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Abstract

The insulin-like growth factor (IGF) system comprises multiple growth factor receptors, including insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF-1R), insulin receptor (IR) -A and -B. These receptors are activated upon binding to their respective growth factor ligands, IGF-I, IGF-II and insulin, and play an important role in development, maintenance, progression, survival and chemotherapeutic response of ovarian cancer. In many pre-clinical studies anti-IGF-1R/IR targeted strategies proved effective in reducing growth of ovarian cancer models. In addition, anti-IGF-1R targeted strategies potentiated the efficacy of platinum based chemotherapy. Despite the vast amount of encouraging and promising pre-clinical data, anti-IGF-1R/IR targeted strategies lacked efficacy in the clinic. The question is whether targeting the IGF-1R/IR signaling pathway still holds therapeutic potential. In this review we address the complexity of the IGF-1R/IR signaling pathway, including receptor heterodimerization within and outside the IGF system and downstream signaling. Further, we discuss the implications of this complexity on current targeted strategies and indicate therapeutic opportunities for successful targeting of the IGF-1R/IR signaling pathway in ovarian cancer. Multiple-targeted approaches circumventing bidirectional receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) compensation and prevention of system rewiring are expected to have more therapeutic potential.

Introduction

Ovarian cancer

Ovarian cancer has the highest mortality rate of all gynecological tumors, due to the late onset of symptoms. It represents the fifth leading cause of cancer-related death in American and European women [1]. Most ovarian carcinomas are of epithelial origin (90%) and can be classified into four major histological subtypes, which include serous (70%), endometrioid (10–15%), mucinous (10%) and clear cell (5%). Although these subtypes represent clinically different entities, all patients receive standard treatment consisting of cytoreductive surgery followed by a combination of platinum- and taxane-based chemotherapy. Despite high initial response rates towards chemotherapy, approximately 70% of all patients succumb to recurrent resistant disease within five years after diagnosis. Since the introduction of platinum-based chemotherapy, over three decades ago, survival rates have only marginally improved. For a subgroup of patients vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitors or poly ADP ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitors are added to standard platinum- and taxane-based treatment regiments [2]. Despite these novel treatment options, platinum-based chemotherapy in combination with taxane-based chemotherapy remains the main pillar in systemic ovarian cancer treatment. Therefore, there is a need for the development of novel and patient tailored therapeutic strategies [3–6].

The IGF system

The insulin-like growth factor (IGF) system is a complex system comprising transmembrane growth factor receptors, growth factor ligands, high affinity IGF binding proteins (IGFBPs), IGFBP proteases and low affinity IGF binding protein related proteins (IGFBP-rP) that regulate both physiological and pathophysiological processes involved in glucose metabolism and cell proliferation [7–11]. Briefly, the IGF signaling pathway comprises the transmembrane receptors, insulin-like growth factor receptor type I (IGF-1R), insulin receptor (IR) -A and -B, the orphan receptor insulin related receptor (IRR) and insulin-like growth factor receptor type II (IGF-2R). Growth factor ligands include IGF-I, IGF-II and...
Fig. 1. IGF signaling pathway and targeting strategies. (A) The classical model of IGF signaling involves IGF ligand-receptor interaction followed by downstream signaling transduction via the canonical phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)-AKT and RAS-extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) pathways [12,13]. (B) Targeting the IGF signaling pathway is achieved by multiple strategies including anti-IGF-1R monoclonal antibodies targeting IGF-1R homo- and heterodimers, dual IGF-1R/IR tyrosine kinase inhibitors and IGF-I and -II ligand capturing antibodies abrogating IGF mediated signaling while maintaining insulin signaling.
insulin. Ligand binding to IGF-1R and IR (but not IRR and IGF-2R) transduces downstream signaling via the canonical phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)-AKT and Ras-extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) pathways [7,12,13] (Fig. 1). In addition, cellular IGF-I and IGF-II availability is regulated by 6 high affinity IGF-binding proteins (IGFBP-1-6) and 10 low affinity IGFBP-related proteins (IGFBP-rP 1-10) [8,14].

Hyper activation of the IGF signaling pathway is implicated in the development, maintenance, progression, survival and chemotherapeutic response of many types of cancer, including ovarian cancer [15–18]. This has led to the development of many therapeutic strategies inhibiting or preventing activation of the IGF signaling pathway in cancer cells predominantly via IGF-1R blocking antibodies and tyrosine kinase inhibitors inhibiting both tyrosine kinase domains of IGF-1R and IR [19,20]. In many pre-clinical studies these anti-IGF-1R/IR targeted strategies proved effective in reducing growth of ovarian cancer models. In addition, these anti-IGF-1R targeted strategies potentiated the efficacy of platinum based chemotherapy. However, despite the vast amount of encouraging and promising pre-clinical data, anti-IGF-1R/IR targeted strategies lacked efficacy in the clinic. The question is whether targeting the IGF-1R/IR signaling pathway still holds therapeutic potential.

In this review we address the complexity of the IGF-1R/IR signaling pathway, including receptor heterodimerization within and outside the IGF system and downstream signaling. Further, we discuss the implications of this complexity on current targeted strategies and indicate therapeutic opportunities for successful targeting of the IGF-1R/IR signaling pathway in ovarian cancer.

Complexity of the IGF signaling pathway

Here we will discuss the complexity of the IGF signaling pathway focusing on the various IGF receptors, receptor heterodimerization within the IGF system and the consequences for downstream signaling.

Receptors

IGF-1R, IR and IRR are structurally and functionally related receptors existing as homo- and/or heterodimers. Each receptor dimer is composed of two monomers containing an extracellular α-subunit and transmembrane β-subunit, which are linked together by disulfide bonds in a β-α-β-β rearrangement [21]. Both subunits are synthesized as a single precursor polypeptide and processed to a functional receptor by proteolytic cleavage of the α- and the β-subunit in the trans Golgi network before transportation to the cell surface [22]. High sequence homology between IGF-1R, IR and IRR allows for the formation of heterodimers comprising one α-subunit and one β-subunit of the respective receptor [23–28]. Heterodimer formation occurs by random assembly of the receptor monomers and reflects the molar ratios of the individual receptors. Therefore, less abundant receptors are usually expressed in heterodimer formation [25].

Alternative splicing of exon 11 in IR pre-mRNA, results in the expression of two IR isoforms, IR-A-Ex1 and IR-B-Ex1 respectively. IGF-1R does not have an equivalent of IR exon 11 and is therefore not alternatively spliced [21].

Although IGF-1R, IR (-A and -B) and IRR are functionally and structurally related receptors, they differ in their ligand binding affinities (Table 1). From high to low order of binding affinity, IGF-1R binds IGF-I, IGF-II and insulin whereas IR binds insulin, IGF-II and IGF-I. The alternatively spliced IR-A has a higher affinity for both IGF-I and IGF-II compared to IR-B. IGF-II binding affinity of IR-A resembles that of IGF-1R, making IR-A a high affinity receptor for IGF-II. Indeed, IR-A transduces IGF-II signals and can compensate for IGF-1R loss [29,30]. Importantly, IR-A is primarily expressed during embryonic development and in cancerous tissues, whereas IR-B is primarily expressed in insulin responsive tissues e.g. liver, muscle and adipose tissue [31]. These observations have led to a paradigm in which IR-A is considered to mediate the mitogenic effects of insulin and IGF-II, whereas IR-B is considered to primarily mediate the metabolic effects of insulin. The implications of IGFR-1, IR-A and IR-B expression in cancer cells will be discussed in more detail in part III.

In contrast to IGF-1R and IR, IRR does not bind IGF-I, IGF-II or insulin and to date no IR activating ligand has been identified. Instead, IRR is proposed to be an external pH sensor as it is activated at an external cellular pH of 7.9 and higher [32]. Importantly, IRR does form heterodimer receptors with IGF-1R and IR, possibly affecting their function.

In contrast to the aforementioned receptors, IGF-2R (or cation-independent mannose-6-phosphate (M6P)) is a structurally unrelated receptor. IGF-2R encompasses a single transmembrane protein, which lacks intrinsic catalytic activity. IGF-2R has binding affinities for IGF-II and M6P. IGF-2R acts as an IGF-II scavenger receptor, providing the IGF system next to IGFBPs an additional mechanism to regulate cellular IGF-II availability. After binding of IGF-II to IGF-2R, the complex is internalized and degraded. In this regard M6P/IGF2R is also considered a tumor suppressor gene. Interestingly, IGF-2R does bind G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs), possibly providing the IGF system an additional mechanism for IGF signal transduction [33].

Receptor heterodimerization within the IGF system

IGF-1R heterodimers

Receptor heterodimerization within the IGF system impacts ligand binding affinities (Table 1) [26–28]. IGF-1R can form heterodimers with IR-A, IR-B and IRR. Both IGF-1R:IR-A and IGF-1R:IR-B heterodimers bind IGF-I and IGF-II with a high affinity whereas they bind insulin with low affinity. Ligand affinities of these heterodimers are similar to those of IGF-1R homodimers (Table 1) [27,28,34]. Heterodimer formation reflects the molar ratios of the individual receptors. Consequently, in cells with high IGF-1R/IR
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ratios, IR is primarily expressed as IGF-1R:IR heterodimer, generally favoring IGF-mediated signaling at the expense of insulin-mediated signaling [23].

**IR heterodimers**

In addition to heterodimerizing with IGF-1R, IR-A and IR-B can be expressed as homo- and heterodimers. Both IR-A and IR-B homo- and heterodimers are characterized by high insulin binding affinities whereas IGF-I binding affinity is low compared to IGF-1R homo- and heterodimers. In contrast to insulin and IGF-I, IGF-II binding affinities differ between IR-A and IR-B homo- and heterodimers. IR-A homodimers and IR-A:IR-B heterodimers are relatively high IGF-II affinity receptors compared to IR-B homodimers (Table 1) [28]. These data suggest that cells expressing high IR-A/IR-B ratios maintain high sensitivity to insulin but are more sensitive to IGF-II compared to IGF-I [28].

**IRR heterodimers**

As aforementioned, IRR can form heterodimers with IGF-1R and IR [24,23]. From literature it is known that insulin needs two binding sites for high-affinity receptor binding. In contrast, IGF-II requires only one high-affinity binding site [35]. Since IRR does not bind insulin, IGF-I or IGF-II, it is tempting to speculate that IRR heterodimers (IGF-1R:IRR, IR:IRR) are low-affinity insulin binding heterodimers, whereas IGF binding affinity remains unaffected [24].

**Downstream signaling**

The classical model for downstream signaling upon IGF ligand-receptor interaction involves the transduction of downstream signaling via the canonical PI3K-AKT and ERK pathways in a ligand dependent ON/OFF mechanism [12,13]. The PI3K-AKT and ERK pathways have been extensively reviewed elsewhere [12]. It is now becoming more clear that the classical model of IGF-1R/IR activation and downstream signaling is highly simplified, as it implies that IGF-1R/IR receptor activation initiates a uniform signaling response via the PI3K-AKT and ERK pathways, independent of the receptor composition and the ligand involved. However, distinct downstream responses are identified in various cell line models [36–39].

How this is regulated is not fully understood but multiple factors affect downstream signaling responses, including: (1) ligand-receptor binding affinity, (2) differential binding of downstream protein substrates to the receptor depending on the ligand-receptor combination involved [40], (3) differential receptor internalization and recycling kinetics depending on the ligand-receptor combination involved [41–43], (4) receptor localization in different membrane domains [44].

**IGF signaling pathway in ovarian cancer**

IGF-1R, IR-A, IR-B, IRR, IGF-I and IGF-II, as well as their regulating IGFBPs, are expressed in ovarian cancer cell lines and ovarian cancer tissues [15,45–48]. Here we will discuss the role of the IGF system and signaling pathway in ovarian cancer in more detail.

**IGF receptors**

IGF-1R is the predominant expressed receptor in ovarian cancer cells. Therefore IR is expected to be mainly present in IGF-1R:IR heterodimer formation, favoring IGF-mediated signaling over insulin-mediated signaling in these cells. In addition to IGF-1R overexpression, IR-A is preferentially and highly expressed in ovarian cancer cells. As a result of enhanced IR-A expression IR-A:IR-B ratio has changed, which favors IR-A homo- and IR-A:IR-B heterodimer formation [46]. This will augment IGF-II signaling without affecting insulin signaling [28]. High IGF-1R expression has been implicated in the chemotherapeutic response of ovarian cancer, as IGF-1R gene expression correlates with cisplatin resistance [49,50].

IRR is expressed in multiple human tissues including the ovary [48,51]. IRR expression was shown to increase from normal ovarian surface epithelium to malignant ovarian surface epithelium, suggesting a role for IRR in ovarian cancer development [48]. Since IRR is expressed in cancer cells and can form heterodimers with both IGF-1R and IR, IRR may have an effect on insulin leaving IGF signaling unaffected.

In contrast to IGF-1R, IGF-2R gene expression is frequently decreased in ovarian cancer, which is consistent with its possible role as a tumor suppressor [47].

**IGF ligands**

IGF-I and IGF-II have been implicated in the development, maintenance and chemotherapeutic response of ovarian cancer [52–55]. Both IGF-I and IGF-II expression levels are significantly increased in ovarian cancerous tissues compared to their benign counterparts [54,56]. In addition, ovarian cancer cells excrete IGF-I and IGF-II indicating the presence of autocrine and/or paracrine signaling [16,57]. Further, high IGF-I gene expression in ovarian cancer tumors has been implicated in intrinsic resistance to platinum-based chemotherapy [52,58]. In ovarian cancer cell lines, IGF-I treatment induced cisplatin resistance via IGF-1R/PI3K pathway activation. IGF-1R/PI3K inhibition re-sensitized these cells to cisplatin [49]. In addition, IGF-II mRNA was upregulated in paclitaxel resistant ovarian cancer cell lines compared to sensitive cell lines. IGF-II knockdown rendered these cells sensitive to paclitaxel indicating a role for IGF-II in mediating paclitaxel resistance [55].

**IGFBPs**

To increase IGF stability and half-life in the circulation, 98% of all IGFs are bound in binary complexes with one of the six IGFBPs or in ternary complexes with either IGBP-3 (~75%) or IGBP-5 and the 85 kDa glycoprotein acid-labile subunit (ALS). IGFBPs are primarily produced in the liver and regulate IGF-I and IGF-II tissue distribution and cellular bioavailability. IGFBP binding to extracellular proteases results in the degradation of IGFBPs consequently releasing IGF-I and IGF-II for cellular IGF-1R/IR activation. Many human cancers, including ovarian, cancer express IGFBPs. Possibly, this allows the formation of a locally available pool of IGF-I and IGF-II for IGF-1R/IR activation. Importantly, IGFBPs can both facilitate or attenuate IGF-1R/IR receptor signaling. Enhanced expression of both tumorigenic (IGFBP-2, IGBP-4 and IGBP-5 [59,60])

**Table 2**

IGF signaling pathway targeted strategies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeting strategy</th>
<th>Compound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-IGF-1R monoclonal antibodies</td>
<td>AMG-479 (Ganitumab) IMC-A12 (Cixutumumab) CP-751.871 (Figitumab)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small molecule inhibitors (TKIs)</td>
<td>MK-0664 (Dalotuzumab) OSI-906 (Inotuzumab) BMS-754807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF-1/II neutralizing monoclonal antibodies</td>
<td>AXL1717 (Picropodophyllin) MEDI-573 BM1 BI 836845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi-specific IGF-1R/HER3 antibody</td>
<td>MM-141 (Istratumb)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and tumor suppressor (IGFBP-3, IGFBP-5 [61–63]) associated IGFBPs has been observed in ovarian cancer.

**Targeting the IGF signaling pathway in ovarian cancer**

**Pre-clinical data**

Targeting IGF-1R using antisense strategies, monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) directed at the alpha domain of IGF-1R or small molecules inhibiting the kinase domain of IGF-1R have proven to be effective inhibitors of ovarian cancer cell proliferation in ovarian cancer models (Table 2). Moreover, IGF-1R inhibition potentiated the efficacy of platinum- and taxane-based chemotherapy in these models both in vitro and in vivo [16.50,53,57,64–67].

IGF-1R knockdown using antisense strategies inhibited IGF-1 induced cell proliferation in OVCA-3 and CaOV-3 ovarian cancer cell lines [16]. In primary ovarian cancer cell lines antisense IGF-1R strategies inhibited cell proliferation and sensitized these cells to cisplatin [67]. IGF-1R inhibition by siRNA interference reduced tumor growth and angiogenesis, and enhanced apoptosis in an OVCA-3 ovarian cancer xenograft model [66].

IGF-1R monoclonal antibody AMG-479 (Ganitumab) potently inhibited IGF-I, IGF-II and insulin signaling via IGF-1R homo- and IGF-1R/IR heterodimers in multiple ovarian cancer cell lines [16]. In primary ovarian cancer cell lines antisense IGF-1R strategies inhibited cell proliferation and sensitized these cells to cisplatin [67]. IGF-1R inhibition by siRNA interference reduced tumor growth and angiogenesis, and enhanced apoptosis in an OVCA-3 ovarian cancer xenograft model [66].

Due to high homology between tyrosine kinase domains of IGF-1R and IR, IGF-1R tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) inhibit both IGF-1R and IR and thus function as dual IGF-1R/IR inhibitors. IGF-1R/IR inhibition using TKI NVP-AEW451 in IGF-1 and IGF-II producing OVCA-3 and OVCA-4 cells inhibited cell growth, induced apoptosis and sensitized these cells to cisplatin [57]. Similarly, IGF-1R/IR TKI BMS-754807 inhibited growth of multiple ovarian cancer cell lines in vitro [68]. In agreement with the finding that IGF-I mRNA was over-expressed in low-grade compared to high-grade serious ovarian cancer tumors, low grade serous ovarian cancer cell lines (HO-8 and MPSC1) were sensitive to IGF-1R/IR inhibition by the RTK inhibitor OSI-906 (Linsitinib) compared to high grade serious ovarian cancer cell lines (SKOV-3, OVCAR420, HeyA8, and 2774) [53].

**Clinical data**

Multiple clinical studies with IGF-1R mAbs and RTK inhibitors have been performed in ovarian cancer patients (Table 3). Whereas pre-clinical studies using mAbs and RTK inhibitors were promising, most clinical trials using mAbs targeting IGF-1R as a single treatment strategy have shown little clinical benefit. A phase 2 study, using anti-IGF-1R mAb ganitumab (AMG-479) as a second line therapy in patients with recurrent platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer, reported to have modest single agent benefit (NCT00719212) [69]. Sixty-one EOC patients were included in this study. Patient characteristics included serous (68.8%), endometrioid (8.3%), clear cell (6.5%), mucinous (3.3%), mixed (3.3%) and other (9.8%). Ganitumab was well tolerated and did not show any unexpected safety concerns. According to RECIST criteria median progression free survival (PFS) was 2.1 months (95%CI, 2.0–2.8). 2 partial responses (3.4%) and 22 stable diseases (38%) were observed.

Combining anti-IGF-1R mAbs with first line chemotherapy or PI3K-AKT pathway inhibitors has not shown clinical benefit either. A phase 2 study adding ganitumab to first line chemotherapy in 170 patients with optimally debulked EOC has been terminated prematurely (NCT00718523). Patient characteristics included serous (83%), endometrioid (5%), clear cell (2%), mucinous (0.5%), mixed (4.5%) and other (5%). No difference in PFS between control (placebo) and ganitumab arms was observed (NCT00718523). A Phase I/II study evaluating the combination of ganitumab and PI3K inhibitor BYL719 has been completed. To our knowledge no data has been published so far (NCT01708161). A parallel-arm phase 1 study, using dalotuzumab (MK-0646) a humanized anti-IGF-1R monoclonal antibody, in combination with the AKT inhibitor MK-2206 or the mTOR inhibitor ridaforolimus did not show any objective response according to RECIST criteria. In this study only 12 EOC patients were included. Due to the limited number of patients no preliminary conclusion on efficacy could be established [70]. Antibodies targeting IR in cancer have, to our knowledge, not been developed due to the expected high toxicity.

Largely selective IGF-1R or IR TKIs are not available. One phase I/II study with the dual IGF-1R/IR RTK inhibitor linsitinib (OSI-906) evaluating intermittent and continuous OSI-906 dosing and weekly paclitaxel in patients with recurrent EOC (and other solid tumors), has been completed (NCT00889382) [71]. A total of 58 patients were included in this study. Limited data has been published so far. The combination linsitinib and paclitaxel did not show any unexpected safety concerns. Partial responses were observed in 6 patients (10%) including 3 EOC patients and stable disease in 25 patients (43%) including 10 EOC patients.

**Implications of IGF signaling pathway complexity**

In retrospect, the complexity of the IGF signaling pathway may have contributed to the failure of IGF targeting strategies in the clinic. Here we will discuss novel insights regarding this complexity and discuss opportunities for successful IGF signaling targeting in the future.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Trial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMG 479 (Ganitumab)</td>
<td></td>
<td>NCT01708161 Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study of the combination of BYL719 (PI3K inhibition) plus AMG 479 in adult patients with PIK3CA mutated or amplified ovarian carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study of adding AMG 479 to first line chemotherapy in patients with optimally debulked epithelial ovarian cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study of AMG 479 as second line therapy in patients with recurrent platinum-sensitive ovarian cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSI-906 (Linsitinib)</td>
<td></td>
<td>NCT00719212 Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study evaluating intermittent and continuous OSI-906 and weekly paclitaxel in patients with recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MK-0646 (Dalotuzumab)</td>
<td></td>
<td>NCT01243762 Terminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Study of Dalotuzumab + MK-2206, Dalotuzumab + MK-0752, and Dalotuzumab + MK-8669 Combination Therapies in Participants With Advanced Cancer (MK-0646-027)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Heterodimer formation and RTK compensatory signaling

In the clinic, single IGF-1R targeting strategies using anti-IGF-1R mAbs have proven to be insufficient. This may be due to compensatory signaling by other RTKs. IR(-A) can compensate for IGF-1R signaling inhibition, thereby inducing resistance to anti-IGF-1R targeted therapies [30]. In addition to the aforementioned IGF-1R heterodimers, IGF-1R has been shown to form direct/heterodimer interactions with RTKs outside the IGF system. Direct interactions with the HER (erbB) family of receptors have been described, more specifically with EGFR (erbB1), HER2 (erbB2) and HER3 (erbB3) (Fig. 2) [72–77]. Moreover, IGF-1R heterotrimerization with HER2 and HER3 has been demonstrated [78]. IGF-1R heterodimer formation with RTKs outside the IGF system may have contributed to the lack of efficacy in the clinic. In addition to these direct RTK interactions, indirect interactions between the IGF-1R, HER and c-Met signaling pathways have been reported [79]. Downstream signaling of all these RTKs converge via the canonical PI3K-AKT and ERK signaling pathways. Therefore, all these RTKs may compensate for loss of downstream signaling upon IGF-1R inhibition. Although dual targeting strategies using IGF-1R/IR RTK inhibitors overcome IGF-1R signaling compensation by IR, it can be equally compensated by other RTKs e.g. EGFR, HER2, HER3 and c-Met. IR is a less promiscuous receptor and primarily interacts with receptors within the IGF system. However, direct/heterodimer interactions with c-Met, have been described in hepatocytes [80]. In addition, indirect interactions between IR and EGFR signaling pathways have been described in hepatocellular and colon cancer cells [81,82]. For example, IR-A signaling conferred resistance to EGFR RTK inhibitor gefitinib in colon cancer cells [81]. These direct and indirect IGF-1R and IR interactions have not been demonstrated in ovarian cancer cells or tissues, so far. However, since ovarian cancers frequently overexpress EGFR, HER2, HER3 and c-Met, it may well be that these interactions play a role in ovarian cancer as well.

Importantly, RTK compensatory signaling is observed to be bidirectional, meaning that not only HER family members can confer resistance to IGF-1R targeted therapies, but IGF-1R can confer resistance to HER family targeted therapies as well. Though this is primarily observed in breast and lung cancer cells [78,83,74,84], similar observations have been made for ovarian cancer cells [84,85]. For example, HER2 was highly activated in ovarian cancer cell lines in response to the dual IGF-1R/IR TKI BMS-536924 [84]. Dual HER family and IGF-1R/IR inhibition by BMS-599626 and BMS-536924, respectively, indeed induced synergistic growth inhibition [84]. Conversely, IGF-1R and HER3 were significantly upregulated in trastuzumab resistant SKOV-3 ovarian cancer cells [85].

Underestimation of the downstream complexity

Recently, it has been demonstrated that IR, IGF-1R and IGF-2R also engage in G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) signaling [12,13]. Both IR and IGF-1R have been shown to bind G-proteins and β-arrestin-1. In addition, IGF-2R binds GPCRs, possibly providing IGF-2R a method for signal transduction. For IGF-1R, however, all components of a functional GPCR have been attributed. Consequently, IGF-1R is now proposed to function as an RTK/GPCR hybrid (reviewed in: [13]). It is important to note that IR and IGF-1R utilize different G-proteins possibly providing a mechanism for the observed signaling specificity as different G-proteins regulate different downstream effectors [86].

In addition to its role as a RTK/GPCR hybrid, the paradigm of biased signaling has been added to IGF-1R signaling. The paradigm of biased signaling originates from the GPCR signaling field. Central

![Fig. 2. IGF signaling pathway inhibition and signaling rewiring routes. (A) Anti-IGF-1R monoclonal antibodies inhibit IGF-1R signaling and induce IGF-1R receptor internalization and down-regulation effectively inhibiting IGF-1R function. IGF-1R antibodies in addition to blocking IGF-1R receptor function can function as biased agonist and unintentionally induce receptor internalization activating IGF-1R/β-arrestin-1 mediated ERK signaling. Further IR can compensate for loss of IGF-1R signaling by mediating IGF-II and IGF-I signaling via IR-A thus sustaining proliferative signals via the PI3K and ERK signaling pathways. (B) Dual IGF-1R/IR targeting by tyrsoine kinase inhibitors prevents compensatory IR signaling. However, upon IGF-1R and IR signaling loss multiple other RTKs (including members of the HER family) can compensate as downstream signaling of all these receptors converge via the canonical phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)-AKT and RAS-extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) pathways. Further, IGF-1R can form heterodimers with EGFR, HER2 and HER3 providing another method of resistance to IGF-1R inhibition. Therefore, multiple targeted therapies are warranted.](image-url)
to this paradigm is that either the ligand or the receptor is biased towards a specific signaling pathway [13]. For example, besides blocking IGF-1R, IGF-1R mAbs can induce receptor internalization, which unintentionally activates IGF-1R/β-arrestin-1 mediated ERK signaling thus functioning as an IGF-1R/β-arrestin-1 biased agonist (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the newly recognized IGF-1R agonist bacterial peptide LL-37 selectively activates the ERK pathway without affecting the PI3K-AKT pathway, suggesting biased signaling as well. The classical model for RTK signaling is based on ligand-receptor interaction followed by tyrosine kinase activation and linear signal transduction via the PI3K and ERK pathways but does not take into account signaling independent of tyrosine kinase activity. This might be an important factor contributing to the failure of these antibodies in the clinic.

Opportunities for future IGF targeted strategies in (ovarian) cancer

Targeting IR-A

The role of IGF-1R in cancer development, progression and chemotherapeutic response is evident. Meanwhile, IR has been largely ignored as a possible secondary target for years. This is partly due to the fact that IR was considered non-targetable because of its major role in glucose homeostasis and the subsequent expectation of high toxicity. However, clinical trials using dual IGF-1R/IR RTK inhibitors have shown manageable side effects, making dual IGF-1R/IR inhibition feasible. Common side effects included fatigue, nausea and hyperglycemia. Hyperglycemia was reported in only 2% of all patients in a phase 3 study investigating the effects of dual IGF-1R/IR RTK inhibitor Linsitinib (OSI-906) [87].

It has been demonstrated that the IR-A isoform, predominantly expressed in cancer cells, mediates the growth promoting effects of IGF-II in addition to the metabolic effects of insulin [29,88,89]. The mechanisms involved in preferential IR-A expression in cancer cells are largely unknown. In contrast, IR-B expression is frequently reduced in cancer cells [90]. In ovarian cancer cells, preferential IR-A expression either or not accompanied by elevated IGF-1R levels is expected to have a high impact on the formation of receptor heterodimers. In Ewing’s sarcoma, IR-A specifically induced resistance to anti-IGF-1R therapy in tumors with a low IGF-1R:IR ratio [91]. Thus, targeting IR-A in addition to IGF-1R would be a preferred strategy. However, specific targeting of either one of the IR isoforms has not been achieved so far.

Multiple splicing factors are involved in IR exon 11 splicing and could be potential targets. These include SRSF1, SRSF3 which are known to promote exon 11 inclusion and CELF1 (CUG-BP-1), hnRNPF and nhRNPA1 which inhibit exon 11 inclusion [92]. In addition, upregulation of hnRNPH, hnRNPA2B1, and SF2/ASF splicing factors resulted in enhanced IR-A formation [82].

IR-A and IR-B are similarly matured in the trans-Golgi network by the proprotein convertase furin [22]. However, a recent study demonstrated that IR-B proteolytic cleavage is not solely dependent on furin. When furin-dependent maturation was blocked, both IR-A and IR-B pro-receptors moved to the cell surface but only IR-B was further proteolytically matured by another proprotein convertase PACE4. These data suggest that furin inhibition may be a method to reduce IR-A maturation and its mitogenic signaling without affecting the metabolic signaling of IR-B.

In summary, IR specific or IR isoform specific targeting is not feasible yet. Targeting IR splicing factors or the proprotein convertase furin might be an indirect way of reducing IR-A expression without affecting IR-B. These results warrant further investigation.

Targeting IGF-I and IGF-II

In addition to their proposed function as an RTK/GPCR hybrid it was stated that IGF-1R and IR are dependence receptors [93]. Meaning that these receptors transduce positive signals in the presence of ligands leading to cell survival, whereas in the absence of ligands receptor-mediated negative signals initiate programmed cell death [93]. These insights advocate the use of IGF-capturing antibodies. Currently two IGF ligand-capturing antibodies are evaluated in phase I clinical trials, MEDI-573 and BI 836845 respectively [94,95]. Phase I dose-escalation and safety studies show MEDI-573 to be well tolerated (NCT01340040) [96]. For BI 836845a phase I trial has been completed but no results have been published so far (NCT01403974). Although IGF-I and IGF-II ligand-capturing antibodies were initially developed to specifically inhibit mitogenic IGF-1R/IR signaling, an additional advantage would be the abrogation of mitogenic IGF-II signaling via IR-A.

Multi-targeted approaches aimed at preventing RTK system rewiring

Both IGF-1R and IR-A are important targets in ovarian cancer. Though, dual IGF-1R/IR RTK inhibitors tackle the problem of IGF-1R compensatory signaling by IR-A, dual IGF-1R/IR inhibition is equally compensated by multiple other RTKs. Therefore, multi-targeted approaches, either aimed at preventing this RTK system rewiring or aimed at targeting multiple RTKs in combination with downstream pathway inhibitors, such as PI3K, AKT and ERK inhibitors, may be more effective. Dual IGF-1R/IR and HER receptor family inhibition can be a strategy to overcome potential compensatory signaling in response to RTK inhibition of either receptor. This was illustrated by effective dual HER family and IGF-1R inhibition by RTK inhibitors and a bi-specific antibody against IGF-1R and HER3 in ovarian cancer cell lines [84,97].

Recent data now advocate the combinatorial use of RTK, PI3K or ERK inhibitors with BET bromodomain inhibitors. These BET bromodomain inhibitors suppress RTK system rewiring by preventing the up-regulation of several compensatory RTKs, including HER family receptors, IGF-1R, IR as well as c-Met [98–100]. In OVCAR-3 ovarian cancer cells PI3K inhibition resulted in compensatory up-regulation of IR and HER3. Combined PI3K and BET bromodomain inhibition reduced cell proliferation in these cells more efficiently than either treatment alone [98]. Ovarian cancer patient derived xenograft (PDX) models characterized by high MYCN or c-MYC expression levels exhibited sensitivity towards BET bromodomain inhibition by JQ1 [101]. Recently, it was shown in ovarian cancer cell lines that BET bromodomain inhibitors may have limited success as single treatment as adaptive kinome reprogramming occurs in response to single BET bromodomain inhibition as well [100]. Therefore, BET bromodomain inhibition also requires combination therapies targeting both kinases and BET bromodomain proteins. Indeed, ovarian cancer cells chronically exposed to BET bromodomain inhibition by JQ1 became sensitive to combination therapies targeting RTKs, PI3K or ERK signaling [100].

Other multi-targeted approaches

Finally, combination strategies targeting other signaling pathways, e.g. the angiogenesis pathway and intrinsic (mitochondrial) apoptotic pathway as well as combinations with immunotherapy should be investigated.

During the last two decades, anti-angiogenic therapy has proven to be an effective strategy in ovarian cancer. Bevacizumab, a vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitor, has been added to standard platinum- and taxane-based chemotherapy regimens for a select subgroup of patients [2]. Moreover, IGF-1R signaling pathway has been implicated in bevacizumab resistance.
Dual VEGF and IGF-1R inhibition by bevacizumab and cixutumumab enhanced tumor growth inhibition in ovarian cancer cells and was more effective than either treatment alone [102]. These results suggest combining VEGF inhibitors with IGF-1R/IR inhibitors is a possible treatment strategy worth to investigate.

In addition to VEGF inhibition, combination strategies with inhibitors of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway should be further investigated. IGF-1R and IR signaling protects cells from apoptosis via the intrinsic (mitochondrial) apoptotic pathway by PI3K-AKT and ERK pathway activation [103]. Signaling via these two pathways inhibits pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family member BAD by maintaining its phosphorylation status [103]. Phosphorylation of BAD prevents its heterodimerization with the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family members Bcl-xL and Bcl-2. This enables Bcl-xL and Bcl-2 to exert their anti-apoptotic function by maintaining the mitochondrial integrity [104]. In addition, signaling via PI3K-AKT or ERK promotes transcription of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family member Mcl-1 whereas it inhibits transcription of pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family members including Bim [105]. Anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family members Bcl-xL and Mcl-1 and pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family member Bim are considered important targets in ovarian cancer [105]. Recently, it was shown that combined PI3K-AKT and ERK pathway inhibition sensitized ovarian cancer cells to Bcl-xL inhibition [105]. These results indicate that a combination of PI3K-AKT and ERK pathway inhibition, for instance by targeting IGF-1R/IR, with inhibitors of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway may be a valid novel therapeutic strategy for ovarian cancer.

Currently, immune checkpoint inhibitors are under intense investigation in the clinic for many types of cancer, including ovarian cancer, and results are promising [106]. It has become clear that in addition to the intended effect on downstream signaling pathways, many targeted treatment strategies also directly modulate immune responses. For example, PI3K-AKT pathway inhibitors have been shown to sensitize cells to immunotherapy [107]. Therefore, combining IGF-1R/IR inhibitors with immunotherapy may be worth investigating.

Conclusions and perspectives

Ovarian cancer remains the most lethal gynecological cancer, therefore novel targeted strategies are warranted. The IGF-1R/IR signaling pathway plays an important role in development, maintenance, progression, survival and chemotherapeutic response of ovarian cancer and there is ample pre-clinical evidence demonstrating the therapeutic relevance of IGF-1R/IR targeted strategies in ovarian cancer. Therefore, IGF-1R/IR targeting strategies entered the clinic with high expectations. However, in the clinic these high expectations were tempered by lack of efficacy. The question now remains whether targeting the IGF-1R/IR signaling pathway still has any therapeutic potential.

In retrospect, single IGF-1R targeting strategies were not sufficient as IGF-1R signaling loss is compensated by IR-A as well as multiple other RTKs e.g. EGFR, HER2, HER3 and c-Met. Dual IGF-1R/IR RTK inhibitors tackle the problem of compensation by IR but are equally compensated by other RTKs e.g. HER2 and lack clinical efficacy as well. These RTK compensatory mechanisms are bidirectional, therefore multi-targeted approaches, either aimed at preventing this RTK system rewiring e.g. BET bromodomain inhibitors or multi-targeted approaches aimed at targeting multiple RTKs in combination with downstream pathway inhibitors of PI3K, AKT and ERK pathways may have more therapeutic potential.

In the clinic dual IGF-1R/IR inhibitors are well tolerated demonstrating IR targeting is feasible. IR is highly expressed in ovarian cancer tissues with IR-A being the predominant isofrom. The mechanisms involved in this preferential IR-A expression in cancer cells are largely unknown and warrant further investigation. Furthermore, the importance of IR-B in cancer development and progression cannot be excluded. As single IGF-1R and dual IGF-1R/IR targeting strategies failed in the clinic, single IR targeting may be worth investigating. As such the development of specific IR targeting strategies deserves further exploration.

Recently, even more complexity was added to the IGF-1R signaling pathway. IGF-1R and possibly IR are proposed to function as RTK/GPCR hybrid receptors. In line with this, both IGF-1R and IR are now considered to be dependence receptors. These observations advocate the use of IGF-capturing antibodies in the clinic.

Finally, targeting a single node within the PI3K and ERK signaling pathway is limited by compensatory mechanisms within and between these pathways. Therefore, combination strategies with immunotherapy or inhibitors of other signaling pathways, e.g. angiogenesis, intrinsic apoptosis and GPCR signaling, should be investigated. For successful targeting of the IGF-1R/IR signaling pathway in the clinic, multiple-targeted approaches should be explored in pre-selected patient cohorts, which warrant further research to identify biomarkers of treatment efficacy.

Search strategy

Literature was searched (until August 2017), with the following search criteria in PubMed: [insulin-like growth factor receptor or IGF-1R] combined with [insulin-like growth factor or IGF- system], [insulin receptor or IR], [insulin receptor isoforms or IR isoforms], [IR-A], [IR-B], [cancer], [IGF-I], [IGF-II], [insulin], [binding affinity] [ovarian cancer], [receptor heterodimerization], [downstream signaling], [targeting], [receptor hydrids], [receptor crosstalk] and variations thereof. Only studies published in the English language were included. Clinicaltrials.gov was used to evaluate completed and ongoing clinical trials with IGF-1R and IR targeted agents in ovarian cancer patients.
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