Personality factors and profiles in variants of irritable bowel syndrome
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AIM: To study the association between irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) variants (constipation, diarrhea, or both) and personality traits in non-psychiatric patients.

METHODS: IBS was diagnosed using the Rome II diagnostic criteria after exclusion of organic bowel pathology. The entry of each patient was confirmed following a psychiatric interview. Personality traits and the score of each factor were evaluated using the NEO Five Factor Inventory.

RESULTS: One hundred and fifty patients were studied. The mean age (± SD) was 33.4 (± 11.0) year (62% female). Subjects scored higher in neuroticism (26.25 ± 5.22 vs 27.94 ± 4.87, P < 0.01) compared to our general population derived from universities of Iran. Our studied population consisted of 71 patients with Diarrhea dominant-IBS, 33 with Constipation dominant-IBS and 46 with Altering type-IBS. Scores of conscientiousness and neuroticism were significantly higher in C-IBS compared to D-IBS and A-IBS (35.79 ± 5.65 vs 31.95 ± 6.80, P = 0.035 and 31.97 ± 9.87, P = 0.043, respectively). Conscientiousness was the highest dimension of personality in each of the variants. Patients with C-IBS had almost similar personality profiles, composed of higher scores for neuroticism and conscientiousness, with low levels of agreeableness, openness and extraversion that were close to those of the general population.

CONCLUSION: Differences were observed between IBS patients and the general population, as well as between IBS subtypes, in terms of personality factors. Patients with constipation-predominant IBS showed similar personality profiles. Patients with each subtype of IBS may benefit from psychological interventions, which can be focused considering the characteristics of each subtype.
poor quality and dubious results of early research done in the area of personality factors as they relate to IBS\cite{[4]). The five-factor model of personality defines personality traits in terms of five basic dimensions: extraversion, which incorporates talkativeness, assertiveness and activity level; agreeableness, which includes kindness, trust and warmth; conscientiousness, which includes organization, thoroughness and reliability; neuroticism versus emotional stability, which includes nervousness, moodiness and temperamentality; and openness to experience, which incorporates imagination, curiosity and creativity. This model has been widely accepted because the structure of traits in it is consistent among highly diverse cultures with various languages, and between men and women, and older and younger adults\cite{[4]).

Patients are often subclassified by their predominant bowel habits, that is, constipation-predominant, diarrhea-predominant, or alternating diarrhea and constipation. Patients with IBS share basic pathophysiological features, regardless of bowel habits; however, differences in perception, autonomic function, and symptom characteristics between constipation-predominant and diarrhea-predominant patients have been described\cite{[6-8)\). Psychological treatment has been reported to be more effective for diarrhea- than constipation-predominant patients\cite{[9]. The association between psychological features and specific symptoms of IBS has been minimally explored. We hypothesized that personality traits are also associated with the dominant symptom of IBS. We sought to assess the distribution of personality traits in IBS patients in our cohort as a first step, and then define any relationship with dominant symptoms.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Continuous patients attending our university outpatient clinics with a diagnosis of IBS were included. Diagnosis was established after a stool examination, clinical evaluation and endoscopy (in some cases) by a gastroenterologist using the ROME II criteria for IBS. All patients were clinically investigated to identify the presence of “alarm factors”. Patients were excluded if an organic cause of the condition were possible, or if there were a history of serious somatic disease. All patients gave informed written consent. Demographic information, severity and course of illness, abdominal pain severity over previous weeks, bowel habits and gastrointestinal symptoms were obtained. Patients described whether their symptoms arose with stress and if/how they disrupted their daily activities. Patients were divided into three groups: constipation-predominant (C-IBS), diarrhea-predominant (D-IBS) and alternating diarrhea or constipation (A-IBS), according to their self-explanation of recent symptoms.

Patients were referred to the first author, who was blinded to characteristics of IBS, for psychiatric and psychosomatic assessments. A history or current symptoms of any DSM-IV/psychiatric diagnosis\cite{[41) on axis I or seizure disorders led to exclusion from the study.

Personality dimensions in non-psychiatric IBS patients were evaluated by the NEO Five-Factor Inventory (NEO-FFI), a 60-item questionnaire which usually requires 10-15 min to complete. This questionnaire is rated on a five-point scale to yield scores in five major domains of personality and requires a sixth-grade reading level. Scores of five personality factors measured by NEO have previously been described in a survey of an Iranian population of all universities\cite{[10].

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed using the SPSS Statistical package ver.13 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Means ± SD were used to describe continuous variables and proportions for categorical data. Conditions were met for using two-tailed Student’s t test and Chi-square test, which were applied when appropriate. Within- and between-group comparisons were performed using ANOVA. The Bonferroni inequality test was used to affirm that significance was not reached by chance alone. Overall significance was set at 0.05.

**RESULTS**

One hundred and fifty patients age, mean ± SD was 33.4 ± 11.0 year (62% female), with IBS by ROME II criteria were enrolled in the study. Bowel problems that were provoked by distress in > 80% of patients interrupted daily activities in up to 70%.

NEO-FFI showed a significantly higher level of neuroticism and conscientiousness and a lower level of openness in the non-psychiatric IBS patients. Table 1 shows mean scores for five personality factors in our patients compared to the Iranian general population (by NEO FFI)\cite{[12). Women with IBS had significantly higher levels of neuroticism, conscientiousness and extraversion compared to men (P = 0.032, 0.003 and 0.037 in that order) (Figure 1).

Our study population consisted of 71 patients with D-IBS, 33 with C-IBS and 46 with A-IBS. Patient occupation, educational level and marriage status had similar patterns among the groups. Symptoms reported by patients with C-IBS and A-IBS were more related to stressors (P = 0.004).

The score for conscientiousness was significantly higher in C-IBS (35.79 ± 5.65) than D-IBS (31.95 ± 6.80) and A-IBS (31.97 ± 9.87) (P = 0.035 and 0.043). Neuroticism had a higher score in C-IBS compared to the other groups (P = 0.044). Conscientiousness was the highest dimension of personality in each of the variants: 42% of C-IBS, 55% of D-IBS and 47% of A-IBS patients.

Personality profiles were somewhat capricious in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Mean (SD) scores of five personality factors measured by FFI in patients with irritable bowel syndrome compared to the Iranian general population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBS patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Openness</td>
<td>26.25 (5.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conscientiousness</td>
<td>32.90 (7.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraversion</td>
<td>27.06 (6.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreeableness</td>
<td>28.97 (6.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroticism</td>
<td>26.25 (7.80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
patients with A-IBS and D-IBS. Whereas, patients with C-IBS had mostly similar personality profiles (Figure 2), which showed higher scores for neuroticism and conscientiousness, a low level of agreeableness, along with openness and extraversion close to those of the general population.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study was designed to investigate personality characteristics of non-psychiatric IBS patients considering their IBS subtype. Emotional states and personality traits may affect the physiology of the gut[16], and play a role in how symptoms are experienced and interpreted, and can thus influence treatment[17,18]. This can be an important issue when considering a management strategy to achieve a better outcome for an IBS patient. The prevalence of IBS has been reported to be 18.4% in the general Iranian population[19].

The five-factor model provides a dimensional account of the structure of normal personality traits, dividing personality into five broad dimensions. There has been little research examining the biological correlates of the dimensions and very little in known about the personality structure in IBS patients. Neuroticism and aggression are reported to be higher in patients with functional gastrointestinal disease without psychiatric comorbidity, and personality traits are believed to influence pain reporting[17]. A low level of neuroticism and little concealed aggressiveness is reported to predict treatment outcome with antidepressants in non-psychiatric patients, which are most prominent in women. These personality dimensions are better predictors of outcome than serotonergic sensitivity[20].

Differences between male and female patients with IBS have been reported; the significant differences found here in the traits of neuroticism, extraversion and conscientiousness were consistent with other studies that suggest women consistently score higher than men on self-reported trait anxiety[21,22]. The data for non-psychiatric individuals drawn from a pool prepared for standardization of the Iranian version of NEO PI-R are among the limitations of the present study. For a more appropriate comparison, the control individuals in future studies might be beneficially selected from the member of patients’ family.

Studies on personality dimensions according to subtypes of IBS are limited. Similar personality dimensions (by the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory) have been reported in subgroups of IBS patients with predominant constipation and for those with predominant diarrhea[23].

In the current study, C-IBS patients scored higher on neuroticism and conscientiousness. Neuroticism is a personality trait characterized by overstated reactivity to physiological changes. According to Costa and McCrae[3], people with elevated scores on the neuroticism dimension are emotionally unstable with overwhelmingly negative emotions. Neuroticism is related to emotional intelligence, which involves emotional regulation, motivation, and interpersonal skills. Hans Eysenck theorized that neuroticism is a function of activity in the limbic system, and research suggests that people who score high on neuroticism have a more reactive sympathetic nervous system, and are more sensitive to environmental stimulation[24]. Centrally targeted medications, such as anxiolytics and low-dose tricyclic antidepressants, which involve inhibitory effects on the sensitivity of emotional motor system[25] are widely used for C-IBS patients[26] as they can balance the neuroticism dimension of such patients.

Individuals with high self-consciousness are not at ease with others, are sensitive to ridicule, and prone to feelings of inferiority. This is compatible with a lack of success in completing the “anal stage” of Freud’s theory of psychosexual development, which is supposed to result in an obsession with perfection and control. Such patients may benefit from selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors which increase the extracellular level of the neurotransmitter serotonin.

Personality disorders can be conceptualized as extreme variants of the normal personality dimensions[28]. Excluding such disorders, we sought the personality make up that can introduce vulnerable profiles for IBS in a cross-sectional manner. Research has focused on incorporating various forms of psychotherapy in the hope of alleviating symptoms. Psychological interventions
have been aimed at individuals and at groups and include insight-oriented psychotherapy, hypnotherapy, behavior therapy and group psychotherapy. Such interventions try to address various unconscious conflicts in the subject and thereby help them re-establish a sense of emotional stability, modify maladaptive behavior and seek new solutions to problems, incorporate multi-component cognitive-behavioral therapy treatment programs, and have reported significant improvement in symptoms. It is felt that the profession of counseling psychology, which looks to develop wellness, strength and resources within individuals, has the potential to make a unique contribution to the prevention and alleviation of IBS. Presently, the effectiveness of psychological treatments in IBS is being reviewed in the light of conflicting evidence that supports the use of psychological treatment, an inadequate methodology for randomized controlled trials in this area, and the limited evidence of how to improve the global health of IBS patients with drug therapy. The present study presents added evidence of the differences between subgroups of IBS. As such, this may help to focus management plans in each subgroup to obtain better outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Mrs. Maryam Akbari for her helpful assistance.

REFERENCES

17. Tanum L, Malt UF. Personality and physical symptoms in nonpsychiatric patients with functional gastrointestinal disorder. J Psychosom Res 2001; 50: 139-146
19. Fock KM, Chew CN, Tay LK, Peh LH, Chan S, Fang EP.

www.wjgnet.com


S- Editor Zhu LH  L- Editor Kerr C  E- Editor Liu Y