

University of Groningen

## The interactional accomplishment of action

Seuren, Lucas

**IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.**

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

*Publication date:*

2018

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Seuren, L. (2018). The interactional accomplishment of action. Utrecht: LOT/Netherlands Graduate School of Linguistics.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Take-down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

---

## References

---

- Atkinson, J. M., & Drew, P. (1979). *Order in Court: The Organisation of Verbal Interaction in Judicial Settings*. London, England: Macmillan.
- Atkinson, J. M., & Heritage, J. (Eds.). (1984). *Structures of Social Action: Studies in Conversation Analysis*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Auer, P. (2009). On-line syntax: Thoughts on the temporality of spoken discourse. *Language Sciences*, 31, 1–13.
- Auer, P. (2017, July). *Turn Allocation, Addressee Selection, and Gaze*. Plenary address presented at the 15th International Pragmatics Conference, Belfast, Northern-Ireland.
- Auer, P., & Pfänder, S. (2011). Constructions: *Emergent* or *emerging*? In P. Auer & S. Pfänder (Eds.), *Constructions: Emerging and emergent* (pp. 1–21). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter.
- Austin, J. L. (1962). *How to do things with words: The Williams James Lectures delivered at Harvard University in 1955* (J. O. Urmson, Ed.). London, England: Oxford University Press.
- Beach, W. A. (1993). Transitional regularities for ‘casual’ “Okay” usages. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 19(4), 325–352.
- Benjamin, T. (2013). *Signaling Trouble: On the linguistic design of other-initiation of repair in English conversation* (Doctoral Dissertation). Grodil Dissertations in Linguistics 121, University of Groningen, The Netherlands.
- Benjamin, T., & Walker, T. (2013). Managing problems of acceptability through high rise-fall repetitions. *Discourse Processes*, 50(2), 107–138. doi: 10.1080/0163853X.2012.739143
- Bergmann, J. R. (1990). On the local sensitivity of conversation. In I. Marková

- & K. Foppa (Eds.), *The dynamics of dialogue* (pp. 201–226). New York, NY: Harvester Wheatsheaf.
- Betz, E., & Golato, A. (2008). Remembering Relevant Information and Withholding Relevant Next Actions: The German Token *achja*. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 41(1), 58–98. doi: 10.1080/08351810701691164
- Beun, R.-J. (1985). The function of repetitions in information dialogues. In *IPO annual progress report* (Tech. Rep. No. 20, pp. 91–98). Eindhoven, The Netherlands: Institute for Perception Research.
- Beun, R.-J. (1989a). Declarative Question Acts: Two experiments on identification. In M. Taylor, F. Néel, & D. Bouwhuis (Eds.), *The structure of multimodal dialogue* (pp. 313–321). Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Beun, R.-J. (1989b). *The Recognition of Declarative Questions in Information Dialogues* (Doctoral Dissertation). Katholieke Universiteit Brabant, Tilburg, The Netherlands.
- Beun, R.-J. (1990a). Declarative Questions in Discourse. In *IPO annual progress report* (Tech. Rep. No. 25, pp. 80–89). Eindhoven, The Netherlands: Institute for Perception Research.
- Beun, R.-J. (1990b). The Recognition of Dutch Declarative Questions. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 14, 39–56.
- Beun, R.-J. (1994). Mental state recognition and communicative effects. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 21(2), 191–214. doi: 10.1016/0378-2166(94)90019-1
- Boyd, E., & Heritage, J. (2006). Taking the history: Questioning during comprehensive history-taking. In J. Heritage & D. Maynard (Eds.), *Communication in medical care: Interaction between primary care physicians and patients* (pp. 151–184). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511607172.008
- Brown, G., & Yule, G. (1983). *Discourse Analysis*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). *Politeness: some universals in language usage*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Button, G., & Casey, N. (1984). Generating topic: the use of topic initial elicitors. In J. Heritage & J. M. Atkinson (Eds.), *Structures of social action* (pp. 167–190). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Button, G., & Casey, N. (1985). Topic Nomination and Topic Pursuit. *Human Studies*, 8(1), 3–55.
- Button, G., & Casey, N. (1988). Topic initiation: Business-at-hand. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 22(1-4), 61–91. doi:

- 10.1080/08351818809389298
- Chafe, W. (1986). Evidentiality in English conversation and academic writing. In W. Chafe & J. Nichols (Eds.), *Evidentiality: The linguistic coding of epistemology* (pp. 261–272). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Chafe, W. (1994). *Discourse, Consciousness, and Time: The Flow and Displacement of Conscious Experience in Speaking and Writing*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Chafe, W. (2015). Constraining and Guiding the Flow of Discourse. In D. Tannen, H. E. Hamilton, & D. Schiffrin (Eds.), *The handbook of discourse analysis* (pp. 391–405). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons. doi: 10.1002/9781118584194.ch18
- Chomsky, N. (1957). *Syntactic structures*. The Hague, The Netherlands: Mouton.
- Chomsky, N. (1964). *Current issues in linguistic theory*. The Hague, The Netherlands: Mouton.
- Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the theory of syntax*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Ciardelli, I., Groenendijk, J., & Roelofsen, F. (2013). Inquisitive semantics: A new notion of meaning. *Linguistics and Language Compass*, 7, 459–476. doi: 10.1111/lnc3.12037
- Clark, H. H. (1996). *Using Language*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.2277/0521561582
- Clayman, S. E., Elliott, M., Heritage, J., & Beckett, M. (2012). The President's Questioners: Consequential Attributes of the White House Press Corps. *The International Journal of Press/Politics*, 17(1), 100–121. doi: 10.1177/1940161211420867
- Clayman, S. E., & Heritage, J. (2002a). *The News Interview: Journalists and Public Figures on the Air*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Clayman, S. E., & Heritage, J. (2002b). Questioning presidents: Journalistic deference and adversarialness in the press conferences of U.S. Presidents Eisenhower and Reagan. *Journal of Communication*, 52(4), 749–775. doi: 10.1093/joc/52.4.749
- Clift, R. (2012). Who Knew?: A View from Linguistics. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 45(1), 69–75. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2012.646691
- Collavin, E. (2011). Speech acts. In W. Bublitz & N. R. Norrick (Eds.), *Foundations of pragmatics* (pp. 373–396). Boston, MA: De Gruyter.
- Couper-Kuhlen, E. (2001a). Constructing Reason-for-the-Call Turns in Every-

- day Telephone Conversation. *Interaction and Linguistic Structures*, 25, 1–29.
- Couper-Kuhlen, E. (2001b). Interactional prosody: High onsets in reason-for-the-call turns. *Language in Society*, 30(1), 29–53. doi: 10.1017/s0047404501001026
- Couper-Kuhlen, E. (2004). Prosody and sequence organization in English conversation: The case of new beginnings. In E. Couper-Kuhlen & C. A. Ford (Eds.), *Sound patterns in interaction: Cross-linguistic studies from conversation* (pp. 335–376). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Couper-Kuhlen, E. (2012). Some truths and untruths about final intonation in conversational questions. In J. P. de Ruiter (Ed.), *Questions: Formal, functional and interactional perspectives* (pp. 123–145). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781139045414.009
- Couper-Kuhlen, E. (2014). What does grammar tell us about action? *Pragmatics*, 24(3), 623–647.
- Couper-Kuhlen, E., & Ford, C. A. (Eds.). (2004). *Sound Patterns in Interaction: Cross-Linguistic Studies from Conversation*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Couper-Kuhlen, E., & Selting, M. (2001). Introducing Interactional Linguistics. In M. Selting & E. Couper-Kuhlen (Eds.), *Studies in interactional linguistics* (pp. 1–22). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Crow, B. K. (1983). Topic Shifts in Couples' Conversations. In R. T. Craig & K. Tracy (Eds.), *Conversational coherence: Form, structure, and strategy* (pp. 136–156). London, England: SAGE.
- Curl, T. S. (2006). Offers of assistance: Constraints on syntactic design. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 38, 1257–1280. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2005.09.004
- Curl, T. S., & Drew, P. (2008). Contingency and Action: A Comparison of Two Forms of Requesting. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 41(2), 129–153. doi: 10.1080/08351810802028613
- Davidson, J. A. (1984). Subsequent versions of invitations, offers, requests, and proposals dealing with potential or actual rejection. In J. M. Atkinson & J. Heritage (Eds.), *Structures of social action: Studies in conversation analysis* (pp. 102–128). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- de Haan, F. (2013). Coding of Evidentiality. In M. S. Dryer & M. Haspelmath (Eds.), *The world atlas of language structures online*. Leipzig, Germany: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology. Retrieved from

<http://wals.info/chapter/78>

- Deppermann, A. (2011a). Constructions vs. lexical items as sources of complex meanings: A comparative study of constructions with German *verstehen*. In P. Auer & S. Pfänder (Eds.), *Constructions: Emerging and emergent* (pp. 88–126). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter.
- Deppermann, A. (2011b). The Study of Formulations as a Key to an Interactional Semantics. *Human Studies*, 34(2), 115–128. doi: 10.1007/s10746-011-9187-8
- de Ruiter, J. P., & Albert, S. (2017). An Appeal for a Methodological Fusion of Conversation Analysis and Experimental Psychology. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 50(1), 90–107. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2017.1262050
- de Ruiter, J. P., Mitterer, H., & Enfield, N. J. (2006). Projecting the End of a Speaker's Turn: A Cognitive Cornerstone of Conversation. *Language*, 82(3), 515–535.
- Dingemanse, M., Roberts, S. G., Baranova, J., Blythe, J., Drew, P., Floyd, S., . . . Enfield, N. J. (2015). Universal principles in the repair of communication problems. *PLoS ONE*, 10(9). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0136100
- Drake, V. (2015). Indexing Uncertainty : The Case of Turn-Final *Or*. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 48(3), 301–318. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2015.1058606
- Drew, P. (1997). 'Open' class repair initiators in response to sequential sources of troubles in conversation. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 28(1), 69–101. doi: 10.1016/S0378-2166(97)89759-7
- Drew, P. (2012). What Drives Sequences? *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 45(1), 61–68. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2012.646688
- Drew, P. (2013). Turn Design. In J. Sidnell & T. Stivers (Eds.), *The handbook of conversation analysis* (pp. 131–149). Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell. doi: 10.1002/9781118325001.ch7
- Drew, P., & Holt, E. (1998). Figures of speech: Figurative expressions and the management of topic transition in conversation. *Language in Society*, 27(4), 495–522. doi: 10.1017/S0047404500020200
- Drew, P., Walker, T., & Ogden, R. (2013). Self-repair and action construction. In M. Hayashi, G. Raymond, & J. Sidnell (Eds.), *Conversational repair and human understanding* (pp. 71–94). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511757464.003
- Dryer, M. S. (2013). Polar Questions. In M. S. Dryer & M. Haspelmath (Eds.), *The world atlas of language structures online*. Leipzig, Germany: Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology. Retrieved from

- <http://wals.info/chapter/116>
- Dunbar, R. I. M. (2003). The Social Brain: Mind, Language and Society in Evolutionary Perspective. *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 32, 163–181. doi: 10.1146/annurev.anthro.32.061002.093158
- Ekberg, S. (2012). Addressing a source of trouble outside of the repair space. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 44(4), 374–386. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2012.01.006
- Emmertsen, S., & Heinemann, T. (2010). Realization as a Device for Remediating Problems of Affiliation in Interaction. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 43(2), 109–132. doi: 10.1080/08351811003738059
- Enfield, N. J. (2013). *Relationship thinking: Agency, enchrony, and human sociality*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- Enfield, N. J., Brown, P., & de Ruiter, J. P. (2012). Epistemic dimensions of polar questions: sentence-final particles in comparative perspective. In J. P. de Ruiter (Ed.), *Questions: Functional, formal and interactional perspectives* (pp. 193–221). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781139045414.014
- Englert, C. (2010). Questions and responses in Dutch conversations. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 42(10), 2666–2684. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2010.04.005
- Evans, N., & Levinson, S. C. (2009). The myth of language universals: language diversity and its importance for cognitive science. *The Behavioral and brain sciences*, 32(5), 429–448. doi: 10.1017/S0140525X0999094X
- Farkas, D. F., & Roelofsen, F. (2017). Division of Labor in the Interpretation of Declaratives and Interrogatives. *Journal of Semantics*, 34(2), 237–289. doi: 10.1093/jos/ffw012
- Ferrara, K. W. (1997). Form and function of the discourse marker anyway: implications for discourse analysis. *Linguistics*, 35(2), 343–378. doi: 10.1515/ling.1997.35.2.343
- Foolen, A. (1994). Toch wel [On the Dutch particle ‘toch’]. In R. Boogaart & J. Noordegraaf (Eds.), *Nauwe betrekkingen: Voor Theo Janssen bij zijn vijftigste verjaardag* (pp. 81–88). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Stichting Neerlandistiek VU.
- Ford, C. E. (2010). Questioning in Meetings: Participation and Positioning. In A. Freed & S. Ehrlich (Eds.), *Why do you ask?: The function of questions in institutional discourse* (pp. 211–234). Oxford, England: Oxford University Press. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195306897.003.0010
- Ford, C. E., & Thompson, S. A. (1996). Interactional units in conversation: syntactic, intonational, and pragmatic resources for the management of turns. In E. Ochs, E. A. Schegloff, & S. A. Thompson (Eds.), *Inter-*

- action and grammar* (pp. 134–184). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Fox, B. A. (2001). An exploration of prosody and turn projection in English conversation. In M. Selting & E. Couper-Kuhlen (Eds.), *Studies in interactional linguistics* (pp. 287–316). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Fox, B. A., & Heinemann, T. (2016). Rethinking format: An examination of requests. *Language in Society*, 45(4), 499–531. doi: 10.1017/S0047404516000385
- Fox, B. A., Thompson, S. A., Ford, C. E., & Couper-Kuhlen, E. (2013). Conversation Analysis and Linguistics. In J. Sidnell & T. Stivers (Eds.), *The handbook of conversation analysis* (pp. 726–740). Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell. doi: 10.1002/9781118325001.ch36
- Fraser, B. (2009). Topic Orientation Markers. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 41(5), 892–898. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2008.08.006
- Freed, A. F. (1994). The form and function of questions in informal dyadic conversation. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 21(6), 621–644. doi: 10.1016/0378-2166(94)90101-5
- Freed, A. F., & Ehrlich, S. (Eds.). (2010). *Why Do You Ask? The function of questions in institutional discourse*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- Frege, G. (1956). The thought: A logical inquiry (A. M. Quinton, Trans.). *Mind*, 65(1), 289–311. (Original work published 1918) doi: 10.1093/mind/65.1.289
- Garfinkel, H. (1952). *The Perception of the Other: A Study in Social Order* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Harvard University, Cambridge, MA.
- Garfinkel, H. (1967). *Studies in Ethnomethodology*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Garfinkel, H. (1974). The Origins of the Term ‘Ethnomethodology’. In R. Turner (Ed.), *Ethnomethodology: Selected readings*. Middlesex, England: Penguin Education. (Original work published 1968)
- Gazdar, G. (1981). Speech act assignment. In A. K. Joshi, B. L. Webber, & I. A. Sag (Eds.), *Elements of discourse understanding* (pp. 64–83). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Geluykens, R. (1987). Intonation and Speech Act Type: An Experimental Approach to Rising Intonation in Queclaratives. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 11(4), 483–494.



- Geluykens, R. (1988). On the myth of rising intonation in polar questions. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 12(4), 467–485.
- Geluykens, R. (1993). Topic Introduction in English Conversation. *Transactions of the Philological Society*, 91(2), 181–214.
- Goffman, E. (1955). On Face-Work: An Analysis of Ritual Elements in Social Interaction. *Psychiatry*, 18(3), 213–231. doi: 10.1080/00332747.1955.11023008
- Goffman, E. (1978). Response Cries. *Language*, 54(4), 787–815.
- Goffman, E. (1981). *Forms of Talk*. Oxford, England: Blackwell.
- Goffman, E. (1983). Felicity's Condition. *American Journal of Sociology*, 89(1), 1–53.
- Golato, A. (2010). Marking understanding versus receipting information in talk: *Achso*. and *ach* in German interaction. *Discourse Studies*, 12(2), 147–176. doi: 10.1177/1461445609356497
- Golato, A., & Betz, E. (2008). German *ach* and *achso* in repair uptake: Resources to sustain or remove epistemic asymmetry. *Zeitschrift für Sprachwissenschaft*, 27(1). doi: 10.1515/ZFSW.2008.002
- Goodwin, C., & Goodwin, M. H. (1987). Concurrent Operations on Talk: Notes on the Interactive Organization of Assessments. *Pragmatics*, 1(1), 1–54.
- Goodwin, C., & Goodwin, M. H. (1992). Assessments and the Construction of Context. In A. Duranti & C. Goodwin (Eds.), *Rethinking context* (pp. 147–190). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Goodwin, C., & Heritage, J. (1990). *Conversation Analysis* (Vol. 19).
- Gordon, D., & Lakoff, G. (1975). Conversational postulates. In P. Cole & J. L. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and semantics, vol. 3: Speech acts*. New York, NY: Academic Press. (Original work published 1971)
- Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and Conversation. In P. Cole & J. L. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and semantics, vol. 3: Speech acts* (pp. 41–58). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Gunlogson, C. (2001). *True to Form: Rising and Falling Declaratives as Questions in English* (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/1802/231>
- Gunlogson, C. (2008). A question of commitment. *Belgian Journal of Linguistics*, 22, 101–136.
- Haan, J. (2002). *Speaking of Questions - An Exploration of Dutch Question Intonation* (Doctoral Dissertation). Utrecht University, The Netherlands.
- Hamblin, C. L. (1971). Mathematical models of dialogue. *Theoria*, 37(2), 130–155. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-2567.1971.tb00065.x

- Hayano, K. (2011). Claiming epistemic primacy: *yo*-marked assessments in Japanese. In T. Stivers, L. Mondada, & J. Steensig (Eds.), *The morality of knowledge in conversation* (pp. 58–81). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Hayano, K. (2013). Question Design in Conversation. In J. Sidnell & T. Stivers (Eds.), *The handbook of conversation analysis* (pp. 395–414). Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell. doi: 10.1002/9781118325001.ch19
- Heinemann, T. (2008). Questions of accountability: yes—no interrogatives that are unanswerable. *Discourse Studies*, 10(1), 55–71. doi: 10.1177/1461445607085590
- Heinemann, T. (2017). Receipting Answers That are Counter to Expectations: The Polar Question-Answer-*Nå* Sequence in Danish. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 50(3), 249–267. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2017.1340705
- Heinemann, T., & Koivisto, A. (2016). Indicating a change-of-state in interaction: Cross-linguistic explorations. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 104, 83–88. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2016.09.002
- Hepburn, A., & Bolden, G. B. (2013). The Conversation Analytic Approach to Transcription. In J. Sidnell & T. Stivers (Eds.), *The handbook of conversation analysis* (pp. 57–76). Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell. doi: 10.1002/9781118325001.ch4
- Heritage, J. (1984a). A change-of-state token and aspects of its sequential placement. In J. M. Atkinson & J. Heritage (Eds.), *Structures of social action* (pp. 299–345). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Heritage, J. (1984b). *Garfinkel and Ethnomethodology*. Cambridge, England: Polity Press.
- Heritage, J. (1998). *Oh*-prefaced responses to inquiry. *Language in Society*, 27(03), 291–334. doi: 10.1017/S0047404598003017
- Heritage, J. (2010). Questioning in Medicine. In A. Freed & S. Ehrlich (Eds.), *Why do you ask? The function of questions in institutional discourse* (pp. 42–68). Oxford, England: Oxford University Press. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195306897.003.0003
- Heritage, J. (2011). A Galilean Moment in Social Theory? Language, Culture and their Emergent Properties. *Qualitative Sociology*, 34(1), 263–270. doi: 10.1007/s11133-010-9180-y
- Heritage, J. (2012a). Epistemics in Action: Action Formation and Territories of Knowledge. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 45(1), 1–29. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2012.646684
- Heritage, J. (2012b). The Epistemic Engine: Sequence Organization and

- Territories of Knowledge. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 45(1), 30–52. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2012.646685
- Heritage, J. (2012c). Beyond and Behind the Words: Some Reactions to My Commentators. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 45(1), 76–81. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2012.646692
- Heritage, J. (2013a). Action formation and its epistemic (and other) backgrounds. *Discourse Studies*, 15(5), 551–578. doi: 10.1177/1461445613501449
- Heritage, J. (2013b). Epistemics in Conversation. In J. Sidnell & T. Stivers (Eds.), *The handbook of conversation analysis* (pp. 370–394). Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Heritage, J. (2013c). Turn-initial position and some of its occupants. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 57, 331–337. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2013.08.025
- Heritage, J. (2016). On the diversity of ‘changes of state’ and their indices. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 104, 207–210. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2016.09.007
- Heritage, J. (2018). The ubiquity of epistemics: A rebuttal to the ‘epistemics of epistemics’ group. *Discourse Studies*, 20(1), 14–56. doi: 10.1177/1461445617734342
- Heritage, J., & Clayman, S. E. (2010). *Talk in Action*. Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Heritage, J., & Clayman, S. E. (2013). The Changing Tenor of Questioning Over Time. *Journalism Practice*, 7(4), 481–501. doi: 10.1080/17512786.2013.802485
- Heritage, J., & Raymond, G. (2005). The Terms of Agreement: Indexing Epistemic Authority and Subordination in Talk-in-Interaction. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 68(1), 15–38. doi: 10.1177/019027250506800103
- Heritage, J., & Raymond, G. (2012). Navigating epistemic landscapes. In J. P. de Ruiter (Ed.), *Questions: Formal, functional and interactional perspectives* (pp. 179–192). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781139045414.013
- Heritage, J., & Sorjonen, M.-L. (1994). Constituting and maintaining activities across sequences: *And*-prefacing as a feature of turn-design. *Language in Society*, 23(1), 1–29.
- Heritage, J., & Watson, R. (1979). Formulations as Conversational Objects. In G. Psathas (Ed.), *Everyday language* (pp. 123–162). New York, NY: Irvington Press.
- Heritage, J., & Watson, R. (1980). Aspects of the properties of formulations in natural conversations : Some instances analysed. *Semiotica*, 3/4, 245–262.

- Hilmisdóttir, H. (2016). Responding to informings in Icelandic talk-in-interaction: A comparison of *nú* and *er það*. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 104, 133–147. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2016.05.002
- Hobbs, J. (1990). Topic Drift. In B. Dorval (Ed.), *Conversational organization and its development* (pp. 3–22). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Hoey, E. M. (2015). Lapses: How People Arrive at, and Deal With, Discontinuities in Talk. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 48(4), 430–453. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2015.1090116
- Hogeweg, L. (2009). *Word in Process. On the Interpretation, Acquisition, and Production of Words* (Doctoral Dissertation). Radboud University Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- Holt, E. (2010). The last laugh: Shared laughter and topic termination. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 42(6). doi: doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2010.01.011
- Hopper, P. (1987). Emergent Grammar. *Berkeley Linguistics Society*, 13, 139–157.
- Hopper, P. (1988). Emergent Grammar and the A Priori Grammar Postulate. In D. Tannen (Ed.), *Linguistics in context: Connecting observation and understanding* (pp. 117–134). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Hopper, P. (2011). Emergent Grammar and Temporality in Interactional Linguistics. In P. Auer & S. Pfänder (Eds.), *Constructions: Emerging and emergent* (pp. 22–44). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter.
- Hopper, P. (2012). Emergent Grammar. In J. P. Gee & M. Handford (Eds.), *The routledge handbook of discourse analysis* (pp. 301–314). London, England: Routledge.
- Houtkoop-Steenstra, H. (1985). Kan een verzoek met “ja” worden geaccepteerd? [Can a request be accepted with a “yes”?] *TTT Interdisciplinair tijdschrift voor taal- en tekstwetenschap*, 5(1), 23–40.
- Howe, M. (1991). Collaboration on Topic Change in Conversation. *Kansas Working Papers in Linguistics*, 16, 1–14. doi: 10.17161/KWPL.1808.421
- Huddleston, R. (1994). The contrast between interrogatives and questions. *Journal of Linguistics*, 30(2), 411–439.
- Huiskes, M. (2010). *The role of the clause for turn-taking in Dutch conversations* (Doctoral Dissertation). Utrecht University, The Netherlands.
- Jefferson, G. (1972). Side Sequences. In D. Sudnow (Ed.), *Studies in social interaction* (pp. 294–338). New York, NY: The Free Press.
- Jefferson, G. (1978). Sequential Aspects of Storytelling in Conversation. In J. Schenkein (Ed.), *Studies in the organization of conversational interaction* (pp. 219–248). New York, NY: Academic Press.

- Jefferson, G. (1981). *The abominable 'Ne?': a working paper exploring the phenomenon of post-response pursuit of response* (Manchester Sociology Occasional Papers No. 6). Manchester, England: University of Manchester.
- Jefferson, G. (1984). On stepwise transition from talk about a trouble to inappropriately next-positioned matters. In J. M. Atkinson & J. Heritage (Eds.), *Structures of social action* (pp. 191–222). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Jefferson, G. (1987). On exposed and embedded correction in conversation. In G. Button & J. R. Lee (Eds.), *Talk and social organisation* (pp. 86–100). Clevedon, England: Multilingual Matters.
- Jefferson, G. (1993). Caveat Speaker: Preliminary Notes on Recipient Topic-Shift Implicature. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 26(1), 1–30. doi: 10.1207/s15327973rlsi2601\_1
- Jefferson, G. (2004). Glossary of Transcript Symbols with an Introduction. In G. H. Lerner (Ed.), *Conversation analysis: Studies from the first generation* (pp. 13–31). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Jefferson, G., & Schenkein, J. (1977). Some sequential negotiations in conversation: Unexpanded and expanded versions of projected sequences. *Sociology*, 11(1), 87–103.
- Kamio, A. (1997). *Territory of Information*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands. doi: 10.1075/pbns.48
- Kärkkäinen, E. (2006). Stance taking in conversation: From subjectivity to intersubjectivity. *Text & Talk - An Interdisciplinary Journal of Language, Discourse & Communication Studies*, 26(6), 699–731. doi: 10.1515/TEXT.2006.029
- Kärkkäinen, E. (2009). I thought it was pretty neat. Social action formats for taking a stance. In S. Slembrouck, M. Taverniers, & M. Van Herreweghe (Eds.), *From 'will' to 'well'. Studies in linguistics offered to Anne-Marie Simon-Vandenberghe* (pp. 293–304). Gent, Belgium: Academia Press.
- Kasterpalu, R., & Hennoste, T. (2016). Estonian *aa*: A multifunctional change-of-state token. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 104, 148–162. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2016.06.010
- Kendrick, K. H. (2017). Using Conversation Analysis in the Lab. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 50(1), 1–11. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2017.1267911
- Kendrick, K. H., & Drew, P. (2016). Recruitment: offers, requests, and the organization of assistance in interaction. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 49(1), 1–19. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2016.1126436

- Kendrick, K. H., & Torreira, F. (2015). The Timing and Construction of Preference: A Quantitative Study. *Discourse Processes*, 52(4), 255–289. doi: 10.1080/0163853X.2014.955997
- Kevoe-Feldman, H. (2015). Working the overall structural organization of a call: How customers use third position as leverage for gaining service representatives' assistance in dealing with service problems. *Language & Communication*, 43, 47–57. doi: 10.1016/j.langcom.2015.05.001
- Kevoe-Feldman, H., & Robinson, J. D. (2012). Exploring essentially three-turn courses of action: An institutional case study with implications for ordinary talk. *Discourse Studies*, 14, 217–241. doi: 10.1177/1461445612439958
- Koivisto, A. (2013). On the Preference for Remembering: Acknowledging an Answer With Finnish *Ai Nii(n)* (“Oh That’s Right”). *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 46(3), 277–297. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2013.810411
- Koivisto, A. (2015a). Dealing with Ambiguities in Informings: Finnish *Aijaa* as a “Neutral” News Receipt. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 48(4), 365–387. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2015.1090109
- Koivisto, A. (2015b). Displaying Now-Understanding: The Finnish Change-of-State Token *aa*. *Discourse Processes*, 52(2), 111–148. doi: 10.1080/0163853X.2014.914357
- Koole, T. (2010). Displays of Epistemic Access: Student Responses to Teacher Explanations. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 43(2), 182–209.
- Koole, T. (2015). The Interaction Tool. *Dutch Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 4(1), 86–100.
- Koole, T., & Verberg, N. (2017). Aligning caller and call-taker: The opening phrase of Dutch emergency calls. *Pragmatics and Society*, 8(1), 129–153. doi: 10.1075/ps.8.1.07koo
- Korolija, N., & Linell, P. (1996). Episodes: coding and analyzing coherence in multiparty conversation. *Linguistics*, 34, 799–831.
- Koshik, I. (2002). A conversation analytic study of yes/no questions which convey reversed polarity assertions. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 34(12), 1851–1877. doi: 10.1016/S0378-2166(02)00057-7
- Koshik, I. (2005). *Beyond Rhetorical Questions: Assertive Questions in Everyday Interaction*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Labov, W. (1970). The Study of Language in its Social Context. In P. P. Giglioli (Ed.), *Language and social context* (Vol. 23, pp. 283–308). Middlesex, England: Penguin Education.

- Labov, W., & Fanshel, D. (1977). *Therapeutic Discourse: psychotherapy as conversation*. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Lee, S.-H. (2015). Two Forms of Affirmative Responses to Polar Questions. *Discourse Processes*, 52(1), 21–46. doi: 10.1080/0163853X.2014.899001
- Lerner, G. H. (2003). Selecting next speaker: The context-sensitive operation of a context-free organization. *Language in Society*, 32(2), 177–201. doi: 10.1017/S004740450332202X
- Levinson, S. C. (1983). *Pragmatics*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Levinson, S. C. (2013). Action Formation and Ascription. In J. Sidnell & T. Stivers (Eds.), *The handbook of conversation analysis* (pp. 101–130). Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell. doi: 10.1002/9781118325001.ch6
- Lindström, A. (2017). Accepting Remote Proposals. In G. Raymond, G. H. Lerner, & J. Heritage (Eds.), *Enabling human conduct: Studies of talk-in-interaction in honor of Emanuel A. Schegloff* (pp. 125–142). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins. doi: 10.1075/pbns.273.07lin
- Lindström, A., & Mondada, L. (2009). Assessments in Social Interaction: Introduction to the Special Issue. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 42(4), 299–308. doi: 10.1080/08351810903296457
- Lindwall, O., & Lymer, G. (2011). Uses of “understand” in science education. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 43(2), 452–474. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2010.08.021
- Lindwall, O., Lymer, G., & Ivarsson, J. (2016). Epistemic status and the recognizability of social actions. *Discourse Studies*, 18(5), 500–525. doi: 10.1177/1461445616657958
- Linell, P. (2005). *The Written Language Bias in Linguistics*. London, England: Routledge.
- Local, J. (1996). Conversational phonetics: some aspects of news receipts in everyday talk. In E. Couper-Kuhlen & M. Selting (Eds.), *Prosody in conversation* (pp. 177–230). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Local, J., & Kelly, J. (1986). Projection and ‘Silences’: Notes on Phonetic and Conversational Structure. *Human Studies*, 9(2/3), 185–204.
- Local, J., & Walker, G. (2004). Abrupt-joins as a resource for the production of multi-unit, multi-action turns. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 36, 1375–1403. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2004.04.006
- Local, J., & Walker, G. (2005). Methodological Imperatives for Investigating

- the Phonetic Organization and Phonological Structures of Spontaneous Speech. *Phonetica*, 62, 120–130. doi: 10.1159/000090093
- Local, J., & Walker, G. (2012). How phonetic features project more talk. *Journal of the International Phonetic Association*, 42(03), 255–280. doi: 10.1017/S0025100312000187
- Lymer, G., Lindwall, O., & Ivarsson, J. (2017). *Epistemic status, sequentiality, and ambiguity: Notes on Heritage's Rebuttal*. Unpublished Manuscript, Uppsala University, Sweden.
- Lynch, M., & Macbeth, D. (Eds.). (2016a). The epistemics of Epistemics [Special issue]. *Discourse Studies*, 18(5).
- Lynch, M., & Macbeth, D. (2016b). The epistemics of Epistemics: An introduction. *Discourse Studies*, 18(5), 493–499. doi: 10.1177/1461445616657961
- Macbeth, D., & Wong, J. (2016). The story of 'Oh', Part 2: Animating transcript. *Discourse Studies*, 18(5), 574–596. doi: 10.1177/1461445616658211
- Maynard, D. W. (1980). Placement of topic changes in conversation. *Semiotica*, 30(3/4), 263–290.
- Maynard, D. W. (1997). The News Delivery Sequence: Bad News and Good News in Conversational Interaction. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 30(2), 93–130. doi: 10.1207/s15327973rlsi3002
- Maynard, D. W. (2003). *Bad News Good News: Conversational order in everyday talk and clinical settings*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Maynard, D. W., & Zimmerman, D. H. (1984). Topical Talk, Ritual and the Social Organization of Relationships. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 47(4), 301–316.
- Mazeland, H. (2007). Parenthetical Sequences. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 39(10), 1816–1869. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2007.05.005
- Mazeland, H. (2013). Grammar in Conversation. In J. Sidnell & T. Stivers (Eds.), *The handbook of conversation analysis* (pp. 475–491). Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell. doi: 10.1002/9781118325001.ch23
- Mazeland, H. (2016). The positionally sensitive workings of the Dutch particle NOU. In P. Auer & Y. Maschler (Eds.), *NU / NÅ: A family of discourse markers across the languages of Europe and beyond* (pp. 377–408). Berlin, Germany: De Gruyter.
- Mazeland, H., & Huiskes, M. (2001). Dutch 'but' as a sequential conjunction: its use as a resumption marker. In M. Selting & E. Couper-Kuhlen (Eds.), *Studies in interactional linguistics* (pp. 141–169). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.



- Mazeland, H., & Plug, L. (2010). Doing confirmation with *jalnee hoor*. Sequential and prosodic characteristics of a Dutch discourse particle. In D. Barth-Weingarten, E. Reber, & M. Selting (Eds.), *Prosody in interaction* (pp. 161–188). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- McLaughlin, M. L. (1984). *Conversation: How talk is organized*. London, England: SAGE.
- Mehan, H. (1979). *Learning Lessons, Social Organization in the Classroom*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Middleton, D., & Edwards, D. (1990). Conversational Remembering: a Social Psychological Approach. In D. Middleton & D. Edwards (Eds.), *Collective remembering* (pp. 23–45). London, England: SAGE.
- Moll, H., Carpenter, M., & Tomasello, M. (2007). Fourteen-month-old infants know what others experience only in joint engagement with them. *Developmental Science*, 10(6), 826–835. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7687.2007.00615.x
- Moll, H., Carpenter, M., & Tomasello, M. (2014). Two- and 3-Year-Olds Know What Others Have and Have Not Heard. *Journal of Cognition and Development*, 15(1), 12–21. doi: 10.1080/15248372.2012.710865
- Mondada, L. (2001). Gestion du topic et organisation de la conversation. *Cadernos de estudos lingüísticos*, 41, 7–35.
- Mondada, L. (2011). Understanding as an embodied, situated and sequential achievement in interaction. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 43(2), 542–552. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2010.08.019
- Ochs Keenan, E., & Schieffelin, B. B. (1975). Topic as a Discourse Notion. In C. N. Li (Ed.), *Subject and topic* (pp. 335–384). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Ogden, R. (2006). Phonetics and social action in agreements and disagreements. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 38(10), 1752–1775. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2005.04.011
- O'Neill, D. K. (1996). Two-Year-Old Children's Sensitivity to a Parent's Knowledge State When Making Requests. *Child Development*, 67(2), 659–677. doi: 10.2307/1131839
- Paardekooper, P. C. (1968). *Beknopte ABN-syntaxis*. 's-Hertogenbosch, The Netherlands: Malmberg.
- Pander Maat, H., Driessen, C., & Van Mierlo, H. (1986). NOU: functie, contexten, vorm en betekenis [Nou: function, contexts, form and meaning]. *Interdisciplinair Tijdschrift voor Taal- en Tekstwetenschap*, 6(2), 179–194.
- Park, I. (2012). Asking different types of polar questions: The interplay between

- turn, sequence, and context in writing conferences. *Discourse Studies*, 14(5), 613–633. doi: 10.1177/1461445612454077
- Persson, R. (2013). Intonation and sequential organization: Formulations in French talk-in-interaction. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 57, 19–38. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2013.07.004
- Persson, R. (2015). Indexing one's own previous action as inadequate: On *ah*-prefaced repeats as receipt tokens in French talk-in-interaction. *Language in Society*, 44(4), 497–524.
- Pomerantz, A. (1975). *Second Assessments: A study of some features of Agreement/Disagreement* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of California Irvine.
- Pomerantz, A. (1978). Compliment responses: Notes on the co-operation of multiple constraints. In J. Schenkein (Ed.), *Studies in the organization of conversational interaction* (pp. 57–101). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Pomerantz, A. (1980). Telling My Side: "Limited Access" as a "Fishing" device. *Sociological Inquiry*, 50(3-4), 186–199.
- Pomerantz, A. (1984). Agreeing and disagreeing with assessments: Some features of preferred/dispreferred turn shapes. In J. M. Atkinson & J. Heritage (Eds.), *Structures of social action* (pp. 57–101). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Pomerantz, A. (2017). Inferring the purpose of a prior query and responding accordingly. In G. Raymond, G. H. Lerner, & J. Heritage (Eds.), *Enabling human conduct: Studies of talk-in-interaction in honor of Emanuel A. Schegloff*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins. doi: 10.1075/pbns.273.04pom
- Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (1985). *A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language*. London, England: Longman.
- Raymond, C. W. (2016). *Intersubjectivity, Progressivity, and Accountability: Studies in Turn Design* (Doctoral Dissertation). Retrieved from <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/3dp5d7d8>
- Raymond, C. W., & Stivers, T. (2016). The omnirelevance of accountability: off-record account solicitations. In J. D. Robinson (Ed.), *Accountability in social interaction* (pp. 321–353). Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- Raymond, G. (2003). Grammar and Social Organization: Yes/No Interrogatives and the Structure of Responding. *American Sociological Review*, 68(6), 939–967. doi: 10.2307/1519752

- Raymond, G. (2004). Prompting Action: The Stand-Alone “So”. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 37(2), 185–218.
- Raymond, G. (2010a). Grammar and Social Relations. In A. Freed & S. Ehrlich (Eds.), *Why do you ask? The function of questions in institutional discourse* (pp. 87–107). Oxford, England: Oxford University Press. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195306897.003.0005
- Raymond, G. (2010b). Prosodic variation in responses: The case of type-conforming responses to yes/no interrogatives. In D. Barth-Weingarten, E. Reber, & M. Selting (Eds.), *Prosody in interaction* (pp. 109–129). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Raymond, G. (2018). Which Epistemics? Whose Conversation Analysis? *Discourse Studies*, 20(1), 57–89. doi: 10.1177/1461445617734343
- Raymond, G., & Heritage, J. (2006). The epistemics of social relations: Owning grandchildren. *Language in Society*, 35, 677–705.
- Reese, B. J. (2007). *Bias in Questions* (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from <https://repositories.lib.utexas.edu/handle/2152/3280>
- Riou, M. (2015). A Methodology for the Identification of Topic Transitions in Interaction. *Discours - Revue de linguistique, psycholinguistique et informatique*, 16, 1–28.
- Riou, M. (2017). Transitioning to a new topic in American English conversation: A multi-level and mixed-methods account. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 117, 88–105. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2017.06.015
- Riou, M. (in press). The Prosody of Topic Transition in Interaction: Pitch register variations. *Language and Speech*. doi: 10.1177/0023830917696337
- Robinson, J. D. (2009). Managing Counterinforming: An Interactional Practice for Soliciting Information that Facilitates Reconciliation of Speakers’ Incompatible Positions. *Human Communication Research*, 35, 516–587.
- Robinson, J. D. (2014). What “what?” tells us about how conversationalists manage intersubjectivity. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 47(2), 109–129. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2014.900214
- Robinson, J. D., & Heritage, J. (2006). Physicians’ opening questions and patients’ satisfaction. *Patient Education and Counseling*, 60(3), 279–285. doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2005.11.009
- Rommetveit, R. (1974). *On Message Structure: A framework for the study of language and communication*. London, England: John Wiley & Sons.
- Rommetveit, R. (1976). On the Architecture of Intersubjectivity. In L. Strickland (Ed.), *Social psychology in transition* (pp. 201–214). New York, NY: Springer.
- Rommetveit, R. (1988). On Literacy and the Myth of Literal Meaning. In

- R. Säljö (Ed.), *The written world: Studies in literate thought and action* (pp. 13–40). Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag.
- Rossano, F. (2013). Gaze in Conversation. In J. Sidnell & T. Stivers (Eds.), *The handbook of conversation analysis* (pp. 308–329). Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell. doi: 10.1002/9781118325001.ch15
- Rossi, G. (2014). When do people not use language to make requests? In P. Drew & E. Couper-Kuhlen (Eds.), *Requesting in social interaction* (pp. 303–334). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins. doi: 10.1075/slsi.26.12ros
- Sacks, H. (1972). An Initial Investigation of the Usability of Conversational Data for Doing Sociology. In D. Sudnow (Ed.), *Studies in social interaction* (pp. 31–74). New York, NY: The Free Press.
- Sacks, H. (1974). An analysis of the course of a joke's telling in conversation. In R. Bauman & J. Sherzer (Eds.), *Explorations in the ethnography of speaking* (pp. 337–353). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Sacks, H. (1984). Notes on methodology. In J. M. Atkinson & J. Heritage (Eds.), *Structures of social action* (pp. 21–27). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Sacks, H. (1995). *Lectures on Conversation* (G. Jefferson, Ed.). Oxford, England: Wiley-Blackwell. doi: 10.1002/9781444328301
- Sacks, H., Schegloff, E. A., & Jefferson, G. (1974). A simplest systematics for the organization of turn-taking for conversation. *Language*, 50(4), 696–735.
- Sadock, J. M. (1974). *Toward a Linguistic Theory of Speech Acts*. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Sadock, J. M. (2012). Formal Features of Questions. In J. P. de Ruiter (Ed.), *Questions: Formal, functional and interactional perspectives* (pp. 103–122). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781139045414.008
- Sadock, J. M., & Zwicky, A. M. (1985). Speech act distinctions in syntax. In T. Shopen (Ed.), *Language typology and syntactic description vol. I: Clause structure* (pp. 155–196). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Sbisà, M., & Turner, K. (Eds.). (2013). *Pragmatics of speech actions*. Boston, MA: De Gruyter. doi: 10.1515/9783110214383
- Schegloff, E. A. (1968). Sequencing in Conversational Openings. *American Anthropologist*, 70(6), 1075–1095.
- Schegloff, E. A. (1979). Identification and Recognition in Telephone Con-

- versation Openings. In G. Psathas (Ed.), *Everyday language: Studies in ethnomethodology* (pp. 23–78). New York, NY: Irvington Press.
- Schegloff, E. A. (1980). Preliminaries to Preliminaries: “Can I ask you a questions?”. *Sociological Inquiry*, 50(3–4), 104–152. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-682X.1980.tb00018.x
- Schegloff, E. A. (1982). Discourse as an interactional achievement: Some uses of ‘uh huh’ and other things that come between sentences. In D. Tannen (Ed.), *Analyzing discourse: Text & talk* (pp. 71–93). Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Schegloff, E. A. (1984). On Some Questions and Ambiguities in Conversation. In J. M. Atkinson & J. Heritage (Eds.), *Structures of social action* (pp. 28–52). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Schegloff, E. A. (1986). The routine as achievement. *Human Studies*, 9, 111–151.
- Schegloff, E. A. (1988a). Goffman and the Analysis of Conversation. In P. Drew & A. Wootton (Eds.), *Erving Goffman: Exploring the interaction order* (pp. 88–135). New York, NY: Polity Press.
- Schegloff, E. A. (1988b). Presequences and indirection: Applying speech act theory to ordinary conversation. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 12, 55–62. doi: 10.1016/0378-2166(88)90019-7
- Schegloff, E. A. (1990). On the Organization of Sequences as a Source of “Coherence” in Talk-in-Interaction. In B. Dorval (Ed.), *Conversational organization and its development* (pp. 51–77). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Schegloff, E. A. (1992). Repair After Next Turn: The Last Structurally Provided Defense of Intersubjectivity in Conversation. *American Journal of Sociology*, 97, 1295–1345. doi: 10.1086/229903
- Schegloff, E. A. (1995). Discourse as an Interactional Achievement III: The Omnirelevance of Action. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 28(3), 185–211.
- Schegloff, E. A. (1996a). Confirming Allusions: Toward an Empirical Account of Action. *American Journal of Sociology*, 102(1), 161–216. doi: 10.1086/230911
- Schegloff, E. A. (1996b). Some practices for referring to persons in talk-in-interaction: A partial sketch of a systematics. In B. A. Fox (Ed.), *Studies in anaphora* (pp. 437–485). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Schegloff, E. A. (1996c). Turn organization: One intersection of grammar and interaction. In E. Ochs, E. A. Schegloff, & S. Thompson (Eds.), *Interaction and grammar* (pp. 52–133). Cambridge, England: Cambridge

- University Press.
- Schegloff, E. A. (2000). When 'others' initiate repair. *Applied Linguistics*, 21(2), 205–243. doi: 10.1093/applin/21.2.205
- Schegloff, E. A. (2007). *Sequence Organization in Interaction: A Primer in Conversation Analysis I*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2
- Schegloff, E. A. (2011). Word repeats as unit ends. *Discourse Studies*, 13(3), 367–380. doi: 10.1177/1461445611402749
- Schegloff, E. A. (2017). Reply to Levinson: On the 'corrosiveness' of conversation analysis. In G. Raymond, G. Lerner, & J. Heritage (Eds.), *Enabling human conduct: Studies in talk-in-interaction in honor of Emanuel A. Schegloff* (pp. 351–353). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins. (Original work published 2007) doi: 10.1075/pbns.273.18sch
- Schegloff, E. A., Jefferson, G., & Sacks, H. (1977). The preference for self-correction in the organization of repair in conversation. *Language*, 53(2), 361–382. doi: 10.1353/lan.1977.0041
- Schegloff, E. A., & Lerner, G. H. (2009). Beginning to Respond: Well-prefaced responses to Wh-questions. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 42, 91–115.
- Schegloff, E. A., Ochs, E., & Thompson, S. A. (1996). Introduction. In E. Ochs, E. A. Schegloff, & S. Thompson (Eds.), *Interaction and grammar* (pp. 1–51). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Schegloff, E. A., & Sacks, H. (1973). Opening Up Closings. *Semiotica*, 8, 289–327.
- Schutz, A. (1962). *Collected Papers I: The Problem of Social Reality* (M. Natanson, Ed.). The Hague, The Netherlands: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Schutz, A. (1964). *Collected Papers II: Studies in Social Theory* (A. Brodersen, Ed.). The Hague, The Netherlands: Martinus Nijhoff.
- Schutz, A. (1967). *The Phenomenology of the Social World* (G. Walsh & F. Lehnert, Trans.). Evanston, IL: Northwestern University Press. (Original work published 1932)
- Searle, J. R. (1969). *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781139173438
- Searle, J. R. (1975). Indirect speech acts. In P. Cole & J. L. Morgan (Eds.), *Syntax and semantics, vol. 3: Speech acts* (pp. 59–82). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Selting, M. (1996). Prosody as an activity-type distinctive cue in conversation: the case of so-called 'astonished' questions in repair initiation.

- In E. Couper-Kuhlen & M. Selting (Eds.), *Prosody in conversation* (pp. 231–270). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Selting, M. (2000). The construction of units in conversational talk. *Language in Society*, 29(4), 477–517. doi: 10.1017/S0047404500004012
- Selting, M., & Couper-Kuhlen, E. (Eds.). (2001). *Studies in interactional linguistics*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Seuren, L. M., Huiskes, M., & Koole, T. (2015). Epistemics and the functions of declarative questions in Dutch talk-in-interaction. In M. Boogaard et al. (Eds.), *Artikelen van de 8e anéla conferentie toegepaste taalwetenschap 2015* (pp. 59–78). Delft, The Netherlands: Eburon.
- Sidnell, J. (2010). The Design and Positioning of Questions in Inquiry Testimony. In *Why do you ask? the function of questions in institutional discourse* (pp. 20–41). Oxford, England: Oxford University Press. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195306897.003.0002
- Sidnell, J. (2012). Declaratives, Questioning, Defeasibility. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 45(1), 53–60. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2012.646686
- Sidnell, J. (2013). Basic Conversation Analytic Methods. In J. Sidnell & T. Stivers (Eds.), *The handbook of conversation analysis* (pp. 77–99). Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell. doi: 10.1002/9781118325001.ch5
- Sidnell, J. (2014). The architecture of intersubjectivity revisited. In N. J. Enfield, P. Kockelman, & J. Sidnell (Eds.), *The cambridge handbook of linguistic anthropology* (pp. 364–399). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781139342872.018
- Sidnell, J. (2017a). Action in interaction is conduct under a description. *Language in Society*, 46(3), 313–337. doi: 10.1017/S0047404517000173
- Sidnell, J. (2017b). Distributed Agency and Action under the Radar of Accountability. In N. J. Enfield & P. Kockelman (Eds.), *Distributed agency* (pp. 87–96). Oxford, England: Oxford University Press. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780190457204.003.0010
- Sidnell, J., & Enfield, N. J. (2012). Language Diversity and Social Action. *Current Anthropology*, 53(3), 302–333. doi: 10.1086/665697
- Sidnell, J., & Enfield, N. J. (2014). The ontology of action in interaction. In N. J. Enfield, P. Kockelman, & J. Sidnell (Eds.), *The cambridge handbook of linguistic anthropology* (pp. 423–446). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Sidnell, J., & Stivers, T. (Eds.). (2013). *The Handbook of Conversation Analysis*. Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell. doi:

10.1002/9781118325001

- Smith, M. S. (2013). "I thought" initiated turns: Addressing discrepancies in first-hand and second-hand knowledge. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 57, 318–330. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2013.09.006
- Stalnaker, R. C. (1978). Assertion. In P. Cole (Ed.), *Syntax & semantics vol. 9: Pragmatics* (pp. 315–332). New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Steensig, J. (2001). Notes on turn-construction methods in Danish and Turkish conversation. In M. Selting & E. Couper-Kuhlen (Eds.), *Studies in interactional linguistics* (pp. 259–286). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Steensig, J., & Heinemann, T. (2013). When 'yes' is not enough – as an answer to a yes/no question. In B. S. Reed & G. Raymond (Eds.), *Units of talk - units of action* (pp. 213–248). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Stevanovic, M., & Peräkylä, A. (2012). Deontic Authority in Interaction: The Right to Announce, Propose, and Decide. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 45(3), 297–321. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2012.699260
- Stivers, T. (2004). "No no no" and other types of multiple sayings in social interaction. *Human Communication Research*, 30(2), 260–293. doi: 10.1093/hcr/30.2.260
- Stivers, T. (2005a). Modified Repeats: One Method for Asserting Primary Rights From Second Position. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 38(2), 131–158. doi: 10.1207/s15327973rlsi3802\_1
- Stivers, T. (2005b). Non-antibiotic treatment recommendations: delivery formats and implications for parent resistance. *Social Science & Medicine*, 60(5), 949–964. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.06.040
- Stivers, T. (2005c). Parent Resistance to Physicians' Treatment Recommendations: One Resource for Initiating a Negotiation of the Treatment Decision. *Health Communication*, 18(1), 41–74. doi: 10.1207/s15327027hc1801\_3
- Stivers, T. (2007). *Prescribing Under Pressure: Physician-Parent Conversations and Antibiotics*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- Stivers, T. (2008). Stance, Alignment, and Affiliation During Storytelling: When Nodding Is a Token of Affiliation. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 41(1), 31–57. doi: 10.1080/08351810701691123
- Stivers, T. (2010). An overview of the question-response system in American English conversation. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 42(10), 2772–2781. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2010.04.011
- Stivers, T. (2015). Coding Social Interaction: A Heretical Approach to Conver-



- sation Analysis? *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 48(1), 1–19. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2015.993837
- Stivers, T., Enfield, N. J., Brown, P., Englert, C., Hayashi, M., Heinemann, T., ... Levinson, S. C. (2009). Universals and cultural variation in turn-taking in conversation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(26), 10587–10592. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0903616106
- Stivers, T., Enfield, N. J., & Levinson, S. C. (Eds.). (2010). Question–response sequences in conversation across ten languages [Special issue]. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 42(10).
- Stivers, T., & Hayashi, M. (2010). Transformative answers: One way to resist a question’s constraints. *Language in Society*, 39(1), 1–25. doi: 10.1017/S0047404509990637
- Stivers, T., Mondada, L., & Steensig, J. (2011). Knowledge, morality and affiliation in social interaction. In T. Stivers, L. Mondada, & J. Steensig (Eds.), *The morality of knowledge in conversation* (pp. 3–24). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Stivers, T., & Robinson, J. D. (2006). A preference for progressivity in interaction. *Language in Society*, 35(3), 367–392. doi: 10.1017/S0047404506060179
- Stivers, T., & Rossano, F. (2010). Mobilizing Response. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 43(1), 3–31. doi: 10.1080/08351810903471258
- Stivers, T., & Sidnell, J. (2013). Introduction. In J. Sidnell & T. Stivers (Eds.), *The handbook of conversation analysis* (pp. 1–8). Chichester, England: Wiley-Blackwell. doi: 10.1002/9781118325001.ch1
- Stivers, T., & Sidnell, J. (2016). Proposals for Activity Collaboration. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 49(2), 148–166. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2016.1164409
- Stokoe, E. (2011). Simulated interaction and communication skills training: The ‘Conversation Analytic Role-Play Method’. In C. Antaki (Ed.), *Applied conversation analysis: Intervention and change in institutional talk* (pp. 119–139). Basingstoke, England: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Stokoe, E. (2014). The Conversation Analytic Role-play Method (CARM): A Method for Training Communication Skills as an Alternative to Simulated Role-play. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 47(3), 255–265. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2014.925663
- Strömbergsson, S., Edlund, J., & House, D. (2012). Prosodic measurements and question types in the Spontal corpus of Swedish dialogues. In *Proc. of interspeech 2012* (pp. 839–842). Portland, OR.

- Svennevig, J. (2000). *Getting acquainted in conversation: a study of initial interactions*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Tanaka, H. (1999). *Turn-taking in Japanese Conversation: A Study in Grammar and Interaction*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins.
- Ten Have, P. (2007). *Doing Conversation Analysis: A Practical Guide*. London, England: SAGE.
- Terasaki, A. K. (2004). Pre-announcement sequences in conversation. In G. Lerner (Ed.), *Conversation analysis: Studies from the first generation* (pp. 171–223). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: John Benjamins. (Original work published 1976)
- Thompson, S. A., Fox, B. A., & Couper-Kuhlen, E. (2015). *Grammar in everyday talk: Building Responsive Actions*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Tomasello, M. (2003). *Constructing a Language: A usage-based theory of language acquisition*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Tomasello, M. (2008). *Origins of Human Communication*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Tsui, A. B. (1989). Beyond the Adjacency Pair. *Language in Society*, 18(4), 545–564.
- Turner, P. A. (2012). *Grammar, epistemics and action: An epistemic analysis of talk about the self and others* (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/37w562vv>
- Walker, G. (2017a). Pitch and the projection of more talk. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 50(2), 206–225. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2017.1301310
- Walker, G. (2017b). Young children's use of laughter as a means of responding to questions. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 112, 20–32. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2017.02.006
- Walker, T. (2014). Form ≠ Function: The Independence of Prosody and Action. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 47(1), 1–16. doi: 10.1080/08351813.2014.871792
- Walker, T. (2017, July). *The differential design of other-repetition in repair initiation*. Paper presented at the 15th International Pragmatics Conference, Belfast, Northern-Ireland.
- Weidner, M. (2016). Aha-moments in interaction: Indexing a change of state in Polish. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 104, 193–206. doi: 10.1016/j.pragma.2016.05.003
- Wilkinson, S., & Kitinger, C. (2006). Surprise As an Interactional Achievement: Reaction Tokens in Conversation. *Social Psychology Quarterly*,

- 69(2), 150–182. doi: 10.1177/019027250606900203
- Wittgenstein, L. (1958). *Philosophical Investigations* (3rd ed.; G. E. M. Anscombe, Trans.). Oxford, England: Blackwell.
- Wong, J. (2000). Delayed Next Turn Repair Initiation in Native / Non-native Speaker English Conversation. *Applied Linguistics*, 21(1), 244–267.




Image courtesy of xkcd.com