Kinematics of the SN Refsdal host revealed by MUSE: a regularly rotating spiral galaxy at $z \approx 1.5$
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1 INTRODUCTION

MACS J1149.5+2223 (hereafter MACS1149, Ebeling et al. 2007) is a well-known galaxy cluster at $z = 0.542$. It has been targeted by several observational programmes, including the Cluster Lensing And Supernova survey with Hubble (CLASH, Postman et al. 2012), the Hubble Frontier Fields (HFF, Lotz et al. 2014) and the Grism Lens-Amplified Survey from Space (GLASS, Schmidt et al. 2014; Treu et al. 2015) with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST). MACS1149 shows numerous strong-lensing features, like multiply imaged galaxies and elongated arcs, and lensing models have been developed in several studies (e.g. Smith et al. 2009; Zitrin et al. 2011; Johnson et al. 2014; Oguri 2015; Grillo et al. 2016; Jauzac et al. 2016). This cluster has also revealed a magnified image of the supernova ‘Refsdal’. Sp1149 lies at $z = 1.49$, has a stellar mass $M_\star \approx 5 \times 10^{9} M_{\odot}$, has a star formation rate (SFR) $\approx 1–6 M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$, and represents a likely progenitor of a Milky Way-like galaxy. All the four multiple images of Sp1149 in our data show strong [O II]-line emissions pointing to a clear rotation pattern. We take advantage of the gravitational lensing magnification effect ($\geq 4\times$) on the [O II] emission of the least distorted image to fit three-dimensional kinematic models to the MUSE data cube and derive the rotation curve and the velocity dispersion profile of Sp1149. We find that the rotation curve steeply rises, peaks at $R \approx 1$ kpc, and then (initially) declines and flattens to an average $V_{\text{flat}} = 128^{+29}_{-19} \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The shape of the rotation curve is well determined, but the actual value of $V_{\text{flat}}$ is quite uncertain because of the nearly face-on configuration of the galaxy. The intrinsic velocity dispersion due to gas turbulence is almost constant across the entire disc with an average of $27 \pm 5 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. This value is consistent with $z = 0$ measurements in the ionized gas component and a factor of 2–4 lower than other estimates in different galaxies at similar redshifts. The average stellar-to-total mass fraction is of the order of one-fifth. Our kinematic analysis returns the picture of a regular star-forming, mildly turbulent, rotation-dominated ($V/\sigma \approx 5$) spiral galaxy in a 4-Gyr-old Universe.
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band, Smith et al. 2009) and Hα observations (Livermore et al. 2012) revealed a normal star formation rate (SFR) of 1–6 M⊙ yr⁻¹. Sp1149 almost perfectly lies on the galaxy main sequence (i.e. SFR–M* relation) at 1 < z < 2 (e.g. Speagle et al. 2014) and represents a likely progenitor of a Milky Way-like galaxy in the local Universe (Behroozi, Wechsler & Conroy 2013; van Dokkum et al. 2013).

The large magnification factor allows us to trace the kinematics of Sp1149 with an accuracy otherwise unachievable for a galaxy at z ≃ 1.5. The kinematic properties of young star-forming galaxies are still controversial, although the number of high-z studies has been quickly increasing in the last decade (e.g. Förster Schreiber et al. 2009; Gnerucci et al. 2011; Contini et al. 2012; Wisnioski et al. 2015; Stott et al. 2016). The current understanding is that high-z galaxies are settled in turbulent discy structures, namely marginally stable rotating discs with a significant contribution of random motions to the dynamical support of the system (see for example, the review of Glazebrook 2013). The mechanisms that generate such a high turbulence (i.e. high velocity dispersion) are still debated (e.g. Green et al. 2010; Swinbank et al. 2012), and it is not clear how high-z galaxies evolve into the lowly-turbulent and rotation-dominated systems that we observe in the local Universe (e.g. Bournaud, Elmegreen & Martig 2009). In general, estimating the intrinsic kinematics of high-z galaxies is challenging because observations have very low spatial resolution and signal-to-noise ratios (S/N). The low spatial resolution makes it difficult to derive meaningful kinematic maps and disentangle rotation velocity from velocity dispersion because of the well-known ‘beam smearing’ effect (e.g. Bosma 1978; Epinat et al. 2010). In particular, the high values of velocity dispersion derived for many high-z galaxies could be, at least partially, due to an instrumental bias (line broadening) and not due to an intrinsically high velocity dispersion of the gas (see e.g. Davies et al. 2011; Di Teodoro, Fraternali & Miller 2016).

In this context, gravitational lensing helps to improve both the S/N and the linear spatial resolution through magnification (e.g. Jones et al. 2010a; Newman, Belli & Ellis 2015; Leethochawalit et al. 2016; Yuan et al. 2017), minimizing the impact of beam smearing.

The former attempts to derive the kinematics of Sp1149 returned conflicting results. Yuan et al. (2011) used the integral field spectrograph (IFS) OSIRIS (Larkin et al. 2006) on the Keck Telescope to trace the Hα–[NⅡ] emission in one of the multiple images (M1 in Fig. 1) of Sp1149 and found a maximum rotation velocity $V_{\text{max}} = 210$ km s⁻¹ (assuming an inclination of 45°), with a rotation-to-dispersion ratio $V/\sigma \approx 4$. The same OSIRIS observations instead led Livermore et al. (2015) to estimate a $V_{\text{max}} = 59$ km s⁻¹ with a $V/\sigma < 2$, where $V_{\text{c,2}}$ is the rotation velocity at 2.2 times the scale-length of the exponential disc. These studies were, however, not targeted at accurately defining the kinematics of Sp1149, and their results could be biased by the very low S/N of OSIRIS observations, the non-negligible distortion of the M1 image due to gravitational lensing and an improper account for beam smearing. In a more recent paper, Mason et al. (2017) presented the first results of the KMOS Lens-Amplified Spectroscopic Survey (KLASS), a survey with the KMOS IFS (Sharples et al. 2013) aimed at investigating the kinematics of lensed galaxies at $1 < z < 3$, including Sp1149. They have [OⅡ] observations of both the M1 and M3 images: Their three-dimensional (3D) modelling of M1 returned a $V_{\text{max}} = 227$ km s⁻¹ with an inclination of 12° and a low gas velocity dispersion $\sigma = 15$ km s⁻¹ ($V/\sigma \approx 15$). M3 is not spatially resolved in their data, and they just set an upper limit to the velocity dispersion of about 50 km s⁻¹.

In this paper, we try to nail down the kinematic properties of Sp1149 by using high-quality IFS data from the Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer (MUSE; Bacon et al. 2010) on the Very
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**Figure 1.** Left-hand panel: RGB image of the innermost 150 kpc (in radius) of the cluster MACS1149 at $z = 0.542$. The image has been obtained from HST data from the CLASH survey in the F555W/F814W/F225W wide filters for the RGB channels, respectively. Four multiple images of the magnified spiral galaxy Sp1149 at $z = 1.49$ are easily identifiable and labelled M1–M4. Right-hand panels: zoom-ins of the M3 image of Sp1149 used in this work. We show the F814W HST image from CLASH (top left-hand), the total [OⅡ] intensity map (top right-hand), the velocity field (bottom left-hand), and the observed velocity dispersion field (i.e. uncorrected for observational biases, bottom right-hand). Boxes are sized 7 arcsec². Red ellipses on the HST image represent the gravitational lensing magnification and distortion (see text). Moment maps are derived from our MUSE data cube by fitting a double Gaussian function to each spatial pixel spectrum. For a better visualization, these maps were extracted after spatially smoothing the original data cube with a box kernel of 3 x 3 pixels. Part of Sp1149 in the MUSE maps is cut (top left-hand corner) because of the foreground galaxy visible in the HST image. The size of the PSF of the MUSE observations is shown on the intensity map. White/black crosses indicate the adopted centre of the galaxy, the grey straight-line on the velocity field denotes the estimated position angle. Moment maps are only displayed for educational purposes and are not used in our kinematic analysis.
Large Telescope (VLT). We modelled the [O ii] data cube of the least magnified and least distorted image of Sp1149 (M3 in Fig. 1) using an updated version of the 3DBAROLO code (Di Teodoro & Fraternali 2015). Unlike other 2D techniques based on the modelling of kinematic maps, 3DBAROLO works directly in the 3D observational space and is essentially unaffected by beam smearing issues. This means that, as long as a galaxy is resolved, the spatial resolution does not significantly affect the derived kinematic properties. 3D fitting codes, such as 3DBAROLO or GALPAK3D (Bouché et al. 2015), currently provide the most advanced kinematic modelling of low spatial resolution observations (see e.g. Bacon et al. 2015; Schroetter et al. 2015; Contini et al. 2016).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we summarize the MUSE observations used in this work. Sections 3 and 4 describe the stellar mass estimates and the kinematic modelling procedure, respectively. We show and discuss our findings in Section 5, comparing them to those published in the literature in Section 6 and drawing our conclusions in Section 7. Throughout this work, we use a flat cold dark matter cosmology with $\Omega_m, 0 = 0.27, \Omega_\Lambda, 0 = 0.73$ and $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$. In this cosmology framework, 1 arcsec corresponds to 8.7 kpc and the look-back time is 9.5 Gyr at $z = 1.5$.

2 DATA

MACS1149 was observed with MUSE at the VLT under the programme ID 294.A-5032 (PI: C. Grillo). The total integration time is 4.8 h, divided in 12 exposures of 24 min each. A total of 10 exposures are taken under a seeing lower than 1.1 arcsec, two exposures have worse observational conditions and a seeing of about 2 arcsec. Observations are centred at coordinates $\alpha = 11:49:35.75, \delta = +22:23:52.4$ (J2000) and have one single pointing. MUSE guarantees a wide field of view (FOV) of 1 arcmin$^2$, meaning that the four multiple images of Sp1149 lie all inside our data. In Fig. 1 (left-hand panel), we show a composite RGB image of the central regions of MACS1149 (CLASH survey, Postman et al. 2012). The image extends about two-thirds of the FOV. The four images of Sp1149, labelled M1–M4, clearly stand out, thanks to the blue-UV light from the young stellar populations in the spiral arms.

For a comprehensive description of MUSE observations and data reduction process, we refer to Grillo et al. (2016) and Karman et al. (2015), respectively. Our final data cube has a pixel scale of 0.2 arcsec and a spectral channel width of 1.25 Å. The whole spectroscopic coverage of data spans from 4750 to 9350 Å, corresponding to a rest-frame range $\sim 1910–3750$ Å for Sp1149 at $z = 1.49$. The [O ii] $\lambda\lambda 3726–3729$ Å doublet represents the strongest emission feature from the ionized gas component in this range. All the four multiple images of Sp1149 in our data show strong [O ii] emission lines. Out of four multiple [O ii] detections of Sp1149, M2 and M4 are severely distorted and not ideal to be kinematically modelled before a complicated reconstruction on the source plane. M1 is highly magnified ($\mu > 20$, e.g. Smith et al. 2009) and less stretched than M2/M4, and it has been used in previous IFS studies to infer some kinematic information (Yuan et al. 2011; Livermore et al. 2015; Mason et al. 2017). The western region of this image is however affected by a secondary lensing effect due to the proximity of an elliptical galaxy member of the cluster (see M1 in Fig. 1).

The last image, M3, lies at $\sim 20$ arcsec from the central core of the cluster, and it is the least magnified one, with an almost isotropic and constant distortion over its entire surface (e.g. Zitrin & Broadhurst 2009). In the HST zoom-in of the M3 image (Fig. 1, top left-hand map in the right-hand panels), we show the gravitational lensing effect in terms of magnification and stretching predicted by the best-fitting MLV-G12F median model by Grillo et al. (2016) with glee.$^1$ Red ellipses represent how a circular source with radius $r = 1$ pixel $= 0.065$ arcsec is lensed in different regions of the M3 image. The magnification factor is $\mu \sim 4$, which means that ellipses have semi-major axes $a \approx \mu^{-0.5}$. The ellipticity of the ellipses exemplifies the anisotropic distortion effect, which is very small and does not significantly vary across the Sp1149 disc. The M3 image offers therefore the favourable chance of studying the kinematics of a magnified galaxy without the need of reconstructing the image on the source plane. We stress that, thanks to full 3D modelling of the data cube, the loss of magnification with respect to the other images does not reduce our capability of deriving a reliable kinematics.

Our VLT/MUSE data provide for the first time valuable and spatially resolved integral field observations of the M3 image, which we use to derive the kinematics of Sp1149 with unprecedented accuracy. Moreover, we use the M1 image, which has higher magnification than M3 and hence higher physical spatial resolution, to test the reliability of the inferred kinematics in the inner parts of the galaxy. The high-quality of the MUSE data cube can be appreciated in Fig. 2, where the blue contours trace the [O ii] emission in the M3 image at different wavelengths (the so-called ‘channel maps’). In the right-hand panels of Fig. 1, we also show moment maps derived by fitting a double Gaussian function to each spatial pixel in the [O ii] data cube of the M3 image. The velocity field shows a strong velocity gradient along the major axis that points to a manifest rotation. The values of velocity dispersions in the dispersion field are not beam-smearing corrected and are completely dominated by instrumental effects. We stress that we do not make use of these moment maps in our kinematic modelling (see Section 4).

We measured the point spread function (PSF) of our observations from the bright star visible in the left-hand panel of Fig. 1. We fitted a 2D Gaussian function to the integrated map of the star and we found a spatial resolution $0.90 \times 0.85$ arcsec$^2$, where the values are the full widths at half-maximum (FWHMs) of the best-fitting Gaussian function along the right ascension and declination directions, respectively. The PSF, compared to the size of the galaxy in the M3 image, is shown in the [O ii] total intensity map (Fig. 1, right-hand panels). We point out that the smearing caused by the PSF makes the small spatial variations due to lensing shear negligible. The line spread function (LSF), which determines the spectral resolution, of data is 2.3 Å (FWHM). The contribution of the spectral broadening to the observed dispersion of the line profiles is therefore 0.98 Å, corresponding to $\sigma_{\text{inst}} \approx 31$ km s$^{-1}$ at the wavelengths correspondent to the redshifted [O ii] doublet.

3 STELLAR MASS ESTIMATES

We measured cumulative stellar mass values within each aperture considered in the kinematic modelling (see Section 4) by fitting the galaxy spectral energy distribution (SED), obtained in the 12 reddest CLASH HST bands, with stellar population models based on Bruzual & Charlot (2003) single-burst (SSP) templates. We note that, since the global SFR of galaxies reaches a maximum at $z = 1–2$, the commonly used declining, delayed, or truncated star formation histories (SFHs) might not apply to a $z \sim 1.5$ star-forming galaxy like Sp1149. In this case, the fit would typically yield unphysical solutions (e.g. extremely young stellar populations), especially if the
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$^1$ Lens modelling software developed by A. Halkola and S. H. Suyu (Suyu & Halkola 2010; Suyu et al. 2012).
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Figure 2. Comparison between the M3 MUSE data cube of Sp1149 (blue contours) and the best-fitting 3D BAROLO model (red contours). Green contours represent the data-minus-model residuals. The plots show all the wavelengths (channel maps), where the [O II] emission is present. The spectral pixel size (channel width) is 1.25 Å corresponding to about 40 km s$^{-1}$. Upper and lower panels denote the [O II] emission line at 3726 and 3729 Å, respectively. Note that the last map of the upper panels and the first map of the lower panels represent transitional channels and show the contribution of both the receding [O II]-3726 Å and the approaching [O II]-3729 Å emission. Contour levels are set at $2 \times n \times \sigma_{\text{noise}}$, where $\sigma_{\text{noise}} = 2.0 \times 10^{-20}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Å$^{-1}$ and $n = 1$, 2, 4, 8. Negative contours, shown in grey in the data and in the residuals, are at $-2\sigma_{\text{noise}}$. The cyan crosses denote the centre of the galaxy.

rest-frame UV continuum is sampled more finely by the photometry than the rest-frame optical-NIR. Here, we instead used a rising SFH based on the evolution of the M$_*$$-$SFR relation, using the parametrization of Sargent et al. (2014). This main-sequence SFH starts at $z = 10$ and has one free parameter, a seed mass which we let vary between $10^5$ and $10^8$ M$_\odot$. For this model, we also assumed an evolving stellar metallicity, computed at each time-step from a gas metallicity based on the fundamental mass-metallicity relation of Mannucci et al. (2010) (see Gobat & Hong 2016, for more details). We bracketed it by the metallicity range of the templates (0.005–2.5 Z$_\odot$) and interpolated linearly on this grid when combining the SSP spectra. We also included extinction by dust, assuming a Calzetti et al. (2000) law with $A_V = 0$–4 mag. We then convolved the resulting model spectra with the response of the HST filters and rescaled the photometric errors so that the reduced chi-square of the fit be no more than 1. We assumed a Kroupa (2001) stellar IMF throughout and converted all relations that make use of another type to this one. The estimated stellar mass values within each aperture are listed in Table 1.

4 KINEMATIC MODELS

We derived the kinematic parameters of Sp1149 by using a modified version of 3D BAROLO (Di Teodoro & Fraternali 2015), an algorithm that fits 3D tilted-ring models to emission-line data cubes. 3D BAROLO basically produces a disc model and shapes it into a 3D simulated observation by building a line profile in each spatial pixel. Instrumental effects are taken into account by convolving the model with the PSF and the LSF of the observations. The model-cube is eventually compared pixel-by-pixel to the observed data cube. This 3D
Table 1. Parameters for Sp1149 at different radii derived in this work from the M3 image. (1) Radius in arcsec in the image plane. (2) Radius in kpc in the source plane, using the MLV-G12F model of Grillo et al. (2016). (3) Average flux magnification factor within R from the same lensing model. Typical statistical error is <0.2. (4) Derived rotation velocity and velocity dispersion for our best model at $i = 35^\circ$. Quoted errors take into account a $\Delta i = \pm 10^\circ$ (see text). (6) Stellar mass within R, derived as described in Section 3. Typical error is 0.2 dex. (7) Dynamical mass within R, derived from the rotation velocity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R (arcsec)</th>
<th>R (kpc)</th>
<th>$\mu$</th>
<th>$V_{\text{rot}}$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{gas}}$ (km s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>log $M_{\text{tot}}$ $/ M_\odot$</th>
<th>log $M_{\text{gas}}$ $/ M_\odot$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$148^{+35}_{-27}$</td>
<td>26$^{+6}_{-5}$</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>9.65$^{+0.19}_{-0.18}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>123$^{+34}_{-22}$</td>
<td>31$^{+5}_{-2}$</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.98$^{+0.21}_{-0.17}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$127^{+32}_{-23}$</td>
<td>28$^{+5}_{-3}$</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.22$^{+0.20}_{-0.17}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>$131^{+28}_{-25}$</td>
<td>27$^{+5}_{-3}$</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.40$^{+0.15}_{-0.16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>$129^{+24}_{-23}$</td>
<td>25$^{+5}_{-5}$</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.49$^{+0.14}_{-0.16}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

methodology allows us to overcome the well-known difficulty of deriving galaxy kinematics from 2D maps in low spatial resolution observations (e.g. Newman et al. 2013). Extensive tests with simulated and local galaxies have shown that 3DBAROLO is very effective in recovering the intrinsic kinematics whenever the disc is resolved with at least three independent resolution elements across the major axis (see in particular, figs 7 and 8 in Di Teodoro & Fraternali 2015). Moreover, unlike 2D techniques, where the kinematic models may depend on the way velocity and dispersion fields are derived from the data cube, our approach directly applies on the original data set and does not require the extraction of any additional kinematic maps.

3DBAROLO builds a kinematic model assuming that a disc galaxy can be decomposed in a certain number of rings, each of which is defined by six parameters: (1) the galaxy centre coordinates ($x_0$, $y_0$), (2) the inclination angle $i$ of the galaxy with respect to the plane of the sky, (3) the position angle $\phi$ of the major axis, taken anticlockwise from the north direction, (4) the redshift $z$ of the galaxy, (5) the rotation velocity $V_{\text{rot}}$, and (6) the intrinsic velocity dispersion $\sigma_{\text{gas}}$ of the gas. All these parameters can, in principle, vary ring by ring.

The standard version of 3DBAROLO works on single emission lines. In order to exploit the entire kinematic information in our data, we updated the algorithm to fit both lines of the [O II] doublet at once. We extracted a velocity field by fitting a 1D characteristic velocity of the H$\alpha$ velocity field of the M1 image. The M3 HST image and the M3 [O II] intensity map suggest, however, a slightly lower inclination angle. Our IMFIT fit on the M3 HST image returns an $i_{\text{HST}} = (37 \pm 6)^\circ$. We also used an algorithm, implemented in 3DBAROLO, that fits a PSF-convoluted model map to the observed intensity map, and we found $i_{\text{HST}} = 32^\circ \pm 7^\circ$. We eventually decided to set $i = 35^\circ$ as our best guess in the following kinematic modelling. However, given the wide range of acceptable values and having no further information to constrain the real inclination angle, we decided to calculate the uncertainties on rotation velocity and velocity dispersion by fitting two additional models: a nearly face-on disc at $25^\circ$ and, for consistency with former studies, a more inclined disc at $45^\circ$ (see below for a discussion on the error estimate).

(i) Galaxy centre. HST observations of MACS1149 are publicly available from various surveys (e.g. HIFF, CLASH). We made use of HST combined images from CLASH in $F150W$/$F814W$/$F225W$ bands to estimate the galaxy centre. We fitted a Sérsic profile (Sérsic 1963; Caon, Capaccioli & D’Onofrio 1993) to the galaxy surface-brightness by using the software IMFIT (Erwin 2015), and we found $(x_0, y_0) = (\alpha = 11:49:36.819, \delta = +22:24:08.80)$ (J2000), with 1σ uncertainties of 0.1 arcsec. The adopted centre is shown as a cross in the zoom-in panel of Fig. 1. We note that the [O ii] total intensity (top right-hand map) well matches the stellar light distribution and that the centre estimated from the HST images coincides with the central peak of the [O ii] emission.

(ii) Redshift. We fitted a 1D double Gaussian function to the [O ii] doublet in the MUSE total spectrum, and we measured $z = 1.4888 \pm 0.0011$, a value in good agreement with the previous literature (e.g. $z = 1.491$, Smith et al. 2009; $z = 1.489$, Kelly et al. 2015).

(iii) Inclination. The galaxy appears to be nearly face-on, which makes it challenging to estimate its actual inclination. Yuan et al. (2011) and Livermore et al. (2015) quote $i = 45^\circ$, coming from a kinematic fit of the H$\alpha$ velocity field of the M1 image. The M3 HST image and the M3 [O II] intensity map suggest, however, a slightly lower inclination angle. Our IMFIT fit on the M3 HST image returns an $i_{\text{HST}} = (37 \pm 6)^\circ$. We also used an algorithm, implemented in 3DBAROLO, that fits a PSF-convoluted model map to the observed intensity map, and we found $i_{\text{HST}} = 32^\circ \pm 7^\circ$. We eventually decided to set $i = 35^\circ$ as our best guess in the following kinematic modelling. However, given the wide range of acceptable values and having no further information to constrain the real inclination angle, we decided to calculate the uncertainties on rotation velocity and velocity dispersion by fitting two additional models: a nearly face-on disc at $25^\circ$ and, for consistency with former studies, a more inclined disc at $45^\circ$ (see below for a discussion on the error estimate).

(iv) Position angle. We extracted a velocity field by fitting a 1D double Gaussian function with fixed $\Delta \lambda = 6.7 \lambda$ to each spatial pixel of the [O ii] MUSE data cube (Fig. 1, right-hand panels). The characteristic velocity $V(x, y)$ along the line-of-sight at a given position $(x, y)$ was calculated from the offset of the first Gaussian function from the redshifted [O ii]-$\lambda$3726A line, such that $V(x, y) = c(m - \lambda_1)/\lambda_1$, where $m$ is the mean of the Gaussian, $c$ is the speed of light, and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_0(1 + z) = 9274$ Å. We estimated the position angle $\phi$ by finding the straight line passing through the galaxy centre and along which the gradient on the velocity field is maximum. This procedure is directly provided by 3DBAROLO. We found $\phi = (227 \pm 6)^\circ$. The adopted position angle is shown as a straight line on the Sp1149 velocity field in Fig. 1.

During the fitting procedure, the MUSE data cube was masked through an S/N threshold cut equal to 2.5, i.e. we blanked all those regions where the [O ii] flux is lower than 2.5 times the noise level.
on a channel-by-channel basis. We calculated the noise level as the root mean square (RMS) in five different emission-free regions of each channel of the data cube. Estimating the RMS at each wavelength separately prevents a particularly noisy channel from invalidating the goodness of the fit. We stress, however, that the noise level in our MUSE data is consistently constant over the wavelength range covered by the [O II] lines, with an average value $\sigma_{\text{noise}} = 2.0 \times 10^{-20}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Å$^{-1}$. In addition to the S/N cut, we manually masked a 1.3 arcsec circular region centred at (z = 11:49:36.970, $\delta = +22:24:10.83$), where we detected the continuum emission of the foreground galaxy located north-west from Sp1149 M3 image (see HST image in the right-hand panel of Fig. 1).

We built kinematic models by using ring widths of 0.4 arcsec, a value that is twice the pixel size and about half the FWHM of the PSF. Models were normalized azimuthally, i.e. we calculate and impose a constant gas surface density along each ring. We also checked that a fit with the local normalization used in Di Teodoro et al. (2016) returned consistent best-fitting values for $V_{\text{rot}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{gas}}$. A $\cos(\theta)$ weighting function, being $\theta$ the azimuthal angle, was applied to the residual calculation in order to give more importance to the pixels lying closer to the major axis ($\theta = 0^\circ$). Errors in 3D BARolo are calculated through 1000 Monte Carlo realizations of the model, where the parameters are randomly drawn from a Gaussian distribution centred on the best-fitting values (see Di Teodoro & Fraternali 2015, for further details). In this process, all the parameters are varied, including those kept fixed during the fit. The largest uncertainties on the kinematic parameters, in particular on the rotation velocity, come from the inclination angle: Sp1149 is seen nearly face-on and small variations of the inclination produce large changes in the derived rotation velocity (the observed component along the line-of-sight is $V_{\text{los}} = V_{\text{rot}} \sin i$). As a conservative approach, we extended the 3D BARolo’s error budget by fitting a more face-on model $(i = 25^\circ)$ and a more inclined model $(i = 45^\circ)$. The best-fitting values at $i = 25^\circ$ and $45^\circ$ were used to quantify upper and lower limits to the rotation velocities and the velocity dispersions of Sp1149. In this way, we can account for an effective variation of the inclination $\Delta i = \pm 10^\circ$ from our fiducial model at $i = 35^\circ$, a range sufficiently large to embody also possible lensing uncertainties.

The kinematic analysis was performed on the M3 image of the galaxy with no prior lensing reconstruction. Rotation curve and velocity dispersion profile were derived as a function of the angular distance in the image plane from the galaxy centre, which was afterwards traced back to source-plane radii using the MLV-G12F median lensing model by Grillo et al. (2016). We stress, however, that our best-fitting values of rotation velocity and intrinsic velocity dispersion are not dependent on the assumed lensing model, which instead exclusively affects the conversion between a radius in the image plane to a radius in the source plane.

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 2 compares the M3 MUSE data cube to our best model-cube at $i = 35^\circ$ in the whole wavelength range where the [O II] doublet is detected. The upper panels refer to the [O II]-3726 Å line, and the lower panels refer to the [O II]-3729 Å line. The data are shown in the top row (blue contours), the model is shown in the middle row (red contours), and the data-minus-model residuals are shown in the bottom row (green contours). Our model is completely symmetric both in surface density and kinematics. The model nicely traces the kinematic behaviour of the galaxy and, in particular, the channel maps at the most extreme wavelengths ($\lambda = 9269.76$ Å and $\lambda = 9283.51$ Å), which carry information on the maximum rotation velocity, are well reproduced. The strong residuals visible in the top and bottom left-hand corners of all channel maps come from the continuum emission of two nearby galaxies, members of the cluster (see Fig. 1). We also extracted position–velocity diagrams (PVs), namely slices through the spectral dimension of the data cube, to check that the model is consistent with the observations. In Fig. 3 (top panels), we show PVs taken along the major (top) and minor (bottom) axes of the galaxy. We plot PVs of data, model, and residuals in the left-hand, middle, and right-hand columns, respectively. Residuals show that the model replicates the bulk of the [O II] emission in the galaxy disc. The vertical strip in the left-hand part of the major axis and the residual emission in the left-hand side of the minor axis are due to the continuum from the top left-hand galaxy and the bottom left-hand galaxies visible in Fig. 2, respectively. Some residual emission emerges at high velocities in the very central regions both on the major and minor axes. These features can be either a high-velocity [O II] emission component or the galaxy continuum, which has not been subtracted in this work and might be stronger in the galaxy centre. In the first case, the high-velocity central emission can be reproduced either by a very high gas surface density and rotation velocity ($\sim 250$ km s$^{-1}$) in the inner region of the galaxy or by non-circular motions (outflows, e.g. Bradshaw et al. 2013). In the bottom panel of Fig. 3, we finally show that the model well matches the overall kinematic properties of the galaxy by comparing the 3D global spectra of data (blue) and best-fitting model (red dashed).

The derived rotation curve and velocity dispersion profile are reported in Fig. 4. Radii in arcsec units in these plots are measured
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**Figure 3.** Top: position–velocity (PV) diagrams along the major axis (top, $\phi = 227^\circ$) and the minor axis (bottom, $\phi = 137^\circ$) for the M3 image. Left-hand panels show the data, middle panels show the model, and right-hand panels show the residuals (data minus model). Contour levels are the same of Fig. 2. A pixel in the y-axis corresponds to about 40 km s$^{-1}$. The vertical emission strip in the major axis PV plots is due to the continuum of a nearby galaxy. Bottom: comparison between the total [O II] line profiles of the data (blue) and our best-fitting model (red dashed line).
on the image plane and then converted to source-plane physical radii by using the magnification factors from Grillo et al. (2016) listed in Table 1. Uncertainties in $R$ are propagated from the statistical errors of the lensing model: Best-fitting uncertainties on the magnification factors are always lower than 0.2; thus, we used a conservative value of 0.2 to compute errors on detected radii. In both plots, we show the best-fitting values of the model with $i = 35^\circ$ (red points with error bars) and a ‘safety’ strip (red region) where we include the uncertainties on the inclination angle in a very conservative way. The lower (upper) boundaries of this region are calculated as the minimum (maximum) between the best-fitting values of the $i = 35^\circ$ model minus (plus) the error and the values obtained from the $i = 25^\circ$ and $45^\circ$ models. The rotation curve (left-hand panel of Fig. 4) of Sp149 peaks at 148 km s$^{-1}$ around 1 kpc from the galaxy centre, it shows a slight decline and then flattens to an average value $V_{\text{lat}} \approx 128$ km s$^{-1}$, where the average is calculated over all the points but the innermost one. The actual value of $V_{\text{lat}}$ is, however, strongly affected by the inclination uncertainty, and it could raise to 157 km s$^{-1}$ for $i = 25^\circ$ or drop to 109 km s$^{-1}$ for $i = 45^\circ$.

As a benchmark, the local stellar-mass Tully–Fisher relation for a galaxy with $\log (M_*/M_\odot) = 9.7$ predicts a rotation velocity $V_{\text{lat}} \approx 125$ km s$^{-1}$ (e.g. McGaugh & Schombert 2015). This velocity is fully compatible with the value we found for our fiducial model at $i = 35^\circ$, within the errors. The flat shape of the outer rotation curve is similar to that observed in low-$z$ spiral galaxies and conflicts with recent claims of declining rotation curves at $z \sim 1$–2 (Genzel et al. 2017; Lang et al. 2017). The central peak of the rotation curve implies a very steep rise of the curve within a 0.2-arcsec radius and suggests a strong concentration of matter in the inner kpc (e.g. Sofue et al. 1999), consistent with the size of the bulge-like structure in the galaxy centre (see HST images in Fig. 1).

The velocity dispersion (middle panel of Fig. 4) is nearly constant across the galaxy disc, with an average value of 27 $\pm$ 5 km s$^{-1}$. We note that the uncertainties on the measured velocity dispersions do not depend on the inclination angle assumed and the limits of the confidence strip are mostly set by the error obtained in the fit at $i = 35^\circ$. Our value of 27 km s$^{-1}$ is in good agreement with the ionized gas velocity dispersions of local star-forming galaxies (e.g. Andersen et al. 2006; Epinat et al. 2010) and recent 3D determinations at $1 \lesssim z \lesssim 2$ (Di Teodoro et al. 2016; Mason et al. 2017), whereas it is generally lower than 2D measurements at similar redshifts: In particular, our velocity dispersion is about half of the average values found by Stott et al. (2016) at $z \sim 0.9$, by Epinat et al. (2012) at $z \sim 1.3$, and by Wisnioski et al. (2015) at $z \sim 2$, and a factor of 4–5 lower than the dispersions quoted by Förster Schreiber et al. (2009) at $z \sim 2$. We stress that the combination of lensing magnification, low inclination of the galaxy, and 3D modelling allows us to minimize the impact of instrumental and projection effects and to robustly derive the intrinsic velocity dispersion of the gas. Since the velocity dispersion is a measure of non-ordered random motions (turbulence), we conclude that Sp149’s disc is not significantly more turbulent than today spiral galaxies with similar SFR. Sp149’s disc may none the less be thicker than local discs because of the shallower potential of the galaxy. The low velocity dispersion is also consistent with the existence and the survival of the prominent spiral arm features in Sp1149 (Elmegreen & Elmegreen 2014; Yuan et al. 2017).

We can roughly estimate the stellar-to-total mass fractions $M_*/M_{\text{tot}}$ at different radii. The total dynamical mass in a spherically symmetric system can be inferred from the rotation curve: The mass contained inside a radius $R$ is $M_{\text{tot}}(<R) = RV_{\text{rot}}^2(R)/G$, where $V_{\text{rot}}(R)$ is the rotation velocity at $R$ and $G$ is the gravitational constant. Given the low velocity dispersion, we can neglect the contribution of random motions to the dynamical support of the galaxy (asymmetric drift, Oort 1965). We calculated dynamical masses inside each radius, and we compared them to the stellar masses derived in Section 3. In the right-hand panel of Fig. 4, we show the cumulative trends with radius of the derived stellar masses (blue stars), total dynamical masses (grey points), and stellar-to-total mass fractions (purple triangles). The average stellar-to-total mass fraction is $(M_*/M_{\text{tot}}) \approx 0.2 \pm 0.1$, meaning that gas and dark matter contribute to about 80 per cent of the total mass budget. This value is on the low stellar-to-total mass fraction side but still consistent with those of recent studies at similar redshifts (e.g. Pelliccia et al. 2017; Stott et al. 2016; Wyths et al. 2016) and some works at low redshift (e.g. Bershady et al. 2011; Martinsson et al. 2013). In Table 1, we summarize all the relevant parameters in this work, including used magnification factors, derived rotation velocities, velocity dispersions, stellar masses, and dynamical masses.

Figure 4. Rotation curve (left-hand panel), velocity dispersion (middle panel), and stellar/dynamical masses (right-hand panel) for the M3 image of Sp1149. In the left and middle panels, the red points with error bars are relative to the 3D BAROLO best-fitting model with $i = 35^\circ$, whereas the shadowed strip represents a wider confidence region estimated by using best-fitting models at $i = 25^\circ$ and $45^\circ$ (see text). In the right-hand panel, blue stars, grey points, and purple triangles denote the cumulative stellar masses (see Section 3), total dynamical masses and stellar-to-total mass fractions (see Section 5), within radius $R$, respectively. Radii in arcsec are in the image plane, radii in kpc are in the source plane. Magnification factors to convert angular to linear dimensions are taken from Grillo et al. (2016) (see Table 1).
6 COMPARISON WITH FORMER STUDIES

The kinematics of the galaxy Sp1149 has been studied in three previous papers, namely Yuan et al. (2011), Livermore et al. (2015), and Mason et al. (2017). Yuan et al. and Livermore et al. used AO observations with the Keck/OSIRIS IFU of the Hα and [N II] λλ6548 − 6583 Å emission lines in the M1 image, with total exposure time of 4.75 h. Mason et al. used seeing-limited (median seeing ~0.6 arcsec) VLT/KMOS IFU observations of the [O III]−λ5007Å emission line in the M1 and the M3 images with 2.25 h of integration time on each source. The kinematics derived in these three studies and that presented in this work do not agree. The discrepancies cannot be ascribed to the use of different emission features because Hα, [N II], [O II], and [O III] lines arise from the warm ionized gas and are reasonably expected to trace the same kinematics.

The paper of Yuan et al. (2011) focused on the metallicity gradient in Sp1149 and a proper kinematic modelling is beyond their goals. They estimated a value for the rotation velocity by fitting a model velocity field (Jones et al. 2010b) to the observed velocity field of the M1 image after reconstruction on the source plane based on the lens model of Smith et al. (2009). Beam smearing is not taken into account. They quote a rotation velocity \( V_{\text{rot sin}(i)} = 150 \pm 15 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). An inclination angle of 35° would lead to \( V_{\text{rot}} \approx 260 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), a value that is hardly compatible with our rotation curve. It is difficult to assess the goodness of Yuan et al.’s kinematics because their model is not shown in the paper. A direct comparison with our kinematic estimate is also difficult because they do not specify at which radius the rotation velocity is measured. Our data however seem to rule out the possibility of a value of 150 km s\(^{-1}\) for the line-of-sight velocity: In such cases, the combined effect of rotation velocity, velocity dispersion, and instrumental smearing would have made the galaxy to spread its emission in channels with velocities well beyond those detected in our data cube (for example, in the first channel of Fig. 2).

Livermore et al. (2015) included the data set from Yuan et al. (2011) in a broader study on the kinematics of a sample of lensed galaxies. Similarly to Yuan et al., they fitted the defensed 2D kinematic velocity map with an arctangent model of the rotation curve (Courteau 1997) without any correction for beam smearing and found a rotation velocity \( V_{\text{rot}} = 59 \pm 3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) at \( R_{\text{opt}} = 1.32 \text{ kpc} \) for an inclination \( i = 45° \), where \( R_{\text{opt}} \) is the radius at 2.2 times the scalelength of an exponential disc. Although MUSE data have lower spatial resolution than OSIRIS data, our rotation curve seems to exclude such a low rotation velocity at 1.32 kpc (Figs 4 and 5), even after correcting for the different inclination (\( V_{\text{rot}} \approx 73 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) for \( i = 35° \)). Since Livermore et al. do not report their asymptotic velocity nor turnover radius of the best-fitting arctangent function, we cannot compare the values of the flat part of the rotation curve. To constrain the velocity dispersion, Livermore et al. fitted an exponential model to the velocity dispersion map, which they derived applying a correction for beam smearing and spectral broadening. They quote a luminosity-weighted average of \( \sigma = 50 \pm 10 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). This value is however strongly affected by the two inner points (see their Fig. 2), which appear to be dominated by residual beam smearing. Finally, we stress that the Livermore et al.’s kinematic model does not satisfactorily reproduce their data (see the residual velocity field in their fig. 1). This is likely due to a combination of the low S/N AO data, some beam smearing, and the uncertainties related to the lensing modelling.

Mason et al. (2017) made use of the 3D fitting code GALPAK3D (Bouché et al. 2015) on KMOS [O III]−λ5007Å data. Like 3DBAROLO, this code fits 3D models to data cubes and properly accounts for beam smearing. The main difference between this code and 3DBAROLO is that, in GALPAK3D, the rotation curve follows a pre-selected functional form and the velocity dispersion is always assumed to be constant across the disc. Mason et al. have observations of both M1 and M3 images. They could not fully model the M3 image because it is unresolved. M1 image is poorly detected with S/N \( < 2 \) in their data, and the KMOS FOV is not sufficiently large to include the entire galaxy disc. They modelled the M1 image without any reconstruction on the source plane and found an asymptotic rotation velocity of \( 227 \pm 32 \text{ km s}^{-1}, \) an inclination of \( 12° \pm 2° \), and a velocity dispersion of \( 15 \pm 7 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). Their 95 per cent confidence interval for the asymptotic velocity ranges between 179 and
293 km s$^{-1}$, for the inclination between 8° and 17° (Mason private communication). The high rotation velocity is due to the low inclination. If we rescale their interval to our fiducial estimate of 35°, we obtain a velocity in the range 65–106 km s$^{-1}$, which is marginally consistent with our values. We finally note that Mason et al. do not use this source in their analysis of the full sample because of the large uncertainties due to the low S/N and the lack of correction for the high magnification.

7 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we combined the power of VLT/MUSE observations, the gravitational magnification, and a state-of-the-art 3D technique to investigate the kinematic properties of the galaxy (Sp1149) hosting the SN Refsdal. Sp1149, located at $z = 1.49$, is multiply imaged and highly magnified by the cluster MACS1149 at $z = 0.542$. Given its stellar mass ($\log M_*/M_\odot = 9.7 \pm 0.2$) and its low SFR (1–6 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$), Sp1149 looks like how we believe a local spiral galaxy used to be 9–10 Gyr ago.

We used the [Oii] emission doublet, detected in our MUSE data, to model the magnified ($\mu \simeq 4$) and almost undistorted M3 image and derive the kinematics of Sp1149 directly from the image plane. The kinematic modelling was performed by using an updated version of 3DBAROLO, a code that fits 3D tilted-ring models to emission-line data cubes. 3D fitting techniques naturally break the degeneracy between rotation velocity and velocity dispersion in low spatial resolution data and return reliable intrinsic kinematics. The shape of Sp1149 rotation curve resembles that of local spiral galaxies: a steeply rising part in the inner kpc followed by a flat part out to large radii. Since the galaxy is nearly face-on, the actual value of $V_{\text{hel}}$ strongly depends on the inclination angle. Our fiducial model at $i = 35\arcdeg$ returns a $V_{\text{flat}} = 128_{-98}^{+105}$ km s$^{-1}$, where the errors account for an inclination uncertainty of $\pm 10\arcdeg$. Despite the large uncertainties, Sp1149 appears to lie in the stellar-mass Tully–Fisher relation of local ($z = 0$) galaxies. The velocity dispersion profile is almost flat, with an average value $\sigma_{\text{gas}} = 27 \pm 5$ km s$^{-1}$. Contrarily to the rotation velocity, the velocity dispersion is well constrained because it does not depend on the inclination uncertainty. This value is a factor of 2–4 lower than what found in former studies at $1 < z < 2$ and in good agreement with ionized gas velocity dispersions measured in local spiral galaxies. By modelling the HST multicolour photometry, we estimated aperture stellar masses and obtained a value of roughly 20 per cent for the stellar-to-total (dynamical) mass fraction.

Unlike previous claims of highly turbulent discs at $z > 1$, Sp1149 appears to be an ordinarily regular spiral galaxy: a well-settled and rotation-dominated disc with $V/\sigma \gtrsim 5$, where the ionized gas is mildly turbulent and orbits about the galaxy centre following a flat rotation curve.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank C. Mason and T. Yuan for providing their data and models. This work made use of EMFIT and 3DBAROLO software. EMDT acknowledges the support of the Australian Research Council through grant DP160100723. CG acknowledges support by VILLUM FONDEN Young Investigator Programme through grant no. 10123. FF acknowledges hospitality at the European Southern Observatory in Garching as a scientific visitor. AM, PR, GBC and ML acknowledge financial support from PRIN-INAF 2014 1.05.01.94.02.

REFERENCES

Glazebrook K., 2013, PASA, 30, 56
Green A. W. et al., 2010, Nature, 467, 684

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-abstract/476/1/804/4828360 by University Library user on 30 May 2018