Water formation on bare grains: When the chemistry on dust impacts interstellar gas
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Context.} Water and $\text{O}_2$ are important gas phase ingredients for cooling dense gas when forming stars. On dust grains, $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is an important constituent of the icy mantle in which a complex chemistry is taking place, as revealed by hot core observations. The formation of water can occur on dust grain surfaces, and can impact gas phase composition.

\textbf{Aims.} The formation of molecules such as $\text{OH}$, $\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ and $\text{H}_2\text{O}_3$, as well as their deuterated forms and $\text{O}_2$ and $\text{O}_3$ is studied to assess how the chemistry varies in different astrophysical environments, and how the gas phase is affected by grain surface chemistry.

\textbf{Methods.} We use Monte Carlo simulations to follow the formation of molecules on bare grains as well as the fraction of molecules released into the gas phase. We consider a surface reaction network, based on gas phase reactions, as well as UV photo-dissociation of the chemical species.

\textbf{Results.} We show that grain surface chemistry has a strong impact on gas phase chemistry, and that this chemistry is very different for dust grain temperatures. Low temperatures favor hydrogenation, while higher temperatures favor oxygenation. Also, UV photons dissociate the molecules on the surface, which can subsequently reform. The formation-destruction cycle increases the amount of species released into the gas phase. We also determine the timescales to form ices in diffuse and dense clouds, and show that ices are formed only in shielded environments, as supported by observations.
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1. Introduction

There is water everywhere in the cosmos. In cold and dense regions of the interstellar medium, dust grains become covered by icy mantles consisting mainly of water (Williams et al. 1992; Gibb et al. 2004). In the star formation process, the ices that were retained onto dust grains vaporize and drive a very rich chemistry (Caselli et al. 1993; Cazaux et al. 2003). When planets are formed, at a later stage, the water still trapped in solid form is carried by asteroids and comets, and can be brought to the different planets, giving birth, as on our own planet, to oceans.

Gas-phase chemical theory predicts that $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{O}_2$, along with $\text{O}$ and $\text{CO}$, constitute the gas-phase reservoirs of elemental oxygen in molecular gas that is well shielded from ultraviolet radiation (e.g., Millar 1990). As a consequence, water and $\text{O}_2$ can be important coolants for dense gas (Goldsmith & Langer 1978; Hollenbach 1988; Neufeld et al. 1995).

The formation and constitution of icy mantles has been studied by several authors (Tielens & Hagen 1982; Cuppen & Herbst 2007). Observations have revealed that icy mantles are sensitive to hard radiation, and therefore could only exist in shielded environments (Whittet et al. 2001; Papoular 2005). Ices are assumed to be produced when gas phase species freeze out onto dust grains, these gas phase species having previously formed on the grain or in the gas phase. Because the binding energy of water on bare carbonaceous grains is lower than for water on ice, it is essential to form the first layer in order to form the icy mantle (Papoular 2005). This could have an important impact on the snow line position (Marseille & Cazaux submitted). We note that for water sticking on bare silicate grains this may be different (Goumans et al. 2009; Schiff 1973).

Because of the inefficiency of the gas phase routes, the use of grain surface chemistry is required to explain the observed abundances of water in molecular clouds (D’Hendecourt et al. 1985; Hasegawa et al. 1992). $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ abundances can range from $<10^{-8}$ in the coldest clouds, which can be explained by the freeze-out of water onto dust, to $>10^{-4}$ in warm gas and shocks, due to ice evaporation or sputtering and exothermic gas phase reactions (Bergin et al. 1998, 2000; Hollenbach et al. 2009).

In this work, we investigate the formation of molecules such as $\text{OH}$, $\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, and their deuterated forms in different astrophysical environments. In particular, we concentrate on grain surface chemistry, and the amounts of species that this process releases into the gas phase. The interactions between species and carbon surfaces (graphite or amorphous carbon) has received much attention (Ghio et al. 1980; Pirronello et al. 1997; Jeloaica & Sidis 1999; Sha & Jackson 2002; Bergeron et al. 2008). Theoretical and experimental studies of the interactions between chemical species and these types of surfaces provide a considerable number of constraints for our model. On the other hand, silicates, are more complex surfaces that are more difficult to treat theoretically, resulting in fewer constraints on the interactions between species and surface. However,
Goumans et al. (2009) discovered that the formation of water differs strongly as it is formed on olivine or on carbon grains. On olivine surfaces, the formation of water occurs via species strongly bound to the surface that can dissipate the excess energy in an efficient way via phonons. This allows most of the newly formed species to remain on the surface. Therefore, the formation of species on olivine surfaces appears not to affect the gas phase significantly. In this study, because we wish to assess how the chemistry on dust grains can influence the interstellar gas, we exclusively follow the chemistry that occurs on carbon grains. To do so, we use Monte Carlo simulations to describe the formation of chemical species on these surfaces. We also consider several environments with different physical conditions, and establish how chemical species form on dust as well as how they are subsequently released in the gas phase. Finally, we discuss the formation of icy mantles in atomic and molecular clouds, and show that our model reproduces the finding that grains are covered by ices only in shielded environments.

2. Grain surface chemistry

2.1. Formation of water and its deuterated forms using Monte Carlo simulations

Cazaux et al. (2008) discussed the formation of H$_2$, HD, and D$_2$ on surfaces that are typical of the interstellar medium. We found that the formation of molecules depends on the binding energy of atoms with the surface and on the barrier that gas phase atoms have to cross to become strongly bound to the surface. There are indeed two types of interactions between the atoms and the surface: a weak one, called physisorption (van der Waals interaction), and a strong one, called chemisorption (covalent bond). Atoms from the gas phase can easily access the physisorbed sites and become physisorbed atoms. These weakly bound atoms can travel on the surface at very low dust temperatures, meeting each other to form molecules. At higher dust temperatures, the physisorbed atoms evaporate and the formation of molecules is assured by the contribution of chemisorbed atoms. The atoms from the gas phase can also enter into a chemisorbed site (and have to cross a barrier to do so), and can meet an already chemisorbed atom. This process will allow molecules to form for a wide range of dust grain temperatures (up to a few hundreds of kelvins).

We use a step-by-step Monte Carlo simulations to follow the chemistry occurring on dust grains. The dust grains are divided into square lattices of 100 $\times$ 100 adsorption sites. Each site on the grid corresponds to a physisorbed site and a chemisorbed site, so that the grain can be seen as two superimposed grids. Species originating from the gas phase arrive at a random time and location on the dust surface. This arrival time depends on the rate at which gas species collide with the grain. This rate of accretion can be written as

$$ R_{\text{acc}} = n_X v_X \sigma \sigma S $$  

(1)

where $n_X$ and $v_X$ are the densities and velocities, respectively, of the species X, $\sigma$ is the cross-section of the dust particle, and S is the sticking coefficient of the species with the dust. We consider $S = 1$, meaning that when a species arrives at a point of the grid, it can become physisorbed, or, if its chemisorption states exists and its energy is high enough to cross the barrier against chemisorption, it becomes chemisorbed. Jones & Williams (1985) show that the sticking coefficient depends on the proportion of physisorbed/chemisorbed sites on the surface, and that for a gas at 100 K, most of the hydrogen atoms populate the chemisorbed sites. In our model, however, because of the high barrier to access chemisorbed sites, H atoms mostly arrive from the gas phase in physisorbed sites. In this sense, we overestimate the sticking coefficient since we consider that all atoms that arrive on the grain stick.

The species present on the surface may go return to the gas phase if they evaporate. This evaporation rate of the species can be written as

$$ R_{\text{evap}}(i) = v_i \times P_{\text{ff}} \exp \left( - \frac{E_i}{k_b T} \right) $$  

(2)

where $E_i$ is the binding energy of the considered species i, as reported in Table 1.

The species that arrive at a location on the surface can move randomly across the surface by means of tunneling effects and thermal hopping. The diffusion rates $R_d$ for an atom to go from a site i (physisorbed or chemisorbed) to a site j (physisorbed or chemisorbed) can be written as

$$ R_d = \frac{v_i}{\nu} \times P_{\text{ff}}. $$  

(3)

where $v_i$ is the oscillation factor of the atom in the site i (which is of $10^{12}$ s$^{-1}$ for physisorbed atoms), and $P_{\text{ff}}$ is the probability for the atom to go from a site i to j by tunneling effects or thermal hopping, as described in Cazaux & Tielens (2004). When thermal hopping predominates, which is usually the case for species going from a physisorbed site to another physisorbed site, or for high temperatures, this probability can be written as $P_{ij} = \exp(-E_{ij}/k_b T)$, where $E_{ij}$ is the energy of the barrier between the site i and j, and T the temperature of the surface. The hopping barriers for a particle and for a site to another physisorbed site are assumed to be 2/3 of the binding energy ($E_i$, listed in Table 1) of the species on the surface, with a width of 3 Å, which is the value we derived for the case of H$_2$ formation of carbonaceous and silicate surfaces (Cazaux & Tielens 2004). The barrier for a physisorbed atom to become chemisorbed is discussed in Sect. 2.2.2.

Species present on the surface can also receive a UV photon and become dissociated at the rates reported in Sect. 2.4. Once two species meet in the same site, they can form a new species if the activation barrier for the formation can be overcome. The different reactions that can occur on the dust grains as well as their activation barriers $E_a$ are listed in Table 1. When the barrier for a reaction has not been studied on the surface, we assume that the reactions are similar to gas phase reactions and use the NIST database (Manion et al. 2008). However, studies have shown that reactions that have a barrier in the gas phase can be barrierless on the dust surface (Ioppolo et al. 2008). Each time a reaction with a barrier occurs, we calculate the rate for crossing the barrier, which is $R_a = v \times P_{E_a}$, where $v$ is the oscillation factor of the considered species, and $P_{E_a}$ is the probability that a chemical species thermalized on the grain at a temperature $T_{\text{dust}}$ crosses the activation barrier $E_a$. We assume here that these barriers have a width of 1 Å. We compare the rate of crossing the barrier $R_a$ to the rate for the chemical species to move out of the site $R_d$. If the rate of leaving the site is lower, then the reaction will occur, if not, the species will leave the site and go to a neighboring site. In this study, we assume that the species remaining on the grains are thermalized, and therefore that there is no preferential direction for the dissociated products that remain on the surface. We therefore consider the probabilities of visiting the neighboring sites to be equal.

Once a chemical species present on the dust moves, or absorbs a photon, or meets another species, the next event that
Table 1. Reactions adopted in our chemical model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Branching ratio</th>
<th>Barrier in K</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H+ H → H2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+ D → HD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+ O → OH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+OH → H2O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+OD → HD0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+O2 → HO2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td>Walch et al. (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+H2O → H2+OD</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+HDO → H2+OD</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+D2O → HD+OD</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+O3 → O2 + OH</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+HO2 → H2O2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+D2O → HD02</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+H2O2 → OH + H2O</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ioppolo et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+HDO2 → OH + HDO</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>Ioppolo et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+D2O2 → OD + D2O</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>Ioppolo et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H+D+D → D2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+O → OD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+OH → HD0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+OD → D2O</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+O2 → DO2</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td>Walch et al. (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+H2O → HD+OH</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+HDO → HD+OD</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+D2O → D2+OD</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+O3 → O2 + OD</td>
<td>450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+HO2 → HDO2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+D2O → OD + OD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+H2O2 → OH + HDO</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>Ioppolo et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+HDO2 → OD + H2O</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>Ioppolo et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D+D2O2 → OD + D2O</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>Ioppolo et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+H2 → OH+H</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+HD → OD+H</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+D2 → OD+D</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+O → O2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+OH → O2 + H</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+OD → O2 + D</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+O2 → O3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+H2O → OH + OH</td>
<td>8500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+HDO → OH + OD</td>
<td>8500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+D2O → OD + OD</td>
<td>8500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+O3 → O2 + O2</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+HO2 → OH + O2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+DHO2 → OD + HO2</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+DHO2 → OD + HO2</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+D2O2 → OD + D2O</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2+ OH → H2O+H</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td></td>
<td>Schiff (1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2+ OD → HDO+H</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>Schiff (1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2+ D → H2O+D</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>Schiff (1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2+ O2 → OH+OH</td>
<td>35000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2+ HO2 → H2O2+H</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2+ DO2 → HDO2+H</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD+ OH → HDO+H</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>Schiff (1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD+ OD → HDO+D</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>Schiff (1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD+ OD → D2O+H</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>2600</td>
<td>Schiff (1973)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
occurs to this species is determined as well as its time of occurrence. Therefore, the events that concern every species on the dust are ordered by time of occurrence, and for each event that occurs, a next event for the concerned species is determined. The chemical species considered in our model are the following: H, D, O, OH, OD, O₂, H₂O, HDO, D₂O, O₃, HO₂, H₂O₂, DO₂, HDO₂, and D₂O₂. Apart from H and D, which may also be negligible compared to H₂O, many different products can be obtained from the reaction HD + O, the HD molecule has to be dissociated, and the oxygen reacts either with H or D. For this reaction, the branching ratio is strongly dependent on the temperature. At low temperatures, tunneling dominates the reaction and HD + O produces mostly OH + D (with a rate $k_{HD}$) and very few OD + H (rate $k_{OD}$). At 300 K, the ratio $\frac{k_{OD}}{k_{HD}}$ is of the order of 15 (Robie et al. 1987), and strongly increases as temperature decreases. Therefore, we assume that at low temperatures, the branching ratio $k_{OD}$ is negligible compared to $k_{HD}$. For the case of H₂O₂ + D, the reaction of one of the H atoms with a D atom, which gives HD + HO₂, then breaks the O=O bond, and finally adds the free oxygen to HD. The final products are therefore OH + HDO (Albers et al. 1971). For the other reactions, when no branching ratios have been reported (in the NIST database) we assume equal branching ratios.

2.2. Binding energies

2.2.1. Physisorption

The binding energies of the different species in physisorbed sites on carbon surfaces were discussed by Cuppen & Herbst (2007) and references therein. As discussed by these authors, the binding energies of species on the surface are poorly known. On amorphous carbon grains, it seems that only H and H₂ physisorption energies have been determined (Pirronello et al. 1997; Katz et al. 1999). For most of the other binding energies, we refer to graphitic surfaces. On these surfaces, H atoms have a binding energy of 550 K (Bergeron et al. 2008 and references therein; Ghio et al. 1980), which is similar to their binding energy on amorphous carbon. The physisorption energy of molecular hydrogen on carbon nanoparticles (graphitic platelets) and polycrylamide hydrocarbons (PAHs) has been found to be attractive between 420 and 860 K, depending on both the orientation of H₂ and the particle size. These energies are also dependent on the temperature of the surface (Heine et al. 2004). We therefore use a binding energy of 600 K, determined for graphene surfaces (Akai & Saito 2003). Bergeron et al. (2008) found that oxygen can be physisorbed on graphitic surfaces with...
energies of 1400 K, if the atom is placed on a top site (above a C atom) or on a bridge site (between two C atoms). This value is quite high compared to the value of 800 K used in Cuppen & Herbst (2007), initially taken from Tielens & Hagen (1982). Lee et al. (2009) calculated the binding energy of ozone on graphene. Ozone molecules adsorb on the graphene basal plane with binding energy of 2900 K, and the physisorbed molecule can chemically react with graphene to form an epoxide group and an oxygen molecule. The activation energy barrier from physisorption to chemisorption is very high (8000 K), and we therefore neglect the possibility of ozone molecules overcoming this barrier and being dissociated. For the other species, we consider similar energies as in Cuppen & Herbst (2007). The energies of physisorption of the different species are reported in Table 2.

2.2.2. Chemisorption

Hydrogen atoms can chemisorb on graphite surfaces, but this process has an associated activation energy of 0.2 eV (Hornekær et al. 2006; Sha & Jackson 2002; Jeloaica & Sidis 1999). It has been shown that once a first H atom is chemisorbed on a graphite surface, a second atom can chemisorb on the same benzene, in the site opposing this first atom (para site), without a barrier (Hornekær et al. 2006; Rougeau et al. 2006) and a third atom can form a molecule with one of these two adsorbed atoms without a barrier (Bachellerie et al. 2007). This process is important to form H$_2$ efficiently at high dust temperatures. This process incorporated into our simulations in a coming paper (Cazaux & Morisset, in prep.). For now, we only consider that H atoms can become chemisorbed (with an energy of 0.7 eV) by crossing a barrier of 0.2 eV by tunneling effects (low temperatures) or thermal hopping (high temperatures), and approximate the barriers as being squared. Nevertheless, DFT calculations show that these barriers are far from being square leading to higher rates for H atoms to become chemisorbed. This will be discussed in a future work (Cazaux & Morisset, in prep.) If the process discussed by Bachellerie et al. (2007) were to be taken into account, once a first atom is present in a chemisorbed site, there would be a barrier-less way to form and desorb a H$_2$ molecule. In this study, we thus underestimate the rate of H$_2$ formation. This can have a small effect on the formation of molecules in diffuse clouds, which are mostly atomic environments, but has no effect for molecular environments, since the reactions that form molecules involve H$_2$.

Theoretical and experimental studies show that oxygen atoms chemisorb preferably above the middle of the C–C bond, creating a stable epoxy-like structure. Oxygen has adsorption energies of ~2.3–2.4 eV, with a barrier against chemisorption on the order of 0.15–0.2 eV, depending on whether the O chemisorbs above a C or in the middle of the C–C bond (Fromherz et al. 1993). In the singlet state, oxygen atoms can be chemisorbed with an energy of 2.5 eV (Jelëa et al. 2004), and can react with H atoms to form OH radicals by means of an Eley-Rideal mechanism with an activation barrier that varies from 0.17 eV to 0.29 eV. However, oxygen is found mostly in its triplet form in the ISM. Bergeron et al. (2008) show that the chemisorption of oxygen in a triplet state has an associated barrier of 0.2 eV, with a “meta-stable” chemisorbed state, meaning that the well lies above the energy level for 3O + graphite at infinite separation. Therefore, only for high temperature gas (2000 K) can the chemisorbed state of oxygen (triplet) be populated. In this work, because oxygen is found mostly in the triplet form in the ISM, we assume that oxygen atoms cannot be chemisorbed.

2.3. Desorption upon formation

Once a reaction occurs on the surface, there is a certain probability that the product of the reaction desorbs into the gas phase. This process depends on the energy released upon formation (enthalpy of reaction) and on the binding energy of the final product. The desorption upon formation concerns only physisorbed species that react with each other to form a product that is also physisorbed. Because of the excess energy contained in the molecule, when reactions have high enthalpies, this physisorbed product can be ejected into the gas phase. This process was discussed by Garrod et al. (2007). These authors quantify the probability of desorption using Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel (RRK) theory. However, this theory is designed for large molecules, and we expect a different behavior for diatomic molecules. With this method, they derive a probability $P$ that for a certain reaction, a certain energy $E$, higher than the binding energy of the product ($E \geq E_{\text{b}}$), is found in the bond. This probability can be written as

$$P = \left[ 1 - \frac{E_B}{E_{\text{rec}}} \right]^{\nu_1 - 1},$$

where the energy released during the reaction is $E_{\text{rec}}$, and $s$ is the number of atoms in the molecule that is produced. Once this probability is known, these authors use a method that depends on the energy loss on the surface, and that calculates the fraction of newly formed molecules that would desorb from the surface upon formation. This fraction is written as

$$P = \frac{v_P}{v_s + v_P} = \frac{aP}{1 + aP},$$

where $v_s$ is the rate at which the total energy is lost to the surface, $v$ is the oscillation factor of the molecule in the potential well, and $a = \frac{s}{2}$. We speculate that the energy loss will depend on the porosity of the surface, meaning that a newly formed species loses its energy more efficiently on a porous surface because it will then encounter and bump into more obstacles. Since water ices are supposed to be more porous than bare surfaces, molecules
produced on bare grains should have higher probabilities of desorbing directly into the gas phase upon formation. Garrod et al. (2007) use the data of Kroes & Andersson (2005) to determine the rate $\nu_1$ and derive a factor $a = 0.012$. In the case of bare grains, on the other hand, Katz et al. (1999), Cazaux & Tielens (2004), and Cuppen & Herbst (2005), infer from the experiments of Pirronello et al. (1997) that the fraction of $H_2$ that directly desorbs into the gas phase upon formation is about 70% for silicates and 60% for amorphous carbon grains. With the theory developed in Garrod et al. (2007), we obtain 1%, which is far from what has been derived for $H_2$. If we assume the energy loss to be much less efficient in the case of bare grains, such that the factor $a$ is a few orders of magnitude higher than the 0.012 determined by Garrod et al. (2007), we obtain a very similar fraction of desorption upon formation for $H_2$ and $H_2O$ ($a = 0.7$, we obtain 40% and 39% for the desorption upon formation of $H_2$ and $H_2O$, respectively). In the discussion of Cuppen & Herbst (2007), it seems that the fraction of water that desorbs upon formation is quite small (Kroes & Andersson 2005). This study deals with water ice, but for non-porous surfaces such as bare grains this fraction is different.

Bergeron et al. (2008) show that once physisorbed $H$ and $O$ atoms recombine on graphite surface, the nascent molecules can either directly desorb, if the translational energy is large enough, or the molecule is trapped in a quasi-stationary state where it oscillates in the physisorption well with a significant amount of vibrational and rotational energy. As the $H$ atoms repeatedly collide with $O$ atoms, OH escapes from the surface (the so-called complex mechanism). Bachellerie et al. (2007) show that $H_2$ molecules mostly desorb from the surface upon formation. They also demonstrate that on a graphene surface at low temperatures, only 3% of the newly formed $H_2$ molecules remain on the surface (they consider that species remaining for more than 12 ps are trapped). Therefore, we assume that a significant fraction of newly formed species can desorb directly into the gas phase upon formation.

We therefore use an approximation derived by Vasta et al. (2005, unpublished), where the desorption of $H_2$ is high, and that of $H_2O$ is low, depending on the binding energy of the final product and the enthalpy of reaction. This approximation, which reproduces the fraction of $H_2$ that desorbs upon formation on silicates and amorphous carbon, also keeps this fraction small for water, and is more adequate for our purposes in modeling the formation of chemical species on bare grains. The empirical formula

$$P = \frac{E_{\text{resc}}}{4.5 \times 11600} \times (0.756 - 3 \times 10^{-4}E_B)$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

inference that 70% (60%) of $H_2$ molecules desorb upon formation on silicate (amorphous carbon) surfaces, where $H_2$ molecules have a binding energy of 300 K (500 K). The fraction of molecules that desorbs upon formation linearly scales with the enthalpy of reaction. In our model, 60% of the newly formed $H_2$ molecules desorb upon formation, whereas this fraction is 36% for the reaction $H + O \rightarrow OH$ and 15% for $OH + H \rightarrow H_2O$. This fraction depends on the route to form the chemical species, since it becomes only 4% when $OH$ is formed from $O + H_2$ and 0.8% when $H_2O$ is formed from $OH + H_2$. Therefore, the fraction of species released into the gas phase will depend strongly on whether the species are formed in atomic or molecular environments.

### 2.4. Photo-dissociation and photo-desorption

Interstellar dust grains are present in environments that are subject to radiation. Stars in the neighborhood can emit FUV photons that impinge on the interstellar dust grains. The flux of FUV photons can be written to be (Hollenbach et al. 2009)

$$F_{\text{FUV}} = G_B F_0 \exp^{-1.8A_V}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

where $F_0 \sim 10^8$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ is the approximate local interstellar flux between 6 and 13.6 eV, $G_B$ is a scaling factor for which the value 1 corresponds to the Milky Way interstellar radiation field in the FUV band, and $A_V$ is the visual extinction.

Once a UV photon arrives on a species, this species can be photo-dissociated. The products of the dissociation can be released directly into the gas phase, or one or both fragments can be trapped on the surface. Andersson & van Dishoeck (2008) calculated the outcomes of the photodissociation of water molecules in water ices. Once a water molecule is broken into $OH$ and $H$ in the first monolayer of ice, several processes can occur with different probabilities depending on whether the ices are crystalline or amorphous (Andersson et al. 2006): a) $H$ atoms are released into the gas phase and OH and H molecules are trapped (amorphous: 92%, crystalline: 70%); b) $H$ and OH are trapped (amorphous: 5%, crystalline: 14%); c) OH and H desorb (amorphous: 2% and crystalline: 6%); d) OH and H recombine and form $H_2O$, which can be trapped (amorphous: 1%, crystalline: 0.05%) or desorb (amorphous: 0.7%, crystalline: 1%). These results differ from those of crystalline and amorphous ices. In particular, $H$ atoms produced by dissociations are trapped less in crystalline ice than amorphous ice. According to these authors, the yield of desorption of water molecules is rather small (0.2%) for both types of ices, as confirmed by experiments from Oberg et al. (2008).

In our simulations, we assume that an UV photon absorbed by a water molecule can lead to desorption of $OH$ and $H$ with a probability of 2%. We otherwise assume that the products remain on the grain surface as OH and H. Because we consider bare surfaces, OH and H have different mobilities and therefore the probability of the reaction and reformation of the water molecule should differ from that on ices. We also consider that H atoms remain on the grain upon dissociation. Because of our lack of knowledge about the direct photo-desorption of species other than water, we only consider the desorption of water as $OH$ and $H$ into the gas phase. For the other species, we assume that there is no photo-desorption, but that photo-dissociation is possible, as discussed in the next paragraph. This seems to be a correct approximation, since, as discussed by Andersson & van Dishoeck (2008), photo-dissociation (processes a and b discussed above) is more important than direct photo-desorption (process c).

In this study, we assume that only photons that arrive directly on the species can photo-dissociate them, meaning that the cross-section of reaction is similar to that in the gas phase. Table 3 presents the different photodissociation reactions and their associated photo-dissociation rates. We also assume that 2% of the products from a photo-dissociation reaction directly desorb into the gas phase, while 98% of the same products remain on the grain surface. We do not consider here the possibility of one product staying and the other one desorbing. We also take into account cosmic-ray-induced photons. These photons can interact with species present on the grain surface, and dissociate them with rates similar to the gas phase ones. These rates are also reported in Table 3. In our simulations, we assume that the products of the photodissociation are directly thermalized on the surface, meaning that they lose their excess energy
on very short timescales. The two products of the dissociation are placed in neighboring sites.

### 2.5. Water clusters

If a water molecule is located in a site next to another water molecule, a dimer can be created, making the total binding energy larger. Bolina et al. (2005) and Brown & Bolina (2007) performed temperature programmed desorption (TPD) experiments for the desorption of pure H$_2$O ice on a graphite surface (highly ordered pyrolytic graphite). The experimental data showed that with increasing coverage, water molecules desorb at higher temperature. Water molecules are arranged in clusters, for which the binding energy increases with increasing size. Therefore, as the coverage increases, the cluster becomes more important, and a higher surface temperature is needed to desorb the molecules. Ab initio calculations from Lin et al. (2005) and González et al. (2007), determined the adsorption energies of a single water molecule, and to water molecules arrange as dimers, trimers, up to hexamers. These binding energies increase with the number of water molecules present in the cluster, but only if the cluster has two dimensions. Lin et al. (2005) show that the binding energy of a 3D cluster mainly depends on the number of water molecules close to the graphite surface. In this study, we consider grain surfaces at low temperatures ($\lesssim$30 K), we do not take into account the formation of water clusters, since any newly formed water molecule that is not ejected upon formation remains on the surface. Water clusters and their impact on the freeze-out of water mantles and the snow line in proto-stellar environments is treated in another work (Marseille & Cazaux, submitted).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Test case: the effect of the dust temperature and UV photons

We calculate the number of molecules formed on the dust grain and released into the gas phase as a function of time for different dust grain temperatures. Only grain surface chemistry is considered here, and the gas phase reactions and abundances are not treated in this paper. We start our analysis with a high O/H and D/H ratio of 0.1, and $n_H = 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$, to understand how grain temperature influences the chemistry occurring on interstellar grains. We also add a UV radiation field to assess the changes in grain surface chemistry in the presence of photons. In this section, we consider parameters that are not representative of any astrophysical environment to understand how these parameters influence the chemistry on dust and its impact on gas phase.

The population of the chemical species present on the dust grain and released into the gas phase, in the absence of UV radiation, are presented in Fig. 1. When looking at the fraction of the species covering the grain (with monolayer equal to 1 corresponding to a surface that is completely covered), it appears that the chemistry occurring on the dust grain is very different if the grain has a temperature of 10 K or 30 K. At 10 K, the atoms and molecules do not evaporate into the gas phase, and the H atoms (and D atoms) are the only species mobile on the surface. Therefore, the dominating process is hydrogenation and most of the oxygen is found in the form of H$_2$O and HDO. On the grain surface, as shown in Fig. 1, top left panel, H$_2$O and HDO are the dominant species. Each time an OH species is formed and stays on the surface, a H (D) atom already present on the surface finds this OH (OD) radical and associates itself with it to form H$_2$O (HDO). Therefore, the successive hydrogenations are very efficient, and O rapidly converts to OH and H$_2$O. The species released into the gas phase, represented in Fig. 1, top right panel, are identical to those formed on the grain: $\sim$30% of the oxygen arriving on the grain is released in the gas phase as OH, and $\sim$5% as H$_2$O. On 30 K grains, on the other hand, the H and D atoms evaporate, while oxygen atoms, more strongly physisorbed to the surface, stay and can encounter each other. Therefore, plenty of oxygen-bearing species are formed that contain more than one oxygen atom. The grain surface composition is rich in H$_2$O and HDO (Fig. 1, bottom left panel). Plenty of chemical species are only transitory, such as OH, OD and HO$_2$, and turn very rapidly into H$_2$O, explaining their absence from the grain surface. The species released into the gas phase are more complex than grain surface species, as shown in Fig. 1, bottom right panel. Oxygen atoms arriving onto the grain are released into the gas phase in the form of OH (20%), O$_2$ (10%), H$_2$O (5%), OD (2%), and H$_2$O$_2$ (1%). These species are released into the gas upon formation. At these temperature ranges, this process is the most important for populating the gas phase with species formed on dust because evaporation is negligible.
When dust grains are subject to strong UV radiation fields, the species present on their surface can be photo-dissociated. If we consider 10 K and 30 K dust grains in an environment subject to a UV radiation field of $G_0 = 10^3$, and at an extinction of $A_V = 1$ mag, the molecules on the grain surface are photo-dissociated very rapidly (Fig. 2, left panels). Each time a molecule forms and remains on the surface, it can be destroyed by an incident UV photon. Because of the high fraction of atoms on the surface, OH radicals are transitory species that rapidly convert into $H_2O$. The fraction of $H_2O$ that covers the surface reaches an equilibrium between photo-dissociation into OH and H and reformation. The amount of water that is released in the gas phase upon formation is enhanced by the presence of the UV radiation field (Fig. 2, right panels). Without a UV field, this amount is on the order of 5%. This fraction becomes much higher ~12–15% in the presence of a strong radiation field. This is because each water molecule that forms has a probability of being released into the gas, but if this molecule stays, it can be dissociated and reform with the same probability of being desorbed. In this sense, the water molecules follow a cycle of formation-destruction that enhances their probability of being released in the gas phase. We find analytically that the amount of water that directly desorbs into the gas upon formation should be $\sim 5.4\%$ ($0.64 \times 0.15 = 0.096$, fraction of OH that...
stays on the surface upon formation times the fraction of H₂O that desorbs upon formation). When a strong UV radiation field is present, the molecules can then dissociated into products that can meet, and reform the same molecules. The probability that the H atom coming from the dissociation meets the other product OH to reform H₂O is determined in the Appendix A. We found that this process, commonly called backdiffusion, has a probability of 45% of occurring, and therefore enhances the fraction of water released into the gas phase by a factor 2 (∼10% see Appendix A). This value is slightly lower than the one we found with our simulations, meaning that backdiffusion is enhanced by grain size limitations that we have not considered. Our calculations for the backdiffusion in Appendix A differ from those of Chang et al. (2005) who studied the H + H system and of Krug (2004) who concentrated on stepped surfaces. In our study, only H atoms can move on the surface of bare grains, and we concentrated on the probability of H atoms resulting from the dissociation of water molecules to visit the location of the other product of the dissociation.

It seems obvious that low dust temperatures favor hydrogenation and deuteration of the species on the surface. For higher dust temperatures, hydrogen and deuterium can evaporate into the gas phase because of their low binding energies, while oxygen atoms, which have a higher binding energy, become mobile on the surface without evaporating. The resulting chemistry is oxygenation which leads to a chemistry rich in oxygen-bearing molecules. UV photons can dissociate most of the species on the surface. The products of the dissociation can meet again and reform the original species. Because each new species formed has a probability of being ejected into the gas phase upon formation, the cycle formation-destruction-formation increases the total fraction of species released into the gas phase.

### 4. From diffuse to dense clouds and PDRs

We now discuss the formation of molecules onto grains in different environments. The parameters used in our simulations are based on Snow & McCall (2006) and reported in Table 4. For each environment, we performed at least 4 different simulations with different seeds, and we report the errors bars that represent the 95% confidence level.

We first compute the chemistry occurring on dust grains in diffuse clouds, where the gas phase composition is mostly atomic. We assume a density of 100 atoms cm⁻³, a visual extinction of 0.5 mag and an impinging UV radiation field of 0.65 × 0.15 = 0.1. The water that remains on the surface accumulates very slowly until it reaches 0.2% after ~100 years. This shows that almost no water ice covers dust grains in diffuse clouds, as reported by observations (Whittet et al. 2001).

In translucent clouds, on the other hand, the medium is mostly molecular. We assume a density of 5000 cm⁻³, a visual extinction of 1 mag and an impinging UV radiation field of 1. At this cloud, the deuterium and oxygen species remain in atomic form. Because in these clouds the hydrogen is in molecular form, the most efficient route to form H₂O is H₂ + O → OH + H. As discussed before, this reaction releases less energy than the reaction involving atomic H, and therefore only a small percentage of OH (∼3%) is released into the gas phase. Because the dust grains are at a lower temperature than in the translucent cloud case, H atoms do not evaporate. The products of this reaction, OH and H, that stay on the surface can react and form H₂O. If these two products meet, 15% of the formed H₂O is released into the gas phase. Our results, presented in Fig. 4, indicate that more than 10% of the oxygen is released as H₂O into the gas phase. This means that the products H and OH have a probability of 60% of meeting each other. The OH that does not meet the H atoms will encounter an H₂ molecule and form H₂O + H. The part released into the gas phase through this process is negligible.

We also calculate the formation of molecules on dust grains in photo-dissociation regions. For this, we use the results of Meijerink & Spaans (2005) for a PDR with a density of 10³ atoms cm⁻³, with a UV radiation field of G₀ = 1. At this extinction, oxygen and deuterium remain in atomic form and the dust grains are colder, T_dust = 12 K. The formation of H₂O involves the reaction H₂ + O → OH + H. As discussed before, this reaction releases less energy than the reaction involving atomic H, and therefore only a small percentage of OH (∼3%) is released into the gas phase. Because the dust grains are at a lower temperature than in the translucent cloud case, H atoms do not evaporate. The products of this reaction, OH and H, that stay on the surface can react and form H₂O. If these two products meet, 15% of the formed H₂O is released into the gas phase. Our results, presented in Fig. 5, indicate that more than 10% of the oxygen is released as H₂O into the gas phase. This means that the products H and OH have a probability of 60% of meeting each other. The OH that does not meet the H atoms will encounter an H₂ molecule and form H₂O + H. The part released into the gas phase through this process is negligible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>n_H</th>
<th>n_D</th>
<th>n_O</th>
<th>n_H2</th>
<th>n_O2</th>
<th>T_dust</th>
<th>T_gas</th>
<th>G₀</th>
<th>A_V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse Clouds</td>
<td>100 cm⁻³</td>
<td>100 cm⁻³</td>
<td>0 cm⁻³</td>
<td>0.002 cm⁻³</td>
<td>0.03 cm⁻³</td>
<td>18 K</td>
<td>100 K</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5 mag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>translucent clouds</td>
<td>500 cm⁻³</td>
<td>6 cm⁻³</td>
<td>247 cm⁻³</td>
<td>0.01 cm⁻³</td>
<td>0.15 cm⁻³</td>
<td>14 K</td>
<td>50 K</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 mag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense Clouds</td>
<td>5000 cm⁻³</td>
<td>50 cm⁻³</td>
<td>2742 cm⁻³</td>
<td>0.1 cm⁻³</td>
<td>1.5 cm⁻³</td>
<td>12 K</td>
<td>20 K</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 mag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDR</td>
<td>1000 cm⁻³</td>
<td>10 cm⁻³</td>
<td>495 cm⁻³</td>
<td>0.02 cm⁻³</td>
<td>0.3 cm⁻³</td>
<td>30 K</td>
<td>30 K</td>
<td>10²</td>
<td>5 mag</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Parameters used in our simulations.
Fig. 2. Same as Fig. 1 with a UV radiation field of $G_0 = 10^3$. UV photons dissociate the chemical species present on the surface, which explains the small coverage of the grain surface (left panels). On the other hand, the amount of species released into the gas phase can be enhanced.

$\text{HO}_2 + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ and $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}$. Another important formation route is the hydrogenation of $\text{O}_3$, as shown by Mokrane et al. (2009), i.e., $\text{O}_3 + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{O}_2$ and $\text{OH} + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}$.

5. Discussion and conclusions

We used Monte Carlo methods to follow the chemistry involving H, D, and O species on interstellar dust grain surfaces. The formation of surface species as well as species released into the gas phase is computed and allows us to estimate the efficiency for the formation of O bearing species. Our model shows a strong chemical differentiation with grain temperature.

At $T_{\text{dust}} = 10$ K, processes involving hydrogenation are favored, while $T_{\text{dust}} = 30$ K favors oxygenation. UV photons can dissociate the species present on the surface, and the product of this dissociation can meet again and reform the initial species. Because plenty of chemical reactions used in this work are exothermic, a fraction of the newly formed species desorbs directly into the gas phase. Therefore, the cycle formation-dissociation-formation increases the fraction of the species released into the gas phase significantly.

We applied our model to different astrophysical environments. In diffuse clouds, water is formed through the successive hydrogenation of oxygen. These reactions are exothermic and allow an important fraction of newly formed OH and H$_2$O.
Fig. 3. Chemical species present on dust grains and released into the gas phase in diffuse clouds. Left panel: chemical species present on a grain surface in monolayer (1 monolayer is a total coverage of the surface) as function of time. Right panel: fraction of newly formed oxygen-bearing molecules f(O) that are released into the gas phase in the form of other species. The error bars have been derived using 7 simulations and represent 95% confidence levels.

Fig. 4. Same as Fig. 3 for translucent clouds. Note that no oxygen-bearing species are released into the gas phase. The error bars have been derived using 4 simulations and represent the 95% confidence level.

to desorb into the gas phase ($\sim 35\%$ and $\sim 15\%$ respectively). In translucent clouds, on the other hand, the medium is molecular and the formation of water involves molecular hydrogen and atomic oxygen. These reactions produce a very small amount of energy, and therefore no species are released into the gas phase ($\sim 3\%$ for OH and $\sim 1\%$ for H$_2$O). In diffuse clouds, the temperature of the dust grains is slightly lower, and H atoms do not evaporate. Therefore, as in the case of translucent clouds, oxygen meets H$_2$ to give OH and H, but in this case the H atoms produced remain on the grain. Water can form through the association of OH and this H (with a probability of 45%), and again, an important fraction of H$_2$O is released into the gas phase ($\sim 7\%$). In environments with warmer dust grains, such as PDRs, the chemistry occurring on the grain and the products released into the gas phase are very different. The formation of water involves O$_2$ (Ioppolo et al. 2008) and O$_3$ (Mokrane et al. 2009), and many oxygenated species are released into the gas phase.

The formation of ices requires very long timescales in diffuse and translucent clouds, as already discussed by Cuppen & Herbst (2007). In their work, these authors showed that a time of $10^5$ years is required to form the first monolayer of ice in dense clouds, while water coverage would reach a maximum of 50% of the surface in translucent clouds and 10% of the surface in diffuse clouds. In our model, the water ice coverage reaches 0.3% in diffuse clouds and 1% in translucent clouds after 30 years. We obtain a water ice coverage much lower than Cuppen & Herbst (2007) since we consider that for each reaction that occurs on the surface, a certain fraction directly desorbs into the gas phase upon formation. In diffuse clouds, this fraction is 36% and 15% for the formation of OH and H$_2$O, respectively. Our model also differs from Cuppen & Herbst (2007) since it does not allow species to accrete on top of each other and therefore does not consider the formation of ices.

Even if our model does not allow us to estimate the coverage of ices and their morphology, the water coverage can be determined in steady state as an equilibrium between water formation and destruction by UV radiation. The formation rate of water on dust is set by $R_{H_2O} = n_O v_O \sigma \epsilon$, where $n_O$ is the density of atomic oxygen in the gas phase, $v_O$ the thermal velocity of oxygen atoms ($\sim 4.6 \times 10^4 \sqrt{\frac{T_{gas}}{100}}$ cm s$^{-1}$), $\sigma$ the cross-section of the grain, and $\epsilon$ the formation efficiency of the water that stays on the grain.
This efficiency depends directly on the formation route of water in different environments. In the case of diffuse clouds, water forms through the reactions $O + H \rightarrow OH$, for which 64% stays on the dust grain (see Sect. 2.3), and $OH + H \rightarrow H_2O$ for which 85% stays on the dust, yielding an efficiency on the order of 50%. In translucent clouds, water forms through $O + H_2 \rightarrow OH$ (96% stays on dust) and $OH + H_2 \rightarrow H_2O + H$ (99.2% stays on the dust), which brings the efficiency of water formation to $\sim 95\%$. In dense clouds, this efficiency depends on the reactions $O + H_2 \rightarrow OH + H$ and $OH + H \rightarrow H_2O$, and therefore is on the order of $\sim 81\%$. Note that in the PDR case, water formation follows another route involving $O_2$ that brings the efficiency to 5%. The destruction by UV can be written as: $R_{\text{des}} = 8 \times 10^{-10} G_0 n_{H_2O} \exp(-2.2A_V)$. In steady state, the fraction of the water molecules that covers the surface of a dust grain can be written as:

$$\frac{n_{H_2O}}{n_{\text{site}}} = \frac{n_{O} n_{\text{site}} \sigma_{\text{site}} \varepsilon}{8 \times 10^{-10} G_0 \exp(-2.2A_V)} = 1.725 \times 10^{-6} \frac{m_{\text{e}} \sqrt{T_{\text{gas}}}}{G_0 \exp(-2.2A_V)},$$

where $\sigma_{\text{site}}$ is the cross-section of one site ($\sim 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^2$) and $n_H$ the total density of hydrogen. This fraction is $\sim 0.3\%$ in diffuse clouds, which is consistent with our calculations that show that...
this coverage is reached after 100 years (time to reach the steady state; see Fig. 3). In translucent clouds, the density is higher, and the coverage at steady state is on the order of 5%. For dense clouds, the medium is shielded, with an extinction of 5 mag and the coverage becomes larger than 1, meaning that dust grains are covered by icy mantles with several layers. In PDR environments, with high impinging UV radiation field $(10^3)$, and for an extinction of 5 mag, the coverage of ice is of 0.25%. These estimates, confirmed by our Monte Carlo simulations, show that ices that cover dust grains can only exist in shielded environments, if the UV radiation field is not too important. This is supported by observations of ices in diffuse and dense environments (Whittet et al. 2001). Jones & Williams (1984) estimate that the efficiency with which water is formed on dust grains through repetitive hydrogenation of oxygen should be higher than 25% to reproduce the observations of the ice band in the Taurus molecular cloud. In this work, we find that the efficiency for the formation of water is at least of 50%, which agrees with their findings. However, we established that the successive hydrogenation of oxygen to form water was not important in molecular clouds, but that the reaction of oxygen with H$_2$ is the dominant route. Indeed, even if an important barrier exists for oxygen to react with H$_2$, these reactions are possible on surfaces since the species can repetitively meet each other, increasing the cumulative probability of overcoming the barrier. In this sense, dust grain surfaces can provide natural place for reactions with high barriers to occur, while these reactions would be highly unlikely in the gas phase.

A major result of this paper is that the chemistry on bare grains has an important impact on gas phase chemistry because of the exothermicity of certain reactions on the surface. Once the grains become covered by icy mantles, the binding energies are much higher and the newly formed species remain trapped on the surface. Therefore, it would be very interesting to follow the chemistry that occurs in a diffuse cloud as it evolves into a dense cloud under the influence of hydrodynamics and gravity, and measure the impact of the grain surface chemistry on the gas phase composition while the grains are still bare grains.

We should mention that the probability of chemical species desorbing from grain surfaces upon formation has been seen experimentally (Pirronello et al. 1997) and has demonstrated theoretically (Bachillerie et al. 2007; Bergeron et al. 2008). However, the dependence of this probability on the exothermicity of the reaction is unknown and has only been estimated empirically. The impact of grain surface chemistry on gas phase has been estimated in this work with the assumption that species forming on dust surfaces have higher probabilities of being released in the gas as the reaction is exothermic. Further studies are required to assess this point and more clearly understand the effect of grain surface chemistry on the gas phase composition.
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Appendix A: Photo-desorption enhanced by photo-dissociation: recombination probability

First, we assume that photo-dissociation occurs, leading to one product on the $(x = 0, y = 0)$ site and the other next to it. The probability $P_{x,y}(i)$, at a given position $(x, y)$ on the grid, of finding the product of the photo-dissociation after $i$ steps can be inferred from the previous probabilities at the step $i - 1$:

$$P_{x,y} = \frac{1}{4} P_{x-1,y}(i - 1) + P_{x,y-1}(i - 1) + P_{x+1,y}(i - 1) + P_{x,y+1}(i - 1).$$

After further investigation, one can show that for the special position $(x = 1, y = 0)$, just next to the initial location, the probability can be written by separating even and odd cases as

$$P_{1,0}(2i - 1) = \frac{(i + 1)^2}{4^i + 1},$$

$$P_{1,0}(2i) = 0.$$

More generally, the probability $P_{x,y}^I(n)$ that the product arrives after exactly $n$ steps on a specified site situated at $(x, y)$ is given by

$$P_{x,y}^I(n) = \frac{1}{n + 1} \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 - P_{x,y}(j)).$$

If $n = 2k, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then one can conclude that $P_{x,y}^I(n) = 0$, whereas if $n = 2k - 1, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $P_{x,y}^I(n)$ exists and can be simplified to

$$P_{x,y}^I(2k - 1) = \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (1 - P_{x,y}(2j - 1)).$$

Hence for the case where $x = 1$ and $y = 0$

$$P_{1,0}^I(2k - 1) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 - 4^{-i^2}) \left(\frac{k + 1}{4^i + 1}\right).$$

In this last equation, the product term can be seen as a function of integers $\varphi(k)$ with the first terms

$$\varphi(k) = \sum_{i=2}^{k} 1 - 4^{-i^2},$$

$$\varphi(1) = 1,$$

$$\varphi(2) = 0.75,$$

$$\varphi(3) \approx 0.6445,$$

$$\varphi(4) \approx 0.6042,$$

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \varphi(k) \approx 0.581739.$$

Here we see how fast this function converges to unique value. At the end, the probability $P_{1,0}$ that the initial product comes back to its site of production is

$$P_{1,0} = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} S(i) P_{1,0}^I(i),$$

where $S(i)$ is the cumulative distribution of the number of steps that the product will do. According to the previous results that we obtained, this probability can be re-written as

$$P_{1,0} = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} S(2k - 1) \varphi(k) \frac{(k + 1)^2}{4^k + 1}.$$
last term of the previous equation has an algebraically defined value, which is very small compared to the other terms. Indeed

$$\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+1)^2}{4^{k+1}} = 0.581739 \times \frac{365}{27648} \approx 0.00768.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.16)

Here we see that the direct recombination effect is enhanced mainly by the first term of the sum, i.e., when \(k < 5\), hence a number of steps smaller than 9. This shows that one can assume that a product has left its initial position after 10 steps if it has not come back at least one time to its initial position (or neighbourhood) finally. Finally, we can estimate \(P_{1,0}\) to be

$$P_{1,0} = 0.4474,$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.17)

giving a new intrinsic probability of photo-desorption \(P_{\text{spd}}\) enhanced compared to the initial value. Indeed, if we take into account that a new molecule that is not photo-desorbed can be split by photo-dissociation, then the product can encounter for a second time the reactant, giving it a second chance to photo-desorb, and so on. Thus, an enhanced value of the spontaneous photodesorption \(P_{\text{spd}}\) can be expressed as

$$P_{\text{spd}}^* = P_{\text{spd}} \cdot (1 - P_{\text{spd}})P_{1,0}P_{\text{spd}} + \ldots = P_{\text{spd}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - P_{\text{spd}})P_{1,0}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.18)

Since last term is a geometric series that converges because \(|1 - P_{\text{spd}}P_{1,0}| < 1\), one can simplify to

$$P_{\text{spd}}^* = \frac{P_{\text{spd}}}{1 - (1 - P_{\text{spd}})P_{1,0}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A.19)

In the case of water formation with the reaction \(\text{O} + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{OH}\) and \(\text{OH} + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}\), the fraction of water that desorbs upon formation is 5\%. In the presence of a UV field, this value becomes 9.4\%.
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