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ABSTRACT
Emergent Gravity (EG) has been proposed to resolve the missing mass problem in galaxies, replacing the potential of dark matter (DM) by the effect of the entropy displacement of dark energy by baryonic matter. This apparent DM depends only on the baryonic mass distribution and the present-day value of the Hubble parameter. In this paper we test the EG proposition, formalized by Verlinde for a spherical and isolated mass distribution using the central dynamics (Sloan Digital Sky Survey velocity dispersion, σ) and the K-band light distribution in a sample of 4032 massive (M∗ > 1010 M⊙) and local early-type galaxies (ETGs) from the SPIDER datasample. Our results remain unaltered if we consider the sample of 750 roundest field galaxies. Using these observations we derive the predictions by EG for the stellar mass-to-light ratio (M/L) and the initial mass function (IMF). We demonstrate that, consistently with a classical Newtonian framework with a DM halo component or alternative theories of gravity as MOdified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND), the central dynamics can be fitted if the IMF is assumed non-universal and systematically changing with σ. For the case of EG, we find lower, but still acceptable, stellar M/L if compared with the DM-based Navarro, Frenk & White (NFW) model and with MOND, but pretty similar to adiabatically contracted DM haloes and with expectations from spectral gravity-sensitive features. If the strain caused by the entropy displacement would be not maximal, as adopted in the current formulation, then the dynamics of ETGs could be reproduced with larger M/L.
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1 INTRODUCTION
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ETGs and emergent gravity

EMERGENT GRAVITY

EG refers to the idea that space–time and gravity are macroscopic effects arising from underlying microscopic physical phenomena. In many fields of the physics, macroscopic phenomena ‘emerge’ from microscopic processes, for example thermodynamics arising from microscopic states of matter or the Van der Waals force emerging from non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics. Examples are found in different physical environments and thus it is not inconceivable that the nature of gravity could be the macroscopic and cumulative effects of some unknown microscopic physics. Moreover, this would not be surprising considering that classical GR already has signatures which link it to thermodynamics, e.g. black hole thermodynamics. These ideas are not new and different approaches have been followed to describe the emergent gravity (e.g. Sindoni 2012).

In the context of EG, Jacobson (1995) has shown how Einstein equations can be recovered from the black hole entropy and the standard concepts of heat, entropy and temperature in thermodynamics. The idea that gravity is an entropic force was further explored by Padmanabhan (2010) and Verlinde (2011). They suggested that an ‘area law’ scaling of gravitational entropy, as opposed to the usual volume scaling, is essential to derive Einstein’s laws of gravity. Motivated by all these ideas, Verlinde (2017) has used these thermodynamic concepts to suggest a possible alternative explanation for DM. He suggests that the quasi-de Sitter space–time, which would be a fair approximation of the present Universe, dominated by dark energy, can be obtained from a system of microstates which are coherently excited above the true vacuum. This ground state corresponds to an anti-de Sitter space–time filled by a negative cosmological constant and emerges from microstates which are entangled. Thus, Verlinde (2017) uses the theory of elasticity (Padmanabhan 2004) and has suggested that in addition to the area law, a volume entanglement of entropy can be postulated. The stress between the area law in Verlinde (2011) and the volume law in Verlinde (2017) manifests itself in spherical galaxies as an apparent DM on scales set by the ‘Hubble acceleration scale’ \( a_0 = cH_0 \), where \( c \) is the speed of light and \( H_0 \) the present-day Hubble parameter. By studying the dynamics of galaxies and clusters, it is possible to test the evidence for this postulate.

Thus, according to Verlinde (2017), there exists an extra gravitational effect, in addition to the classical GR contribution of \( M_b(r) \) to the gravitational potential. This excess in the gravity emerges due to the volume law contribution to the entropy that is associated with the dark energy content of our universe. In a universe without matter, the total entropy of the dark energy would be maximal, as it would be homogeneously distributed over the microstates. In our

\[ M_{\odot} > 10^{10} \]

In this paper, we will test the EG predictions in the central regions of massive (with stellar masses \( M_\star > 10^{10} M_\odot \)) and local (with redshifts \( 0.05 < z < 0.095 \)) ETGs from the SPIDER survey (La Barbera et al. 2010a). We fix the Hubble parameter and allow the only free parameter of the model, i.e. the stellar \( M/L \), to vary, evaluating our results in terms of the IMF. Then, we compare the EG results with MOND, DM haloes and with findings from gravity-sensitive spectral features. We will also analyse how the Hubble constant value, and thus the acceleration scale, impact our results, discussing how the strain caused by the entropy displacement can be constrained. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the EG proposition, discussing hypothesis and approximations. Standard models for DM haloes and MOND theory are described in Section 3. The data sample is presented in Section 4. In Section 5 we will discuss the results of our dynamical analysis, and finally Section 6 is devoted to discussions and conclusions.
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Universe, on the other hand, its baryonic mass distribution $M_b(r)$ reduces the entropy content of the universe. This removal of entropy due to matter produces an elastic response of the underlying microscopic system, which can be observed on galactic and supergalactic scales as an additional gravitational force. The difference with GR is that this excess gravity does not come from the existence of DM. However, the excess mass in Verlinde’s proposal can still be described by an apparent DM distribution $M_{DM}(r)$.

### 2.1 Formulation

We start with some qualitative arguments. A system with a static, spherically symmetric and isolated baryonic mass distribution is analysed (see Verlinde 2017 for further details). We consider a spherical region with boundary area $A(r) = 4\pi r^2$, which contains a mass $M$ near its centre. The surface mass density $\Sigma_M(r)$ is defined as the ratio of the mass $M$ and the area $A(r)$. Empirically, the directly observed gravitational phenomena attributed to DM is thought to occur when the surface mass density falls below a universal value determined by the acceleration scale $a_0$ (e.g. Milgrom 1983a). This condition could be written as $\Sigma_M(r) < a_0/(8\pi G)$, where $a_0 = c H_0$. This inequality is made more clear if written in terms of de Sitter entropy removed by matter, i.e. in the ‘dark gravity’ regime.

$\epsilon$ can define the strain $\epsilon_{DM}(r)$ associated with the entropy displacement caused by $M_{DM}(r)$, i.e. by adding the dark mass $M$ to the baryonic mass $M_b$.

Thus, when only a part of the de Sitter entropy is removed by matter, the dynamics of stellar objects behaves as in a Newtonian frame.

$\epsilon_{DM}(r)$, defined previously, by

$$\epsilon_{DM}(r) = \frac{8\pi G M_{DM}(r)}{c H_0 A(r)},$$

equation (4), the EG formalism naturally provides the value of a characteristic acceleration scale, $a_{EG} \equiv c H_0/6$.

In our analyses, the mass from equation (4) is added to baryons and the resulting mass is converted into a velocity dispersion using the standard Jeans equations and treating the apparent DM in EG as a real mass component. These predicted velocity dispersions are subsequently compared with the observed velocity dispersions. We will discuss this procedure in more details in the following sections.

### 2.2 Caveats and assumptions

Currently equation (4) is the only specific prediction of the DM in the EG framework and we will use it in the rest of this paper, recognizing the following caveats and restrictions, which as a matter of fact also apply to various other recent papers on EG predictions (Diez-Tejedor et al. 2016; Brouwer et al. 2017; Ettori et al. 2017; Hees et al. 2017; Lelli et al. 2017; Nieuwenhuizen 2017; Pardo 2017). In particular, we will discuss: (a) the assumption of spherical symmetry and isolation of the mass distribution (Section 2.2.1); (b) the cosmological framework, motivating our assumptions about the present-day Hubble parameter (Section 2.2.2); (c) the limitations of the equality in equation (1) (Section 2.2.3); and finally (d) our assumption that the Jeans equations are the same as used in a Newtonian or MONDian framework (Section 2.2.4).

#### 2.2.1 ETGs: spherically symmetric and isolated

The predictions of the excess mass in EG is currently only valid for static, spherically symmetric and isolated baryonic mass distributions. Therefore, one of the best test-bench for Verlinde’s EG is represented by ETGs, which match these characteristics. As we will discuss, massive ETGs are among the best galaxy candidates, since many of them are approximatively spherically symmetric. ETGs contain most of the stellar mass of the universe and represent the final stage of galaxy evolution (Fukugita, Hogan & Peebles 1998). In a standard $\Lambda$ cold dark matter (CDM) scenario, they are thought to be fossil records of the stellar and DM assembly through time. In this scenario, DM is dominant in the external regions, while the extremely complex physics of gas and stars are dominant in the central regions. ETGs exhibit a peaked surface brightness profile and historically have been considered to be well fitted by a de Vaucouleurs (1948) profile, in contrast to late-type systems which present more extended and shallow light distributions, which are described by exponential profiles. However, more detailed analyses show that their light distributions are well described by the Sérsic law (Ciotti 1991), with a shape parameter, $n$ (Sérsic index), that

Equation (1) deserves some attention because due to the inequality, observations can only put a lower bound on the $M_{DM}$ and $H_0$, since a larger value can be accommodated by having a smaller elongation (or compression) of the elastic medium due to the baryonic mass inclusion. Throughout this paper, we assume that the largest principle strain $\epsilon_{DM}(r)$ takes its maximal value and the response of the medium is negligible outside the mass. These assumptions authorize us to adopt the equality in equation (1).

Thus, inserting the relations (2) and (3) into (1), and taking the derivative with respect to $r$ on both sides of the equation, one arrives at the following relation:

$$M_{DM}(r) = r \sqrt{c H_0} \sqrt{\frac{A(M_b(r))}{6G}}.$$

This is the apparent DM formula, which translates a baryonic mass distribution into an apparent DM distribution. As it emerges from equation (4), the EG formalism naturally provides the value of a characteristic acceleration scale, $a_{EG} \equiv c H_0/6$.
accounts for variations of the light profile shape among galaxies (n = 4 corresponds to a de Vaucouleurs 1948 profile). These steep profiles are also accompanied by the absence of disc and spiral arm structures and are thought to be the result of accretion (Hilz, Naab & Ostriker 2013; Tortora et al. 2014a, 2017).

ETG shapes are well described by an oblate or triaxial ellipsoid and when projected on the sky, they have small ellipticities, becoming rounder towards larger masses (Vulcani et al. 2011). However, also when a residual small ellipticity is present, as in most ETGs, the spherical approximation is far better than a similar assumption for spiral galaxies, which are characterized by a central bulge and a pronounced disc, which is far to be approximated by a sphere. The difference between spherical and disc geometry can induce corrections of the order of ~20 per cent for spirals (Lelli et al. 2017).

Moreover, ETGs are found to live in all galaxy environments, from the field to groups and clusters of galaxies. From this point of view they are also good candidates to test Verlinde’s proposal, which has produced an expression for the excess mass for an object only if it is sufficiently far from any other mass distribution and unaffected by recent or ongoing merging-events or close interactions.

2.2.2 Cosmological framework

In order to test EG predictions, we need to make some assumptions about the adopted cosmology, which enters in the distances and the evolution of the Hubble parameter in equation (4). Verlinde’s arguments only hold in a static Newtonian approximation, allowing one to describe gravity phenomena on galactic and super-galactic scales, but they are not sufficient to include the evolution of the Universe. His EG proposal has been only developed in a de Sitter space–time, which relies on the approximation that our universe is entirely dominated by dark energy (in particular by a cosmological constant \( \Lambda \)) and that standard baryonic matter only leads to a small perturbation. Two main issues arise from these assumptions. First, in a de Sitter space–time, the Hubble parameter can be written as

\[
H(z) = H_0 \sqrt{\Omega_m(1+z)^3 + \Omega_r},
\]

and from the comparison with CMB spectrum it is found that the Universe is flat and thus

\[
H(z = 0) = H_0 \quad \text{(e.g. Komatsu et al. 2011)}.
\]

But this is obviously not true in a de Sitter space–time, where the Hubble parameter at \( z = 0 \) depends on the cosmological constant.

A de Sitter space–time is not a realistic model capable to fit the cosmological data, and in particular cannot fit the \( H(z) \) inferred from standard cosmological data [e.g. redshift-distance relation in Type-Ia Supernovae, Riess et al. 1998; cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropies, Komatsu et al. 2011; the baryon acoustic oscillation, BAO, peaks, Percival et al. 2010] nor observational \( H(z) \) data using passive galaxies as ‘cosmic chronometers’ [observational Hubble parameter data (OHD), Jimenez et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2014]. However, at low redshifts, the shape of \( H(z) \) determined from observational probes are almost independent on the exact cosmological model adopted. This is true since various models are fitting the data producing local \( H(z) \) values which are consistent with \( H_0 \) from \( \Lambda \)CDM cosmology within the measurement uncertainties [e.g. Carvalho et al. 2008; Zhang et al. 2014; Faroq et al. 2017]. For the redshifts studied in this paper, i.e. \( z < 0.1 \), the cosmological evolution has a negligible effect on the distance measurements and on Verlinde’s equations. Thus, we assume that observations at \( z \sim 0 \) can be reproduced by an effective \( \Lambda \)CDM model with \( \Omega_m = 0.3, \Omega_\Lambda = 0.7 \) and \( H_0 = 75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \). Here we stay in line with all of the recent papers that have directly or indirectly adopted a background \( \Lambda \)CDM cosmology (Diez-Tejedor et al. 2016; Brouwer et al. 2017; Ettori et al. 2017; Hees et al. 2017; Lelli et al. 2017) to set the value of \( H_0 \) in equation (4) and the distances, and some of them investigated the impact of a varying \( a_0 \). Again in line with these papers, we will also discuss the case when \( a_0 \), and thus \( H_0 \), changes (e.g. Hees et al. 2017; Lelli et al. 2017).

2.2.3 Only a lower bound on DM distribution

Verlinde, as in all the recent literature which addressed his proposal, have assumed that the excess gravity generated from the elastic response and the related apparent DM distribution take their maximal value. This translates to an equality in equation (1). If we consider the inequality in Verlinde’s formula, then a fixed amount of baryons will correspond a smaller \( M_{DM} \). The strain would assume reasonably the largest value sufficiently close to the bulk of mass distribution, where the contribution of the apparent DM first becomes noticeable (Verlinde 2017). But, getting further out, or when other mass distributions come in, the inequality holds. Thus, in the central regions of ETGs this assumption would seem more reasonable, but it does remain arbitrary and needs to be tested. Thus, the relaxation of the equality in our assumption would provide some constraints on the entropy strain.

2.2.4 Jeans equations

We will interpret measured velocity dispersions in terms of gravity by applying the Jeans theorem and assume this is justified in an EG formalism. In this section we will motivate why this is the case. ETGs are self-gravitating systems of stars with random motions, which can be quantified by the velocity dispersion. In a standard Newtonian framework, the motions of stars in the gravitational potential are described by the Jeans equations, which are derived from the collisionless Boltzmann equation and relate the components of the velocity dispersion of the system (e.g. in polar coordinates, \( \sigma_r, \sigma_\theta \) and \( \sigma_\phi \)) to the gravitational potential \( \phi(r) \), and thus to the mass distribution (Binney & Tremaine 1987). The radial Jeans equation is the relevant formula in this paper and gets a very simple expression if one assumes (a) a steady-state hydrodynamic equilibrium; (b) spherical symmetry; and (c) a single tangential velocity dispersion \( \sigma_\beta \);

\[
\frac{d}{dr} \left[ j_r(r) \sigma_r^2(r) \right] + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ j_r(r) \sigma_\theta^2(r) \right] = -j_r(r) \frac{GM(r)}{r^2},
\]

(5)

where \( j_r(r) \) and \( M(r) \) are the deprojected light and total mass distribution, and \( \beta = 1 - \sigma_\beta^2/\sigma_r^2 \) is the anisotropy. This equation holds in the Newtonian case, but can be easily generalized to account for generic acceleration function \( g(r) \), and in particular for MOND formalism, as

\[
\frac{d}{dr} \left[ j_r(r) \sigma_r^2(r) \right] + 2 \frac{B(r)}{r} j_r(r) \sigma_\theta^2(r) = -j_r(r) g(r),
\]

(6)

which assumes the standard expression in equation (5) when \( g(r) = GM(r)/r^2 \).

2 We notice that there is a typo on the right side of equation (2) in Tortora et al. (2014a).
We can use one of the previous two formulas, by just replacing $M_{DM}(r) + M_{\star}(r)$ in equation (5), or, using the MOND formalism, evaluating the centripetal acceleration associated with this apparent total mass in equation (6), consistently with the interpolating function provided in Hees et al. (2017). The radial velocity dispersion from the Jeans equations has to be first integrated along the line of sight and then projected within a finite aperture (rectangular or circular; Tortora et al. 2009). Then, this quantity can be compared to the observed aperture velocity dispersion, $\sigma_{Ap}$, to determine the stellar $M/L$ and/or the present-day Hubble parameter, the only free parameters in equation (4).

We do not find any strong argument against a similar formalism in the Verlinde’s framework, where the hypothesis and approximations discussed to obtain the radial Jeans equations seem to be satisfied. Thus, following Díez-Tejedor et al. (2016) we adopt the radial Jeans equation in equation (5), inserting the total mass, obtained summing up the baryonic and apparent DM mass derived from equation (4), i.e. $M(r) = M_{\star}(r) + M_{DM}(r)$, assuming for the stellar distribution a constant $M/L$ profile. However, this assumption will need to be better analysed in the future.

3 NEWTONIAN AND MONDIA L FRAMEWORK

Here we will adopt some specific DM halo models and also MOND determining their best-fitting stellar $M/L$s and comparing these results with those from EG. In the Newtonian framework, we adopt a two-component model (stars + DM). For the DM distribution we assume some DM halo models, fixing their parameters according to viable recipes, which we will discuss below. While, for the EG and MOND propositions, we use a constant $M/L$ profile, with free stellar $M/L$, $\Upsilon_\star$, for the stellar distribution.

Results using these DM-based models have been presented in Tortora et al. (2013) and Tortora et al. (2014a). New results using MOND with SPIDER data set are presented here for the first time. In Tortora et al. (2014c) we tested MOND using a different datasample, but finding similar results. In the rest of this section, we provide details about all these models.

3.1 DM-based models

The DM profile from N-body simulations is well described by a double power law, commonly referred to as the NFW profile, parametrized by two parameters, the virial concentration index $c_{\text{vir}}$ and the (total) virial mass $M_{\text{vir}}$ (Navarro, Frenk & White 1996, 1997). We adopt NFW as the reference DM model, assuming (a) the correlation between $M_{\text{vir}}$ and $c_{\text{vir}}$ from N-body simulations based on WMAPs cosmology (Macciò, Dutton & van den Bosch 2008); as well as (b) the $M_{\text{vir}}-M_\star$ correlation from abundance matching results in Moster et al. (2010), assuming a Chabrier IMF for $M_\star$. In this way, for each galaxy with a stellar mass $M_\star$, $M_{\text{vir}}$ and $c_{\text{vir}}$ are empirically set, and the DM profile is fully determined.

In order to explore the effect of a possible modification to the DM profile because of the interaction between gas and stars with DM, we also consider the case of an NFW profile with an adjustable degree of baryon-induced adiabatic contraction (AC; e.g. Blumenthal et al. 1986; Gnedin et al. 2004). AC is an approximate way to model the expected drag of dissipatively infalling stars on the surrounding DM particles producing a halo with a higher central DM density than in collisionless N-body simulations. Following Napolitano, Romanowsky & Tortora (2010) we adopt the Gnedin et al. (2004) prescription.

We have also explored how these results depend on the assumed $M_{\text{vir}}-\sigma_{\text{vir}}$ relation (Tortora et al. 2013, 2014a).

We have analysed the impact of the mass density law adopting a Burkert (1995) profile, which is the prototype of cored models, and has been shown to reproduce the DM profile of spirals and dwarf galaxies. The density and scale parameter of the Burkert profile ($r_B$ and $\rho_B$, respectively) are assumed to follow the relation from Salucci & Burkert (2000), adjusted to match results at higher surface density, for two ETGs, by Memola, Salucci & Babić (2011). We have explored two cases in detail, where the scale radius is set to $r_B = 1$ and 20 kpc, respectively. We have shown in Tortora et al. (2014a) that the exact value of $r_B$ has a negligible impact on the inferred stellar $M/L$ values.

3.2 MOND-based models

MOND assumes that standard dynamics is not valid in the limit of low accelerations, such that the gravitational acceleration $g(r)$ differs from the Newtonian one $g_N(r) = GM_\star/r^2$. The MONDian $g(r)$ reduces to the Newtonian one at high accelerations. In the low-acceleration limit, i.e. deep in the MONDian regime, the acceleration is given by $(g/a_0)g = g_N$, where $a_0$ is the MOND acceleration constant. MOND predicts flat rotation curves in the external regions of spiral galaxies and naturally leads to the Tully & Fisher (1977) relation. The characteristic acceleration scale $a_0$ is a fundamental parameter of the theory (Milgrom 1983a). In this paper, we adopt the standard value of $a_0 = 1.2 \times 10^{-10}$ m s$^{-2}$, as calibrated from spiral galaxy dynamics (Begeman, Broeils & Sanders 1991). This value is found to be of the same order of magnitude as the ‘acceleration’ associated with the Hubble constant, i.e. $cH_0$, and to the cosmological constant $\Lambda$, $\approx(\Lambda/3)^{1/2}$ (Milgrom 2001). If we use the definition provided in EG, i.e. $a_0 = H_0 = cH_0/6$, then the value adopted for $a_0$ corresponds to $H_0 \approx 75$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

To connect the low- and high-acceleration regimes, the following expression is adopted:

$$g(r) = \left[ \frac{g(r)}{a_0} \right] = g_N(r),$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

where $x = g(r)/a_0$ and $\mu(x)$ is an empirical ‘interpolating’ function with the properties $\mu(x \gg 1) = 1$ and $\mu(x \ll 1) = x$. One recovers the Newtonian theory when $\mu(x) = 1$ and the deep MOND regime when $\mu(x) = x$. An alternative expression can be obtained making the substitution $\nu(y) = \mu(x)^{-1}$, where $\nu \equiv g_N/a_0$.

We adopt the following expressions:

(i) the first attempts to fit rotation curves adopted the interpolating function $\mu(x) = x/\sqrt{1+x^2}$ (Milgrom 1983a; Sanders & McGaugh 2002);

(ii) later on another law has been suggested to provide a better description of some data, i.e. the ‘simple’ function $\mu(x) = x/(1 + x)$ (Famaey & Binney 2005; Angus 2008);

(iii) recently, using more than 2500 data points in a sample of 153 rotationally supported galaxies, McGaugh, Lelli & Schombert (2016) suggested the following expression $\nu(y) = (1 - \exp(-\sqrt{y}))^{-1}$.

A constant $M/L$ profile with a free $\Upsilon_\star$, is adopted for the total mass distribution (see Tortora et al. 2014c for further details). To reduce the computation time, we follow the same binning procedure used in Tortora et al. (2013), constructing ‘average’ galaxies by dividing our sample into different $\sigma_c$-bins, for which we compute median values of all the stellar parameters ($R_e$, $n$, $M_\star$, $R_{\text{vir}}$, $\sigma_\star$). For each $\sigma_c$-bin and a given interpolation function, we solve the radial Jeans equation.
equation (6) for the $\Upsilon$, value matching the observed average $\sigma_{Ap}$ in the bin.

4 DATASAMPLE

In this section, we will describe the sample of galaxies used and their main properties that we use to test the DM, MOND and EG models.

4.1 SPIDER sample

The SPIDER survey has demonstrated to be very useful in the study of the luminous and DM distribution in the galaxy cores (Tortora et al. 2012, 2013, 2014a). It consists of a sample of 5080 bright ($M_* < -20$) ETGs, in the redshift range of $z = 0.05-0.095$, with optical and near-infrared (NIR) photometry available (grizYJK bands) from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) DR6 and the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey-Large Area Survey DR3 (La Barbera et al. 2010a). Sérsic profile is fitted to the surface photometry using 2DPhot (La Barbera et al. 2008). Thus, the effective radius $R_e$, and Sérsic index $n$ have been measured from $g$ through $K$ bands. SPIDER ETGs have central velocity dispersions, $\sigma_{Ap}$, measured in the circular aperture of the SDSS fibre ($R_{Ap} = 1.5$ arcsec). The median ratio of the SDSS fibre to the $K$-band effective radius is $R_{Ap}/R_e \sim 0.6$. The $\sigma_e$ is the SDSS-fibre velocity dispersion, $\sigma_{Ap}$, corrected to an aperture of one $R_e$ following Cappellari et al. (2006).

ETGs are defined as bulge-dominated systems (i.e. SDSS parameter fracDev$_r > 0.8$, which measures the fraction of galaxy light better fitted by a de Vaucouleurs, rather than an exponential law), with passive spectra within the SDSS fibres (SDSS attribute eClass $< 0$, where eClass indicates the spectral type of a galaxy based on a principal component analysis). See La Barbera et al. (2010a) for further details. For the present work, we rely on a sub-sample of 4032 SPIDER ETGs, with higher quality optical and NIR structural parameters, selected as in Tortora et al. (2012), with Sérsic fits having $\chi^2 < 2$ in all wavebands and uncertainty on log $R_e < 0.5$ dex, as well as stellar masses $M_* \gtrsim 10^{10} M_\odot$ and velocity dispersions $\gtrsim 100$ km s$^{-1}$. For each galaxy, the stellar population-based $M/L$ ratio, $\Upsilon_{Chab}$, has been determined by fitting Bruzual & Charlot (2003) stellar population models to the multiband photometry, under the assumption of a Chabrier IMF (Swindle et al. 2011; Tortora et al. 2012).

All these galaxies reside on the red-sequence, with more than 99 per cent having $g - r \gtrsim 0.5$, within an aperture of $1 R_e$, and a median $g - r = 0.88$. Stellar mass (Chabrier IMF-based) and aperture velocity dispersions for the sample are in the ranges $\sim (0.1-3) \times 10^{11} M_\odot$ and $\sim (100-250)$ km s$^{-1}$, with medians of $5.6 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$ and $157$ km s$^{-1}$, respectively. The $R_e$ and Sérsic index values span the ranges $\sim (0.5-40)\text{kpc}$ and $\sim 2 - 10$, with medians of 3.2 kpc and 6.5.

4.2 A test-bench for EG

ETGs are the best candidates to test Verlinde’s model, since they are the objects which approach the approximations made by Verlinde (spherical symmetry and isolation) and can be found in large numbers in local environments and higher redshift.

ETGs can have a wide range of shapes and in particular, the axis ratios of the SPIDER galaxies have $q \gtrsim 0.2$, with a distribution which is peaked at $q \sim 0.75$, with a median of 0.69. If we limit the analysis to the roundest galaxies, e.g. imposing $q > 0.6$, then 2661 out of 4032 are left and the median axis ratio is $q = 0.77$. The environment of ETGs in the SPIDER sample is characterized by a friends-of-friends catalogue of 8083 groups (Berlind et al. 2006; Lopes et al. 2009), classifying galaxies as either group members, field galaxies or unclassified. We select the sample of 1163 field galaxies. See La Barbera et al. (2010b) for further details. The galaxy sample is left with 750 objects, after both the criteria are applied.

In Tortora et al. (2012) we have found that the impact of the galaxy ellipticity and environment on the DM fractions is negligible. A similar result is found in Tortora et al. (2013), fixing the DM profile as discussed in Section 3.1, and finding that IMF is only negligibly affected. These results further support our choice of retaining the whole sample in our EG analysis. We will also demonstrate that the results for EG remain unchanged if only isolated and rounder galaxies are considered.

5 ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

We derive the dynamical (i.e. total) mass distribution of ETGs by solving the spherical isotropic Jeans equations for the three cases of EG, standard DM models and MOND (see 2.2). A given model for the mass profile is fitted to $\sigma_{Ap}$ for SPIDER. In a Newtonian framework, two-component mass models, describing baryons and DM, are adopted. With MOND, a model for baryons is adopted and equations are modified to account for the change of the force law, as discussed in Section 2.2 (Tortora et al. 2014c).

We assume that gas contributes negligible to the mass profile, i.e. $M_g(r) = M_\ast(r)$ (Courteau et al. 2014; Li et al. 2017). Thus, the baryons are made up by only stars, whose surface brightness is modelled by a Sérsic profile. The shape parameter $n$ and effective radius of the Sérsic laws are those obtained by fitting galaxy images in $K$ band (see Section 4). The light distribution is converted into stellar mass by means of a constant stellar $M/L$ ratio, $\Upsilon_*$, which is a free-fitting parameter. We assume negligible gradients in stellar populations (Tortora et al. 2011) and IMF (Martin-Navarro et al. 2015; Alton, Smith & Lucey 2017).

In the following section we discuss the results of the paper. We will first set constraints on the present-day Hubble parameter in Section 5.1, then in Section 5.2 we fix $H_0$ and leave the stellar $M/L$ free to change, investigating the shape of the IMF and finally in Section 5.3 we investigate the impact of the entropy strain.

5.1 $H_0$ free

Because the value of the $H_0$ enters in both the distances and the EG formula for DM, it is an interesting exercise to first address what would happen in our modelling when it is taken as a free parameter. For simplicity, we start adopting a universal IMF (Chabrier or Salpeter IMF). Then, following the binning procedure in Tortora et al. (2013) and described in Section 3 and adopted in particular for the MOND models, we have created ‘average’ galaxies by dividing our sample into nine $\sigma_e$-bins for which we compute median values and 1σ scatter of all the stellar parameters relevant for our Jeans modelling. Then, fixing the IMF, we perform a joint analysis of the nine ‘average’ galaxies and determine the best-fitting

---


4 We use the dynamical procedure described in Tortora et al. (2009) and Tortora et al. (2014a), no seeing correction is adopted.
value of $H_0$ by minimizing a suitable ‘cumulative’ $\chi^2$ function $\chi^2 = \sum(\sigma_j - \sigma_{\text{mod}})^2/\delta\sigma^2$, with $\sigma_j$ the theoretical $\sigma$ from the Jeans equation and $\delta\sigma$ the error. In the minimized $\chi^2$, stellar masses, effective radii and $R_{\text{Ap}}$, as well as equation (4), depend on $H_0$. Finally, note that, with respect to the analysis made to constrain the $a_0$ in MOND in Tortora et al. (2014c), in this case we are assuming that $a_0$ explicitly depends on $H_0$, which means that $H_0$ cannot vary in terms of galaxy parameters, but has to be universal, since it is a constant of the theory.

We find that in order to match the velocity dispersions of the galaxies in our sample with Verlinde’s model, we need a well-fitted $H_0 = 76 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, if a Chabrier IMF is adopted. Assuming a Salpeter IMF yields $H_0 = 138 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. Following the discussions in Section 2.2, if we assume that (a) the present-day Hubble parameter has to be consistent with predictions from cosmological probes (e.g. Supernovae Ia or OHD observations); and (b) equation (4) is valid, then, on average, a Chabrier-like IMF would work for our sample of galaxies (see Section 2.2). For the range of velocity dispersions of our sample, this result is consistent with stellar populations and independent estimates, as we will show in the next section.

5.2 IMF free

In the rest of this section we adopt a value of the present day Hubble parameter of $H_0 = 75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, consistent with local Universe measurements (e.g. Riess et al. 1998; Jimenez et al. 2003; Percival et al. 2010; Komatsu et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2014). It is the same used for all the results based on DM models and is consistent with $a_0$ adopted for the MOND models.

Fig. 1 shows a typical mass profile for EG and our reference DM-based model (i.e. the NFW+baryons), for an example mock galaxy, setting the Sérsic parameters and stellar mass to the median values of the datasample and assuming a Chabrier IMF. The total mass from EG gravity (i.e. the sum of ‘apparent’ DM and stellar mass) is a factor $\sim 1.4$ larger than the total mass derived from the DM-based NFW model, if we consider the mass profile within $R_e$. To match the two profiles requires a $\Upsilon$, value in EG smaller of a factor $\sim 1.5$ with respect to a standard DM-based model, or equivalently a $\Upsilon$, value in the reference DM-based model of a factor $\sim 1.5$ larger.

To quantify the ability of EG to fit the data, we discuss the mismatch parameter defined as $\delta_{\text{IMF}} = \Upsilon / \Upsilon_{\text{Chab}}$, where $\Upsilon_{\text{Chab}}$ is the $M/L$ obtained by fitting colours with stellar population models having a Chabrier IMF. In Fig. 2 we present $\delta_{\text{IMF}}$ as a function of effective velocity dispersion $\sigma_e$. We find that for EG the values of $\delta_{\text{IMF}}$ are positively correlated with $\sigma_e$, obtaining lower values at the lowest-$\sigma_e$ (i.e. $\delta_{\text{IMF}} \sim 0.7$) and higher values (i.e. $\delta_{\text{IMF}} \sim 1.6$) in the galaxies with the highest $\sigma_e$; on average $\delta_{\text{IMF}} = 0.96$.

Below, we discuss the results shown in Fig. 2 in more details, contrasting the values of $\delta_{\text{IMF}}$ for EG, with the values found for DM-based, MOND and stellar populations models, and we investigate the impact of various assumptions.

(i) Panel (a). In panel (a), the grey shaded region shows the range of the results assuming a wide set of DM-based models, presented in Section 3.1. These results are bracketed by the Burkert profile, producing at fixed $\sigma_e$ the largest values of $\delta_{\text{IMF}}$, and the AC–NFW model, which produces the smallest values of $\Upsilon$ and $\delta_{\text{IMF}}$. The red line is the result for our reference NFW profile (Tortora et al. 2013). On average, the $\delta_{\text{IMF}}$ from EGs are $\sim 1.3$ times smaller than the values for the reference NFW profile. EG models are consistent with DM-based models adopting an AC–NFW.

(ii) Panel (b). The EG results are plotted against the results from MOND in panel (b). The cyan region is bracketed by the results for the two standard MOND interpolating functions adopted. The blue line adopts the interpolation function determined in McGaugh et al. (2016) using the rotation curves of the most up-to-date sample of spiral galaxies. The inferred values of $\delta_{\text{IMF}}$ from EGs are $\sim 1.4$ times smaller than that predicted by MOND.

These results with respect to DM-based and MOND models are consistent with what is found for the bulge components (but not for the discs) in the sample of spiral galaxies in Lelli et al. (2017). Even larger with respect to typical $\Upsilon$, values are found for dwarf spheroidals (Diez-Tejedor et al. 2016). This is expected if we look at the typical baryonic accelerations found in the three different types of galactic objects. Spiral galaxies studied by Lelli et al. (2017) and ETGs studied in the present paper are characterized by similar baryonic accelerations: spirals span the range $\sim 10^{-11.5} \text{ to } 10^{-9} \text{ m s}^{-2}$, while for ETGs the typical accelerations spans $\sim 10^{-11} \text{ to } 10^{-8.5} \text{ m s}^{-2}$. This results in similar values for the fitted $\Upsilon$. While in the deep MOND regime experienced by dwarf spheroidals in Diez-Tejedor et al. (2016), with low accelerations ($\lesssim 10^{-12} \text{ m s}^{-2}$), the excess mass is larger. In this case MOND requires values of $\Upsilon_e$ that are $\sim 2.5$ times greater than those from Verlinde’s EG.

(iii) Panel (c). In panel (c) of Fig. 2 we present the two best results from gravity-sensitive features determined from stacked SPIDER spectra (La Barbera et al. 2013), assuming a two-slope IMF and adopting two simple stellar population (SSP) models with the same IMF but different ages and metallicities (solid orange line) and two SSP models with free ages and metallicities, including, as further free parameters, the abundances of calcium, sodium and titanium (dashed orange line). The EG results agree with these estimates, which are independent from either DM or gravity arguments.

Note that here the factor $\sim 1.3$ is determined solving the Jeans equation and is relative to the aperture of the SDSS fibre. Instead, the $\sim 1.5$ factor discussed at the beginning of this section is obtained finding the best match between the two mass profiles in Fig. 1, within $R_e (> R_{\text{Ap}})$. 

Figure 1. Total mass profile for EG (black line) and our NFW model (red line). We set the parameters to the median values of the sample: $R_e = 3.2 \text{ kpc}$, $n = 6.5$, the redshift to the SPIDER average value ($z = 0.08$) and $M_* = 5.6 \times 10^8 M_\odot$, assuming a Chabrier IMF. The NFW profile is set by fixing $M_{\text{vir}}$ and $c_{\text{vir}}$ as discussed at the beginning of Section 3.
because they are purely derived from the galaxy spectra and from stellar physics.

(iv) Panel (d). The effects of potential sources of systematics are shown in panel (d). First, a possible source of systematics in the EG results is the assumption of isotropic stellar orbits. Thus, we have considered two extreme values of (radially constant) anisotropy parameter \( \beta \) in the Jeans equations (Tortora et al. 2009, 2012, 2016): a tangential anisotropy, \( \beta = -1 \), and a radial anisotropy, \( \beta = 1 \), shown in Fig. 2 as long- and short-dashed black lines, respectively. For tangential (radial) anisotropy larger (smaller) \( \delta_{\text{ IMF}} \) by a factor \( \sim 1.1 \) (\( \sim 1.3 \)) are found with respect to the fiducial isotropic case. Therefore, only if strong radial orbits in ETGs are assumed, EG does not match the results found using DM or MOND and from gravity-sensitive features in SPIDER spectra from La Barbera et al. (2013). Panel (d). For the EG results some systematics are investigated. Long- and short-dashed lines are the medians when highly tangential (\( \beta = -1 \)) and radial (\( \beta = 1 \)) orbits are considered, respectively. Solid and dashed grey lines are for the roundest objects with \( q > 0.6 \) and for field isolated galaxies, respectively. Short- and long-dashed blue lines are for EG with \( H_0 = 50 \) and 100 km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\).  

We have investigated how the assumption of spherical symmetry and isolation of the galaxies affect our results and whether the sample of galaxies are, on average, sufficient round and far from companions in order to be considered a proper test-bench for EG (see also Section 2.2). We first restricted the analysis to SPIDER ETGs with K-band axial ratio \( q > 0.6 \) (see grey solid line in Fig. 2), in order to limit to the rounder galaxies. This subsample consists of 2661 galaxies. The overall results are practically unchanged. Similarly, we found a negligible impact if we limit ourselves to the 1163 field galaxies (grey dashed line in Fig. 2). Consequently, the results are unchanged if we combine these two constraints, limiting the analysis to a sample of 750 isolated and rather round galaxies. As for EG, this small impact of the elliptical shape and of the environment was already verified in our previous analysis (e.g. Tortora et al. 2012; Tortora et al. 2013). In Section 5.1 we have determined the best-fitting \( H_0 \) in EG for a fixed Chabrier and Salpeter IMF. Next we study the impact of different values of \( H_0 \) on the inferred values of \( \delta_{\text{ IMF}} \). Although unrealistic, we will adopt two extreme values \( H_0 = 50 \) and 100 km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\). The results are shown in Fig. 2 as short- (long-)dashed blue lines, respectively, with smaller (larger) \( \delta_{\text{ IMF}} \) by a factor \( \sim 1.5 \) (\( \sim 1.3 \)) with respect to the fiducial case. \( H_0 \) values of \( \sim 50 \) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\) would be problematic for Verlinde’s EG model yielding very low stellar \( M/L \) values, which particularly at low \( \sigma \) would be at odds with predictions from spectral synthesis and independent literature (e.g. La Barbera et al. 2013). We also analysed how these \( H_0 \) values impact MOND results, by updating the adopted \( d_0 \) and distances with the components.  

![Figure 2. IMF mismatch parameter \( \delta_{\text{ IMF}} \), for the SPIDER ETGs, as a function of effective velocity dispersion, \( \sigma_e \). Horizontal lines show the reference values for Chabrier (bottom) and Salpeter (1955) (top) IMF. Black squares and error bars are median and 25–75th percentile trends for EG results. Comparison with other models (DM- and MOND-based) and some systematics are shown in the different panels. Panel (a). Red solid line plots the medians for the fiducial DM-based model (i.e. NFW+Sérsic). Shaded grey region encompasses most of the DM-based models discussed in Tortora et al. (2014a); in particular the region is bracketed by the Burkert and the AC–NFW models. Panel (b). The cyan region is bracketed by the results obtained using the two first MOND interpolating function adopted in this paper. The blue line plots the results using the interpolating function from McGaugh et al. (2016). Panel (c). The orange region is from the analysis of gravity-sensitive features in SPIDER spectra from La Barbera et al. (2013). Panel (d). For the EG results some systematics are investigated. Long- and short-dashed lines are the medians when highly tangential (\( \beta = -1 \)) and radial (\( \beta = 1 \)) orbits are considered, respectively. Solid and dashed grey lines are for the roundest objects with \( q > 0.6 \) and for field isolated galaxies, respectively. Short- and long-dashed blue lines are for EG with \( H_0 = 50 \) and 100 km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\).](https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-abstract/473/2/2324/4222610)
new $H_0$ values. We find similar changes in $\delta_{\text{MB}}$, which will leave unaltered the relative discrepancy between the $\delta_{\text{DM}}$ values from EG and MOND.

In first approximation, we notice that if we would account for small residual gas content, then the values of $\Upsilon_*$ decrease for all the results discussed in this section, potentially leaving unaffected the difference between the different models adopted. However, the analysis of gas contribution could be more complicated than this simple picture, since it will follow a different distribution than stars, potentially impacting the total mass in EG and other models in a different way.

5.3 Entropy strain

Following Verlinde (2017) and all the subsequent literature, we adopted the equality in equation (1). As we discussed in Section 2.2, this is only an assumption. There is no particular motivation to make this assumption.

In Section 5.1, since the acceleration scale is a constant in the EG proposition, we performed a joint analysis of our galaxies considering $a_0$ to be universal. However, the Hubble parameter in EG, and thus $a_0$, might be also thought to enclose the information about the elastic medium deformation if the principal strain does not take its maximal value. We will investigate the case when the equality is not valid, introducing a further dimensionless parameter $v_{\text{el}}$ in Verlinde’s model, by converting equation (1) in

$$\int_0^{r'} \epsilon_{\text{DM}}(r')A(r')dr' = v_{\text{el}}(r)^2 V_{\text{MB}}(r).$$

(8)

The parameter $v_{\text{el}}$ probes Verlinde’s hypothesis of maximum response to the entropy displacement, determining how the elastic medium is responding to baryonic matter. If we consider the inequality in Verlinde’s formula, then to a fixed amount of baryons will correspond a smaller $\epsilon_{\text{DM}}$ and $M_{\text{DM}}$.

We adopt $H_0 = 75 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ and assume a Chabrier IMF. Looked at its face value, $v_{\text{el}}$ acts similarly to $\delta_{\text{DM}}$, although it has a very different meaning, being related to the intrinsic properties of the medium, more than to the gas condensation properties and IMF settling. Thus, velocity dispersions for all the SPIDER galaxies can be reproduced if the parameter $v_{\text{el}}$ varies with $\sigma_c$, determining a different change of entropy displacement in terms of the baryon mass. In fact, $\epsilon_{\text{DM}}$ takes its maximal value at lower masses/$\sigma_c$, and is smaller, for larger $v_{\text{el}}$ in equation (8), when larger masses/$\sigma_c$ galaxies are considered.

Finally, we assume that the IMF is non-universal as found in Section 5.2, and we infer the $v_{\text{el}}$ which matches the typical DM-based, MOND and stellar population results in the literature. The comparison with the results from gravity-sensitive features is good, suggesting that the effective model of Verlinde, and in particular the assumption about equality in equation (1) might be warranted. To recover the results from our reference DM-based model or MOND a value of $v_{\text{el}} \sim 1.15 - 1.20$ is found.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the emergent gravity framework from Verlinde (2017) using the central dynamics of a sample of local massive field and round ETGs. ETGs represent an excellent test-bench of EG because they approximate nearly spherical systems and can also be found in more isolated environments. Under a set of clear stated assumptions (i.e. maximum entropy strain), we show that EG can reproduce the observed kinematics in the central regions of ETGs, predicting stellar $M/L$ ratios, $\Upsilon_*$, similar to what is found in spiral galaxies (Hees et al. 2017; Lelli et al. 2017). For EG, to match the central velocity dispersion in ETGs, $\Upsilon_*$ needs to be non-universal and increasing in values from below a Chabrier to a Salpeter IMF, with increasing stellar velocity dispersion $\sigma_*$, and comparable with stellar population studies (see Section 5 for details). However EG produces lower values of $\Upsilon_*$, if compared with independent frameworks (on average $0.96 \times \Upsilon_{\text{Chab}}$, and $0.7 \times \Upsilon_{\text{Chab}}$ in the lowest- $\sigma_*$ systems). This is similar to results found recently by Lelli et al. (2017) for the bulge components in a sample of spiral galaxies. Those authors conclude that EG can be qualitatively consistent with rotation velocity curves and the radial acceleration relation in spiral galaxies, only if we decrease the values of $\Upsilon_*$. Although these values are lower than MOND predictions and our reference NFW+Sérsic model, the agreement with the $\Upsilon_*$ derived from gravity-sensitive features in SPIDER spectra or adiabatically contracted DM halo models is quite good (La Barbera et al. 2013).

Thus, the main conclusion of this paper is that EG, DM-based models in a Newtonian framework and MOND do reproduce the central dynamics of ETGs and none of them can be excluded or favoured.

However, in EG, observations can only put a lower bound on the apparent DM ($M_{\text{DM}}$) and acceleration ($a_0$). Following Verlinde (2017) and the recent literature on the subject, we assumed that the entropy strain $\epsilon_{\text{DM}}$ takes its maximal value, a hypothesis which can be also incorrect. If we consider the inequality in the Verlinde’s formula (equation 1), then to a given baryonic mass of the galaxy, a lower amount of apparent DM is predicted. If we assume that IMF and $H_0$ are given, then the entropy strain $\epsilon_{\text{DM}}$ has to be maximal for galaxies with $\sigma \sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and smaller for more massive galaxies. Moreover, if we assume that the strain is not maximal, e.g. ~1.2 times smaller, then the central dynamics in ETGs can only be reproduced with a higher stellar $M/L$ ratio.

More detailed analysis is needed to study the entropy strain, to better understand the properties of the medium and the reaction to matter displacement. We plan to further investigate the radial mass density gradients, which can help to discriminate between EG and other frameworks. In addition, an alternative probe is provided by ETG strong gravitational lenses, in particular Einstein rings which are known to have round potentials, which through the measure of the arc radius and of the central dynamics of the lens, allow to determine the lens mass, providing more stringent constraints on the mass profiles and the mass density gradients (e.g. Barnabè et al. 2009, 2011; Treu et al. 2010). It would also be interesting to study samples of galaxies with extended (in radius) kinematical data sets, such as Planetary Nebulae and Globular Clusters. With such galaxies, it is possible to probe the mass distribution in the external regions, where DM effects should be dominant (e.g. Coccato et al. 2009; Napolitano et al. 2009, 2011; Pota et al. 2013, 2015; Alabi et al. 2016). We plan to test the EG formalism with these data sets, probing the apparent mass in equation (4) beyond the effective radius, where the uncertainties in the stellar mass are less relevant and the gas would contribute more to EG.
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