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ABSTRACT

Oligosaccharides derived from lactulose (β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru) are drawing more and more attention nowadays because of their strong resistance to gut digestion, and the interest to discover novel prebiotics. Compared to galactooligosaccharides, currently known structures of lactulose oligosaccharides are very limited. In this study, the wild-type β-galactosidase BgaD-D of Bacillus circulans ATCC 31382, as well as the derived mutant R484H, were used to synthesize oligosaccharides from lactulose. In total, 9 oligosaccharide structures were identified by MALDI-TOF-MS and NMR spectroscopy analysis. Trisaccharide β-D-Galp-(1→4)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru was the major structure produced by the wild-type enzyme, while the R484H mutant showed a preference for synthesis of β-D-Galp-(1→3)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru. Our study greatly enriched the structural information about oligosaccharides derived from lactulose.

1. Introduction

Lactulose is a synthetic disaccharide (β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru) that is usually produced by the isomerization of lactose using chemical catalysis [1–3], or enzymatic synthesis by various enzymes [4,5]. It is widely used in the pharmaceutical and food industry because of its healthy effect on humans, e.g. for the treatment of hepatic encephalopathy and constipation [6,7]. Lactulose is also known as a prebiotic which can stimulate the growth of Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli, and modulate the microbial community composition and diversity in the gut [8–11]. Lactulose is mainly consumed by bacteria in the proximal colon, and may cause abdominal distension, intestinal gas production, and flatulence [7,12,13]. With the growing interest in new carbohydrate prebiotics with improved or complementary properties, galactooligosaccharides derived from lactulose (LGOS), containing one fructose residue, have been enzymatically synthesized and receive more and more attention [14–17].

It is well known that the resistance of oligosaccharides towards microbial degradation depends on the glycosidic linkages present, the monosaccharide composition, and the degree of polymerization (DP) [18–22]. Rapidly fermented carbohydrates mainly show bifidogenic effects in the caecum and proximal colon, while the more resistant oligosaccharides are able to reach the distal colon and influence the microbial composition there [23]. An in vivo study in rats showed that the disaccharide fraction of LGOS (β-galactobioses and galactosylfructoses) produced by Aspergillus oryzae was fully resistant to the digestion in the small intestine and completely fermented in the large intestine [24]. The trisaccharide fraction of LGOS was much more resistant to gut digestion (digestibility rates 12.5 ± 2.6%) than the trisaccharides from lactose derived galactooligosaccharides (GOS) (digestibility rates 52.9 ± 2.7%), and therefore these LGOS can reach the large intestine as carbon source for the intestinal microbiota [24].

LGOS and GOS synthesized by the Aspergillus aculeatus (Pectinex Ultra SP-L) and Kluyveromyces lactis (Lactozym 3000L HP G) β-galactosidases from enzymes from lactulose and lactose were both shown to have the ability to promote growth of Bifidobacteria using an in vitro fermentation system with human fecal cultures [23]. Another study showed that treatment with LGOS produced by the A. oryzae β-galactosidase changed the bacterial composition in the intestinal contents, resulting in increased numbers of Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli [25]. This study also showed that LGOS generated a larger amount of short-chain fatty acids and showed a better anti-inflammatory effect than lactulose [25]. Short-chain fatty acids are the main fermentation products of these carbohydrates, and they exert health benefits to the host.
LGOS produced by A. oryzae β-galactosidase also selectively increased the numbers of *Bifidobacterium animalis* in the caecum and colon of rats [28], greatly reduced intestinal pathogen adhesion [29], and enhanced iron absorption in the intestinal tract of rats [30].

New lactulose derived oligosaccharides may be used as functional food ingredients to improve gut health. Despite the extensive characterization and increasing studies of LGOS, there are only a limited number of structures synthesized and identified compared to oligosaccharides derived from lactose (GOS) [31–33]. One study found two LGOS trisaccharides, 6′-galactosyl-lactulose (β-D-Galp (1→6)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru), and 1-galactosyl-lactulose (β-D-Galp-(1→4)-β-D-Galp-(1→1)-β-Fru), as products from the transgalactosylation of lactulose by β-galactosidase from *A. aculeatus* [34]. Another study by this group identified the same two trisaccharides by incubating lactulose with β-galactosidase from *K. lactis* [35]. Padilla et al. identified 6-galactobiose, 6′-galactosyl-lactulose, and 1-galactosyl-lactulose as products from incubations of the crude cell extracts of 15 *Kluyveromyces* strains with lactulose [36]. Two lactulose oligosaccharides, allolactulose (β-D-Galp-(1→6)-D-Fru) and 6′-galactosyl-lactulose, were identified as products of the transgalactosylation by β-galactosidase from *A. oryzae* [37]. Oligosaccharides up to a degree of polymerization (DP) of 6 were also detected from the transgalactosylation of *A. oryzae* β-galactosidase [38]. Another trisaccharide, 4′-galactosyl-lactulose (β-D-Galp-(1→4)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru), was formed when incubaing cheese whey permeate with a commercial enzyme (Biocon) [16].

The *B. circulans* β-galactosidase is very effective in synthesizing GOS from lactulose, producing a high-yield mixture with a broad structural spectrum [31,32]. In this study, we report the synthesis of LGOS from lactulose using the wild-type β-galactosidase BgaD-D enzyme from *B. circulans* [39,40] and a derived mutant (R484H) enzyme that has been described previously and showed a different structural composition of GOS from lactose [41], and the separation and identification of the products and their structures. In total 6 novel structures were identified.

2. Results and discussion

### 2.1. Optimization of LGOS yield

The LGOS yield was evaluated with different enzyme amounts, substrate concentrations, temperatures, and incubation times (Fig. 1). For the wild-type enzyme, the LGOS yield increased gradually when the enzyme units increased from 5 U/g lactulose to 15 U/g lactulose (Fig. 1A). When the enzyme amount was increased from 5 U/g lactulose to 15 U/g lactulose, the LGOS yield of the R484H mutant enzyme remained similar. However, the LGOS yield of R484H enzyme increased greatly when the enzyme amount was 15 U/g (Fig. 1A). The influence of the substrate concentration on the LGOS yield was investigated at three lactulose concentrations: 40% (w/w), 50% (w/w), and 60% (w/w). For both wild-type and R484H enzymes, the LGOS yield increased when the substrate concentration increased, and both of them had the highest yield at 60% (w/w) lactulose concentration (Fig. 1B). The influence of temperatures on the LGOS yield was studied at 40 °C, 50 °C, and 60 °C. Both the wild-type BgaD-D and R484H enzymes had low LGOS yield at low temperatures. When the temperature increased, the LGOS yield also increased, and the highest yield was observed at 60 °C (Fig. 1C). Finally, the incubation durations were investigated for both enzymes at 8 h, 16 h, and 24 h reaction time. The wild-type enzyme had the highest LGOS yield at 8 h incubation. The R484H mutant had the highest LGOS yield at 16 h incubation (Fig. 1D).

In summary, when the enzyme units, substrate concentrations, and temperatures increased, the LGOS yield also increased. The incubation durations were not similarly correlated with the LGOS yield. The optimal condition for the wild-type enzyme was 60% (w/w) lactulose with 15 U/g enzyme activity at 60 °C incubated for 8 h, resulting in a yield of 202.9 ± 2.3 g/L (i.e. a conversion of lactulose into LGOS of 25.7%).

The optimal condition for the R484H enzyme was the same as for WT, except for a longer incubation time of 16 h, with a yield of 197.7 ± 5.4 g/L (i.e. a conversion of lactulose into LGOS of 25.0%). It is reported that β-galactosidase from *A. oryzae* had a LGOS yield of 50% (w/v) at the optimal incubation conditions [37], while β-galactosidase from *Kluyveromyces marxianus* yielded 45 g LGOS from 100 g lactose at its optimal reaction conditions [36]. Under the optimal incubation conditions found here, the yield of both the wild-type and R484H mutant enzymes therefore were clearly lower than the values reported for these other enzymes.

### 2.2. Characterization of LGOS

When incubated with lactose as only substrate, wild type BgaD-D prefers synthesizes GOS with (β1→4)-linkages, while the R484H mutant enzyme synthesizes GOS with (β1→3) and (β1→4)-linkages in comparable levels [41]. The LGOS mixtures produced by the wild-type BgaD-D and R484H mutant enzymes (10 U/mL, 50% (w/w) lactulose, 50 °C, 20 h incubation) were analyzed by Matrix-assisted Laser Desorption Ionization – Time of Flight Mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) (Fig. 2). Both enzymes produced relatively short LGOS from lactulose, with mainly DP2 (m/z 364.8 Da) and DP3 (m/z 526.8 Da), a minor amount of DP4 (m/z 689.0 Da) and only trace amounts of DP5 (m/z 851.1 Da) (Fig. 2). When incubated with lactose both enzymes are capable of synthesizing GOS of much higher DP [41,42]. Analysis by High-pH Anion Exchange Chromatography with Pulsed Amperometric Detection (HPAEC-PAD) rendered profiles of the LGOS synthesized by WT and R484H mutant enzymes showed that a large quantity of lactulose remained (Fig. 3). A pre-fractionation on BioGel P2 rendered sub-pools containing DP3 – DP5 structures (not shown). The two relevant sub-pools were fractionated further by preparative HPAEC-PAD on a CarboPac PA-1 column (9 × 250 mm; Dionex). Isolated fractions were analyzed by NMR spectroscopy and MALDI-TOF-MS. MALDI-TOF-MS analysis of the isolated fractions showed peaks at m/z 527.1 for fractions 1–5 fitting the sodium adduct of trisaccharide structures. Fractions 6 and 7 showed a peak at m/z 689.2 Da fitting the sodium adduct of tetrasaccharide structures. Rejection on an analytical HPAEC-PAD column confirmed the elution positions of the isolated fractions (Fig. 3).

### 2.2.1. Fraction 1

The 1H NMR spectrum of trisaccharide 1 (Fig. S1) showed β-anomeric signals at δ 4.638 (B′″H-1; J_{2,3} 8.0 Hz) and δ 4.510 (C′′H-1; J_{1,2} 8.1 Hz). The signal at δ 4.418 (J_{3,4} 2.8 Hz) typically fits with the H-5 signal of a fructopyranose residue. It is, however, shifted significantly downfield, compared with lactulose [43] (δH 4.199). The characteristic peaks for the furanose form δH 4.29 and δH 4.426 are absent in the 1H NMR spectrum. Starting from the β-anomeric signals for the Gal residues, and the fructose H-5 the C′H chemical shifts of B″ and C′″ could be determined up to H-4 and for A″ from H-3 to H-6a,b from the COSY and TOCSY spectra (Table 1). The H-5 and H-6a,b signals for the Gal residues and the H-1a,b signals of A″ were derived from the HSQC. The identity of A″ H-1a and H-1b were confirmed by the observation that H-1a at δ 3.534 only correlated in COSY and TOCSY spectra with δ 3.783, which fits with H-1b.

The clear downfield shift of the A″ H-5 and C-5 signals to δ 4.418 (δH 0.22) and δ 7.42 (δH 6.5) indicate a 5-substitution of the Fru residue [31–33,43]. This is further supported by the ROESY interresidual correlations between C′″ H-1 and A″ H-5 and between C′ H-1 and A″ H-6a,b (Fig. S1). The linkage between residue B″ and O4 of fru residue A″ is further confirmed by ROESY correlations between B″ H-1 and A″ H-4 and between B″ H-1 and A″ H-5 (Fig. S1). The substitution at A″ O5 explains the absence of the peaks corresponding with the furanose form, since closure of the ring in furanose form requires a free OH-S. These data lead to the conclusion that trisaccharide 1 has a β-D-Galp-(1→5)[β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru] structure; i.e. Cl→5 [B1→4]A [Fig. 3].
2.2.2. Fraction 2

The 1D $^1$H NMR spectrum of fraction 2 (Fig. S2) showed anomic signals belonging to two separate trisaccharide compounds 2a and 2b. Anomeric signals at δ 4.472 (BfH-1; J1,2 7.8 Hz) and δ 4.510 (CfH-1; J1,2 8.0 Hz) are assigned to structure 2a and anomeric signals δ 4.576 (BpH-1; J1,2 7.8 Hz), δ 4.504 (BfH-1; J1,2 7.7 Hz), and δ 4.517 (CfH-1; J1,2 8.0 Hz) were assigned to structure 2b. Using 2D NMR spectroscopy all $^1$H and most $^{13}$C chemical shifts could be assigned for both structures (Table 1). For structure 2a residue A showed an altered pattern. Particularly H-6a and H-6b (δ 4.17; 3.83) showed a very large downfield shift, compared with A of lactulose. The C-6 chemical shift δ 72.0, indicated a 6-substitution of residue A. For structure 2a no A residue was observed, fitting with a 6-substitution. Further the position of H-4 and C-4 (δ $^1$H 4.33; δ $^{13}$C 75.4) fit with the 4-substitution of residue A. Residues B and C both show the chemical shift pattern of a terminal Gal residue [31–33]. The ROESY inter-residual correlations between B H-1 and A H-4 and between C H-1 and A H-6a,b further support these findings. All data result in a structure for 2a of β-D-Galp-(1→6)[β-D-Galp-(1→4)]-D-Fru; i.e. C1→6 B1→4A (Fig. 3).

2.2.3. Fraction 3

The trisaccharide F3 showed a 1D $^1$H NMR spectrum (Fig. S3) with β-anomeric signals at δ 4.561 (BpH-1; J1,2 7.9 Hz), δ 4.469 (BfH-1; J1,2 n. d), and δ 4.445 (CfH-1; J1,2 8.0 Hz). From the 2D NMR spectra all $^1$H chemical shifts and most $^{13}$C chemical shifts could be assigned (Table 1). Both residues B and C show a chemical shift pattern fitting a terminal Gal residue. The splitting of the Gal anomeric signals based on the furanose and pyranose mutarotamers of the Fru residue suggests that both residues are linked to the Fru residue. The H-1a and H-1b signals of the Fru residue are shifted significantly, compared with Fru in
lactulose, but were of insufficient quantity to identify.

Moreover, the HSQC spectrum showed C-1 of Fru shifted significantly downfield, indicating a 1-substitution of the Fru residue. The ROESY spectrum showed interresidual correlations between B H-1 and A H-4 and between C H-1 and A H-1a,b. These data result in the postulated structure for 3 of β-D-Galp-(1→3)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru; i.e. B1→4 [C1→1]A (Fig. 3).

2.2.4. Fraction 4

The 1H NMR spectrum of trisaccharide 4 (Fig. S4) showed β-anomeric peaks at δ 4.610 (C H-1; J_{1,2} 7.8 Hz), δ 4.593 (B H-1; J_{1,2} 8.1 Hz) and δ 4.501 (B H-1; J_{1,2} 8.1 Hz). From the 2D NMR spectra all 1H and 13C chemical shifts could be assigned (Table 1). The signals for the A_p and A_f matched closely with that observed for the Fru residue in lactulose, confirming no further substitution on this residue. Residue B showed a significant downfield shift in H-3 (Δδ +0.17) and H-4 (Δδ +0.31). The C-3 is shifted downfield to δ 83.2, supporting a 3-substitution of residue B [31–33]. The O3 substitution at residue B is confirmed by the interresidual correlation between C H-1 and B H-3 observed in the 2D ROESY spectrum. These data result in a structure for 5 of β-D-Galp-(1→3)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru; i.e. C1→3B1→4A (Fig. 3).

2.2.5. Fraction 5

The 1H NMR spectrum of trisaccharide 5 (Fig. S5) showed β-anomeric peaks at δ 4.619 (B H-1; J_{1,2} 7.7 Hz), δ 4.616 (C H-1; J_{1,2} 7.6 Hz) and δ 4.526 (B H-1; J_{1,2} 7.8 Hz). From the 2D NMR spectra all 1H and 13C chemical shifts could be assigned (Table 1). The signals for the A_p and A_f matched closely with that observed for the Fru residue in lactulose, confirming no further substitution on this residue. Residue B showed a significant downfield shift in H-3 (Δδ +0.17) and H-4 (Δδ +0.31). The C-3 is shifted downfield to δ 83.2, supporting a 3-substitution of residue B [31–33]. The O3 substitution at residue B is confirmed by the interresidual correlation between C H-1 and B H-3 observed in the 2D ROESY spectrum. These data result in a structure for 5 of β-D-Galp-(1→3)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru; i.e. C1→3B1→4A (Fig. 3).

2.2.6. Fraction 6

The 1H NMR spectrum of tetrasaccharide 6 (Fig. S6) showed β-anomeric signals at δ 4.666 (C H-1; J_{1,2} 7.8 Hz), δ 4.617 (D H-1; J_{1,2} 7.6 Hz), δ 4.591 (B H-1; J_{1,2} 7.8 Hz), and δ 4.500 (B H-1; J_{1,2} 7.7 Hz). Using 2D NMR spectroscopy all 1H chemical shifts and part of the 13C chemical shifts were assigned (Table 1). The chemical shift pattern of residue A matches that of lactulose fitting with a reducing Fru residue that is 4-substituted. Residue B has an H-1 signal that is shifted downfield compared with residue B in lactulose. Moreover, the H-3 and H-4 are shifted downfield, and also C-4 showed a downfield shift, fitting with a 4-substituted residue B. Residue C showed also a H-3 and H-4 that are shifted downfield, in this case H-3 is shifted further downfield than for residue B. Residue C has a C-3 that is shifted downfield, indicating a 3-substituted residue [31–33]. Residue D has a pattern of chemical shifts fitting a terminal Gal residue. The glycosidic linkages between the residues is further shown by interresidual ROESY correlations between D H-1 and C H-3, between C H-1 and B H-4 and between B H-1 and A H-4. These data result in a structure for 6 of β-D-Galp-(1→3)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru; i.e. D1→3C1→4B1→4A for structure 6 (Fig. 3).

2.2.7. Fraction 7

Fraction 7 contains two major tetrasaccharide structures. The β-anomeric signals in the 1H NMR spectrum (Fig. S7) at δ 4.679 (C H-1; J_{1,2} 7.9 Hz), δ 4.619 (B H-1; J_{1,2} 7.8 Hz), δ 4.615 (D H-1; J_{1,2} 7.9 Hz), and δ 4.523 (B H-1; J_{1,2} 7.6 Hz) were assigned to structure 7a. The signals at δ 4.658 (C H-1; J_{1,2} 7.9 Hz), δ 4.619 (B H-1; J_{1,2} 7.8 Hz), 4.600 (D H-1; J_{1,2} 8.2 Hz), and δ 4.520 (B H-1; J_{1,2} 8.1 Hz) were assigned to structure 7b. Starting from the anomeric signals in the 2D NMR spectra all 1H chemical shifts could be assigned for 7a (Table 1) for the Gal residues. Starting from the H-4 signals at δ 4.14 and δ 4.28 the 1H chemical shifts of the Fru residue were assigned, except for the H-1a and H-1b signals, which were derived from the HSQC spectrum. From the 2D HSQC spectrum most 13C assignments were possible. The chemical shift pattern observed for residues C and B fits with that of a 3-substituted β-D-Galp residue. Residue D showed a pattern fitting a terminal residue. The chemical shifts for the Fru residue match that of the Fru residue in lactulose, indicating a 4-substituted residue. The connections between the residues are further supported by ROESY interresidual correlations between D H-1 and C H-3, between C H-1 and B H-3, and between B H-1 and A H-4. These data result in the structure for 7a of β-D-Galp-(1→3)-β-D-Galp-(1→3)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru; i.e. D1→3C1→3B1→4A (Fig. 3).

Also for structure 7b all 1H chemical shifts and most 13C chemical shifts could be obtained from the 2D NMR spectra (Table 1). The Fru residue A showed chemical shifts corresponding with those observed in lactulose, indicating a 4-substituted Fru residue. Residues B_p and B_f showed patterns similar to residue B in structures 5 and 7a, indicating a
Table 1
Proton and carbon chemical shifts of structures 1–7, determined by 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy in reference to internal acetone (δ\(^1\)H 2.225; δ\(^13\)C 31.08).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lactulose</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2a</th>
<th>2b</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\delta_{1H})</td>
<td>(\delta_{13C})</td>
<td>(\delta_{1H})</td>
<td>(\delta_{13C})</td>
<td>(\delta_{1H})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>3.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>3.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>3.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.132</td>
<td>78.4</td>
<td>4.254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.199</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>4.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6a</td>
<td>4.019</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>3.949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6b</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>3.949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6a</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6b</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>3.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>3.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>6a</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>6b</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>3.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.464</td>
<td>103.8</td>
<td>4.472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>3.925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>6a</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>6b</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>7a</td>
<td>4.510</td>
<td>103.6</td>
<td>4.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>7b</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.295</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.273</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>4.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.016</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4.198</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>4.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>3.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued on next page)
Table 1 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1H</th>
<th>13C</th>
<th>1H</th>
<th>13C</th>
<th>1H</th>
<th>13C</th>
<th>1H</th>
<th>13C</th>
<th>1H</th>
<th>13C</th>
<th>1H</th>
<th>13C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4.610</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>4.616</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>4.666</td>
<td>105.3</td>
<td>4.679</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>4.658</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.583</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>3.614</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>3.741</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>3.788</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>3.681</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>4.399</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>3.997</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>3.784</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>3.741</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Residue label A, signifies a Fru residue that is in pyranose form, whereas A’, indicates a Frf residue. Residues B’ and B” stand for Gal residue B that is linked to a Frf or a Frf residue, respectively.

3-substituted Gal residue. For residue C a pattern was observed fitting a 4-substituted residue and residue D had a pattern fitting a terminal β-D-Galp-residue. The connections between the residues are further confirmed by interresidual correlations between D H-1 and C H-4, between C H-1 and B H-3 and between B H-1 and A H-4 signals. These data result in a structure for 7b of β-D-Galp-(1→4)-β-D-Galp-(1→3)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru; i.e. D1→C1→B1→A4 (Fig. 3).

LGOS derived from the wild-type BgaD-D and R484H mutant enzymes both contained structures 1–5 (Fig. 3). Structures 6 and 7 were only found in the LGOS derived from the R484H mutant enzyme. Some minor peaks were visible as well but could not be identified (Fig. 3).

The wild-type enzyme produced more structure 4 (β-D-Galp-(1→4)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru) than the R484H mutant enzyme, while the R484H mutant enzyme produced more structure 5 (β-D-Galp-(1→3)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru), and 7 (β-D-Galp-(1→3)-β-D-Galp-(1→3)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru). This observed difference in preference fits with what was found for these two enzymes in the GOS products synthesized from lactose [41]. The relatively high level of structure 5 in the wild-type product, however, does not fit with the observation that only trace amounts of 3'-galactosyllactose was formed from lactose [41]. The study by Corzo-Martinez et al. identified structure 4 in the LGOS derived from the commercial B. circulans β-galactosidase enzyme (Biocon, Spain) [16]. Here, we identified 9 LGOS structures in total, including the structures found by Cardelle-Cobas et al. [44], namely 2b (β-D-Galp-(1→6)-β-D-Galp-(1→4)-D-Fru) and 3 (β-D-Galp-(1→4)-β-D-Galp-(1→1)-D-Fru). However, allolactulose (β-D-Galp-(1→6)-D-Fru) found in the LGOS produced by A. oryzae β-galactosidase by Cardelle-Cobas et al. [37], was not observed in our study. Compared with previous studies, we identified and characterized a total of 6 novel LGOS structures, i.e. 1, 2a, 5, 6, 7a, and 7b (Fig. 3).

3. Conclusions and perspectives

In this study, the wild-type and R484H mutant β-galactosidase enzymes from B. circulans ATCC 31382 were used to synthesize LGOS from lactulose. The optimal conditions for the production of LGOS were investigated in this study (Fig. 1). The wild-type enzyme had a highest yield of 202.9 ± 2.3 g/L (33.8% (w/w)) at 15 U/g lactulose, 60% (w/w) lactulose, and 60°C incubated for 8 h. The R484H mutant enzyme had a highest yield of 197.7 ± 5.4 g/L (33.0% (w/w)) at 15 U/g lactulose, 60% (w/w) lactulose, 60°C incubated for 16 h. When incubated with lactose, both enzymes reached a much higher GOS yield, i.e. 63.5% (w/w) for WT and 60.6% (w/w) for R484H [41], indicating that lactulose is not as good a substrate for this enzyme. Although the LGOS yields were lower than yields previously reported for A. oryzae (~50% (w/w)) and K. marxianus (~45% (w/w)) β-galactosidases [36,37], our data show a higher variety of LGOS structures.

Analysis by NMR spectroscopy and MALDI-TOF-MS identified 6 trisaccharides and 3 tetrasaccharides after separation with HPAEC-PAD, 6 of which (structures 1, 2a, 5, 6, 7a and 7b) had not been identified before. In previous studies, four LGOS structures were reported in total [16,34–37]. The LGOS product profiles of the wild-type BgaD-D and R484H mutant enzymes showed a difference in preference for introducing (β1→4) linkages and (β1→3) linkages. Our previous study showed that when lactose was used as substrate, the wild-type enzyme had a strong preference for (β1→4) linkages in GOS, only a trace amount of a (β1→3) linked trisaccharide was produced [41]. Here, however, when lactulose was used as substrate, the equivalent (β1→3) linked trisaccharide was the second largest peak in the LGOS profile of the wild-type enzyme (Fig. 3). Previously we have shown that mutant R484H also has a preference to introduce (β1→3) as well as (β1→4) linkages when incubated with lactose [41]. Here with lactulose as substrate a higher level of (β1→3)-linked structures was observed than for the WT enzyme, fitting with previous observations [41].

4. Materials and methods

4.1. Enzymes, chemicals, and strains

The wild-type BgaD-D and R484H mutant β-galactosidase enzymes of B. circulans ATCC 31382 are described in a previous study [41]. Lactulose (≥95%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Australia), galactose (≥99%), and acetonitrile was purchased from Boom (Meppehl, Netherlands), fructose (≥99%) was purchased from Sigma (St Louis, USA).

4.2. Enzymatic synthesis of oligosaccharides from lactulose

For the production of LGOS, 10 U/mL of the wild-type BgaD-D and R484H mutant β-galactosidases from B. circulans. Firstly, enzyme amounts of 5 U/mL, 10 U/mL, 15 U/mL (total enzyme activity towards lactose) were incubated with a 50% (w/w) lactulose solution and incubated at 50 °C for 8 h for wild-type and...
4.7. NMR spectroscopy

The LGOS produced by the R484H mutant enzyme were diluted four times with Milli-Q water, and then loaded onto the Bio-Gel P2 column to separate the oligosaccharides. The LGOS were eluted with 10 mM ammonium carbonate. Samples of 3 mL were collected for each fraction and loaded on a High pH Anion Exchange Chromatography (HPAEC) with an ICS3000 Pulsed Amperometric Detector (PAD). The fractions were separated on a CarboPac PA1 analytical column (2 x 250 mm) using a gradient described previously [42]. Fractions with similar profiles were pooled together (pool 1–4).

4.4. Separation of LGOS by Bio-Gel P2 column

The LGOS produced by the R484H mutant enzyme were diluted four times with Milli-Q water, and then loaded onto the Bio-Gel P2 column to separate the oligosaccharides. The LGOS were eluted with 10 mM ammonium carbonate. Samples of 3 mL were collected for each fraction and loaded on a High pH Anion Exchange Chromatography (HPAEC) with an ICS3000 Pulsed Amperometric Detector (PAD). The fractions were separated on a CarboPac PA1 analytical column (2 x 250 mm) using a gradient described previously [42]. Fractions with similar profiles were pooled together (pool 1–4).

4.5. MALDI-TOF-MS analysis

The DG of the LGOS produced by the wild-type and R484H mutant enzymes was analyzed by MALDI-TOF-MS. The samples were mixed with 1 µL of 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (10 mg/mL) in 40% (v/v) acetonitrile in a ratio of 1:1, and crystallized under atmospheric conditions. The experiments were carried out on an Axima performance tandem (Shimadzu Kratos Inc., Manchester, UK), equipped with a nitrogen laser (337 nm, 3 as pulse width). Masses were calibrated using malto-oligosaccharides from DP2 to 8 as the external calibration ladder.

4.6. Isolation of LGOS fractions using HPAEC-PAD

The samples pools after the Bio-Gel P2 column were further separated by HPAEC-PAD using a CarboPac PA1 Semi-Preparative column (9 x 250 mm) on a Dionex ICS-500 work station. The program used a calibration ladder.
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