

University of Groningen

Optimal bounds, bounded optimality

Böhm, Udo

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2018

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Böhm, U. (2018). Optimal bounds, bounded optimality: Models of impatience in decision-making. [Groningen]: University of Groningen.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

Optimal Bounds, Bounded Optimality

Models of Impatience in Decision-Making

Udo Böhm

This research was supported by BCN and the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (406-12-125).



Netherlands Organisation
for Scientific Research

ISBN printed version: 978-94-034-0495-0

ISBN digital version: 978-94-034-0496-7

This publication is typeset in L^AT_EX using the Memoir class

Printed by Ipskamp Printing B.V., Enschede

Cover by Viktor Beekman, viktorbeekman.nl

Copyright © 2018 by Udo Böhm

All rights reserved



university of
 groningen

Optimal Bounds, Bounded Optimality

Models of Impatience in Decision-Making

PhD thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD at the
 University of Groningen
 on the authority of the
 Rector Magnificus Prof. E. Sterken
 and in accordance with
 the decision by the College of Deans.

This thesis will be defended in public on

Thursday 5 April 2018 at 11.00 hours

by

Udo Böhm

born on 10 March 1988
 in Stollberg, Germany

Supervisor

Prof. E.-J. Wagenmakers

Co-supervisors

Dr. D. Matzke

Dr. L. van Maanen

Assessment committee

Prof. R.R. Meijer

Prof. H.L.J. van der Maas

Prof. F. Tuerlinckx

To my family

Contents

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Chapter Outline	4
2	Of Monkeys and Men: Impatience in Perceptual Decision-Making	9
2.1	Introduction	10
2.2	Why a Dynamic Component?	12
2.3	Summary and Future Directions	20
3	On the Relationship Between Reward Rate and Dynamic Decision Criteria	25
3.1	Introduction	26
3.2	Theoretical Analysis	29
3.3	Experimental Study	40
3.4	Discussion	55
4	Trial-by-Trial Fluctuations in CNV Amplitude Reflect Anticipatory Adjustment of Response Caution	59
4.1	Introduction	60
4.2	Materials and Methods	62
4.3	Results	67
4.4	Discussion	77
4.5	Conclusions	80
5	Using Bayesian Regression to Test Hypotheses About Relationships Between Parameters and Covariates in Cognitive Models	81
5.1	Introduction	82
5.2	Regression Framework for Relating Cognitive Model Parameters to Covariates	85
5.3	Simulation Study	95
5.4	Discussion	103

6	Estimating Between-Trial Variability Parameters of the Drift Diffusion Model: Expert Advice and Recommendations	109
6.1	Introduction	110
6.2	Structure of the Collaboration Project	113
6.3	Individual Contributions - Bayesian Estimation	115
6.4	Individual Contributions - Maximum-Likelihood Estimation	131
6.5	Individual Contributions - χ^2 Minimisation	138
6.6	Summary	142
6.7	Discussion	146
7	On the Importance of Avoiding Shortcuts in Applying Cognitive Models to Hierarchical Data	151
7.1	Introduction	152
7.2	Statistical Background	155
7.3	Practical Ramifications	160
7.4	Discussion	172
8	Discussion and Conclusions	177
8.1	Summary and Conclusions	177
8.2	Discussion and Future Directions	180
A	Appendix to Chapter 3: ‘On the Relationship Between Reward Rate and Dynamic Decision Criteria’	183
B	Appendix to Chapter 4: ‘Trial-by-Trial Fluctuations in CNV Amplitude Reflect Anticipatory Adjustment of Response Caution’	187
C	Appendix to Chapter 5: ‘Using Bayesian Regression to Test Hypotheses About Relationships Between Parameters and Covariates in Cognitive Models’	195
	Bibliography	201
	Nederlandse Samenvatting	227
	Acknowledgements	233
	Publications	235
	Curriculum Vitae	237