Fusion of Domain-Specific and Trainable Features for Gender Recognition From Face Images
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ABSTRACT The popularity and the appeal of systems which are able to automatically determine the gender from face images are growing rapidly. Such a great interest arises from the wide variety of applications, especially in the fields of retail and video surveillance. In recent years, there have been several attempts to address this challenge, but a definitive solution has not yet been found. In this paper, we propose a novel approach that fuses domain-specific and trainable features to recognize the gender from face images. In particular, we use the SURF descriptors extracted from 51 facial landmarks related to eyes, nose, and mouth as domain-dependent features, and the COSFIRE filters as trainable features. The proposed approach turns out to be very robust with respect to the well-known face variations, including different poses, expressions, and illumination conditions. It achieves state-of-the-art recognition rates on the GENDER-FERET (94.7%) and on the labeled faces in the wild (99.4%) data sets, which are two of the most popular benchmarks for gender recognition. We further evaluated the method on a new data set acquired in real scenarios, the UNISA-Public, recently made publicly available. It consists of 206 training (144 male, 62 female) and 200 test (139 male, 61 female) images that are acquired with a real-time indoor camera capturing people in regular walking motion. Such experiment has the aim to assess the capability of the algorithm to deal with face images extracted from videos, which are definitely more challenging than the still images available in the standard data sets. Also for this data set, we achieved a high recognition rate of 91.5%, that confirms the generalization capabilities of the proposed approach. Of the two types of features, the trainable COSFIRE filters are the most effective and, given their trainable character, they can be applied in any visual pattern recognition problem.

INDEX TERMS COSFIRE, face, gender recognition, SURF, trainable features.

I. INTRODUCTION

The face is one of the most important parts of the human body, since it has some distinctive physical and expressive features which allow the identification of certain properties. For instance, by just looking at faces, humans recognize the gender and the ethnicity [1], [2], estimate the age [3], deduce the emotions and the state of mind [4], [5], determine if the person has a familiar face or is a stranger [6], and verify or recognize the identity of the individual [7]–[9]. Although all faces consist of the same parts in a specific spatial arrangement (the relative positions of the nose, eyes and others), primates have enviable abilities to use the subtle features and draw conclusions from faces in a remarkable seemingly and effortless operation. As a matter of fact, there is a neurophysiological evidence that the visual cortices of primates have single neurons that are selective to faces [10]. This fact demonstrates the importance that evolution gave to faces. In recent years the variety and appeal of faces motivated several researchers to work on the problem of automatic face analysis coming from images and videos. In particular, gender recognition is considered among the most common and challenging problems [11].

The algorithms for the automatic classification of gender have a lot of potential for commercial applications (see Figure 1). Indeed, information such as gender, age and race are desired features that managers are eager to have for sophisticated market analysis. That information helps them to acquire more insights about the needs of customers with respect to the possible products that they can offer. Typical examples in the retail are given by the smart billboards or user interfaces which are able to modify their visual display depending on the gender of the person interacting with them.
Another field where gender recognition algorithms can play an important role is video-surveillance. Indeed, there is a great demand for applications that are able to perform face recognition of suspicious individuals by analyzing images captured by surveillance cameras. The main challenge of these systems is the computational time needed for searching a match between the input face image and the thousands of samples stored in a reference database. One way for reducing the search space is to first detect the gender [12] and possibly the age group [13] and/or ethnicity [14] of the given face and then compare it only with the images with the same properties in the database. Although gender recognition from face images may appear a simple task, it is important to note that even human beings may find it challenging in certain situations. In fact, the study [15] demonstrates that the performance of humans in such a task reaches an accuracy lower than 95%. In addition to the inherent difficulties of the topic, the automatic detection and analysis of a face is further affected by different problems. First of all, existing face detection algorithms achieve reasonable performance on frontal faces, but the accuracy gradually decreases when the face is tilted horizontally or vertically with respect to the camera. Certain combinations of facial features also affect gender classification, as shown in Figure 2. The most challenging aspects are surely related to the pose variations and the partial occlusions of the face, for example with scarves, hats and glasses. While the former can be solved to some extent by normalizing the pose of the face using alignment algorithms [16], the latter is harder to solve due to the variety of all the possible occlusions. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that the performance of gender recognition algorithms is strongly affected by the age of the people, as well as by their race or expression [17]. For instance, the wrinkles formed on elderly women may make their faces similar to elderly men. Figure 2 shows also other challenges, such as variation in the illumination and contrast.

In the last years, a great deal of literature has been produced with methods attempting to solve gender recognition [11]. While significant progress has been observed, automatic systems have not yet reached the generalization capability needed to achieve good performance even in presence of variations in age, race, pose, illumination, and so on.

A. RELATED WORKS
Although it is not possible to define a taxonomy to partition the methods for gender recognition, we can roughly recognize two different classes, depending on the type of features used. Most of the approaches rely on handcrafted features, which require expert knowledge for manually designing domain-specific features. Other approaches are indeed trainable, in that distinctive features can be automatically learned from training data. The advantage of using handcrafted features is the possibility to exploit the domain knowledge to identify the elements that distinguish the faces of men from those of women, such as intensity, texture, shape and geometry. Indeed, trainable features may capture aspects of the face that a human could not notice. Moreover the procedure for the extraction of such features does not rely upon domain knowledge.

The approaches belonging to the first category use various types of features based on color [18]–[20], texture [21]–[23] and shape [24]–[26] information. Almost all of them share a similar architecture that consists of three steps: i) the detection and the cropping of the face using the well known Viola-Jones algorithm [27]; ii) the pre-processing of the image, in order to normalize the face in terms of dimension, pose and illumination; iii) the extraction of the features used to recognize the gender.

For example, in [18] Moghaddam and Yang propose to use raw information (the pixel intensity values of face images) to form vectors and use them to train a SVM classifier with an RBF or a polynomial kernel. Their main drawback is that they are not invariant to translation. If the same face is shifted by just a few pixels, the resulting feature vector may be completely different. Another problem is the dimensionality of the obtained feature vector, which increases with the resolution of the face image. In order to address this problem, Yang et al. [19] compared the performance of various dimensionality reduction techniques applied on the pixel intensity values, such as principal component analysis (PCA), 2D principal component analysis (2DPCA),
FIGURE 2. Typical problems of gender recognition systems: (first row) different expressions, ages or races; (second row) occlusions with wig, ski mask, balaclava, and microphone; (third row) different poses and illumination conditions.

independent component analysis (ICA) and linear discriminant analysis (LDA). In [20] Baluja and Rowley use the relationship between the intensities of the pixels in face images. They consider ten types of a pixel comparison operator, which provide a binary decision, as weak classifiers to learn a model using the Adaboost method [28]. Lian and Lu [21] extract and concatenate local binary pattern (LBP) histograms [29], from different regions of the face, in a single feature vector, and trained a SVM classifier for gender recognition. The rationale of this approach is that a texture descriptor could be able to capture the differences between the smoother skin of a woman and the rougher skin of a man, especially in presence of beard. Eidinger et al. [30] and Azarmehr et al. [31] use a pair of different LBP variants, FBLBP and MSLBP respectively, for the automatic recognition of gender and age. Dago-Casas et al. [32] extract Gabor wavelets from a sparse uniform grid of face points and compute a face descriptor combining them with LBP histograms. Since not all the regions of the face are significant in terms of texture, in [22] and [23] other researchers propose to use Adaboost to carry out feature selection and to use only the LBP histograms from the most discriminant parts of the faces. In [24] Singh et al. propose the use of histograms of gradients (HOG) [33] to represent the shape of a face and use it as a descriptor for gender recognition. In [25] Guo et al. demonstrate that the performance of a gender classifier based on HOG features is affected by age. This idea is further investigated in [26], where the authors find dependencies among facial demographic attributes, especially between gender, age and pose facial attributes. Other researchers also try to combine several typologies of color, shape and texture features, in order to improve the performance of their gender classifiers [34]–[36]. The rationale behind those approaches is that color, texture and shape features can be complementary, as demonstrated in [34], in the sense that they capture different aspects of human faces and can improve gender recognition when used together.

Other domain specific approaches rely on the extraction of handcrafted features from specific points, known as fiducial points [37]. Brunelli and Poggio [38] propose a face descriptor that computes 18 fiducial distances between points representing the locations of the eyes, nose, chin, mouth corners and others. el-Din et al. [39] extract SIFT [40] descriptors from these so-called facial landmarks and used them to form a long feature vector.

As for the second category, the deep learning-based methods [41]–[44], which gained popularity in recent years, are the most common trainable approaches. Levi and Hassner [41] perform automatic age and gender classification using deep-convolutional neural networks (CNN) [45]. van de Wolfshaar et al. [42] train a dropout-SVM using the deep features selected by a CNN. Ranjan et al. [43] propose a multi-task learning framework, called HyperFace, for simultaneous face detection, landmark localization, pose estimation and gender recognition using CNNs. Jia and Cristianini [44] design a method to generate a classifier using a mixture of face datasets composed of four million images and about 60,000 features.

Another trainable approach recently applied to gender recognition is based on COSFIRE filters [46]. The main
advantage of such filters is that, unlike deep learning architectures, only a small set of training images are required for the configuration step. COSFIRE filters, essentially shape-selective filters, are trainable in the sense that their shape selectivity is determined in an automatic configuration procedure using prototype patterns of interest. In [47] 180 COSFIRE filters were configured by using a pseudo-random procedure to select the prototype patterns of interest. For a given image, a face descriptor was then obtained by taking the maximum responses of the resulting 180 COSFIRE filters in a spatial pyramid with three levels [48]. The method was found to be very effective on frontal faces and its generalization capability was demonstrated by carrying out a cross-dataset experiment.

Going back to the considerations about the pros and cons of using domain-specific or trainable features, in this work we propose to combine the domain-free and trainable COSFIRE filters, configured using aligned images, with the handcrafted SURF features [49] extracted from 51 facial landmarks related to eyes, nose and mouth. Hereinafter we refer to these methods as COSFIRE-based and SURF-based, respectively. We expect that such features are complementary since they capture, in principle, different aspects of the human face. The COSFIRE-based classifier should be able to find the differences in the shape of male and female faces, while the SURF-based classifier should rely on the descriptors extracted from the facial landmarks to discriminate the local differences between the faces of men and women. For these reasons the proposed method should increase the robustness to the aforementioned face variations. Moreover, the fusion of trainable and handcrafted features should ensure a better tradeoff between generalization and specificity. To the best of our knowledge the proposed approach is the first one that combines domain-independent features with domain-specific ones.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we provide a description of the multi-expert architecture together with a description of COSFIRE- and SURF-based features. In Section III we report the results achieved by the proposed approach on two benchmark datasets and on a new dataset collected in the University of Salerno. Finally, in Section IV we provide a discussion of some aspects of our method before drawing conclusions in Section V.

II. THE PROPOSED METHOD

Figure 3 illustrates the architecture of the proposed system. Note that we do not perform any pre-processing to the given images. Preliminarily, we apply the Viola-Jones algorithm [27] on the input image to detect the faces occurring in it. Then we use a face alignment algorithm to normalize the pose and resize the resulting cropped faces to 128 $\times$ 128 pixels. Such resolution allows to maximize the accuracy of the subsequent steps, namely the COSFIRE filters configuration and the facial landmarks extraction. The domain-independent COSFIRE-based method is applied directly to the obtained face image. Indeed, for the SURF-based method we detect 51 facial landmarks belonging to the eyes, the nose and the mouth and extract the SURF descriptors at the keypoints indicating the facial landmarks. Finally, we fuse the outputs of the SURF-based and COSFIRE-based classifiers in another SVM classifier.

In the next sections we provide more details concerning each of the above mentioned components.

A. FACE DETECTION AND ALIGNMENT

For a given image, we use the Viola-Jones algorithm [27] to detect all faces in different scales without applying preliminary pre-processing filters. Subsequently, we use the method proposed in [50] to detect a set of 51 facial landmarks. The original algorithm is able to find 68 fiducial points, but we noticed that sometimes the bounding box of the face, namely the output of the Viola-Jones algorithm, excludes any of the 17 points which belong to the face contour, so we decided
to exclude them. Then we compute the average location of each of the two sets of eye-related landmarks. This allows to determine the orientation of the line which connects these two points, namely the orientation of the face, and use that angle to horizontally align the face image. We rotate the image around the center of the line that connects the two eyes. Figure 4 depicts an example of a face image before and after the alignment. In practice, in order to avoid having a black background in the rotated image, we first crop the face image by using a bounding box that is twice as large as the one determined by the Viola-Jones algorithm. Then we rotate the image and use the Viola-Jones bounding box to crop the face in the rotated image. Finally, we resize each horizontally aligned face image to a fixed size of 128 × 128 pixels.

B. COSFIRE-BASED CLASSIFIER

Trainable COSFIRE filters have been demonstrated to be effective in various computer vision applications, including object localization and recognition [46], [51], [52], vessel-like segmentation [53], [54], and contour detection [55], [56]. A COSFIRE filter is trainable, in that its selectivity is determined in a one-step configuration process that automatically analyzes a given prototype pattern of interest. The resulting non-linear COSFIRE filter can then be applied to images in order to localize patterns that, to a certain extent, are similar to the prototype. Below we describe briefly the required processing to configure and apply COSFIRE filters, and subsequently use their responses to form feature vectors.

1) CONFIGURATION OF A COSFIRE FILTER

The idea of a COSFIRE filter is to combine the responses of some low-level detectors that are selective for simple features in order to determine the selectivity for a more complex feature or a shape. In [46], for instance, it was shown that by combining the responses of orientation-selective Gabor filters at certain positions, one could configure a COSFIRE filter that is selective for a complex shape, such as a traffic sign. By simply changing the input low-level detectors from Gabor filters to difference-of-Gaussians, one could achieve very effective contour [55], [56] and vessel [53], [54] detectors.

These two types of COSFIRE filters are essentially shape detectors and do not take colour into account. Recently, a new type of COSFIRE filters were proposed which take input from color blob detectors and have been found to be more effective than Gabor-based COSFIRE filters in object recognition datasets where colour plays an important role [52].

In this work we use the original Gabor-based type of COSFIRE filters [46] as we do not consider colour to be a distinctive feature for gender recognition. In an automatic configuration process we first apply a bank of Gabor filters with eight orientations and five scales and superimpose their responses. Then we consider a number of concentric circles around a point of interest and determine the positions along these circles at which we obtain local maxima Gabor responses. For each such a point we form a tuple with four parameters ($\lambda$, $\theta$, $\rho$, $\phi$), where $\lambda$ and $\theta$ denote the scale and orientation, respectively, of the Gabor filter that achieves the maximum response at that position, while $\rho$ and $\phi$, respectively, denote the distance and polar angle with regards to the point of interest. Finally, we denote by $S_i = \{(\lambda_i, \theta_i, \rho_i, \phi_i) \mid i \in 1 \ldots n\}$ a set that contains the 4-tuples that represent all n points at which we achieve local maximum Gabor responses.

The center point used in a given prototype is the position at which the resulting COSFIRE filter will obtain the maximum response. It can either be specified manually or selected automatically. For the application at hand, we choose such locations randomly in the training face images and use their surroundings as local prototype patterns to configure COSFIRE filters. In this way, a COSFIRE filter is selective for a small part of a face. Figure 5 shows the configuration procedure of two COSFIRE filters by using parts of the eyebrows as prototype patterns selected from a male and a female face images.

2) RESPONSE OF A GABOR-BASED COSFIRE FILTER

The response of a Gabor-based COSFIRE filter is computed in four simple steps, namely filter-blur-shift-multiply. In the first step we determine the unique pairs of the parameters ($\lambda$, $\theta$) from the set $S_i$ and apply Gabor linear filtering in the Fourier domain with those parameter values. Secondly, in order to allow for some tolerance with respect to the preferred positions, for the $i$−th tuple we blur the corresponding Gabor response map with a Gaussian function whose standard deviation $\sigma_1$ is a linear function of the distance $\rho_i$. In practice, we use the linear function $\sigma_1 = \sigma_0 + \alpha \rho_i$, with $\sigma_0$ and $\alpha$ set to the default values ($\sigma_0 = 0.67$, $\alpha = 0.1$) proposed in [46]. Thirdly, we shift each blurred Gabor response by the polar vector $(\rho_i, -\phi_i)$, so that all afferent Gabor responses meet at the support center of the concerned COSFIRE filter. Finally, we use the geometric mean function, essentially multiplication, to combine all blurred and shifted Gabor responses and come to a scalar value in each position of a given image. Figure 5(d) and Figure 5(h) show the response maps of the two COSFIRE filters applied to the two images from which we use some local patterns for their...
configuration. They respond in locations where the local patterns are very similar to the eyebrow prototype parts.

In [46], it was also demonstrated how tolerance to rotation, scale and reflection could be achieved by the manipulation of parameter values. These invariances are, however, not necessary for this application.

3) FORMING A FEATURE DESCRIPTOR AND LEARNING A CLASSIFICATION MODEL

By using \( k \) local patterns that we randomly select from the training face images, we configure \( k \) COSFIRE filters that are selective for different parts of male and female faces. For a given face image we then apply the collection of \( k \) COSFIRE filters and use a spatial pyramid of three levels from which we take the COSFIRE filter responses. In level zero, where there is only one tile, we take the global maximum responses of all COSFIRE filters across the entire image. In level one and level two, we divide each COSFIRE response map, respectively, into \((2 \times 2 =) 4\) and \((4 \times 4 =) 16\) tiles and take the maximum response in each tile. For \( k \) COSFIRE filters and a spatial pyramid of \((1 + 4 + 16 =) 21\) tiles we describe a face image with a \(21k\)-element feature vector. We normalize to unit length the set of \( k \) COSFIRE filter maximum responses per tile. Figure 6 depicts the spatial pyramids of the two above configured COSFIRE filters obtained from a test female face image and a bar graph with the values of the resulting \((21 \times 2 =) 42\)-elements descriptor.

We use the \(21k\)-element feature vectors of all training images to train an SVM classification model with the following chi-squared kernel:

\[
K(x_i, y_j) = \frac{(x_i - y_j)^2}{\frac{1}{2}(x_i + y_j) + \epsilon}
\]

where \(x_i\) and \(y_j\) are the feature vectors of the \(i\)-th and the \(j\)-th training images, while the parameter \(\epsilon\) represents a very small value and it is used to avoid numerical errors. This COSFIRE-based descriptor that we propose is inspired by the concept of population coding from neuroscience [57] as well as from the spatial pyramid matching approach [48].

C. SURF-BASED CLASSIFIER

For the hand-crafted and domain specific approach we compute the 128-element SURF descriptors of the inner 51 facial landmarks, namely the points related to eyes, nose and mouth. Figures 3 and 4 show graphic representations of the fiducial points of two faces. Then we normalize to unit length each descriptor. This approach results in a \((51 \times 128 =) 6528\)-element feature vector for each face image.

Finally, we use these vectors to train an SVM classifier with a linear kernel.

D. ENSEMBLE OF SVM CLASSIFICATION MODELS

We propose to combine the decisions made by the COSFIRE- and SURF-based classifiers using a stacked classification scheme. We use the output scores of the COSFIRE- and SURF-based SVM classifiers achieved from the training

\footnote{In practice we use the Matlab in-built function \texttt{eps}}
images as feature vectors to learn another SVM with a linear kernel. The final layer determines the classification of a given test image.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS
In this section we provide details on the datasets used together with the adopted experimental setup for the evaluation of the proposed method, followed by the results.

A. DATASETS
We use three different datasets, namely GENDER-FERET [34], LFW [58] and UNISA-Public [59].

While in the last years several datasets have been proposed for benchmarking gender recognition algorithms, to the best of our knowledge there is no dataset which has become a standard de facto. Also, often there is not a standard way for evaluating algorithms on the existing datasets, as the partitioning of training and test sets is not clearly defined. This makes it hard to compare the performance of a new algorithm with existing ones.

In order to aim for some standardization, we use the GENDER-FERET subset that we created from the well known FERET [60] dataset and already published in [34]. The GENDER-FERET dataset has a balanced number of male and female face images and it is pre-partitioned into 474 training (237 males and 237 females) and 472 test (236 males and 236 females) images. This dataset consists of frontal faces acquired in controlled conditions with different illumination, background, age, expression and race. Moreover, it contains only one face for each person, which can be present either in the training or in the test set, but not in both. Figure 7 shows some examples of face images from the GENDER-FERET dataset.

In order to test the proposed method on faces with more different poses and in order to evaluate the impact of face alignment technique, we also use the Labeled Faces in the Wild (LFW) dataset [58]. LFW contains more than 13,000 images of 5,749 subjects designed to study the problem of face recognition in uncontrolled conditions. The images show famous people busy in different activities, such as recording...
an interview, playing sports, doing a fashion show and others. In our experiments we aligned the LFW face images using the algorithm described in Section II-A. Figure 8 shows four original LFW images and the corresponding aligned faces.

As recommended in [23], [32], and [36], we performed a 5-fold cross validation and computed the average accuracy of the proposed method.

The LFW images are unconstrained pictures and are taken using professional cameras. For this reason, the dataset consists of high resolution faces without motion blur, which is in contrast to real video based systems that come with typically lower resolution and with motion blur. To the best of our knowledge there is not a publicly available dataset of face images captured by a video camera under realistic conditions. The lack of such a dataset restricts researchers from an elaborate evaluation of their algorithms. In order to test the proposed method in scenarios of everyday life, we used a new dataset, namely UNISA-Public, that is publicly available for benchmarking purposes. The dataset contains 406 faces of 58 different persons (42m, 16f) captured while walking towards a fixed camera. We detected the faces using the Viola-Jones algorithm and cropped the faces with bounding boxes that are twice as large as the detected ones. The expansion of the bounding boxes allow us to perform face alignment without adding black backgrounds to the rotated images. The UNISA-Public dataset exhibits variations not covered by the other datasets. Indeed, the illumination conditions are quite controlled since the original videos were acquired in an indoor environment close to the entrance door of a building. The persons are, however, unaware of the camera and they approach it without a precise rule, so their faces are detected with different poses and expressions. Sometimes the individuals also make sudden movements that cause motion blur. Furthermore, the face images have different sizes depending on the distance of the detected persons from the camera. Examples of images from the UNISA-Public dataset are shown in Figure 9. For our experiments we randomly partitioned the dataset in two subsets, the training and the test set. In both sets we collected the face images of 29 persons, namely 21 men and 8 women, so as to preserve the a priori distribution of males and females of the whole dataset.

B. EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we evaluate the effectiveness of our method on the three aforementioned datasets. First, we report the results of the COSFIRE- and the SURF-based methods separately. Finally, we show the results achieved by considering the two heterogeneous classifiers as base classifiers and use their predicted values as input of a meta classifier.

1) RESULTS WITH COSFIRE-BASED CLASSIFIER

We performed the experiments with the COSFIRE-based method following the same procedure reported in [47]. Further to the findings in [47] we configured 180 COSFIRE filters for both the GENDER-FERET and the LFW data sets. In practice, we configured 90 COSFIRE filters from randomly selected male face training images and 90 from randomly selected female face training images. Since the UNISA-Public dataset has less than 90 face training images from the minority female class we could not configure 90 COSFIRE filters per class. Having only 62 female training images we decided to configure 60 COSFIRE filters for each gender class, amounting to a total of 120 COSFIRE filters for the UNISA-Public dataset. Then, for each image, we designed a pseudo-random procedure to select a region of \(19 \times 19\) pixels, as we did in [47], and used it as a prototype pattern of interest to configure a COSFIRE filter. The pattern was considered valid if the corresponding COSFIRE filter resulted in at least five defining features (i.e. five tuples). Otherwise we chose a new prototype and repeated the procedure until that condition was respected. For the configuration of the COSFIRE filters we used the same parameters as reported in [47]: \(\rho = \{0, 3, 6, 9\}, t_1 = 0.1, t_2 = 0.75, \sigma_0 = 0.67, \alpha = 0.1\).

Table 1 shows the results of the COSFIRE-based method on the three benchmark datasets. It consistently outperforms the domain-specific method that uses the SURF descriptor for the fiducial landmarks. In particular, it achieves an accuracy of 94.1% on the GENDER-FERET dataset, an accuracy of 89.9% on the UNISA-Public dataset and a remarkable accuracy of 99.3% on the LFW dataset.

2) SURF-BASED RESULTS

The SURF-based algorithm does not require the configuration of any parameters. Table 1 shows that this approach, like the COSFIRE-based, achieves a high accuracy rate on the LFW dataset (96.1%), moderate performance on the GENDER-FERET dataset (89.2%) and a rather low accuracy on the UNISA-Public dataset (82.9%).
3) FUSING COSFIRE- AND SURF-BASED CLASSIFIERS

In this section we analyse the complementarity of the two considered features, namely the trainable COSFIRE- and the domain-specific SURF-based approaches. In particular, Figure 10 gives an idea of the performance achievable by combining the decisions of the proposed classifiers over the three considered datasets. The ideal fusion technique would be the one that is able to take the right decision when at least one of the methods classifies the gender correctly.

This happens in two situations: (1) both the decisions are correct (in blue in the figure); (2) only one of the two decisions is correct (in red in the figure). Such experiment proves the complementarity of the COSFIRE- and the SURF-based features, since the fusion may achieve more than 99% of accuracy on the GENDER-FERET and LFW datasets and almost 96% on the UNISA-Public.

In order to test the effectiveness of the proposed stacked classification scheme, we compare it with other two well
known fusion techniques, namely the majority voting and the weighted voting schemes. For the former, we trust the decision of the SVM classifier that achieves the highest absolute score. For the latter we consider the accuracies on the training sets achieved by the COSFIRE- and SURF-based classifiers as prior probabilities and use them for the final classification. Suppose $P_C(\text{TR})$ and $P_S(\text{TR})$ represent the prior probabilities of the COSFIRE- and SURF-based classifiers, then the weighted scores of both classifiers are computed by multiplying $P_C(\text{TR})$ and $P_S(\text{TR})$ with the corresponding absolute SVM scores. The classifier which yields the highest absolute weighted score is entrusted. Table 2 reports the results achieved on the three datasets using the three different fusion methods. The highest improvement is observed on the UNISA-Public dataset where the error rate is reduced by almost $16\%$. This substantial improvement indicates that the stacked classification scheme is rather effective, even if it is not able to achieve the ideal performance depicted in Figure 10.

Notable is the fact that the stacked classification fusion method outperforms the other two methods in all three datasets. The majority voting and the weighted voting schemes obtain almost the same performance and, even, achieve lower accuracies results than that of the COSFIRE-based method on the LFW dataset.

4) COMPARISON WITH OTHER METHODS

In order to prove the effectiveness of the proposed approach with respect to other methodologies, we perform a comparative analysis. However, since the UNISA-Public is a new dataset, we can only use GENDER-FERET and LFW, that have already been used by the scientific community.

Table 3 shows the performance comparison with two methods on the GENDER-FERET dataset. Our approach outperforms the method proposed in [34], which exploits the use of pixel intensity values, texture and shape features. Moreover, the new algorithm improves the performance of our previous method, based only on COSFIRE-based features [47]. The improvement is attributable to two factors. Firstly, the face alignment algorithm contributes to an improved accuracy ($94.1\%$ vs $93.7\%$). Secondly, the fusion with the SURF-based method further improves the results.

In Table 4 we compare our results with existing approaches. The approach that we propose is more effective than the methods proposed by Dago-Casas et al. [32] and Shan [23], while it outperforms the approach proposed by Tapia and Perez [36]. As we can see from the table, all the methods use an SVM classifier. Thus, the main improvement is due to the combination of the chosen descriptor, which proved to be very representative for the problem at hand.
TABLE 4. Comparison of the results on the LFW dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dago-Casas et al. [32]</td>
<td>Gabor</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shan et al. [23]</td>
<td>Boosted LBP</td>
<td>94.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapia and Perez [36]</td>
<td>LBP</td>
<td>98.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td>COSFIRE SURF</td>
<td>99.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSIONS

We propose a novel method for recognizing the gender by analyzing face images using a fusion of trainable and domain-specific features. The experimentation, performed over three benchmark datasets, confirms that our method is able to deal with faces acquired in completely different environments. The COSFIRE- and the SURF-based descriptors are able to represent different characteristics of the human face, which allow to effectively discriminate the gender of a person.

The proposed method is effective and relatively simple to use. The code is publicly available and the algorithm can be easily evaluated using other face images for experimental purposes. Moreover, the trainable part of our approach, namely the COSFIRE-based method, can be applied to other visual pattern recognition problems as it does not require domain knowledge.
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