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Background: Assessment is an essential part of the educational system. Usually, assessment is used to measure students’ knowledge, but it can also be used to drive students’ learning and behaviors. Assessment programs should be constructed in a well-balanced way allowing not only measuring students’ knowledge but also changing students’ behavior.

Objectives: In this paper, we will discuss different strategies that can be used to change students’ behaviors which may improve their learning.

Main findings: Assessment as well as the learning material should be congruent with the objectives. Based on the literature, we summarize findings that would address key points to allow assessment for learning instead of learning.

Conclusions: When constructing an assessment program, choices have to be made that depend on aspects like logistics, financial, organizational, managerial, educational culture, and cooperation of individual and key faculty members. It is important to realize that whatever decisions you make, students will always adapt their behavior to the way you construct your assessment program.
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Introduction

It is important to realize that the way we assess our students has an enormous effect on their learning and their learning behavior. Assessment programs should therefore be constructed in a well-balanced and sensible way. In this paper, we will discuss practical choices that intend to optimize assessment strategies.

It has been said that assessment drives learning i.e. by assessing students we force them to learn what we want them to learn.¹ Ian Hart explicitly illustrated this though by using the phrase ‘Students learn what you inspect rather than what you expect them to learn’ at the AMEE conference in Prague 1998. Looking at assessment from the perspective of the student brings us to the following well-known but often not explicitly formulated issues. The first 2 things students want to know at the start of a course is ‘When is the test and what happens if I fail?’ These two are strong determinants of study behavior (time on task). In addition a third question they ask is what do I need to know. The learning goals/objectives should be clear to students and staff right from the beginning and the assessment as well as the learning material should be congruent with the objectives. In this paper, we discussed specific strategies to drive students’ learning through assessment.

Tip 1. Regular testing = regular learning

It is well appreciated that the majority of students start learning only when they need to: i.e. 2–3 weeks before a test.² It is known that procrastination is a common problem, interfering with knowledge retention let alone knowledge application. So how can we steer students to study more regularly? Regular study will increase their knowledge retention.³ One way may be to give students assignments, but if these assignments do not add to their final mark, they may not put sufficient effort in it⁴ and therefore they will not study regularly. When such assignment has consequences for their mark students will put a serious effort in it.⁵ Six. Thus, an assessment program should steer students’ study strategies to enhance learning and long-term retention. Also, scheduling your test more regularly will decrease students’ procrastination.⁶ For example, a test’s schedule of every 3–4 weeks will not allow students to lean back and postpone studying their learning material.⁷

Tip 2. Time = limited

A week has a limited number of hours. The working hours per week vary from country to country. Formal working weeks in Europe ranges from 36 to 40 h. Students also have a social life, practice sport, enjoy their family and friends, and of course need to sleep and work. A week has five working days and two weekend days, depending on the culture a calculation should be and can be made
Tip 3. Avoiding competition

Competition between tests or other curriculum activities should be avoided. A test should not be considered as standalone but seen in the context of the complete assessment program. When constructing such a program, it should be realized that tests and other assessment and educational activities should be scheduled in such a way that there is no competition. Otherwise, students will study hard for one test (most often the first one in a row) and spend less time on the others. In other words, a program should have a feasible planning of tests and educational activities in order to be ‘do-able.’

Tip 4. Tests are significant

The above-mentioned considerations are helpful in stimulating students to pass tests at the formal assessment moment. Students should take tests seriously. We would undermine this if the re-sit would be very attractive for students. This is the case when the resit is planned soon and when the resit assesses only parts of the regular assessment. A resit within 2 weeks after the formal test does not only stimulate procrastination behavior of students before the formal test, but also competes with educational activities during the week of the resit. This we should avoid. That means that the best place for a resit is the summer vacation. This does not compete with formal educational activities and of course is unattractive for students: an extra stimulus to pass the formal test.

We need to gather enough test information of students. The more information we have, the more reliable high-stakes decisions will be.8 That is another reason to use sub-tests. If a student has an unsatisfactory score after all subtests, then the resit should cover the whole body of knowledge. However, in the summer vacation there is no time for ‘spreading’ subtests. So, the whole body of knowledge will be tested at once. This is also less attractive for students. The result is that students will spend more time on task for the regular tests.

Tip 5. Meet expectations

Students often feel insecure when facing a test. It is important to realize this and to communicate that all students can pass your test. Passing tests must be feasible; they should not be too difficult. Tests should reflect the study material regarding content and difficulty level. Suppose that only 10% of the study material is difficult then also the test should reflect this and contain more or less the same percentage of difficult items. Tests should contain items on easy as well as difficult parts of the study material and not just the difficult issues. It is unrealistic to assume that students will know the easy parts and not assess that. In addition, it would also be unfair to only assess the difficulty parts, since students need to acquire the easy as well difficult material.

Tip 6. Compensation increases motivation

Tests are not perfect, since there is always noise in the measurement and students should not become victim of that. Students that have ‘enough’ knowledge should not fail. If students fail a test while knowing enough, they may lose their motivation to continue. At the same time, capable students that did not prepare well enough, should also be given the change to compensate their ‘mistake.’ To achieve that, students should receive a strong stimulus to study harder. This stimulus is an opportunity to compensate a low (initial) result with a high mark on a subsequent test.10 Instead of students taking one high-stake test, they would take several tests that in the end would compose their grade. Repetition of the content in sequential tests will stimulate students to repeat study material on which they previously performed poorly.

Tip 7. Cognitive psychological considerations

The aspects mentioned above all fit in findings from cognitive psychology. These suggest on the one hand that spacing study activities benefit students’ long-term retention. This is known as the spacing effect.11 Thus, avoiding students to cram before a test and spread their study activities benefits their knowledge retention. This is explained by the fact that students would retrieve the same information repeatedly over time, which would make it easier to retrieve it later on. Another important finding from cognitive psychology is the so-called testing effect, which refers to improving the long-term retention by being tested instead of re-studying.12 So on the other hand testing itself induces a learning effect. Combining both effects would result in a cumulative assessment in which previous material is repeated over time and it would steer students to study in a spaced way instead of cramming before the test.

Tip 8. What does demotivate students?

As previously mentioned the assessment program should be constructed to motivate students to study optimally. The value of a test and the expectation students have are key motivational factors. On the other hand, competition between tests or other study activities works demotivating: you can only do one thing at a time. Too many resit possibilities and unfair standard setting procedures are big other de-motivators.

The absence of ‘repair’ possibilities and performances without consequences should be prevented.2
Tip 9. Preparing an assessment program

**Measuring knowledge**

Students should be regularly assessed in a variety of ways in line with the formulated learning objectives and at different levels. At the end of students’ university training, they should have acquired an extensive amount of knowledge. Often, students’ assessment focuses on the knowledge that they are currently studying but not at the end of the level. We argue that it is important to verify students learning through testing their current knowledge, but also focus on which stage students are of their knowledge acquisition. To enhance learning, feedback must be provided.\(^{13,14}\)

Part of an assessment program could be a progress test, which is a longitudinal systematical assessment that assesses students’ knowledge at the end level.\(^{15}\) Since this test is at end level and curriculum independent, students cannot learn for it. It serves as a thermometer and feedback instrument and allows us to verify students’ knowledge growth and whether the amount of knowledge matches their progression in the curriculum. Integrating a progress test in an assessment program brings many benefits, especially if a consortium of different universities is created.\(^{15,16}\) Progress testing has been shown to be a valid and reliable tool to measure students’ knowledge,\(^{17}\) knowledge growth,\(^{18}\) different types of knowledge,\(^{19}\) and benchmarking.\(^{15,19,20}\) However, a progress test cannot replace other knowledge tests, since it is necessary to engage students in a more regularly testing in which they will focus on specific learning material.

Especially when working with large study units, end of block tests have shown to be ineffective for learning, since students cram before tests. Besides that, end of block tests do not allow for regular feedback or compensation. Also, it tends to become the only assessment that students have in one block, which makes the stake of the end of block test high and difficult to pass.

In contrast, a cumulative assessment format increases students self-study hours,\(^{7}\) it will spread their study time throughout the semester\(^{2}\) and will decrease the stakes of the individual tests.\(^{9}\) Besides that, it will allow regular feedback for students in which they will be aware of their knowledge gaps as their knowledge.

**Type of questions**

The type of questions plays an important role in students’ learning.\(^{21,22}\) Traditionally, questions have been classified accordingly to Bloom’s taxonomy.\(^{23}\) Lower order questions are items requiring students to remember or/ and basically understand of the knowledge. Higher order questions are items requiring students to apply, analyse, or/and evaluate.\(^{24}\) Students who practice solely with higher order questions have been shown to perform better on lower order and higher order questions than students who practice with just lower order questions.\(^{21,22}\)

When designing an assessment program, questions that require lower and higher order of cognitive processing should be used. Lower order questions are desirable for novice students who have not yet acquired the necessary knowledge to be applied. For example in progress testing, novice medical students correctly answered more lower order questions whereas more advanced students correctly answered more higher order questions.\(^{18}\) Thus, the usage of questions should be aligned with the learning objectives and the test difficulty.\(^{25}\)

**Measuring competency**

With the change of curriculum paradigm from knowledge based to competency based, new ways of assessment were developed, especially for clinical practice. The measurement of clinical competence often occurs during the professional practice through observation.\(^{26}\) Assessing clinical practice is more challenging than assessing students’ knowledge, since clinical competence takes into account other aspects, such as communication, practical skills and collaboration. Besides assessing students’ in a controlled environment, it is important to assess students’ in their real practice, known as workplace-based assessment.\(^{27}\) Implementing workplace-based assessment is key to insure that students possess the necessary clinical competence for an unsupervised practice. This implementation, however, is time-consuming and requires well-trained teachers. In addition, for a reliable measurement of clinical competence it is necessary to use many observations of the same students by different raters.\(^{28}\)

Theoretically, the change from knowledge to competency framework allows students to learn at their own pace, since they have to master a certain competence instead of only acquiring knowledge. This may result in changing the current milestone (for a discussion see Norman, Norcini, Bordage, 2014).\(^{29}\) It is also necessary to develop new ways of assessment that allow us to change the milestone. For example, the Entrustable Professional Activities (EPA)\(^{30}\) is one way of assessment that allows assessing students at their own pace.

**Tip 10. Using all the information possible**

In an assessment program that contains both low and high-stake tests, there should be no single high-stake test, but only a high-stake decision at the end of a semester or even a year.\(^{31}\) For this decision, all tests should be considered for students’ assessment and in such a system compensation between assessments should be possible. This will give more information about the students since many tests that are at different levels, from different perspectives and measuring different competencies can be taken into account.

**Conclusions**

It may be clear from the above that many choices have to be made. These will not only touch upon the issues we discussed. Additional factors that influence these choices are logistics, financial, organizational, managerial, educational
culture, cooperation of individual and key faculty members, and many others. Whatever comes out of it compromises will be needed. Which characteristic has more weight in the compromise will depend on the context and the purpose of the assessment.  

Finally, it should be emphasized that whatever assessment program you choose students will always adapt their behavior in order to learn as efficient as possible. Choices you made may have an undesired effect on students’ learning behavior. It is therefore detrimental to monitor the process and make adjustments when and where necessary.
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