Letter to the Editor

Reply to letter: Neurocognitive and behavioral outcomes in a nearly drowned child with cardiac arrest and hypothermia resuscitated after 43 min of no flow-time: A case study

Sir,

We read with great interest the recent case report by Galbiati et al. on a 15-year-old drowning victim with favourable outcome despite a long period of submersion [1]. We applaud the authors for raising awareness of drowning and its treatment, but were surprised the manuscript included the term ‘nearly drowned’.

In 2003 this journal co-published an advisory statement of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR) which recommended the use of a uniform way of reporting data on drowning: the ‘Utstein Style for Drowning’ [2]. This consensus-based document was created to provide more consistency in describing drowning research and improve comparability between individual studies. In this statement drowning was defined as “a process resulting in primary respiratory impairment from submersion/immersion in a liquid medium. Implicit in this definition is that a liquid/air interface is present at the entrance of the victim’s airway, preventing the victim from breathing air. The victim may live or die after this process, but whatever the outcome, he or she has been involved in a drowning incident” [2]. The use of the term ‘near-drowning’, which was considered to be confusing, was thus abandoned [2]. For more than a decade now this ‘new’ definition of Drowning has been adopted by the World Health Organisation (WHO) and it has also been incorporated in the European Resuscitation Council Guidelines for Resuscitation [3,4].

We still do not fully understand why some victims survive a drowning incident with good neurological outcome as described in the current case report, whereas others do not. To study this, more research is needed. We believe that the use of uniform terminology describing drowning incidents is vital for good, qualitative comparisons of drowning research, and improving patient outcomes. We therefore urge all authors to describe drowning incidents using the terminology advised by ILCOR and the WHO.
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