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Background: Peanut allergy (PA) is a complex disease with both environmental and genetic risk factors. Previously, PA loci were identified in filaggrin (FLG) and HLA in candidate gene studies, and loci in HLA were identified in a genome-wide association study and meta-analysis.

Methods: Eight hundred fifty cases and 926 hyper-control subjects and more than 7.8 million genotyped and imputed single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were analyzed in a genome-wide association study to identify susceptibility variants in multiple populations identifies new loci for peanut allergy and establishes C11orf30/EMSY as a genetic risk factor for food allergy

Objective: We sought to investigate genetic susceptibility to PA.
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for PA in the Canadian population. A meta-analysis of 2
phenotypes (PA and food allergy) was conducted by using 7
studies from the Canadian, American (n = 2), Australian,
German, and Dutch (n = 2) populations.

Results: An SNP near integrin α6 (ITGA6) reached genome-
wide significance with PA (P = 1.80 x 10^-8), whereas SNPs
associated with Src kinase-associated phosphoprotein 1
(SKAP1), matrix metalloproteinase 12 (MMP12)/MMP13, catenin
α3 (CTNN4A3), rho GTPase–activating protein 24 (ARHGAP24),
angiopoietin 4 (ANGPT4), chromosome 11 open reading frame
(C11orf30/EMS), and exocyst complex component 4 (EXOC4)
reached a threshold suggestive of association (P ≤ 1.49 x 10^-6).
In the meta-analysis of PA, loci in or near ITGA6, ANGPT4,
MMP12/MMP13, C11orf30, and EXOC4 were significant
(P ≤ 1.49 x 10^-7). When a phenotype of any food allergy was
used for meta-analysis, the C11orf30 locus reached genome-wide
significance (P = 7.50 x 10^-11), whereas SNPs associated with
ITGA6, ANGPT4, MMP12/MMP13, and EXOC4 and additional
C11orf30 SNPs were suggestive (P ≤ 1.49 x 10^-7). Functional
annotation indicated that SKAP1 regulates expression of CBX1,
which colocalizes with the EMSY protein coded by C11orf30.
Conclusion: This study identifies multiple novel loci as risk
factors for PA and food allergy and establishes C11orf30 as a
risk locus for both PA and food allergy. Multiple genes
(C11orf30/EMS, SKAP1, and CTNN4A3) identified by this study
are involved in epigenetic regulation of gene expression. (J
Allergy Clin Immunol 2018;141:991-1001.)

Key words: Peanut allergy, food allergy, genome-wide association
study, meta-analysis, EMSY, C11orf30, epigenetics

Peanut allergy (PA) is a main cause of anaphylaxis in North
America.1,2 In Canada the prevalence of PA is 1% overall, with
a prevalence of 2.2% in children.2 The self-reported prevalence
of tree nut allergy and PA in the United States was 2.1% in children,3
whereas 3% of infants in an Australian study had a positive food
challenge result to peanut.1 PA is highly heritable, with a concor-
dance rate of 64% in monozygotic twins compared with 7% in
dizygotic twins.4 Family studies have found the risk of PA in
subjects with a sibling with PA to be significantly greater than
in the general population, with odds ratios (ORs) ranging from
6.7 to 13.5.5,6

The pathogenesis of PA involves both genetics and the
environment. Involvement of environmental exposures is
supported by (1) findings that early oral exposure to peanut leads
to development of tolerance, 7,8 (2) differences in PA prevalence
internationally,9-11 and (3) the rapid increase in disease
prevalence reported in some studies that cannot be explained by
genetic changes.1

Previous genetic work has found risk factors for PA in the
innate and adaptive immune pathways, including HLA,12-15
CD14,16 IL9,17 and filaggrin (FLG).18,19 Recently, genome-
wide association studies (GWASs) of food allergy identified
associations between PA and the HLA region.20,21 We have
previously identified HLA and FLG associations with PA in a
well-characterized group of Canadian patients with PA from the
Canadian Peanut Allergy Registry (CanPAR).15,18,19 As a
follow-up to this work, we conducted a GWAS of PA,22 along
with a meta-analysis of results from the previously published
GWASs20,21 and other studies of food allergy. HLA variants
were identified as significant risk factors for PA in the CanPAR
GWAS (rs1049213, P = 1.82 x 10^-11) and in a meta-analysis
(rs1063347, P = 3.67 x 10^-23), as reported in a separate publica-
tion, in which we narrowed the locus to HLA-DQB1 and showed
that its relationship to PA is independent of asthma.22 Here we
present novel non-HLA loci identified in a GWAS and meta-
analysis in an additional 6 populations.

METHODS
Clinical characteristics
Inclusion criteria for CanPAR cases are found in Table E1 in this article’s
Online Repository at www.jacionline.org.19

GWAS
Salivary DNA was isolated from patients with PA in the CanPAR study.
Hyper-control subjects were self-reported white subjects from the
Busselton Health Study in Australia with no history of asthma, airway
hyperresponsiveness, atopy, eczema, allergic rhinitis, or food allergy who had
blood-derived DNA and assessment by using methacholine challenge and skin
prick tests.20 Genotyping of 1974 subjects (987 cases and 987 control subjects)
was conducted on the Illumina Omni 2.5M+Exome 8v1.1 chip (Génome
Québec Innovation Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada). Quality control
(QC), including batch effects, single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), and
sample quality, are described in Fig E1 in this article’s Online Repository at
www.jacionline.org. A total of more than 7.8 million SNPs (1,388,588
genotyped and 6,441,607 imputed) and 1,776 subjects (850 cases and 926
control subjects) passed QC (see Fig E2 in this article’s Online Repository at
www.jacionline.org). Details on imputation are presented in the Methods
section in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org.

Two analyses were performed (related and unrelated) because examination
of alleles determined to be identical by using state and KING24 kinship
coefficients identified related cases (siblings) and control subjects (first- to
virginia.edu/~wc9c/KING/) were used to estimate principal components
and kinship coefficients for the related analysis. Association analyses were
conducted with Stata software,26 with sandwich estimation to model the
clustering of family genotypes with the addition of a family group identifier,
10 principal components to account for population stratification, and plate numbers to account for plate effects.

A secondary case-control study excluding related subjects was conducted with PLINK (version 1.07). To make the sample unrelated, 160 subjects were excluded (14 cases and 146 control subjects); the youngest subject in each family was retained. The unrelated analysis was performed with 834 cases and 781 control subjects (n = 1615; see Fig E2, B).

The analysis including related subjects is our primary analysis because it has the largest sample size and greatest power. Rank order and OR differences were evaluated between related and unrelated analyses. All subsequent analyses, including conditioning, were conducted by using the related analysis. A P value of 3.60 × 10^-8 was considered the threshold for genome-wide significance (Bonferroni correction), with 1.49 × 10^-6 being suggestive evidence for association. We chose 1.49 × 10^-6 as our threshold based on significance levels presented in 2 previously published PA GWAS studies.20,21

### Conditioning on HLA

After identification of multiple SNPs in the HLA region,22 we conditioned on the top genotyped SNP (rs3134976) to investigate independence of signals from the rest of the genome and to determine the contribution of HLA associations to deviation from the expected line observed in the quantile-quantile plots (Fig 1).

### Meta-analysis

A meta-analysis was conducted by using 2 phenotypes (PA and food allergy), including previously published PA GWAS results20,21 and unpublished data. The CanPAR study and 6 additional studies were included in the meta-analysis: 2 American studies (the Chicago Food Allergy [CFA] study [n = 2,197; 316 PA cases] and the Genetic Epidemiology Research on Allergy [GERA] cohort [n = 29,053; 5,108 self-reported food allergy]),22,23 the Australian HealthNuts study (n = 221; 73 patients with PA),24 and the German Understanding Food Allergy (UFA) study (n = 2,592; 205 patients with PA),21 which contributed 21 previously published SNPs. Genotyping for HLA was conducted in 2 Dutch studies: IDEAL and GENEVA [n = 512; 138 patients with PA]. Both IDEAL and GENEVA include cases with general food allergy.29 See Table E2 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org for full study and phenotype descriptions. The meta-analysis for PA included 1,582 patients with PA and 5,446 control subjects, with more than half of the patients and control subjects coming from the CanPAR study. Because the GERA cohort used self-reported food allergy phenotypes with no additional diagnostic testing or history, the meta-analysis was completed both with and without GERA data to evaluate the sensitivity of the meta-analysis results to stringent food allergy phenotyping. The meta-analysis for food allergy included 7,267 patients with food allergy and 29,084 control subjects, with inclusion of GERA data.

Fixed- and random-effects models evaluate heterogeneity but require point estimates and SEs. Because the CFA study provided P values and sample sizes only, for meta-analyses, P values were obtained by using the Stouffer z score, which requires consistency in the direction of effects (for accurate P value estimation), and we were able to confirm that the direction of effect is the same for the CFA study because the investigators provided us with the case/control allele frequencies, which are consistent with the CanPAR associations.

### Identification of expression quantitative trait loci

The Genotype-Tissue Expression (gtexportal.org)30 database was queried for novel regions.

### RESULTS

#### GWAS

SNPs in HLA22 and an imputed SNP on chromosome 2 close to integrin α6 (ITGA6; rs115218289, \( P = 1.80 \times 10^{-8} \); Fig 1 and Table I and see Table E3 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org) reached genome-wide significance. Several SNPs with suggestive evidence for association were detected in novel loci (Table I and see Table E3), including multiple SNPs located in Src kinase–associated phosphoprotein 1 (SKAP1; chromosome 17), 1 located between matrix metallopeptidase 12 (MMP12) and MMP13 (rs144897250, chromosome 11; \( P = 2.90 \times 10^{-7} \)), multiple SNPs within catenin α3 (CTNNAA3; chromosome 10), rs744597 near rho GTPase–activating protein 24 (ARHGAP24; chromosome 4, \( P = 3.98 \times 10^{-7} \)), rs523865 in angiopoietin 4 (ANGPT4; chromosome 20, \( P = 4.42 \times 10^{-7} \)), multiple SNPs near the chromosome 11 open reading frame (C11orf30; chromosome 11, also known as EMSY), and rs78048444, which is located in a region between coiled-coil-helix-coiled-helix domain containing 3 (CHCHD3) and exostosin complex component 4 (EXOC4; chromosome 7, \( P = 5.44 \times 10^{-7} \)).

No significant difference in ORs for SNPs was noted between the unrelated and related analyses (Table I). For 2 imputed SNPs (rs115218289 and rs144897250) with low (approximately 2%) minor allele frequency (MAF), there were differences in the rank order between the related and unrelated analyses (see Table E3 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org), likely because of the low MAF.

### Conditioning

After conditioning on the top genotyped HLA SNP (rs3134976, Fig 2), deviation observed in the quantile-quantile plot was largely resolved (Figs 1, A, and 2, A); residual deviation is primarily due to the number of SNPs supporting SKAP1, CTNNAA3, and C11orf30/EMSY associations. Conditioning introduced 16 additional SNPs near SKAP1 and CTNNAA3 (rs139902172, see Table E4 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org).

### Meta-analysis for PA

We identified 85 SNPs in common between the CanPAR study and 1 or more of the previously reported PA GWASs.20,21 The top novel SNP identified in the meta-analysis for PA was rs115218289, which was located near ITGA6 and did not reach genome-wide significance but met the threshold suggestive for significance (\( P = 9.16 \times 10^{-8} \); Table II and see Table E5) and full results in Table E6 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org). Loci in ANGPT4 (rs523865, \( P = 1.54 \times 10^{-7} \)) and intragenic SNPs (rs144897250, \( P = 2.94 \times 10^{-7} \) near MMP12/MMP13, C11orf30 (rs7936434, \( P = 3.13 \times 10^{-5} \)), and EXOC4 (rs78048444, \( P = 3.73 \times 10^{-5} \)) were suggestive of significance (\( P \leq 1.49 \times 10^{-5} \)) in a meta-analysis for the PA phenotype.

### Meta-analysis of food allergy

By using the phenotype of “any food allergy” in all 6 populations, both with and without GERA data, the top SNP identified in meta-analysis was rs7936434 near C11orf30 (\( P = 1.98 \times 10^{-8} \) and \( P = 7.50 \times 10^{-11} \) with and without GERA data, respectively; Table II and see Table E5). The SNPs associated with ITGA6, ANGPT4, and MMP12/MMP13 were suggestive of significance (\( P \leq 1.49 \times 10^{-5} \)) in a meta-analysis
FIG 1. Quantile-quantile and Manhattan plots of related and unrelated analyses. A, Quantile-quantile plot of the expected distribution of test statistics (x-axis) versus observed P values (y-axis) for related (left) and unrelated (right) analyses. B, Manhattan plot: SNPs in 850 patients with PA and 926 hyper-control subjects for the related (upper) and unrelated (lower) analyses. The x-axis denotes the genomic location, and the y-axis denotes the association level. The solid line indicates the threshold for genome-wide significance ($P < 3.60 \times 10^{-8}$), and the dashed line indicates the suggestive association significance threshold ($P < 1.49 \times 10^{-6}$).
for food allergy but only if GERA data were not included (Table II and see Table E5). SNPs in EXOC4, ARHGAP24, SKAP1, and CTNNA3 were not suggestive of significance for food allergy.

Identification of expression quantitative trait loci

Many SNPs identified near SKAP1 by the CanPAR study were expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs) regulating expression of 2 genes, sorting nexin 1 (SNX11) and chromobox protein homolog 1 (CBX1), in numerous tissues (sun-exposed skin, whole blood, transformed fibroblasts, testis, colon, and thyroid). Results are presented for tissues relevant to PA and food allergy (sun-exposed skin, whole blood, and transformed fibroblasts) with a \( P \) value of less than 1.0 \( \times 10^{-6} \) (Table III and see Table E7 in this article’s Online Repository at www.jacionline.org). Little is known about SNX11; it belongs to a family of retrograde transport molecules, \(^1\) and its protein is involved in targeting cell-surface molecules to the lysosome. \(^2\, 3\) CBX1 is a member of the highly conserved heterochromatin protein family that binds to histones through methylated lysine residues, mediating gene silencing and alternative splicing. \(^13, 34\) It is believed that CBX1 can play an important role in epigenetic regulation and gene expression.

DISCUSSION

This study identifies several novel loci for PA and food allergy. The effect sizes for the identified loci are large, with ORs ranging from 0.18 to 0.22 and 1.57 to 6.20; these effect sizes are particularly impressive for a complex disease and a small GWAS. The most significant novel PA locus from the CanPAR GWAS and PA meta-analysis was rs115218289, which is located in ITGA6. This was an imputed SNP with low MAF (0.021), with no directly genotyped SNPs supporting the association (Fig 1, A). A second imputed SNP near MMP12/MMP13 also had a low MAF (0.02). Although significant or suggestive of significance in both the PA GWAS and meta-analyses, the rank order of these 2 loci changed between the related and unrelated analyses, but no significant changes in the ORs were observed. SKAP1, CTNNA3, and ARHGAP24 were identified as suggestive for association with PA in the CanPAR GWAS but were not suggestive for association with PA in the meta-analysis. This is likely due to the small sample size and limited number of contributing studies, as evidenced by the minimal change in \( P \) values for these loci in CanPAR GWAS data compared with the meta-analysis. Loci in ANGPT4, C11orf30, and EXOC4 were suggestive of significance in a meta-analysis for the phenotype of PA but did not reach genome-wide significance, likely because of small PA sample sizes and heterogeneity in study designs (case-control vs family-based studies) and ascertainment criteria. Phenotype definitions of both cases and control subjects differed in each population: cases were defined by food challenge (IDEAL and GENEA), food challenge and history with confirmatory testing (CanPAR GWAS data compared with the meta-analysis). LOC101927148 was suggestive of significance for other loci identified in the CanPAR GWAS: the lack of

---

**TABLE I.** Most significant SNPs from 10 genomic regions identified in the CanPAR GWAS listed by order of significance*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>MAF</th>
<th>Source of SNPs</th>
<th>Related analysis (850 cases and 926 control subjects)</th>
<th>Unrelated analysis (834 cases and 781 control subjects)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>LCI</td>
<td>UCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs115218289</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>173265750</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs72827854</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>46460525</td>
<td>T/C</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs144897250</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>102750264</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7475217</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>68444013</td>
<td>T/C</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>Genotyped</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs744597</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>86337028</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Genotyped</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs523865</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>894881</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>Genotyped</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7936434</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>76293805</td>
<td>C/G</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs78048444</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>132832218</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Genotyped</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs56151068</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>46381431</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs139462954</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>46523678</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Imputed</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Allele: Minor allele/major allele; LCI, lower 95% CI; UCI, upper 95% CI.

*The nearest gene was used to determine genomic location.

†Comparing related and unrelated analyses ORs.
significance of the other loci in the meta-analysis should be interpreted with care because there is insufficient power in the other studies to replicate CanPAR PA findings.

Identification of C11orf30 as a susceptibility locus for food allergy fits within what is known about this area, as has been implicated in serum IgE levels and asthma. Recently, C11orf30 was identified as a risk factor for eosinophilic esophagitis (OR, 2.22; \( P = 5.38 \times 10^{-10} \)), a chronic allergic inflammatory disease of the esophagus that is mainly triggered by food proteins. Loci in this region have been significantly associated with atopic dermatitis and asthma, eczema, and allergic rhinitis can share common genetic susceptibility, whereas environmental factors determine which specific atopic disease develops; alternatively, each specific allergy can have its own risk variants. The genetic model and study design affect the power to identify risk variants. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP*</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>PA</th>
<th>P-CanPAR(^a)</th>
<th>P-CFA(^b)</th>
<th>P-HealthNuts (^c)</th>
<th>P-UFA(^d)</th>
<th>P-IDEAL/GENEVA, case-control (^e)</th>
<th>P-Dutch GENEVA, family based (^f)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs115218289(^g)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>(1.80 \times 10^{-8})</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6.77 \times 10^{-1}</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7.18 \times 10^{-1}</td>
<td>3.54 \times 10^{-1}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs523865(^g)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>(4.42 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8.33 \times 10^{-1}</td>
<td>1.63 \times 10^{-2}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs144897250(^g)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>(2.90 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.84 \times 10^{-1}</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7936434(^g)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>C/G</td>
<td>(5.17 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>(3.66 \times 10^{-2})</td>
<td>(1.43 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs780484444(^g)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>(5.44 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>(2.13 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(6.46 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>(3.54 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs444597(^g)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>(3.98 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>(1.15 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>(5.57 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>(8.20 \times 10^{-2})</td>
<td>(9.62 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs72827854(^g)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>T/C</td>
<td>(2.60 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>(1.36 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>(3.55 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs55769569(^g)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>T/C</td>
<td>(1.23 \times 10^{-6})</td>
<td>(1.97 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>(2.81 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs56151068(^g)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>T/C</td>
<td>(9.58 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>(2.51 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>(3.57 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs71193762(^g)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>(3.77 \times 10^{-7})</td>
<td>(8.63 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>(3.62 \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Boldface rows indicate suggestive significance (\(P \leq 1.49 \times 10^{-5}\)) in patients with PA.

\(^a\)P value from CanPAR (n = 1,776).

\(^b\)P value from the Chicago Food Allergy Study (n = 2,197).

\(^c\)P value from the Australian HealthNuts study (n = 221).

\(^d\)P value from the German Understanding of Food Allergy study (n = 2,197).

\(^e\)Number of subjects = 226, 229, 227, and 217 for rs115218289, rs523865, rs78048444, and rs444597, respectively, corrected for atopic dermatitis, Asthma, and rhinoconjunctivitis.

\(^f\)P value from the Dutch GENEVA family study; number of informative families = 20, 112, 21, and 112 for rs115218289, rs523865, rs78048444, and rs444597, respectively.

\(^g\)P value from the Stouffer weighted z score meta-analysis method for PA.

\(^h\)P value from the Chicago Food Allergy Study (n = 2,197).

\(^i\)P value from the Dutch IDEAL and GENEVA case-control studies; number of subjects for SNPs = 479, 487, 482, and 466 for rs115218289, rs523865, rs78048444, and rs444597, respectively, corrected for atopic dermatitis, Asthma, and rhinoconjunctivitis.

\(^j\)P value from the Dutch GENEVA study; number of informative families for SNPs = 26, 196, 37, and 214 for rs115218289, rs523865, rs78048444, and rs444597, respectively.

\(^k\)P value from the GERA food allergy study (n = 29,053).

\(^l\)P value from the Stouffer weighted z score meta-analysis method for food allergy.

\(^m\)P value from the GERA family study. 42 The idea of C11orf30 being associated with an allergic phenotype is supported by data that show that C11orf30 is a risk factor for self-reported food allergy in the GERA cohort. The underlying genetic model for PA is unknown: food allergy, asthma, eczema, and allergic rhinitis can share common genetic susceptibility, whereas environmental factors determine which specific atopic disease develops; alternatively, each specific allergy can have its own risk variants. The genetic model and study design affect the power to identify risk variants. The

### PA, food allergy, or atopy?

The underlying genetic model for PA is unknown: food allergy, asthma, eczema, and allergic rhinitis can share common genetic susceptibility, whereas environmental factors determine which specific atopic disease develops; alternatively, each specific allergy can have its own risk variants. The genetic model and study design affect the power to identify risk variants. The
The protein complex formed by KDM5A, a histone demethylase, and EMSY, the protein encoded by C11orf30, appears to increase gene transcription. EMSY acts as a reader for trimethylation of lysine 4 on histone protein H3 (H3K4me3) through its recruitment with other members of the EMSY complex to H3K4me3 marked promoters, where it appears to be positively correlated with transcriptional activity of target genes and cell proliferation.39

Along with members of the EMSY protein complex, EMSY colocalizes with CBX1.31 Similar to its highly conserved Drosophila homolog protein dHP1, CBX1 protein has a binding affinity for trimethylation of lysine 9 on histone protein H3 (H3K9me3), modifications that are linked to transcription repression.42 In this study CBX1 was identified as being regulated by SKAPI because the same SNPs that are associated with PA also regulate the gene expression of CBX1, increasing the connections between the novel loci identified in the CanPAR GWAS. SKAPI, which has multiple SNPs identified in the CanPAR GWAS, has a known eQTL in CBX1, which encodes a protein that mediates gene silencing and alternative splicing,30,34 the product of which colocalizes with EMSY protein, as encoded by C11orf30.

**Novel pathways in the pathogenesis of food allergy**

The identification of C11orf30 as a genetic risk locus for food allergy opens further research possibilities into the pathways to food allergy. Mechanistically, it is possible that the C11orf30 region could be responsible for multiple phenotypes associated with the atopic march, including food allergy, eczema, and asthma, and other autoimmune conditions. There are several potential ways C11orf30 could exert its influence to result in a variety of clinical presentations and are consistent with an epigenetic connection to allergic disease.20,48 The protein complex formed by KDM5A, a histone demethylase, and EMSY, the protein encoded by C11orf30, appears to increase gene transcription.49 EMSY acts as a reader for trimethylation of lysine 4 on histone protein H3 (H3K4me3) through its recruitment with other members of the EMSY complex to H3K4me3 marked promoters, where it appears to be positively correlated with transcriptional activity of target genes and cell proliferation.39

CanPAR GWAS subjects were recruited to investigate risk factors specific for PA, with the use of hyper-control subjects to increase power, in addition to a defined phenotype for allergy to peanut. The other food allergy case groups were recruited based on any specific foods. CanPAR GWAS subjects were recruited to investigate risk factors specific for PA, with the use of hyper-control subjects to increase power, in addition to a defined phenotype for allergy to peanut. The other food allergy case groups were recruited based on any specific foods. This design might be more powerful if one assumes that all food allergies are influenced by the same genetic risk loci rather than specific risk loci for specific foods.

### Table: PA and Food Allergy P-values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PA</th>
<th>P-CFA</th>
<th>P-IDEAL/GENEVA, case-control</th>
<th>P-GeneVA, family study</th>
<th>P-GERA</th>
<th>Pmeta</th>
<th>Pmeta (without GERA)</th>
<th>Gene/nearest gene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.16 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1.84 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>1.90 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>5.25 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>2.91 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>2.38 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>(298 kb)DLX2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.54 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2.03 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>2.60 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>2.66 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>9.29 × 10⁻³</td>
<td>4.09 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>ANGPT4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.94 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5.89 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>6.83 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>2.94 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>(5 kb)MMP12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.13 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>5.89 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.13 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>1.98 × 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>7.50 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>(30 kb)LOC101928813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.73 × 10⁻⁷</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8.29 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>7.87 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>6.97 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>8.88 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>2.53 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>(43 kb)CHCHD3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.63 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>5.19 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>1.13 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>2.69 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>3.63 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>1.29 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>1.42 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>ARHGAP24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.43 × 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>5.83 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7.69 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>9.27 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>7.47 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>SKAPI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.45 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>6.59 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.77 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>3.18 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>1.97 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>LOC101927166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.33 × 10⁻⁵</td>
<td>7.33 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.45 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>4.45 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>2.66 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>SKAPI; LOC101927148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.73 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>6.87 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7.06 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>8.83 × 10⁻²</td>
<td>1.41 × 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>CTNNA3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Identification of multiple genes (C11orf30, SKAP1, and CTNNA3) involved with histone-related proteins supports the hypothesis that epigenetic regulation is mechanistic in the development of allergy. Early exposure to peanut prevents development of PA, and maintenance of peanut consumption promotes continued tolerance of peanut; the identified theme of histone-related loci reveals a potential biological mechanism through which this epigenetic phenomenon can occur. This finding could pave the way for potential therapies for those already affected by PA.

Several SNPs in or near genes related to vascular and endothelial cell factors were identified in this study and could be involved in the pathophysiology of PA and food allergy through 2 putative mechanisms: (1) an endothelial barrier defect
promoting sensitization and (2) endothelial cells acting as antigen-presenting cells. Some evidence suggests that lymphatic endothelial cells present self-antigens and help regulate peripheral T-cell tolerance. Several identified loci from this study have connections to both allergy and vascular regulation (CTNNA3), permeability (ANGPT4), or endothelial cell function (SKAPI and EXOC). Their true role in the pathogenesis of allergic disease requires further research.

**Strengths and limitations of the study**

Many of the strengths of this study are directly tied to its limitations. The CanPAR study is large, with 850 cases after QC, and is the largest of the included case groups by a factor of 3, although it is still small for a GWAS. There are no similar PA case groups of equivalent size; this complicates the replication of novel hits. The 6 studies included in the meta-analysis only contribute an additional 732 patients with PA, which results in insufficient power to replicate CanPAR findings.

There are numerous sources of heterogeneity that increase the variance of effect size estimation and reduce power. These include study design, ascertainment criteria, case and control phenotype definition, ethnicity, age, and small sample size. Differences in ascertainment criteria (food allergy vs PA) can result in differences in the power of the studies to identify susceptibility genes for PA versus food allergy, even in the presence of equivalent sample sizes. The effect of ascertainment criteria on the power ultimately depends on the underlying genetic model and will differentially affect loci with population-specific susceptibility. The Dutch IMPACT and GENEVA samples are small and contribute point estimates for only 4 SNPs that were specifically genotyped for this study; the absence of genome-wide association data precludes the use of principal components to control for population stratification when generating the point estimates for the IMPACT and GENEVA studies.

The importance of phenotyping food allergy is evident by the sizable changes in *P* values when a large cohort of self-reported GERA cohort subjects with food allergy was added to the meta-analysis, which highlights the potential difference in mechanism for *C11orf30*. The prevalence of food allergy in the GERA cohort, which is self-reported with no corroborating clinical history or diagnostic tests, is 17.58%, which is much higher than other population-based studies in North America (6.4% to 7.5%), indicating there is likely a high case misclassification rate. Misclassification is a known differential bias to the null and can result in false-negative results, which can be problematic for relatively rare phenotypes, such as PA and food allergy, because the misclassification rate can exceed the disease prevalence rate. This is particularly an issue in the use of universal controls, where genotyping of control subjects has been performed in a separate experiment and misclassification of cases and control subjects is common. Misclassification of an affected subject as a control subject is much less costly than misclassification of a control subject as an affected subject. A strength of the CanPAR study is that the cases and control subjects were genotyped in the same experiment. Additionally, using hyper-control subjects with a comprehensive longitudinal lifetime history and in-depth phenotyping of asthma, atopy, skin prick tests, IgE, atopy, and food allergy, because the misclassification rate can exceed the disease prevalence rate. This is particularly an issue in the use of universal controls, where genotyping of control subjects has been performed in a separate experiment and misclassification of cases and control subjects is common. Misclassification of an affected subject as a control subject is much less costly than misclassification of a control subject as an affected subject. A strength of the CanPAR study is that the cases and control subjects were genotyped in the same experiment. Additionally, using hyper-control subjects with a comprehensive longitudinal lifetime history and in-depth phenotyping of asthma, atopy, skin prick tests, IgE, airway hyperresponsiveness, and eczema phenotypes virtually eliminates misclassification of control subjects in the CanPAR study.

Careful consideration of potential misclassification needs to be made when using self-reported food allergy phenotypes in the absence of food challenges, skin prick tests, and food-specific IgE measurements. This has important implications in today’s research environment, where investigators are continually striving to maximize the use of existing GWAS data. We also see this conundrum with the common adoption of general population controls, which might contribute to population stratification and can result in false-positive results, a differential bias away from the null; both the CanPAR and UFA studies used this approach. The use of hyper-control subjects in the CanPAR study increased the power to detect associations with PA but made evaluation of confounders, such as eczema or asthma, difficult because none of the control subjects expressed these phenotypes. Use of this study design with control subjects from a different population limits our ability to evaluate early-life environmental exposures.

**Conclusion and future directions**

The results of this study identify novel genetic risk factors for PA and food allergy. New pathways identified by using this
unbiased approach include C11orf30/EMSY in patients with PA and those with food allergy and the importance of epigenetic mechanisms. It is evident that further work will require larger sample sizes and international collaboration by using well-phenotyped subjects for both food allergies and other atopic conditions. Functional work, including studies of vascular and endothelial cell factors, might be valuable. Future studies will need to examine gene-environment interactions, including duration, timing, and mode of environmental exposure and the role of CNVs, methylation, and histone modification.
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Key messages
- The C11orf30 (EMSY) locus is a novel genetic risk factor for both PA and food allergy, reaching genome-wide significance for food allergy in a meta-analysis \((P = 7.50 \times 10^{-11})\).
- New loci associated with ANGPT4, MMP12/MMP13, and EXOC4 are suggestive of association with PA and food allergy.
- Epigenetic mechanisms might be a new pathway in the pathogenesis of PA.
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