Automated Morphometry of the Visual Pathway in Primary Open-Angle Glaucoma
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PURPOSE. To establish whether primary open-angle glaucoma (POAG) is associated with a change in volume of the visual pathway structures between the eyes and the visual cortex.

METHODS. To answer this question, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was used in combination with automated segmentation and voxel-based morphometry (VBM). Eight patients with POAG and 12 age-matched control subjects participated in the study. Only POAG patients with bilateral glaucomatous visual field loss were admitted to the study. The scotoma in both eyes had to include the paracentral region and had to, at least partially, overlap. All participants underwent high-resolution, T1-weighted, 3-T MRI scanning. Subsequently, VBM was used to determine the volume of the optic nerves, the optic chiasm, the optic tracts, the lateral geniculate nuclei (LGN), and the optic radiations. Analysis of covariance was used to compare these volumes in the POAG and control groups. The main outcome parameter of the measurement was the volume of visual pathway structures.

RESULTS. Compared with the controls, subjects with glaucoma showed reduced volume \((P < 0.005)\) of all structures along the visual pathway, including the optic nerves, the optic chiasm, the optic tracts, the LGN, and the optic radiations.

CONCLUSIONS. POAG adversely affects structures along the full visual pathway, from the optic nerve to the optic radiation. Moreover, MRI in combination with automated morphometry can be used to aid the detection and assessment of glaucomatous damage in the brain. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2011;52: 2758–2766) DOI:10.1167/iovs.10-5682
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with POAG by using automated morphometric methods. Specifically, we addressed the following research questions: (1) Compared with healthy controls, do subjects with glaucoma exhibit changes in the volume of the visual pathway? (2) If there are such changes, does the change in volume correlate with changes in visual field sensitivity?

METHODS

Subjects

This study conformed to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the medical review board of the University Medical Center Groningen (Groningen, The Netherlands). All participants gave their informed written consent before participation.

Patients with POAG were recruited from participants in the Groningen Longitudinal Glaucoma Study. Eight patients participated (one woman and seven men; mean age, 72 years; range, 62–85). The participant inclusion criteria were the following: (1) a glaucomatous visual field defect of at least 10° in diameter in at least one quadrant, affecting both eyes; (2) these visual field defects had to include the paracentral regions in both eyes; (3) the defects had to have been present for at least 3 years. The severity of the visual field loss was determined by the mean deviation (MD) scores (Humphrey Field Analyzer; Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany). Table 1 lists the characteristics of the patients. Patients with any other ophthalmic or neurologic disease that may affect the visual field were excluded.

For the control group, 12 healthy, age-matched subjects (three women and nine men; mean age, 67 years, range 61–83) were recruited from among the partners and unrelated acquaintances of the patients. Control subjects were required to have good best-corrected visual acuity (logMAR ≤ 0), not to have any visual field defects (according to the Groningen Longitudinal Glaucoma Study), and to be free of any ophthalmic, neurologic, or general health problems. Detection of an abnormal visual field is explained in the Perimetry section.

This study involved participants reported in another study, the participants of our present study are the same as those listed in the POAG group in that study; the healthy controls in that study were also the same. The present study used the same MRI scans as those used in the prior study, but addressed volumetric changes along the visual pathway, rather than being limited to gray matter changes in the visual cortex.

Data Acquisition

Perimetry. The visual field was tested with a retinal perimeter (HFA; Carl Zeiss Meditec AG, Jena, Germany). A standardized method of examining the central visual field up to 30° eccentricity, the 50-2 Swedish interactive threshold algorithm (SITA-fast), was used. A visual field defect was considered to be present if one of the glaucoma hemifield tests was outside normal limits. If the pattern standard deviation’s probability is <0.05, there are at least three adjacent non-edge points (with P < 0.05) in the pattern deviation probability plot, with at least one point having a P < 0.01. This defect had to be present on at least two consecutive, reliable tests in the same region of the visual field (not including the first visual field measurement ever made). A test result was considered unreliable if false-positive catch trials exceeded 10%, or if both false-negative catch trials and fixation losses exceeded 10% and 20%, respectively. Moreover, deficits had to be compatible with glaucoma and have no other explanation.

T1-Weighted Image Acquisition. All participants were scanned on the 3.0-T MRI scanner (Philips Interia; Eindhoven, The Netherlands) located at the BCN Neuro-imaging Center of the University Medical Center, Groningen. For each participant, a high-resolution, T1-weighted, anatomic scan was made using the magnetization sequence T1W/3D/TFE-2, 8° flip angle; repetition time, 8.70 ms, matrix size, 256 × 256; and field of view, 230 × 160 × 180; yielding 160 slices and a voxel dimension of 1 × 1 × 1 mm.

MR Data Analysis

The data analysis procedure involved the following steps: image preprocessing, generation of study-specific tissue probability maps (TPMs), segmentation, registration, modulation of the segments, and finally a statistical comparison of differences in the volumes of different tissue segments between the POAG and control groups within the visual pathway. The process from the segmentation to the voxel-wise statistical analyses is known as voxel-based morphometry or VBM. We used the VBM that is part of the SPMB software package (Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, University College London, London, UK; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) to compare the volume of subcortical structures between the glaucoma and control groups. VBM statistically assesses local changes in gray and/or white volumes between groups of anatomic scans. The steps in the data analysis procedure are described in more detail in the following sections.

Image Preprocessing. Several preprocessing steps were performed on the scanned images before the actual measurement and statistical analyses. Image reorientation to the average image of all subjects’ brains was applied, to ensure registration of the images.

Generating Study-Specific TPMs. One problem was that the standard TPMs available in the SPMB software did not facilitate the detection of diencephalic nuclei, including the LGN. As a solution, we generated our own TPMs. TPM generation began by extracting the brains using the Brain Extraction Tool (BET), available within the FMRIB (Functional MRI of the Brain) Software Library (FSL; http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). Next, for the segmentation, we used the FMRIB Automated Segmentation Tool (FAST). However, instead of letting FAST segment the extracted brains into the standard three tissue classes (gray and white matter and cerebral spinal fluid [CSF]), we made it segment the brains into six tissue classes. Next, we created average tissue class images based on the data from all subjects from the POAG and the control groups. After this, these average images were smoothed by using a Gaussian kernel with a full-width half-maximum (FWHM) of 8 mm. In the SPMB segmentation, the sixth tissue class image was used as the TPM containing the prior for the optic nerves, chiasm, tracts, and radiations. The fifth tissue class image was used as the TPM with the prior for the thalamus and other diencephalic nuclei. The first to fourth tissue classes were collated and used as the TPM with the prior for other brain tissues.

Segmentation, Registration, and Modulation. We used SPMB’s DARTEL (Diffeomorphic AnatomicRegistration through Exponentiated Lie Algebra) suite of tools. In short, the DARTEL tools enabled us to create modulated gray and white matter images that were registered to a common reference image specifically representing our sample, instead of registering them to a more general template, such as the MNI (Montreal Neurologic Institute) template that comes with SPMB. The study-specific method we used enabled a more accurate intersubject registration of brain images with improved localization and sensitivity of the VBM.

The process began with SPMB’s segmentation, using the TPMs we had created (as we explained in the prior paragraph). After all the brains were segmented, a reference, or template, image was generated. The first step in generating this reference image was averaging the images of all brains. After this, the individual brains were deformed and registered as closely as possible to this reference image. Next, using the registered brain images, we created a new average reference image to which the individual brain images were again registered. After six of these averaging and registration cycles, the final reference image was generated. The final reference image was then used as the template to which the native segmentations of the individual brains in the study were registered and modulated.

Smoothing. To increase the signal-to-noise ratio before statistical testing, we smoothed the segmented images with a Gaussian kernel (FWHM = 4 mm).
**Statistical Testing.** Covariance analysis was used to examine between-group differences in the segments, with age as the covariate. Statistical testing was restricted to the visual pathway, which was demarcated by using a mask that included the optic nerves up to the white matter regions where the optic radiations can be expected to be situated. The visual pathway mask was created manually, based on the average brain image from all participants.

Regarding statistical testing, no correction for multiple comparisons was used, because we only compared the groups within a well-defined region (the visual pathway). Hence, our hypothesis was an anatomically closed one, and no further correction for overall brain volume was necessary.

**Region-of-Interest–Based Analysis.** In addition to the VBM analysis, we performed a region-of-interest (ROI)-based statistical analysis. For this analysis, we defined nine ROIs: the right optic nerve (RON), the left optic nerve (LON), the optic chiasm (OC), the right optic tract (ROT), the left optic tract (LOT), the right lateral geniculate nucleus (RLGN), the left lateral geniculate nucleus (LLGN), the right optic radiation (ROR), and the left optic radiation (LOR). The spatial variation in the position and size of the optic radiations is less uniform, and that is why we defined a relatively large region of interest to capture the ROR and LORs in individual brains. Figure 1 shows these latter two ROIs. In the ROI-based analyses, statistical comparison was performed by using ANCOVA, with age as the covariate.

**RESULTS**

**Groups Comparison**

Patients’ characteristics are listed in Table 1. Statistical testing (Mann-Whitney U test) revealed no significant difference in age between the glaucoma and control groups ($P = 0.15$).

The volumetric reductions extend beyond the optic tracts, but this cannot be observed in Figure 2. For this reason, Figure 3 shows a series of axial slices that allow examination of reductions beyond the optic tract.

Compared to the age-matched controls, participants in the glaucoma group had a reduced volume of the precortical visual pathway structures, as shown in Figure 3. Marked changes to the optic chiasm are visible in Figures 3F–H. Volumetric reductions in the lateral geniculate nuclei can be observed in Figures 3J and 3K, whereas changes in the optic radiations can be observed in Figures 3I–L. We repeated the VBM analysis using TPMs based on an independent set of brains. The results of this analysis were highly comparable to those reported above (see Supplementary Materials, http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.10-5682/-/DSSupplemental).

Figure 4 shows box plots for the ROI-based volumetric measurements for the individual subjects in the control and glaucoma groups. Table 2 lists the individual subject’s volumes, as well as the relative volume loss, in each ROI. The final row of Table 2 lists the values related to the statistical comparison.

Table 2 and Figure 4 indicate that the ROI-based comparisons of the glaucoma and control groups showed significant volumetric differences in nearly all ROIs. With the exception of the left optic radiation, the glaucoma group had an overall lower volume along the full visual pathway.

**Correlation Analyses**

We determined the correlations between the binocular average of the MD of visual field sensitivity and the volume of the ROIs

**Table 1. Baseline Patient Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (range), y</td>
<td>72.5 (62–85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, %</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of glaucoma, %</td>
<td>85.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity in logMAR, median</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(range)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.0–0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest recorded, median (range),</td>
<td>30 (17–55) mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm Hg</td>
<td>14 (12–16) mm Hg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated, median (range), mm Hg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual field MD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right eye, median (range), dB</td>
<td>−11.62 (−5.23 to −27.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left eye, median (range), dB</td>
<td>−15.30 (−3.67 to −24.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanning laser polarimetry (GDx*) NFI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right eye, median (range)</td>
<td>63 (51–97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left eye, median (range)</td>
<td>61 (38–95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanning laser polarimetry (GDx*) ellipse average thickness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right eye, median (range), μm</td>
<td>59 (45–69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left eye, median (range), μm</td>
<td>62 (46–72)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA.
just described. Table 3 shows that none of the correlations between the ROI volume and the MD of the glaucoma group reached statistical significance. The scatter plots in Figure 5 show a relative change in volume for individual patients as a function of the binocular average of the MD.

**DISCUSSION**

Our results show that in comparison to healthy controls, subjects with glaucoma exhibited significant reductions in the volume of the visual pathway, including the optic nerves, chiasm, tracts, LGN, and optic radiations. In subjects with long-standing POAG, volumetric reductions were therefore present in the visual pathway. Starting from the optic nerve, we found that the intraorbital and intracranial optic nerve volumes were markedly reduced in glaucoma.

These findings corroborate earlier reports on structural damage to these sections of the visual pathway. The volumetric reduction need not be symmetrical, as can be seen in Figure 2. The reduction was most prominent in the distal half of the right optic nerve and in the middle third of the left nerve. Nonetheless, when we lowered the statistical threshold (to \( P < 0.05 \)), we observed the presence of POAG-associated volumetric reductions along the entire length of the optic nerve. This finding indicates that shrinkage may occur anywhere along the entire length of the optic nerve.

The volume of the optic chiasm and tracts was reduced in glaucoma as well (Fig. 2). Shrinkage was present in the optic chiasm and along the full length of the optic tracts, corroborating results from earlier studies. Since the latter two structures are a direct continuation of the optic nerves, these findings are perhaps less surprising. A more interesting neuro-ophthalmologic finding is that the LGNs also showed volumetric reductions in subjects with POAG. This corroborates an earlier report by Gupta et al., who used manual measurements in their study. Our results also indicate that the optic radiations were adversely affected. This result is more surprising, as the axonal projections in the optic radiations are not a direct continuation of the RGC layer axons, but are projections...
from LGN relay neurons that transmit the visual information to the visual cortex. The volumetric reduction of the optic radiations complements the gray matter density reduction in the visual cortex.44

The volumetric reduction of the optic radiations is also related to the finding, based on DTI, that these structures showed increased mean diffusivity and decreased fractional anisotropy in glaucoma patients.42 This DTI finding implied that the integrity of the optic radiation in glaucoma is compromised. Our T1-weighted imaging and VBM results indicated that there is also a reduction in the volume of this brain structure in glaucoma. For future assessment of structural changes in patients, DTI and T1-weighted imaging appear to be techniques that provide distinct and complementary information. Determining how these DTI and VBM results exactly relate to each other, as well as to disease severity, would require comparisons in the same group of patients.

The proportion of volume loss in the visual pathway ranges from 78% in the optic chiasm to 16% in the optic radiation. A trend in the data suggests that the glaucoma-associated volume reduction decreases the farther away a structure is from the eye. This would fit with the notion that the pregeniculate volumetric reduction is transmitted trans-synaptically to the LGN and beyond. Another explanation for the volume reduction could be a change in metabolic activity due to the lack of RGC input as shown in primate glaucoma54 and the visual cortex in human glaucoma.55 However, it is beyond the capacity of the VBM methodology to determine the exact mechanism underlying the volumetric reductions.

For the control participants, our estimate of the average volume of the LGN (149 mm³) lies between previous estimates based on a postmortem, MRI-registered histologic investigation (182 mm³)56 and on another postmortem histologic study (118 mm³).57 The latter estimate is smaller than ours, but this may be due to shrinkage as a result of formalin fixation. Our method measures volume of (parts of) segmented images, so that the specific choice of segmentation parameters may influence absolute size estimates. However, this equally affects the measurements in patients and controls.

In their combined MRI and histologic study, Burgel et al.56 estimated the average size of the optic radiations in healthy individuals to be 6798 mm³. In this case, we got a larger average optic radiation volume (11,297 mm³). This larger estimate can be explained by us by deliberately defining a relatively large region of interest to guarantee that we would capture the ROR and LORs of all the individual brains. In the future, DTI-guided segmentation of high-resolution anatomic images of the brain may allow extraction of the optic radiation in an automated manner and provide even more accurate in vivo volumetric measurements.

Our analyses showed no significant correlation between the visual field sensitivity (MD) and the volume of the visual pathway structures (see Table 3 and Fig. 5). There may be several methodological reasons for this finding. ROI-based analyses, as we used here, are a relatively coarse measure in comparison to the resolution offered by VBM. Future studies may explore the structure-function relationship in a finer, voxel-wise manner. Investigators...
### Table 2. Comparison of Volumetric Measurements in ROIs along the Precortical Visual Pathway in Glaucoma Patients and Controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume (mm³)</th>
<th>RON</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>ROT</th>
<th>LOT</th>
<th>RLGN</th>
<th>LLGN</th>
<th>ROR</th>
<th>LOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 01</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>8,627</td>
<td>8,127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 02</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>10,213</td>
<td>10,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 03</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>11,643</td>
<td>11,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 04</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>448</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>12,082</td>
<td>12,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 05</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>11,961</td>
<td>11,944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 06</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>12,677</td>
<td>12,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 07</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>11,740</td>
<td>11,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 08</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>10,582</td>
<td>11,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 09</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>564</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>10,269</td>
<td>10,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 10</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>11,191</td>
<td>10,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 11</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>11,949</td>
<td>12,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 12</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>536</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>11,825</td>
<td>12,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>94.6 ± 17.1</td>
<td>137.6 ± 17.6</td>
<td>42.8 ± 12.5</td>
<td>417.4 ± 41.5</td>
<td>561.5 ± 51.5</td>
<td>151.7 ± 15.7</td>
<td>147.0 ± 23.9</td>
<td>11,225.3 ± 1,116.8</td>
<td>11,369.1 ± 1,357.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POAG</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 13</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>9,159</td>
<td>10,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 14</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>8,675</td>
<td>7,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 15</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>8,504</td>
<td>8,661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 16</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>7,333</td>
<td>7,204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 17</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>6,211</td>
<td>6,903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 18</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>9,555</td>
<td>10,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 19</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>11,591</td>
<td>12,138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject 20</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>12,204</td>
<td>12,958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>45.8 ± 15.0</td>
<td>109.1 ± 21.9</td>
<td>9.5 ± 8.9</td>
<td>319.3 ± 40.7</td>
<td>441.6 ± 62.3</td>
<td>125.7 ± 22.7</td>
<td>120.1 ± 11.2</td>
<td>9,166.6 ± 202.3</td>
<td>9,519.9 ± 2,229.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume loss (relative to the control group), %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANCOVA (age)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ROI, region of interest; RON, right optic nerve; LON, left optic nerve; OC, optic chiasm; ROT, right optic tract; LOT, left optic tract; RLGN, right lateral geniculate nucleus; LLGN, left lateral geniculate nucleus; ROR, right optic radiation; LOR, left optic radiation.
could also consider using more comprehensive visual field measurements (for example, the full SITA method) to enable a more precise determination of the relationship between the severity of the reduction in visual field sensitivity and the volume of the visual pathway. It may be possible to further improve on the methods we used here by fine-tuning the registration parameters so as to focus more on the visual pathway rather than the whole brain, before performing the statistical analyses. With such technical refinements to the present technique and the inclusion of more participants in various severity stages of glaucoma, it might become feasible to determine how far along the pathway damage is occurring and perhaps even the time sequence of the damage. Such could be done through either longitudinal studies or by finding patients in whom damage only extends to certain points along the pathway.

Our study also showed that the combination of MRI and automated morphometry can detect changes in the volume of the visual pathway. Our study is the first to detect such changes simultaneously using fully automated VBM. Standard VBM is not very suitable for detecting changes in the subcortical sections of the visual pathway. Moreover, to the best of our knowledge, surface-based methods allow only investigation of cortical structures as well. To enable detection of subcortical volumetric changes, we slightly modified the standard segmentation protocol of SPM by increasing the number of tissue classes. This modification allowed better segmentation, especially of the optic radiations and the LGN and enabled us to greatly improve our assessment of volumetric changes in these structures using VBM.

The TPMs that we used incorporated all the subjects from both groups in the study and, in principle, do not bias the results in any direction. To verify the validity of this assumption, we repeated our VBM analysis using TPMs based on an independent set of brains. The results of this analysis are highly comparable to the one reported in the main paper (see Supplementary Materials, http://www.iovs.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1167/iovs.10-5682/-/DCSupplemental). Using TPMs based on the brains of the study participants has the advantage that it results in more accurate registration and improved VBM sensitivity.

Previous reports on structural changes in glaucoma have used different dimensions such as height, area, or thickness of the structures of interest as their outcome parameters. Often, these measures were determined manually. VBM, on the other hand, performs an automated statistical comparison of volume on a voxel-by-voxel basis, thus allowing an unbiased and comprehensive comparison. Moreover, it has the ability to detect subtle differences that manual measurements may not be able to detect.

In the present study, we used VBM primarily for its power in performing group comparisons. However, we believe the method and its components could have a more widespread use. In a group-comparison study, all brain images and their derivative gray and white matter segments necessarily have to be normalized to allow any comparisons. However, one can always opt not to do so, to simply obtain the derivative gray and white matter segments, thereby preserving an individual’s

### Table 3. Correlations between Visual Field Sensitivity and Volume of Visual Pathway Structure in the Glaucoma Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROI</th>
<th>RON</th>
<th>LON</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>ROT</th>
<th>LOT</th>
<th>RLGN</th>
<th>LLGN</th>
<th>ROR</th>
<th>LOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean deviation OD</td>
<td>R = 0.24</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P = 0.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean deviation OS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>R = 0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P = 0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binocular average of mean deviation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R = 0.55</td>
<td>R = 0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P = 0.16</td>
<td>P = 0.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations** are as in Table 2.
brain shape. For example, a clinician could then use the white matter segment, which is virtually free from the other non-white matter brain tissue, to precisely measure the dimensions of the optic chiasm or the optic tracts. In this case, only the accurate segmentation abilities of the VBM method are used to improve the sensitivity of manual measurements.

In our view, a fully automated VBM approach could also be applied at the individual patient level, although this would require further research and development. Based on a large number of images of normal, healthy brains, a normative database of templates for subjects of various ages could be created. After automated normalization and segmentation, the brain images of an individual patient, could be compared, on a voxel-wise basis, to the appropriate normal template in the database. Deviant structures in the patient’s brain could be highlighted. Such measurements and visualizations could assist a clinician in deciding on the diagnosis, prognosis, and further management of an individual patient. Potentially, multivariate pattern classification techniques could be applied to improve the sensitivity of such automated assistive measurements. In the long run, volume reduction and other MR based assessments could become additional indicators to assess glaucoma progress.42

In the future, these new methods could also help to decide whether a vision rehabilitation program for a patient is worthwhile, since a degenerated pathway may limit the efficacy of rehabilitation and training programs39 and retinal prostheses.60 Furthermore, due to the potentially deteriorative effect of glaucoma, physicians may also need to consider the prevention of degeneration as a new goal. In addition to such clinical implications, our results indicate that the automated and objective procedure of VBM can be applied in future research on the visual pathway. Finally, the present approach need not be restricted to the realms of neuro-ophthalmology. Automatic detection of changes in subcortical structures may also be useful in neurologic or psychiatric disorders.

In summary, compared with healthy individuals, glaucoma patients show the presence of volumetric reductions that may extend all the way from the optic nerve to the optic radiations. Glaucoma, besides affecting the eye and optic nerves, may thus also disrupt the central visual system. Despite the marked changes observed in pregeniculate structures of the visual pathway, more data are needed, to ascertain the extent of the optic radiations’ involvement.
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