranging from $1 \times 10^{16}$ to $1 \times 10^{18}$ ions/cm². The direction of the incident He⁺ beam is normal to the top side of each panel from (a) to (j). Ions travel from top down across the image until they come to rest. The silicon substrate is seen on the bottom of each micrograph and aligned with the adjacent images.](Image)
The micrograph in Fig. 3(a) makes it quite evident that the silicon layers nearest to the nickel top layer were consumed and thinned. The top multilayers (damaged) are more diffuse and less well-defined than those deeper in the structure (undamaged). EELS analysis [Fig. 3(b)] at two different locations in the multilayer stack indicate spectra that the unaffected region are characteristic of a-Si and the damaged region is characteristic of reacted silicon, which is suggestive of silicidation formation. Energy transfer through direct knock-on is more probable for Si than Mo due to the relatively low atomic mass difference between silicon and helium. Additionally, the heat of sublimation for Si (359 kJ/mol) is much lower than Mo (617 kJ/mol), thus it requires less energy to dislodge Si from its lattice position than Mo. Hence, silicon is expected to preferentially be knocked out of its lattice relative to molybdenum and thus create vacancies for the helium atoms to occupy. The diffuse appearance of the silicon layers closest to the beam impingement surface indicates that many silicon atoms have been scattered, most likely forward as the momentum vector of the incident ions points downward deeper into the stack.

B. Helium ion–solid modeling

In order to better understand the observed damage induced by the helium irradiation, we simulated the energy loss associated with the 16 and 30 keV helium ion with our EnvizION simulation. From AFM and TEM imaging, it is evident that the depth of the depression as well as the damaged region is greater for the 30 keV sample than 16 keV at the same dose. These observations are supported by the simulations. Silicidation formation may be thermally induced or via knock-on collisions as described earlier. In both cases, the nuclear energy loss is responsible; thus, we can correlate the nuclear energy density loss to the observed damage profiles. In Fig. 4, the volumetric electronic and nuclear losses in eV/nm$^3$ for He$^+$ at 30 kV have been mapped. A simulation run of $10^7$ helium ions raster scanned over a 10 nm $\times$ 10 nm area corresponds to an experimental dose of $10^{17}$ ions/cm$^2$. Near the surface of impingement, the ratio at the maximum energy loss between electronic and nuclear stopping is $\sim$32, which is consistent with the lack of

Fig. 2. (Color online) High magnification Z-contrast image of a 30 keV, $1 \times 10^{17}$ He$^+$/cm$^2$ exposure (a). Z-contrast image (left) and silicon EELS map (right) (b). At higher doses, such as $1 \times 10^{18}$ He$^+$/cm$^2$, severe bubbling is observed which originates preferentially in the silicon layer of the stack, as shown in (c) and (d).

The micrograph in Fig. 3(a) makes it quite evident that the silicon layers nearest to the nickel top layer were consumed and thinned. The top multilayers (damaged) are more diffuse and less well-defined than those deeper in the structure (undamaged). EELS analysis [Fig. 3(b)] at two different locations in the multilayer stack indicate spectra that the unaffected region are characteristic of a-Si and the damaged region is characteristic of reacted silicon, which is suggestive of silicidation formation. Energy transfer through direct knock-on is more probable for Si than Mo due to the relatively low atomic mass difference between silicon and helium. Additionally, the heat of sublimation for Si (359 kJ/mol) is much lower than Mo (617 kJ/mol), thus it requires less energy to dislodge Si from its lattice position than Mo. Hence, silicon is expected to preferentially be knocked out of its lattice relative to molybdenum and thus create vacancies for the helium atoms to occupy. The diffuse appearance of the silicon layers closest to the beam impingement surface indicates that many silicon atoms have been scattered, most likely forward as the momentum vector of the incident ions points downward deeper into the stack.

Fig. 3. (Color online) Z-contrast TEM image illustrating two comparable EELS spectra taken in the Mo/Si multilayers. The lower ‘x’ mark in (a) represents an undamaged region, while the top mark (nearest to top surface) represents a damaged region. The corresponding EELS spectra are shown in (b). The Si L$_{2,3}$ edge in the undamaged region corresponds to typical a-Si, while in the damaged region it shows characteristic silicidation signatures.
measurable sputtering of nickel by helium. Examining the simulated depth of the nuclear stopping energy density reveals good agreement with the observed damage profile in the TEM images and allows us to estimate an energy threshold for the observed damage. The 16 keV simulations were performed and, as shown also in Fig. 5(a), the simulated nuclear energy threshold of $\sim 80 \text{ eV/nm}^3$ correlates well with the damage threshold for the $1 \times 10^{17} \text{ He ions/cm}^2$ dose. Similarly, the simulated nuclear energy loss for 30 keV He$^+$ shows a clear range down to $\sim 180 \text{ nm}$ as can be seen also in Fig. 5(b) below. Beyond this depth, damage (halo) is not recognizable. At 30 keV, the most significant nuclear loss

![Graph showing electronic and nuclear energy losses](image)

**Fig. 4.** (Color online) Simulated electronic and the nuclear volumetric energy losses for helium ions at 30 keV at a dose of $1 \times 10^{17}$ ions/cm$^2$.

![Graph showing nuclear energy loss and helium implant concentrations](image)

**Fig. 5.** (Color online) Simulated nuclear energy loss (left) and the resultant helium implant concentrations (on right) are compared to the experimental TEM micrograph exposed to 16 keV at a dose of $2 \times 10^{17} \text{ He}^+/\text{cm}^2$. In (b), the same as in (a), but for 30 keV He$^+$. 
remains close to the top surface and within the nickel layer. Based on these results, the nuclear energy loss near the top of the Mo/Si stack is still sufficient to induce some intermixing of Si and Mo. In this region, a critical energy density for silicidation has been estimated from the simulation to be $\sim 80-100 \text{ eV/nm}^3$. As mentioned before, greater nuclear loss occurs within the nickel top layer; however, the ductile metal film can apparently accommodate the energy loss in part by some observed grain growth. At $1 \times 10^{17} \text{ He}^+/\text{cm}^2$, a critical implant concentration for damage has been determined to be $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{20} \text{ He/cm}^3$ (in close agreement with data for He in Si published by Nguyen et al.). This corresponds to $\sim 0.5\%$ He in Si or a solubility of near 1 He: 200 Si. In our study, a 0.5% He content had not yet induced the formation of nanobubbles in Mo/Si.

C. Focused neon beam exposures

Figure 6 shows sequential TEM cross-section images (a)–(h) for neon ion doses ranging from 0.1 to 1.5 nC/\(\mu\)m² (or $6.25 \times 10^{16} - 9.34 \times 10^{17} \text{ Ne}^+/\text{cm}^2$). Clearly, nickel etching has progressively taken place. In our room temperature study, the nickel milling efficiency using a 0.5 pA beam was calculated to be 0.57 \(\mu\)m³/nC, yielding an estimated sputter yield of 1.5 Ni/Ne⁺. It took only 17.6 s to mill through a 50 nm top absorber nickel layer. Aspect ratios (A.R.) follow a near-linear dependence on the neon dose, reaching 2.0 at the highest dose in these experiments. See supplementary material in Ref. 57 for a plot of A.R. versus dose for Ne⁺. Nickel sputtering already occurs at the lowest experimental dose of $6.25 \times 10^{16} \text{ Ne}^+/\text{cm}^2$. Furthermore, the TEM images reveal similar subsurface damage as seen in the helium exposures. The dose at which bubbling occurs is lower, however ($<6.25 \times 10^{16} \text{ Ne}^+/\text{cm}^2$ versus $1 \times 10^{18} \text{ He}^+/\text{cm}^2$). The neon damage region is not as deep when compared with helium, but the damage appears more severe because neon has a smaller interaction volume. The formation of neon nanobubbles is evident and several regions are discernible; ranging first from: (1) a narrow band with small, collapsing bubbles near the free surface, to (2) a wider belt containing larger bubbles, followed by (3) another band of smaller bubbles, and finally to (4) a damage “halo” revealing Mo/Si intermixing. This is in agreement with the pattern reported by Nguyen et al.58 for 50 keV He⁺ in crystalline Si, and by Oliviero et al.60 for 50 keV Ne⁺ also in c-Si. Small bubbles or platelets transform into larger bubbles by loop punching and later by Ostwald ripening. It is very likely that all the gas remains inside the bubble layer during this coarsening process. The bubbles are over pressurized and growth of cavities by interstitial emission or vacancy capture will be higher in metals, but rather slow in Si where even at high temperatures it will take considerable time.

EDXS analysis performed in the Libra 200MC instrument on the 0.7 nC/\(\mu\)m² sample (200 keV electron beam energy) detected the following elements: Ni, Mo, Si, Ru, and Ne from the sample, and Pt and Cu from the protection layer on the sample and the mounting grid, respectively. At this dose, the nickel overlayer has already been removed, and the features reside mostly within the now damaged Mo/Si stack volume. The analysis shows that higher counts for neon are present below the largest nanobubble, directly under the incident Ne⁺ beam trajectory during ion irradiation. A slightly larger count at 850 eV may be due to embedded neon gas. This energy for Ne Kα is the same as for Ni Lα. However, at 7.47 keV, for Ni Kα, no significant counts and differences between three test points were detected. It has been concluded that Ni does not forward scatter deeper into the structure as the etching process mills across the top absorber.

---

Fig. 6. Sequential TEM cross-sections illustrating the progression in etch depth and the formation of cavities below the surface. The Ne⁺ beam energy was 30 keV with doses ranging from $6.25 \times 10^{16}$ (or 0.1 nC/\(\mu\)m²) for a) to $9.38 \times 10^{17} \text{ Ne}^+/\text{cm}^2$ (or 1.5 nC/\(\mu\)m²) for h). The direction of the Ne⁺ beam is normal to the top side of each panel from (a) to (h). Ions travel from top down across the image until they come to rest.
layer. See supplementary material in Ref. 57 for a summary of EDXS data. Dense nanobubbles with a concentration up to $1 \times 10^{23}$ atoms/cm$^3$ have been reported to have internal pressures between 5 and 8 GPa in the 300–1000 K range. This remains below the elemental elastic moduli for Ni, Mo, and Si. Already starting at room temperature in metals and at higher temperature in Si, the first phase of helium/neon release is ascribed to dissociation of small noble gas/vacancy complexes, and in the second phase of release, it is ascribed to noble gasses permeating from a bubble layer to the sample surface. The fact that bubbles are over-pressurized and that release does not occur from single bubbles, but from a bubble layer should be taken into account in a full physical description of the process.61

D. Neon ion–solid modeling

EnvizION neon simulations were also performed to better understand the experimental results. For the neon simulations, we utilized a recent addition to the simulation, which includes recoil and sputtering to reveal the evolving surface.54 To validate our EnvizION simulation for neon, we performed energy dependent sputter yield curves for nickel. These show good agreement with experimental values. The calculated sputter yield at 30 keV is 2.0 Ni/Ne$^+$, which is a slight overestimation of the measured sputter yield of 1.5. See supplementary material in Ref. 57 for experimental and simulated sputter yields. We attribute this discrepancy to factors that slow down the net removal of nickel such as the subsurface damage (effectively increasing the interaction volume and lowering the nuclear energy loss) and redeposition on the via sidewalls.

Figure 7 shows 3D and 2D sputter profiles of the nickel top layer at a low dose. It must be noted that while in this case the neon peak concentration of implanted atoms remains within the nickel layer, a substantial amount of neon reaches the Mo/Si stack to about 100 nm deep, or 50 nm inside the Mo/Si stack. The etch cross section for an EnvizION run of 150,000 neon ions (in a 10 nm x 10 nm area) resembles the experimental data for a dose of $\sim 2 \times 10^{17}$ Ne$^+$/cm$^2$ at 30 keV. At this dose and energy, approximately 32 nm of the 50 nm nickel layer is removed. This etch depth agrees with the 33 nm measured experimentally in the TEM. Beneath the sputtered depth the implanted distribution tails down into the Mo/Si stack. Noticeably, the experimental via width is wider than the beam raster area. Experimentally, the 100 nm wide scan yielded $\sim 136$ nm opening in the nickel layer. The EnvizION simulation used a 10 x 10 nm$^2$ smaller exposed area (for shorter simulation times), which yielded a larger 15 x 15 nm$^2$ sputter etched via, demonstrating similar broadening due to the beam tails and interaction volume.

In Fig. 8, the volumetric nuclear energy loss and final concentrations for neon at 30 keV are compared side by side with the actual TEM cross section micrograph at the same dose. In this case, it is notable that a high nuclear

---

**Fig. 7. (Color online) 3D and 2D sputter profiles for Ne$^+$ in Ni at 30 keV and $\sim 2 \times 10^{17}$ ions/cm$^2$ (using a 10 nm x 10 nm exposure area).**

**Fig. 8. (Color online) Simulated nuclear energy loss (left) and the resultant neon implant concentrations (on right) are compared to the experimental TEM micrograph exposed to 30 kV at a dose of $2 \times 10^{17}$ Ne$^+$/cm$^2$.**
energy loss region extends beyond the nickel layer into the Mo/Si multilayer. The damaged region at \( \sim 2 \times 10^{17} \) Ne\(^+/cm^2\) includes nanobubbles that are present [Fig. 8(a)] in the nickel, but concentrated near the interface of the Mo/Si stack, and [Fig. 8(b)] within the stack to a shallow depth of about 50 nm. Here, it is clear that the peak energy loss is taking place within the Ni, where it causes physical sputtering, yet substantial nuclear energy loss also extends into the Mo/Si region down to \( \sim 100 \) nm from the original top surface of the nickel (experimentally, the halo extends to \( \sim 116 \) nm). For etching in nickel to take place, the simulation predicts a minimum nuclear energy density of \( \sim 30 \) keV/nm\(^3\). Bubbling is observed and is attributed to the implanted neon at concentrations on the order of \( 10^{21} \) Ne/cm\(^3\); while conversely, no damage is again discernable below 80 eV/nm\(^3\).

Figure 9 shows a marked difference in nuclear energy losses between helium and neon at nearly the same dose \( (1-2 \times 10^{17} \) ions/cm\(^2\)). Clearly, helium deposits its energy deeper and over a larger volume than neon. However, near the impingement top surface, the ratio between the peak nuclear losses of Ne:He at 30 keV is \( \sim 100 \), thus leading to the observed sputtering of nickel by neon.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the feasibility of etching nickel EUV absorber layers on Mo/Si multilayers via focused helium and neon ion beam processing. Helium ion beams at both 16 and 30 keV do not etch the nickel absorber layer and TEM imaging reveals unwanted intermixing of the underlying Mo/Si EUV reflector layers. At doses below \( 1 \times 10^{17} \) He\(^+/cm^2\), a progressive contraction consistent with molybdenum silicide formation is observed. At higher doses, nanobubble formation occurs and causes swelling that can be attributed to peak implant concentrations in excess of \( 10^{21} \) He/cm\(^3\). Ion–solid Monte Carlo simulations at both 16 and 30 keV reveal that the damage can be correlated to the nuclear energy loss of the helium ion beam and that the Mo/Si intermixing is due to either knock-on collisions, a thermal spike or a combination thereof.

For neon ion beam induced exposures at 30 keV, the nickel absorber layer is effectively etched due to higher nuclear energy loss in the near surface region. TEM images reveal a subsurface damage profile consisting of nanobubbles and an extended region of apparent Mo/Si intermixing occurs. The measured sputtering rate of \( \sim 1.5 \) nickel atoms/neon ion is comparable to the simulated sputtering rate of two nickel atoms/neon ion. Ion-solid Monte Carlo simulations reveal that nanobubbles form at much lower doses for neon due to the shorter range and thus higher neon implant concentrations. Nanobubble formation is correlated to concentrations exceeding \( 10^{21} \) Ne/cm\(^3\). Furthermore, the observed damage region beneath the neon nanobubbles is attributed to knock-on or thermal spike induced intermixing of the Mo/Si layers due to the nuclear energy loss.
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