On the origin of the warm–hot absorbers in the Milky Way’s halo
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ABSTRACT
Disc galaxies like the Milky Way are expected to be surrounded by massive coronae of hot plasma that may contain a significant fraction of the so-called missing baryons. We investigate whether the local (|v_{LSR}| < 400 km s^{-1}) warm–hot absorption features observed towards extra-Galactic sources or halo stars are consistent with being produced by the cooling of the Milky Way’s corona. In our scheme, cooling occurs at the interface between the disc and the corona and it is triggered by positive supernova feedback. We combine hydrodynamical simulations with a dynamical 3D model of the galactic fountain to predict the all-sky distribution of this cooling material, and we compare it with the observed distribution of detections for different ‘warm’ (Si III, Si IV, C II, C IV) and ‘hot’ (O VI) ionized species. The model reproduces the position–velocity distribution and the column densities of the vast majority of warm absorbers and about half of O VI absorbers. We conclude that the warm–hot gas responsible for most of the detections lies within a few kiloparsec from the Galactic plane, where high-metallicity material from the disc mixes efficiently with the hot corona. This process provides an accretion of a few M⊙ yr^{-1} of fresh gas that can easily feed the star formation in the disc of the Galaxy. The remaining O VI detections are likely to be a different population of absorbers, located in the outskirts of the Galactic corona and/or in the circumgalactic medium of nearby galaxies.
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1 INTRODUCTION
It is widely accepted that the baryonic content of the Universe, as estimated separately by the theory of primordial nucleosynthesis (Pagel 1997) and studies on the anisotropy of the cosmic microwave background (Spergel et al. 2007), exceeds by a factor of ~10 the amount of visible matter observed in galaxies. Most of the baryons are therefore ‘missing’, and are supposed to permeate the intergalactic space as a warm–hot intergalactic medium (WHIM). In this framework, disc galaxies like the Milky Way should be embedded in massive structures of pressure-supported plasma at the virial temperature (≥10^6 K) called coronae (Fukugita & Peebles 2006), extending up to hundreds of kpc from the galaxy centres.

The observational quest for these cosmological coronae is still ongoing. The X-ray surface brightness of these structures associated with Milky Way-like galaxies is considered too faint to be detected with the current generation of instruments (Bregman 2007). Coronae are expected to be more massive in larger galaxies: in the giant spirals NGC 1961 and UGC 12591 X-ray emission has been detected at more than 50 kpc from the centre (Anderson & Bregman 2011; Dai et al. 2012), indicating the presence of extended structures of hot gas accounting for 10–30 per cent of the missing baryons associated with those galaxies. These coronae are expected to be metal-poor. Hodges-Kluck & Bregman (2013) modelled the diffuse X-ray emission around NGC 891, and found the best fit by using a single gas component with a metallicity of ~0.1 Z⊙, in agreement with the theoretical expectation. In the Milky Way, the existence of a hot corona was originally hypothesized by Spitzer (1956) as a medium to provide pressure confinement to the High-Velocity Clouds (HVCs; Wakker & van Woerden 1997). O VI absorption lines towards extra-Galactic sources, probing material at temperature of ~10^6 K, failed to provide definitive evidence for an extended hot medium around the Galaxy (Bregman & Lloyd-Davies 2007; Yao et al. 2008). Nevertheless, a number of indirect probes exist other than Spitzer’s argument: the head–tail structure of several HVCs (Putman, Saul & Mets 2011), the asymmetry of the Magellanic Stream (Mastropietro et al. 2005) and the spatial segregation...
between gas-rich and gas-depleted satellites in the Local Group (e.g. Grcicvich & Putman 2009) may all be explained by ram pressure exerted by the coronal medium.

Cosmological coronae can constitute an almost infinite reservoir of gas for feeding star formation in disc galaxies. The Milky Way would completely deplete its current stock of gas in a few Gyr by forming stars at the current rate of $\sim 3 \times 10^8 \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ (Diehl et al. 2006). Instead, the star formation rate (SFR) of our Galaxy has decreased only by a factor of 2–3 over the last 10 Gyr (e.g. Twarog 1980; Aumer & Binney 2009), which necessarily implies that its interstellar medium gets continuously replenished (e.g. Fraternali & Tomasetti 2012). Gas accretion of metal-poor material is also invoked by chemical evolution models to solve the so-called G-dwarfs problem (Chiappini, Matteucci & Gratton 1997). For decades, HVCs have been considered as the main candidates for accreting low-metallicity material on to the Galaxy, but the most recent estimates of their accretion rate give a value of $\sim 0.08 \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$ (Putman, Peek & Joung 2012), clearly insufficient to match the Galactic SFR. The situation is similar in nearby galaxies where both anomalous clouds and minor mergers seem to account only for $\sim 10$ per cent of the accretion required (Sancisi et al. 2008; Fraternali 2010). Hence, accretion from cosmological coronae seems to be the only viable possibility.

The question is how the corona can cool and accrete on to the host galaxy. It has been argued that cooling can occur spontaneously via thermal instability, producing ubiquitous clumps of cold gas in the coronal medium (e.g. Muller & Bullock 2004; Kaufmann et al. 2006). However, analytical calculations suggest that, in a corona stratified in a galactic potential, the combination of buoyancy and thermal conduction can efficiently suppress the growth of thermal perturbations (Binney, Nipoti & Fraternali 2009; Nipoti 2010; Nipoti & Posti 2013). Also, deep H i surveys of galaxy groups systematically failed in finding isolated floating clouds with H i masses $\gtrsim 10^6 \, M_\odot$ without optical counterparts at large distances from the host galaxies (e.g. Pisano et al. 2007; Chynoweth et al. 2009). Finally, adaptive mesh refinement cosmological simulations of Milky Way-like galaxies do not show spontaneous cooling of the corona (Joung, Bryan & Putman 2012), and this result is now also confirmed by recent smoothed particle hydrodynamical simulations that adopt a more accurate treatment of the phase mixing (Hobbs et al. 2012).

Despite the above arguments, there is strong evidence for material cooling from the virial temperature inside the Galactic halo. O VI absorption lines towards extra-Galactic sources have been observed by Sembach et al. (2003) and Savage et al. (2003), showing that material with temperatures of 2–5 $\times 10^6$ K is widespread in the sky. The distances of the absorbers are unknown, but the low standard-of-rest velocities of the lines ($|V_{\text{LOS}}| < 400 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$) suggest that this material is cooling from the Galactic corona. More recently, lines of different ions (e.g. Si ii, Si iii, Si iv, C ii, C iii, C iv) probing material in the $\sim 2–20 \times 10^4$ K range [assuming collisional ionization equilibrium (CIE)], have been observed in absorption towards extra-Galactic sources (Shull et al. 2009; Lehner & Howk 2011; Lehner et al. 2012). In a few cases these features are detected in the spectra of Galactic halo stars, allowing us to place an upper limit to their distances of $\lesssim 10$ kpc from the disc (Lehner et al. 2012). After converting the measured ion column densities into a total gas mass, both Shull et al. (2009) and Lehner & Howk (2011) inferred an accretion rate on to the disc of $\sim 10^4 \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$.

Sensitive H i observations revealed that $\sim 5–10$ per cent of the H i content of nearby disc galaxies is located a few kpc above the disc, forming the so-called ‘H i halo’ (e.g. Swaters, Sancisi & van der Hulst 1997). The kinematics of these structures is peculiar: they rotate with a lower speed with respect to that of the disc (Oosterloo, Fraternali & Sancisi 2007) and they show a general inflow motion (Fraternali et al. 2002). In the Milky Way, Marasco & Fraternali (2011) found an H i halo with a mass of $\sim 3 \times 10^8 \, M_\odot$ extended up to 2–3 kpc above the Galactic disc and inflowing towards the disc with a speed of $\sim 35 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$. These H i haloes are mainly built up by gas ejected from the disc via stellar feedback (Fraternali & Binney 2006; Heal et al. 2006). The material ejected from the disc travels through the halo for $\sim 80–100$ Myr before returning back, creating a cycle of gas that goes under the name of ‘galactic fountain’ (Shapiro & Field 1976; Bregman 1980). Fraternali & Binney (2006, 2008) built a dynamical model of galactic fountain and applied it to the H i observations in the haloes of two nearby galaxies: NGC 891 and NGC 2403. They found that, while the models could easily reproduce the spatial distribution of neutral gas above the disc, the halo kinematics could be explained only by assuming that the fountain clouds accrete material with low angular momentum from an ambient medium. Marasco et al. (2010a, 2011, hereafter M11) used 2D hydrodynamical simulations to show that this accretion can occur when fountain clouds interact with the corona: the latter cools via condensation in the turbulent wakes lagging behind the clouds. This condensation is essentially produced by the mixing of coronal gas with metal-rich material coming from the disc. Marasco, Fraternali & Binney (2012, hereafter MFB12) used the dynamical model of Fraternali & Binney (2008) including condensation from the corona to reproduce the H i halo of the Milky Way. They found the best match for a current accretion rate of coronal gas on to the disc of $\sim 2 \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1}$. Further details on the works of M11 and MFB12 are reported in Section 2.1.

Coronal gas cooling in the wake of the fountain clouds is expected to manifest in a wide range of temperatures below the virial one ($\sim 1–2 \times 10^6$ K). In this work we investigate whether this cooling material is responsible for the local ($|V_{\text{LOS}}| < 400 \, \text{km} \, \text{s}^{-1}$) warm–hot absorptions of different ions (O VI, Si iii, Si iv, C ii, C iv) observed towards active galactic nuclei (AGNs)/quasi-stellar objects (QSOs) and distant halo stars in the works of Lehner et al. (2012), Sembach et al. (2003) and Savage et al. (2003). For this purpose we make use of the dynamical model of MFB12, but instead of studying the neutral hydrogen component of the cloud wake systems, we focus on material at higher temperatures. In Section 2 we analyse the simulations of M11 in order to include the dynamics of the warm–hot gas in the MFB12 model. In Section 3 we compare the predictions of the resulting models with the available data of warm–hot absorptions. In Section 4 we discuss our results and in Section 5 we draw our conclusions.

2 THE MODEL

Here we describe the scheme of fountain-clouds–corona interaction adopted throughout the paper. Our framework is set up mainly by the works of M11 and MFB12, whose results are summarized in the following.

2.1 Setting up the framework

M11 investigated the interaction between fountain clouds and the cosmological corona by simulating clouds of cold ($10^6$ K) gas at solar metallicity travelling through a homogeneous medium with a temperature of $2 \times 10^6$ K (see Fukugita & Peebles 2006) and a metallicity of $0.1\, Z_\odot$ (see Hodges-Kluck & Bregman 2013). They...
found that, as the cloud moves through the coronal medium, Kelvin–Helmholtz instability develops. This produces a turbulent wake behind the cold gas in which material stripped from the cloud mixes with the ambient hot gas, increasing the metallicity of the latter and thus decreasing its cooling time. As a result, the amount of warm and cold gas in the cloud’s wake increases exponentially with time, to the detriment of the coronal gas: i.e. the galactic fountain triggers the cooling of the cosmological corona. Moreover, as the cloud moves through the corona, the cold and the hot phases exchange momentum with each other. The efficiency of this mass and momentum transfer critically depends on the relative velocity between the two gas phases. Being at least partially pressure supported, the Galactic corona cannot corotate with the cold gas. M11 found that the corona ceases to acquire momentum when the relative velocity between the two phases drops below \( \sim 75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), because condensation immediately recaptures the momentum gained by the hot phase. The existence of this velocity threshold suggests that the corona must spin, at least in the regions closer to the Galaxy, but with a velocity \( 75–100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) lower than that of the disc.

Motivated by these results, MFB12 modelled the \( \text{H} \text{i} \) halo of the Milky Way by using a dynamical axisymmetric 3D model of galactic fountains where cloud particles, ejected from the disc at a rate proportional to the local SFR density, travel through the Galactic halo before returning back to the disc. The particles are subjected to the gravitational force of the Galaxy and the hydrodynamical forces due to the interaction with the corona. The latter rotates with a speed \( 75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) lower than the local circular speed, consistently with the results of M11. The particles accrete mass from this spinning medium at a rate consistent with the condensation rate of coronal gas in the wakes of the fountain clouds. Thus, these particles are in fact representative of the cloud + wake system. The model has three free parameters: the ejection velocity of the particles, the fraction of the orbit during which particles are not visible in \( \text{H} \text{i} \) because hydrogen is ionized, and the rate at which clouds are accreting material from the corona. The best parameters are derived by comparing the simulated data, produced for an observer placed at \( R = R_{\odot} \), with the \( \text{H} \text{i} \) data cube of the Leiden–Argentina–Bonn (LAB) survey (Kalberla et al. 2005). Models including condensation perform much better than ballistic fountain models where the interaction between clouds and corona is neglected. The condensation of coronal gas provides an accretion of pristine material on to the disc at a rate of \( \sim 2 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1} \), remarkably similar to the SFR of the Milky Way. The accretion rate remains of a few \( \text{M}_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1} \) for rotational lags ranging from \( 50 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) to \( 100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (MFB12). The model reproduces the \( \text{H} \text{i} \) emission of the classical Intermediate-Velocity Clouds (IVCs). This implies that the Galactic \( \text{H} \text{i} \) halo comprises thousands of IVC clouds, with the classical complexes being only a local component.

The global picture that emerges from these works is sketched in Fig. 1. The gaseous halo of the Galaxy is populated by high-metallicity fountain clouds (IVC-like) that interact with the metal-poor coronal gas. This latter is entrained by the clouds’ wakes and cools efficiently. The system fountain cloud + wake is multiphase (see Section 2.2). MFB12 have shown that the cold phase of this medium can account for \( \text{H} \text{i} \) observations in the Galactic halo. In this work, instead, we compare the warm–hot phase with the detections of different absorption lines of ionized material.

### 2.2 The wake of a fountain cloud

We use the simulations of M11 to quantify how the mass and the velocity of a fountain-cloud wake evolve with time for different ranges of temperature. The simulations include radiative cooling, and the relative velocity between the cold and the hot phase is fixed to \( 75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) for the reasons discussed in Section 2.1. The effects of different relative velocities are discussed in Section 4. We assume CIE to infer the ranges of temperature in which the considered ions are supposed to occur (Sutherland & Dopita 1993; Bregman 2007). We distinguish three ranges of temperature.

(i) **cold gas**: gas with \( \log T < 4.3 \), mainly \( \text{H} \text{i} \);
(ii) **warm gas**: gas with \( 4.3 < \log T < 5.3 \), responsible for the absorptions in \( \text{Si} \text{iii} \), \( \text{Si} \text{iv} \), \( \text{C} \text{ii} \), \( \text{C} \text{iii} \) and \( \text{C} \text{iv} \);
(iii) **hot gas**: gas with \( 5.3 < \log T < 5.7 \), responsible for the absorptions in \( \text{O} \text{vi} \).

---

**Figure 1.** Scheme of the framework considered in this work. Galactic fountain clouds are ejected from the disc and travel through the halo. The azimuthal motion of the clouds is not shown. Hydrodynamical interactions between clouds and coronal material trigger the cooling of the latter inside the warm–hot turbulent wakes. This fountain cycle produces a multiphase medium located within a few kiloparsec above the disc. An observer looking towards a background source and intercepting a warm–hot wake detects absorption lines of ionized material. An observer looking towards a cold front detects \( \text{H} \text{i} \) emission from an IVC.
Warm-hot absorbers in the Galaxy’s halo

With the term corona instead we indicate the low-density environment at the virial temperature ($\gtrsim 10^6$ K) that surrounds the Galaxy. Here, we assume that the ion abundances of a given atomic species in the gaseous halo depend only on the temperature of the medium, thus photoionization from stellar disc and extra-Galactic sources is neglected. The influence of photoionization on the O VI abundances should not be relevant (see Sembach et al. 2003), while it may have an impact on the other species considered (see Section 3.1). Fig. 2 shows a snapshot at time $t = 60$ Myr taken from the simulation analysed. The different ranges of temperature considered are coded by three different colours. Clearly, the cold gas concentrates in the rightmost part of the system, but a few knots are present also in the wake due to coronal material cooling down to $\sim 10^4$ K. The warm and the hot gas are present both immediately behind the cold front and in the wake, which extends about 2 kpc.

For each snapshot of the simulation, we evaluate the mass $m(t)$, the mean velocity parallel to the motion of the cloud ($v_x(t)$) and the velocity dispersion $\sigma_{\text{turb}}(t)$ for the gas in the above ranges of temperature. The latter, evaluated as the standard deviation of the $v_x$ distribution, is assumed to be isotropic. The total one-dimensional velocity dispersion $\sigma(t)$ is computed as the quadratic sum of the turbulent and the thermal component:

$$\sigma(t) = \sqrt{\sigma_{\text{turb}}^2(t) + k_B T(t) / m_{\text{ion}}}$$

where $T(t)$ is the average temperature of the gas at a given time. Note that the thermal component depends on the mass of the ion considered. Fig. 3 shows the time evolution of the above-mentioned quantities. The panel on top clearly shows that the mass of the warm and the hot gas, negligible at the beginning of the simulation, increases by more than one order of magnitude in 60 Myr, while the mass of the cold gas increases only by a few per cent with respect to the initial value (see also Marinacci et al. 2010a). The velocity of the cold gas centroid smoothly decreases with time because of the combined effects of drag and condensation (see MFB12). The velocities of the warm and the hot components remain below that of the cold material for the whole simulation, showing an irregular trend with time. As a consequence, the warm and the hot material falls further and further behind the cold front during the cloud’s orbit. The total velocity dispersion, after the first 20 Myr, flattens to the values of 12 km s$^{-1}$ for the cold gas, 31 km s$^{-1}$ for the warm gas and 49 km s$^{-1}$ for the hot gas. Since the thermal velocity dispersion is only 6 km s$^{-1}$ for the warm gas (considering carbon) and 14 km s$^{-1}$ for the hot gas (considering oxygen), the turbulent component always dominates over the thermal one in these cases. For the cold gas the two components are comparable. The warm-hot gas in the fountain-cloud wakes has sub-solar metallicity, being produced by the mixing between a metal-rich component, i.e. the fountain cloud, and the metal-poor coronal gas. In the simulation analysed, the mass-weighted metallicity is $\sim 0.5 Z_{\odot}$.

2.3 From simulations to ‘modelcubes’

In the previous section we showed how the wake of a single fountain cloud develops and evolves with time. However, the halo of the
Milky Way is supposed to be populated by thousands of these objects (Marasco & Fraternali 2011), so we need a global 3D model to determine how the warm–hot gas of the fountain-cloud wakes distributes in the sky. We take the axisymmetric model built by MFB12 to reproduce the cold (H I) phase of the halo and we include the warm and the hot phases as follows.

We associate with each ‘cold particle’ that is ejected from the disc a ‘warm–hot particle’ that follows the orbit of the former. The cold particles represent the H I phase of the system cloud+wake, whose time evolution is already fixed in the model by the fit to the LAB H I survey (MFB12). The mass and the velocity of the warm–hot particles are instead set accordingly to the trends shown in Fig. 3. Specifically, we focus on the mass ratio between the warm (or hot) and the cold gas, and on the velocity gap between them. We fit the first with a power law and the second with a linear function. We exclude from the fit all the points at t < 25 Myr, because the simulations begin with an unrealistic spherical cloud (see also section 5.1 of MFB12). In the dynamical model, at each time-step we set the masses of the warm–hot particles by multiplying the mass of the cold particle – which is known in the MFB12 model – by the mass ratio derived above, while the kinematics is obtained by subtracting the velocity gap from the velocity of the cold particle.

The dynamical model of MFB12 has a number of stochastic variables, like the ejection angles and velocities of the particles, which can produce fluctuations in the final synthetic data cube. To reduce their impact, we built an average synthetic data cube for the warm (and the hot) gas by combining the cubes resulting from 15 different runs. We applied a Gaussian smoothing in the velocity direction to the average cube accordingly to the one-dimensional velocity dispersion associated with the warm and hot components (see Section 2.2). Finally, a spatial smoothing of 5’ is applied in order to wash out the clumpyness due to the discrete nature of our model. The resulting synthetic data cube – or, simply, the ‘modelcube’ – contains the mass of the warm (or hot) gas as a function of sky position and line-of-sight velocity in the local standard of rest. We indicate with \( l, b, v \) the column density of the warm/hot gas per velocity unit at the position \( l, b \) (typical units in \( \text{M}_\odot \text{pc}^{-2}/\text{km s}^{-1} \)). We stress that this modelcube is not derived by fitting our model to the effective number of detections decreases to 72 for the warm component and to 159 for the O VI one. In any case, the inclusion of these features does not change our results significantly.

In order to compare our model with the data we must realize that the former represents an average, smooth, and axisymmetric realization of the warm–hot gas distribution resulting from the fountain mechanism. This is because the number of particles that are ejected in our model is much larger than the number of clouds that effectively populate the Galactic halo. Hence, we cannot compare our model with the details of the single detection, which depend on the chance of intercepting the wake of a specific fountain cloud. Instead, we adopt the following statistical approach. In our model, the intensity of the generic pixel \( I(l, b, v) \) is proportional to the probability of finding an absorption at the position \( (l, b) \) in the sky and line-of-sight velocity \( v \). We define the quantity

\[
f(I) = \frac{F(I)}{F(0)}
\]

where

\[
F(I) = \Delta l \Delta b \Delta v \sum_{I(b,v)} I(> I)\cos(b).
\]

In the equation above, \( \Delta l, \Delta b \) and \( \Delta v \) are the pixel separations in the three directions, the factor \( \cos(b) \) stands for the projection effects and the sum is extended to all the pixels with intensity larger than \( I \). Note that since \( F(0) \) is the total flux of the modelcube, we have \( 0 \leq f \leq 1 \). Hence a fraction \( f(I) \) of the total flux is contained in pixels with \( I > I \). Using equation (2) we define three confidence levels \( I_1, I_2 \) and \( I_3 \) as follows:

\[
f(I) = \begin{cases} 
0.6827 & \text{if } i = 1 \\
0.9545 & \text{if } i = 2 \\
0.9973 & \text{if } i = 3.
\end{cases}
\]

The meaning of these values is straightforward: if an absorption detected in \( (l, b, v) \) falls inside the first contour level \( [I(l, b, v) > I_1] \), then the model reproduces that detection with 1\( \sigma \) level of confidence (by analogy with a Gaussian statistics). If it falls inside the second one \( [I_2 < I(l, b, v) < I_1] \), the level of confidence is 2\( \sigma \) and so on.

We use these confidence levels to compare our models with the data in two ways. On the one hand, we show the confidence levels in a series of longitude–velocity \( (l – v) \) diagrams at different latitudes to visualize the probability of finding an absorption as a function of the position in the sky and the line-of-sight velocity. We overlay the detections on these diagrams to give a visual comparison between the two. On the other hand, we perform a statistical comparison between the predicted and the observed distribution of the absorptions in the \( (l, b, v) \) space. We interpret the discrepancy between the two distributions with the presence of a different population of absorbers, i.e. not produced by the fountain-cloud–corona interaction, that contaminates the data. We implement an algorithm based on the Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) test to determine the fraction of detections that must be discarded in order to have the two distributions consistent with each other. The algorithm is described in the next section.
We point out that, with the method described, we cannot predict the absolute probability that an absorption occurs at a given \((l, b, v)\). Instead, our model gives the relative probability of an absorption to occur at a specific location \((l, b, v)\) rather than a different one. Specifically, we discriminate the regions in the \((l, b, v)\)-space where the warm–hot gas is present in a significant amount from those depleted of it, and we compare how the observed detections and the modelled warm–hot gas are distributed in that space (Section 3). In Section 3.2 we show that the density of hot gas predicted by our model in the regions where absorptions are observed is remarkably consistent with the measured column density of the absorbers.

2.5 Iterative KS algorithm

Given a modelcube of warm/hot gas and a list of \(n\) warm/O\(\text{VI}\) absorption features, we compute the fraction of detections that is consistent with the model using the following iterative procedure:

(i) we evaluate the fraction and the cumulative fraction of detections observed and predicted as a function of the confidence level described in Section 2.4;

(ii) we use the numerical code of Marsaglia, Tsang & Wang (2003) to compute the KS critical value \(K(n, d)\), where \(d\) is the largest absolute distance between the two cumulative fractions;

(iii) if \(K(n, d) > 0.95\), i.e. if the model is rejected with a probability larger than 95 per cent, we remove from the data a single detection. We choose it randomly within the confidence level with the largest (positive) difference between the fraction of detections observed and predicted.

This procedure is iterated until the probability of rejection drops below 95 per cent. We consider the remaining detections consistent with the model. We evaluate an error bar on the fraction of detection to discard by considering different thresholds for the KS critical value: the lower limit is chosen at \(K(n, d) = 0.99\), the upper limit at \(K(n, d) = 0.90\). Note that in the calculation of the predicted fractions, only the observed lines of sight must be considered. This approach allows us to correct for the fact that the background sources are not isotropically distributed in the sky.

3 RESULTS

Fig. 4 shows different longitude–velocity diagrams for our model of galactic fountain wakes. Each panel represents a given latitude bin. Contour levels are derived with the approach described in Section 2.4, and both the warm and the O\(\text{VI}\) detections are overlaid on the diagrams. Detections that we consider related to the Magellanic system are represented by empty circles (see Section 4.4). The velocity range \([v_{\text{LOS}}] < 90\text{ km s}^{-1}\) is excluded from the observations of Lehner et al. (2012), so the probability of finding a warm absorption at these velocities is set to zero by blanking all the pixels with \([v_{\text{LOS}}] < 90\text{ km s}^{-1}\) in the modelcube of warm gas. Also, in both the model cubes we blanked the pixels at \(|b| < 20^\circ\) because these latitudes are systematically excluded by the observations in order to avoid overlapping with the Galactic disc. Since detections are not distributed isotropically in the sky, contours in Fig. 4 are expected to ‘encompass’ the data rather than matching their overall pattern. Globally, the warm absorptions concentrate at velocities \([v_{\text{LOS}}] < 200\text{ km s}^{-1}\) while the O\(\text{VI}\) detections are much more spread over the whole velocity range. This is consistent with our models, which predict a larger velocity dispersion for the hot gas in the fountain wakes (Fig. 3). We have seen that this dispersion is almost completely due to turbulence (Section 2.2), which in turn depends on the physical mechanism of production, i.e. the fountain–cloud–corona interaction. Fig. 4 shows that most detections are inside 3\(\sigma\) level of confidence, especially in the case of the warm component, but the majority is not within 1\(\sigma\). Our model predicts that most of the warm–hot gas is produced in the descending part of the fountain orbits because of the increase in mass that the particles experience in time (Fig. 3), which implies that they are more massive when they are falling back to the disc. Since these massive infalling particles have \(v_{\text{LOS}} < 0\) by definition, the confidence contours shown are systematically shifted towards the negative velocities. Consistently, detections occur more frequently at negative than positive velocities. However, note that the warm data at \(+40^\circ < b < +60^\circ\) and the O\(\text{VI}\) data at \(-60^\circ < b < +90^\circ\) show an overabundance of detections at positive velocities with respect to the prediction of our model.

We discuss this point in Sections 3.1 and 4.4. In Fig. 4 we also show the H\(\text{I}\) emission of several classical high-velocity complexes, which have been derived from the LAB survey smoothed at 5\(\,\text{arcmin}\). Several warm and hot detections at negative velocities overlap with the H\(\text{I}\) emission of the complexes A, C and M. The relation between the absorption features, the HVCs and the gas in the wakes of the fountain clouds is discussed in Section 4.3.

Fig. 5 compares the fractions of absorptions detected within a given confidence level (filled histogram) with those predicted by our model of fountain wakes (hatched histogram). The latter follows by definition a Gaussian distribution (see Section 2.4) and are obtained by considering only the observed lines of sight, thus they are not affected by the non-isotropic distribution of the absorbers. We use the algorithm described in Section 2.5 to derive the fraction of detections that our model of fountain wakes reproduces, obtaining 73.5\(\pm\)4.8\% per cent for the warm case and 54.2\(\pm\)2.6\% per cent for the O\(\text{VI}\) case. Thus, even if most of the detections are consistent with our scheme, there is a significant fraction that is not in agreement.

We discuss this further in Sections 3.1 and 4.4.

3.1 Fountain wakes + outflows

The classical picture of the galactic fountain states that the gas escaping from the superbubble is ionized and it remains undetected in H\(\text{I}\) until it cools and recombines. This scenario is supported also by direct observations. A classical case is that of the Ophiuchus superbubble in the inner Galaxy, which shows large quantities of ionized gas visible in H\(\alpha\) (Pidorpyhora, Lockman & Shields 2007). This ionized gas may largely contribute to the low- and high-ion absorptions, thus it is important to include this material in our model.

Since large uncertainties are present in the physics of the gas escaping from a superbubble, a rigorous treatment of this component is extremely difficult and it would be beyond the purposes of this work. Instead, we adopt a simple approach, based on the results found for the neutral gas. MFB12 already investigated what fraction of the fountain orbits must remain ‘invisible’ in order to reproduce the H\(\text{I}\) emission of the Galactic halo. They found that the recombination should occur when gravity reduces the vertical speed of the ejected cloud by \(\sim 30\) per cent, i.e. at about one-third of the ascending part of the orbit. Before that time, the gas is ionized and therefore it is not visible in H\(\text{I}\). Here we assume that this ionized outflowing material, starting from the moment of the ejection and until the recombination, is in a warm phase and therefore it can be included in our modelcube of warm fountain gas. We have already mentioned (Section 2.2) that photoionization from the disc can play an important role in shaping the distribution of the warm absorber material. Including the ionized outflowing component of the galactic fountain corresponds to maximize the effect of the photoionization.
Figure 4. Longitude–velocity diagrams in six different latitude bins (indicated at the top right corner of each panel) showing the confidence contours of our model of fountain wakes. Left-hand panels: warm phase of the fountain wakes. Circles represent warm detections from Lehner et al. (2012). Right-hand panels: hot phase of the fountain wakes. Circles represent O VI detections from Sembach et al. (2003) and Savage et al. (2003). Empty circles represent detections considered related to the Magellanic Clouds/Stream. Contour levels from the innermost to the outermost at 1σ, 2σ and 3σ (see Section 2.4). The H I emission of the classical HVCs is reported in the various panels as the thick contours, labelled with the name of the respective complexes.
in a region of a few hundreds of parsec above the Galactic disc. In order to model the position–velocity distribution of these outflows, we use the best-fitting model of MFB12 and consider only the first third of the ascending part of the fountain orbits. Also in this case, the modelcube related to this material is obtained by averaging 15 different runs and is smoothed to 5° of resolution. A critical ingredient to include in the model is the amount of velocity dispersion of the outflowing material, which depends on the turbulence of the gas escaping from the disc. For simplicity we set this value to 31 km s\(^{-1}\), the value derived for the warm material of the fountain wakes in the simulation analysed (Section 2.2). The resulting modelcube is then summed pixel by pixel to that obtained for the warm particles and is compared with the warm detections of Lehner et al. (2012) using the method described in Section 2.4.

Fig. 6 shows the longitude–velocity diagram around \(b = +50°\) for our models of fountain wakes (on the left), fountain outflows (in the middle) and fountain wakes+outflows (on the right) for the warm component. As expected, in the model of fountain outflows the gas occupies preferentially the positive velocities, where a consistent fraction of detections takes place. However, outflows alone cannot explain the whole set of detections. The last model, obtained by combining the first two, provides a much better description of the space–velocity distribution of the warm data set.

Fig. 7 compares the fractions of warm absorptions detected within a given confidence level with those predicted by our model of fountain wakes + outflows. The fraction of detections reproduced by the latter, inferred with our KS-based algorithm, is 94.4\(^{+2.5}_{-1.5}\) per cent. This fraction is significantly larger than that estimated for a model of fountain wakes alone (73.5\(^{+5.8}_{-2.5}\) per cent; see Section 3), indicating that supernova-driven outflows from the disc play a significant role in populating the Galactic halo with ionized gas.

We tested whether our model of warm wakes with outflows is consistent with the Si ions absorption features found by Shull et al. (2009). We found that only one-third of these detections are reproduced by our model, which suggests that the data sets of Shull et al. (2009) and Lehner et al. (2012) are not fully compatible with each other. Further details on this analysis are given in Fraternali et al. (2013).

### 3.2 Column density comparison

The amount of warm and hot gas present in the Galactic halo as a function of position and velocity is one of the most important predictions of our model. It is crucial to compare this prediction with the column densities observed for the warm and hot detections.

We first focus on the hot component, which we compare with the \(O\ VI\) column density measurements of Sembach et al. (2003) and Savage et al. (2003). At the position \((l, b)\), for a mean velocity \(v\) and a line-width \(b_w\), the \(O\ VI\) column density predicted by our model can be evaluated as

\[
N_{O\ VI} = \int_{v-2b_w}^{v+2b_w} \frac{n_O}{n_H} n_{O\ VI}(T) I(l, b, v) \, dv
\]

(4)

**Figure 6.** Longitude–velocity diagram at \(+40° \leq b \leq +60°\) for the warm gas component of our model of fountain wakes (left-hand panel), fountain outflows (middle panel) and fountain wakes+outflows (right-hand panel). The points represent the warm detections from Lehner et al. (2012). Contour levels from the innermost to the outermost at 1, 2 and 3\(\sigma\) (see Section 2.4).
Considering together the data sets of Sembach et al. (2003) and Savage et al. (2003), the number of lines of sight where no O\textsc{vi} absorption is detected decreases dramatically. Only eight non-detections are found, with the respective upper limits on the column density. It is interesting that for all the non-detections our model predicts column densities smaller than those inferred by the upper limits (empty circles in Fig. 8). Note also that non-detection does not necessarily imply a lack of gas at a given line of sight. In fact, the warm–hot material in the turbulent wake of a fountain cloud is clumpy (see Fig. 2), and there is the chance that a pencil-beam observation passes through different wakes without intercepting any of the cloudlets. This is possible given that the number of wakes intercepted by a generic line of sight is not too large (see Section 3.3).

Column density measurements for single warm detections are not reported in Lehner et al. (2012), so we consider the Si\textsc{iii} average column density (log $N_{\text{Si\textsc{iii}}}$) = 13.42 ± 0.21 obtained by Shull et al. (2009) as a representative case. The value predicted by our model can be derived using the same approach adopted for the O\textsc{vi} component. We consider the model of fountain wakes + outflows described in Section 3.1 and we assume $\sigma_{\text{FW}} = 0.903$ (Sutherland & Dopita 1993, for log (T) = 4.45, log [Z/Z\odot] = −0.24 (from the M11 simulation) and log ($n_{\text{FW}}/n_\odot$) = −4.45 (Anders & Grevesse 1989). The integral in equation (4) is extended to 70 km s$^{-1}$ around the velocity centroid of the line, consistently with the average breadth found by Shull et al. (2009). The predicted Si\textsc{iii} column density averaged over all the absorbers is (log $N_{\text{Si\textsc{iii}}}$) = 13.44 ± 0.36, in remarkable agreement with the observed value (see also Fraternali et al. 2013).

We stress again that these column densities are not derived by fitting our model to the O\textsc{vi} or to the warm data, but they are instead fully determined by the fit to the H\textsc{i} data of MFB12 and by the physical connection between the H\textsc{i} phase and the warm–hot phase via the fountain–corona interaction. Thus, this comparison strongly reinforces the validity of our scheme for the origin of the absorbers.

### 3.3 The number of wakes per line of sight

A further test of the validity of our model comes from the number of warm and O\textsc{vi} absorptions observed for a given line of sight, which ranges from 0 (non-detections) up to 4 (some O\textsc{vi} detections shown in Fig. 4). If we average the number of O\textsc{vi} detections combining the data of Sembach et al. (2003) and Savage et al. (2003), we find a value of 1.6 detections per line of sight. For the warm absorptions, the data of Lehner et al. (2012) give 0.7 detections per line of sight, but this value is likely underestimated because the velocity range $|v_{\text{LOS}}| < 90$ km s$^{-1}$ is excluded by the observations. To investigate whether these numbers are consistent with the considered scenario of fountain wakes, we proceed as follows.

Since the total gas mass of the Galactic halo is $3 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ (MFB12) and the H\textsc{i} mass of the clouds in the standard simulations of M11 is $2.4 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ (consistent with the typical H\textsc{i} mass of an IVC; e.g. van Woerden et al. 2004), we expect that the number of fountain clouds in the halo of the Milky Way is about $10^7$. We consider the best model for the H\textsc{i} halo of the Milky Way obtained by MFB12, and we fit the resulting axisymmetric H\textsc{i} density distribution $\rho(R, z)$ with the following formula (see Marinacci et al. 2010b):

$$\rho(R, z) \propto \left(1 + \frac{R}{R_g}\right)^2 \exp\left(-\frac{R}{R_g}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{z}{h_g(R)}\right)$$
where
\[ h_g(R) = h_0 + \left( \frac{R}{h_k} \right)^\delta, \delta \geq 0. \]

The fit gives \( R_k = 1.08 \) kpc, \( h_0 = 175 \) pc, \( h_k = 10.01 \) kpc, \( \gamma = 6.30 \) and \( \delta = 1.89 \). Then, we considered a three-dimensional Cartesian space \((x, y, z)\), where the plane \((x, y)\) represents the Galactic midplane and the axis \(z\) is the rotation axis of the Milky Way. In this space, we randomly generate \(10^5\) particles with space–density distribution set accordingly to equation (6). Each particle represents both the warm and the hot phase of a cloud’s wake. In Section 4.2 we show that the relative velocity between the clouds and the corona \(v_{\text{rel}}\) can increase up to \(100 \) km s\(^{-1}\) during the initial and the final part of the orbits. Since \(v_{\text{rel}}\) largely affects the size of the wake left behind the cloud’s path, we evaluate the volume occupied by the warm and the hot gas by analysing both simulations at \(v_{\text{rel}} = 75 \) km s\(^{-1}\), shown in Fig. 2, and \(v_{\text{rel}} = 100 \) km s\(^{-1}\). Typical volumes are calculated on the snapshot at \(t = 60\) Myr by assuming a cylindrical symmetry along the major axis of the wake. For \(v_{\text{rel}} = 75 \) km s\(^{-1}\), we found \(3.8 \times 10^4\) pc\(^3\) and \(7.8 \times 10^4\) pc\(^3\) for the warm and the hot component, respectively. By increasing the velocity to \(100 \) km s\(^{-1}\), the volume occupied by the warm (the hot) material increases by a factor of 2.5 (9.5), which implies that the angular filling-factor grows by a factor of 1.8 (4.5). Finally, we consider an observer at coordinates \((x = 0, y = 8.3\) kpc\)) scanning a large number of lines of sight in the simulated sky at \(0^\circ \leq l \leq 360^\circ\) and \(20^\circ \leq |b| \leq 80^\circ\), consistently with the region where real absorptions are observed, and we count the number of warm and hot particles intercepted by each line of sight.

We find that the average number of particles intercepted ranges from 0.5 to 1 particles per line of sight for the warm wakes, and from 0.8 to 4.0 per line of sight for the hot wakes, depending on the sizes considered and on the different stochastic realizations of the particle distribution. Despite the uncertainties, these values are fully consistent with the average numbers of warm and hot absorptions per line of sight found in the data.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Limitations of the model

We have already pointed out that our model fully relies on the modelling of the Galactic H\(_i\) halo made by MFB12 and on the simulations of cloud–corona interaction of M11. Both these works have their limitations, which in turn can affect the results presented in this paper.

The dynamical model of MFB12 is symmetric with respect to both the rotation axis and the midplane, thus all the features related to asymmetries in the H\(_i\) distribution or to specific H\(_i\) clouds are not taken into account. Also, the parameters of the model (i.e. the mean kick velocities of the particles, the ionization fraction and the coronal accretion rate) are kept constant in space. This implies that the model can account only for the global properties of the Galactic H\(_i\) halo. The same is true for the warm–hot gas of our model of fountain wakes: non-axisymmetries (e.g. spiral arms, Struck & Smith 2009) or isolated HVCs cannot be reproduced (but see Section 4.3).

The main limitations of the M11’s simulations are three: (a) they are 2D; (b) they are evolved up to 60 Myr; (c) gravity is not considered. Turbulence, which is an important ingredient for our models, can change significantly when passing from 2D to 3D. By increasing the amount of turbulence, the number of absorption features reproduced by our model increases accordingly. Also, the typical orbital time for a particle in the dynamical model of MFB12 is \(\sim 80\) Myr, while the cloud–corona interaction in the simulations is followed only for 60 Myr. Beyond that time, masses and velocities for the warm–hot particles are simply extrapolated from the simulation (see Section 2.2). Large deviations from this extrapolated behaviour could produce differences in our modelcubes of warm–hot gas. Finally, the absence of gravity in the simulations implies that we must rely on the dynamical model of MFB12 to infer the orbits of the warm–hot gas in the wakes. However, it is possible that such material does not follow exactly the same orbit of the cold gas, or that the velocity gap between the different phases is larger than that determined in Section 2.2. This latter effect, if present, may produce a warm–hot medium that rotates more slowly than in the present model. This would help to reproduce some of the highest-velocity absorption features.

A further source of uncertainties is given by the initial conditions of the simulations: they start considering a spherical cloud of cold gas, while the gas ejected by a supershell/bubble would be already fragmented and (partially) ionized from the beginning (see Melioli et al. 2008). A way to solve this discrepancy is to include in the model the ionized outflowing part of the fountain clouds, as we did in Section 3.1. The warm particles escaping from the disc during the first 15–20 Myr of the orbit, together with those associated with the fountain–cloud wakes, provide a better description of the warm absorption data set. All these uncertainties considered, it is remarkable that a simple, axisymmetric model built specifically to fit the H\(_i\) data reproduces both the kinematics and the column densities of such a large fraction of warm and hot absorption features in the halo of the Milky Way.

4.2 The effect of the cloud–corona relative motion

The outcome of the M11’s simulations depends on the relative velocity \(v_{\text{rel}}\) between the cloud and the corona, which M11 found to spin with a given velocity lag with respect to the cold material. In our analysis we have fixed \(v_{\text{rel}} = 75 \) km s\(^{-1}\), which is a representative value of the lag in the azimuthal direction between the two media. However, because of the presence of non-circular (vertical-\(i\)-radial) motions during the beginning and the final part of the cloud’s orbit, \(v_{\text{rel}}\) varies with time as:

\[ v_{\text{rel}}(t) = \sqrt{v_z^2(t) + \Delta v_{\phi}^2 + v_R^2(t)} \]

where \(\Delta v_{\phi} = 75 \) km s\(^{-1}\) is the azimuthal lag, while \(v_z\) and \(v_R\) are respectively the vertical (i.e. perpendicular to the disc) and the radial (i.e. perpendicular to the rotation axis) component of the cloud’s velocity. Since, on average, clouds are ejected from the disc with a vertical speed of \(70\) km s\(^{-1}\) (MFB12), \(v_z\) and \(v_R\) are not negligible during the initial and the final part of the orbits and \(v_{\text{rel}}\) can increase to a maximum value of \(\sim 100\) km s\(^{-1}\). We tested the impact of this larger speed by considering the extreme case of a constant lag between the clouds and the corona of 100 km s\(^{-1}\). For this purpose, we considered the hydrodynamical simulation of M11 at \(v_{\text{rel}} = 100 \) km s\(^{-1}\) and we repeated the analysis previously done for the case at \(v_{\text{rel}} = 75 \) km s\(^{-1}\). We found that the fraction of warm and O\(_{\text{VI}}\) absorption reproduced by this new model is respectively 85.2\(^{+50.0}_{-25.0}\) per cent (without considering outflows) and 48.0\(^{+22.0}_{-12.0}\) per cent, not very different from those found for the case at \(v_{\text{rel}} = 75 \) km s\(^{-1}\). This indicates that the change of \(v_{\text{rel}}\) during the orbit of the fountain clouds does not play a major role in shaping the position–velocity distribution of the warm–hot gas. The impact of
4.3 Relation to the classical HVCs

Even though the low metallicity of the classical HVCs points firmly towards an extra-Galactic origin, there is no general consensus on how these systems are generated. The position–velocity plots of Fig. 4 show a significant overlapping between the HVCs and the warm–hot gas of our model. Gas at such high velocities was not predicted in previous models of the galactic fountain (e.g. Houck & Bregman 1990), and in our scheme is the result of the turbulent mixing between the cold gas of the fountain clouds and the hot gas of the corona. This process increases the velocity dispersion of the warm–hot gas composing the wake of the fountain clouds up to values of \(\sim 30–50\, \text{km s}^{-1}\), so that this material is distributed over a range in velocities that encompasses that of the classical H\(\text{I}\) HVC complexes. But can the Galactic fountain produce the classical HVCs? In our scheme, a direct connection between the fountain mechanism and these systems seems quite unlikely, because the latter are located at distances above the plane that are not reached by the particles ejected from the disc. In fact, the scale-height of the hot material in the fountain–cloud wakes is only slightly larger than that found by MFB12 for the H\(\text{I}\) phase of the Galactic halo, being \(\sim 1.2\, \text{kpc}\) at \(R = R_\odot\) and increasing up to \(3–4\, \text{kpc}\) at \(R = 12\, \text{kpc}\). In order to bring cold particles at line-of-sight velocities, Galactocentric radii and heights consistent with those of – for instance – the complex C, our model require ejection velocities \(\sim 175\, \text{km s}^{-1}\) occurring at the periphery of the stellar disc. Given that the H\(\text{I}\) mass of the complex C is \(5 \times 10^6\, M_\odot\) (Thom et al. 2008), the energy associated with the ejection would be \(\gtrsim 3 \times 10^{44}\, \text{erg}\), corresponding to \(\sim 30000\) simultaneous supernova explosions (considering \(10^{51}\, \text{erg}\) per SN and an efficiency of 10 per cent) which is a very unlikely event. Our values of the scale-height of the hot material are compatible with existing estimates from O\(\text{VI}\), for instance Zsargó et al. (2003) found 2.3–4.0 kpc and Bowen et al. (2008) found 3.2–4.6 kpc. However this comparison is not straightforward, because these estimates are derived by assuming an exponential profile with constant scale-height and midplane density for the O\(\text{VI}\) within a few kpc from the Sun, while our model predicts strong variations of both parameters.

Fig. 4 shows also that the position–velocity locations of several warm and hot detections overlap with those of the HVCs. Lehner et al. (2012) have argued that all the detected warm absorption features originate in the ionized envelope that surrounds these complexes (e.g. Sembach et al. 1999). This would place the absorbers at typical distances of \(\sim 3–9\, \text{kpc}\) from the Galactic disc (Lehner & Howk 2011), while in our scheme the warm–hot gas is located only within a few kpc from the midplane (MFB12). Information on the metallicity cannot be used to discriminate between the two origins, given that (a) the warm gas of our model has metallicities ranging from \(\sim 0.2\) solar to solar; and (b) the value that is commonly assumed for the high-velocity gas in the Galaxy (0.25 Z\(_\odot\)) has been determined specifically for complex C (e.g. Wakker et al. 1999; Collins, Shull & Giroux 2003; Tripp et al. 2003), and it is unclear to what extent this is representative of the whole population of absorbers. In the following, we check how our results change if we consider that some absorptions are related to the classical HVCs.

We isolated the H\(\text{I}\) emission of the H\(\text{I}\) HVCs in the LAB data cube, and we excluded all those detections that are separated by less than \(10^\circ\) in the angular direction and by less than 60–100 km s\(^{-1}\) in the velocity direction – depending on whether we consider the warm or the O\(\text{VI}\) detections – from the 2\(\sigma_\text{rms}\) contour of the H\(\text{I}\) complexes in the LAB survey. The number of warm and O\(\text{VI}\) absorptions decreases, respectively, from 72 to 31 and from 159 to 138. We re-evaluated the fraction of absorptions reproduced by the model (without considering outflows), finding a modest increment to \(60.1^{+13.0}_{-12.4}\) per cent in the O\(\text{VI}\) case, while for the warm detections the fraction decreases to \(37.8^{+6.2}_{-3.0}\) per cent. However, this value is biased by the fact that most HVCs are located at negative velocities, thus, after the cut, the warm data set is dominated by detections at \(v_\text{LOS} > 0\). Using the model with the outflows, virtually all the warm absorptions are reproduced (100\(^{+3.4}_{-1}\) per cent), consistently with the previous results (Section 3.1). Even though including or not these detections does not affect our results significantly, we cannot exclude that a fraction of the warm absorptions of Lehner et al. (2012) is generated in the ionized envelope of the HVCs. The mechanism producing the warm–hot gas around these large complexes is likely to be the same as that producing the wakes of the fountain clouds, although the cooling of the corona may be less efficient given the low metallicity of the HVCs and the lower density of the corona at their typical distances. Photoionization from both Galactic and extra-Galactic UV background can also play a role.

4.4 The high-velocity O\(\text{VI}\) absorbers

Our model of fountain wakes does not reproduce a significant fraction (~45 per cent) of the O\(\text{VI}\) absorptions. Some of these features could be probably reproduced by tuning some parameters in the dynamical model of MFB12 and in the simulations of M11. However, most of them occur at velocities that are largely inconsistent with those predicted by our model (see, for instance, the top-right panel of Fig. 4). If we limit our analysis to the 68 high-velocity (\(|v_\text{LOS}| \geq 90\, \text{km s}^{-1}\) O\(\text{VI}\) detections of Sembach et al. (2003), the fraction reproduced by our model decreases down to \(34.1^{+13.7}_{-1.8}\) per cent. Here, we discuss two possible different origins for these high-velocity O\(\text{VI}\) absorption features.

A first possibility is that these absorptions occur in the circum-galactic medium of the Milky Way, far beyond the regions where the galactic fountain mechanism takes place. Zsargó et al. (2003) studied the O\(\text{VI}\) absorption features towards a sample of 22 halo stars located between 3 and 5 kpc from the Galactic plane, finding that all the detections occur at \(|v_\text{LOS}| < 100\, \text{km s}^{-1}\). This would imply that the O\(\text{VI}\) absorbers at larger velocities found by Sembach et al. (2003) are likely to be located in the outskirts of the Galactic corona. O\(\text{VI}\) absorptions at large distances from the hosting galaxies are commonly observed up to redshift \(\sim 3\) (Fox 2011). These detections have some peculiar properties: they are always located within half a Mpc of projected distance from a galaxy with luminosity \(L > 0.25L_\odot\) (Wakker & Savage 2009), their column density is always around \(10^{14}\, \text{cm}^{-2}\) (Fox 2011), and to about half of them a Ly\(\alpha\) absorption line can be associated within 50–200 km s\(^{-1}\) (Stocke et al. 2006). The origin of the absorber material is controversial: UV background photoionization of cosmological filaments, strong outflows from the hosting galaxy and cooling flows from the circum-galactic medium are all viable possibilities. Thus, it is possible that a fraction of the high-velocity detections belongs to a second population of absorbers, placed in the outskirts of the Galactic corona and completely unrelated to the cooling mechanism proposed here. To test this hypothesis, we built a simple model of a static corona with density profile \(\propto r^{-3/2}\) (Fukugita & Peebles 2006), and we compared the resulting modelcube with the O\(\text{VI}\) observations via the technique described in Section 2.4. We assumed for the coronal material a velocity dispersion similar to that of the hot gas in the
Wakes of the fountain clouds (49 km s⁻¹). Fig. 9 compares the l − v diagram around $b = -30°$ for our model of fountain wakes with that derived for this static coronal medium. Clearly, the latter allows us to reproduce detections with a large $v_{LOS}$, even if it cannot explain most of the O vi detections at $|v_{LOS}| < 90$ km s⁻¹. The fraction of high-velocity O vi detections reproduced by this new model is 62.8±0.6 per cent. This result is consistent with that of Sembach et al. (2003), who found that the high-velocity O vi features are more clustered about the velocity of the Galactic Centre. This simple test suggests that a significant – but not dominant – fraction of O vi absorbers analysed in this work may be located outside the Magellanic system. Contour levels from the innermost to the outermost at 1, 2 and 3σ (see Section 2.4).

Another possibility is that part of the O vi detections are not related to the Milky Way, but they occur in the circumgalactic medium of external galaxies. As a test, we retrieved from the Nasa/IPAC Extragalactic Database a list of UGC and NGC galaxies with systemic velocity smaller than 600 km s⁻¹. Then we used the HyperLeda catalogue (Paturel et al. 2003) to obtain distances (d), inclination angles (i) and rotation velocities ($v_{rot}$) for all the galaxies in our sample. When these data were not available, we adopted average values based on the other galaxies in the sample. Assuming for the absorber material a velocity dispersion $\sigma$ of 49 km s⁻¹ and a typical distance to the galaxy centres $d_{gal}$ of 500 kpc (Wakker & Savage 2009), we removed from the data set of Sembach et al. (2003) all those detections located at angular separation smaller than $\tan^{-1}(d_{gal}/d)$ and velocity separation smaller than $v_{rot}\sin (i) + 2\sigma$ from the galaxies in our sample. After this cut, the number of high-velocity O vi features decreases significantly from 68 to 27. Fig. 10 shows that most of the O vi absorptions visible at positive velocities at $+60° \leq b \leq +90°$ are removed, as well as those located at $-40° \leq b \leq -20°$ and $l \approx 130°$ in a stripe at negative velocities. These latter are absorptions related to M31. Our model of hot fountain wakes reproduces 65.2±0.6 per cent of the remaining 27 detections. When including also the detections at $|v_{LOS}| < 90$ km s⁻¹, the fraction reproduced becomes 71.7±0.7 per cent, ~17 per cent larger than that estimated in Section 3. It is interesting to note that if we assumed $d_{gal} = 50$ kpc only four O vi features would be removed. This indicates that these absorption lines must be associated with extended media surrounding the nearby galaxies rather than to the galaxy discs. We conclude that some percentage of the O vi absorbers analysed in this work may be located outside the virial radius of the Milky Way and produced in the circumgalactic medium of nearby disc galaxies.

4.5 Gas accretion on to the disc

The ionized gas surrounding our Galaxy is regarded as one of the main candidates for replacing the material consumed by the process of star formation in the disc. Lehner & Howk (2011) used all the information available on their warm absorptions (e.g. upper limits on distances, sky covering fraction, ion column densities) to infer a mass accretion rate on to the disc of 0.8–1.4 M⊙ yr⁻¹. This value was obtained by considering an average infall velocity of 90–150 km s⁻¹. Using similar arguments but different absorption data, Shull et al. (2009) inferred an accretion rate on to the disc of ~1 M⊙ yr⁻¹. These values are derived regardless of the phase of the gas involved, and it is not clear whether the warm material cools during its infall or remains ionized.

In our model, because of the coronal condensation, the fountain cycle returns to the disc more material than what has been ejected from it by stellar feedback. A pristine, multi-phase gas accretion is thus ‘hidden’ in the fountain cycle. The cold phase of the accreting material can be inferred via the fitting of the H i kinematics: the dynamical model of MFB12 suggests a global accretion of neutral gas (H+He) on to the disc at a rate of ~2 M⊙ yr⁻¹. In addition, a ‘warm’ accretion is provided by coronal material that, though entrained by the clouds’ wakes, is not able to cool down to recombination temperatures before impacting with the disc. We used the model of MFB12 to infer the accretion rate of this warm gas, obtaining a value of ~1 M⊙ yr⁻¹ after correcting for the H abundance. This value is perfectly consistent with that estimated in the works mentioned above for the ionized gas. What remains unclear is whether this ionized material can take part in the process of star formation in the Galactic disc.

5 CONCLUSIONS

Accretion of pristine gas from cosmological coronae seems to be required in order to explain the properties and the evolution of disc galaxies. Hydrodynamical simulations indicate that the cooling of the corona can be triggered by the passage of metal-rich gas clouds, like those ejected in star-forming galaxies by supernova feedback (galactic fountains). The material cooling from the corona is expected to trail the cloud in an extended turbulent wake. In this framework, galaxy discs are surrounded by thick layers of multiphase gas at temperatures below the virial temperature produced by the interaction of the fountain with the coronal medium.

In this paper we tested the above scenario for the Milky Way. We studied whether the warm–hot gas present in the wakes of the fountain clouds is responsible for the ion absorption lines (O vi, Si iii, Si iv, C iii, C iv) that have been observed towards several background sources. We modelled the all-sky position–velocity distribution of
this gas by combining the galactic fountain H\textsc{i} model of MFB12 with the hydrodynamical simulations of M11. Our model does not have free parameters as it is fully determined by the fit on the H\textsc{i} component of the Galactic halo performed by MFB12. By comparing the properties of the absorptions detected by Sembach et al. (2003), Savage et al. (2003) and Lehner et al. (2012) with those predicted for the warm–hot gas by our model, we obtained the following results:

(i) the great majority (75–95 per cent) of the ‘warm’ absorptions (Si \textsc{iii}, Si \textsc{iv}, C \textsc{ii}, C \textsc{iv}) and about half of the O \textsc{vi} absorptions have a position–velocity distribution fully consistent with that predicted by our model;

(ii) the O \textsc{vi} and the Si \textsc{iii} column densities of the absorbers agree with those predicted by our model;

(iii) the large velocity spread of the absorbers is consistent with the predictions of hydrodynamical simulations and it is due to the development of turbulence in the clouds’ wakes;

(iv) the average number of absorptions detected per line of sight is consistent with the average number of wakes intercepted by the line of sight in our model;

(v) excluding from our analysis all those absorptions that can be associated with the classical HVCs does not change significantly the above results.

We conclude that half of the O \textsc{vi} absorbers and virtually all the warm absorbers are produced within a few kiloparsec above the disc, at the interface between the latter and the coronal envelope of the Milky Way. The material cooling from the corona provides an accretion of pristine gas on to the disc at a rate of \( \sim 2 \times 3 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \). This coronal accretion is an adequate supply of material to maintain star formation in the Galaxy disc. Fraternali et al. (2013) suggest that this is the main mode by which the Milky Way is accreting gas from the environment at the current epoch and may explain how this is the main mode by which the Milky Way is accreting gas.
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