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Abstract
Despite a general favourable outcome in limited stage diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), relapses occur in about 10 to 20% of patients. Prognostic models only partially identify patients at risk for relapse. Moreover, it is not known whether the outcome after such a relapse is similar to the outcome after relapse in advanced stages. From January 2004 through December 2012, all newly diagnosed patients with stage I(E) DLBCL were retrospectively analysed from 2 clinical databases to investigate the relapse pattern and outcome in relation to initial treatment and clinical characteristics. In 126 patients (median age 64 years), histologically confirmed stage I(E) DLBCL was diagnosed. With a median follow-up of 53 months (range 5-132 months), 1 progressive disease and 18 relapses occurred. The 5-year time to tumour progression and disease-specific survival were 85% (95% CI 79-91%) and 92% (95% CI 87%-97%), respectively.

We observed no significant difference in relapse localization, time to tumour progression, and disease-specific survival between patients treated with abbreviated R-CHOP plus involved field radiotherapy or with 6 to 8 cycles of R-CHOP. Analysis of relapses showed relapse >5 years after initial treatment (late relapse) in 5 of 19 patients (26%). Six of 19 patients (32%) had central nervous system relapse. Three of 11 relapsed cases available for analysis (28%) showed a MYC translocation, suggesting an overrepresentation in the relapse group. Outcome of patients with a relapse was poor with a median survival after relapse of 8 months. Only 1 patient (5%) underwent successful autologous stem cell transplantation. To improve outcome in these patients, early identification of new biological factors such as a MYC translocation or a high risk for CNS dissemination might be helpful. Moreover, treatment of any relapse after stage I disease should be taken seriously. Salvage treatment should be similar to relapses after advanced DLBCL.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) accounts for 25 to 30% of adult non-Hodgkin lymphomas.1 Twenty-five to 40% of patients present with limited stage disease, defined as stages I and II according to the Ann Arbor classification.

Until the beginning of this century, optimal treatment for limited stage DLBCL used to consist of 3 cycles of cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (CHOP) chemotherapy plus involved field radiotherapy (IFRT). This combined modality approach resulted in a significantly better overall survival (OS) than treatment with 8 cycles of CHOP alone.2 The addition of rituximab to CHOP has increased OS with 10-15% in both limited stage and advanced stage DLBCL.3-5 Apparently, this became for many haematologists a reason to refrain from consolidation IFRT for patients with stage I and II.6 Although randomized controlled trials are lacking, a very large registry study covering >59,000 patients strongly suggested that combined modality therapy was associated with better OS, even in the rituximab era.6
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Despite the generally favourable outcome, relapses still occur in 10 to 20% of patients with limited stage DLBCL and 5-year OS ranges between 75% and 94%, which suggests that salvage of relapses is frequently unsuccessful. Clinical prognostic models only partially identify patients at risk for relapse. Biological tumour characteristics such as cell of origin and especially presence of MYC translocation have prognostic significance in DLBCL. We decided to analyse in an observational cohort study the relapses of patients with stage I(E) DLBCL focusing on (1) initial therapy (only R-CHOP vs. combined modality treatment), (2) clinical characteristics and risk profile of the patient, (3) patterns of relapse, (4) if available the presence of MYC breaks, and (5) the final outcome after treatment. To this end, we used 2 large databases in the northern part of the Netherlands, thereby avoiding trial-based selection and better approaching real life observations.

2 | MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 | Study design and patient identification

Clinical data on all consecutive patients with histologically confirmed stage I(E) DLBCL diagnosed during an 8-year period from January 1, 2004 through December 31, 2012 were retrieved from 2 clinical databases from 5 medical centres and 1 academic medical centre. The combined databases are representative of the incidence, characteristics, and treatments of patients in the northern part of the Netherlands. Patients should have received at least 1 cycle of R-CHOP. Primary cutaneous, central nervous system (CNS) large B-cell lymphoma, primary mediastinal B-cell lymphoma, and immunodeficiency lymphomas were excluded. At diagnosis, patients were staged by fludeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (18FDG PET) and/or computed tomography (CT) scans. The stage-adjusted IPI and CNS IPI were used to stratify patients. Pathological review was performed by experienced haematopathologists (RK and AD). Approval for this observational study was obtained from the Medical Ethics Review Committee from Medical Centre Leeuwarden. Informed consent was waived in accordance with Dutch regulations.

2.2 | Treatment and follow-up

Patients were categorized into 2 treatment regimens: combined modality treatment consisting of abbreviated R-CHOP (3-4 cycles) plus IFRT or R-CHOP only (6-8 cycles). The number of R-CHOP cycles administered was registered for all patients. Staging was performed by 18FDG PET/CT scan in 59% of patients (n = 74) and by CT scan in 41% (n = 52). End of treatment response was assessed by 18FDG PET/CT scan in 75% of patients (n = 93) and by CT scan in 25% (n = 31). Tumour responses were classified as complete remission, partial response, stable disease, or progressive disease (PD) according to the International Working Group. In all patients, relapse was confirmed with 18FDG PET scan, CT scan, and/or magnetic resonance imaging. Histological confirmation of relapse (or in case of CNS localization multicolor flow cytometry of spinal fluid) was available in 68% (n = 13) of patients. Reasons for not performing histological conformation were early relapse (n = 4) and CNS localization (n = 2). In case of PD or relapse, the subsequent salvage treatment and response was retrieved from the clinical records. Early treatment-related mortality was restricted to death during or ≤3 months after treatment. Relapses after 5 years were designated as late relapses. Follow-up was completed until December 2015.

2.3 | MYC fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis

For evaluation of a MYC translocation, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue blocks were collected of the relapsed DLBCL cases. Interphase fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was performed on 3-µm-thick whole tissue sections of the primary tumour as previously described by using Vysis break apart probes (Abbot Technologies). All categorical variables were expressed as counts and percentages. Where applicable, differences between groups were evaluated by chi-square for binary variables and independent t tests for continuous variables. Cox regression was used for univariate analysis. Given the low incidence of events no multivariate analysis was performed. A 2-tailed P value of less than 0.05 indicated statistical significance. All analyses were performed by using IBM SPSS Statistics version 22.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Clinical characteristics

A total of 126 patients with a median age of 64 years were eligible for analysis. At presentation, 41% (n = 50) of patients had a nodal localization; extranodal sites consisted of gastrointestinal (20%, n = 25), bone (10%, n = 13), and nasopharyngeal localization (11%, n = 14). Other sites encompassed the remaining 18%, i.e., testis (n = 7), thyroid (n = 5), breast (n = 5), and salivary glands (n = 2) (Figure S1). High stage-adjusted IPI was observed in 19% (n = 23) of patients. Central nervous system-IPI 0-1 (low risk), 2 to 3 (intermediate risk), and >3 (high risk) were observed in 52% (n = 66), 47% (n = 59), and 1% (n = 1), respectively.

3.2 | Treatment

Of the 126 patients 97% (n = 122) completed, at least 3 cycles of R-CHOP were evaluable for comparison between treatment arms, e.g., combined modality (68%, n = 83) or R-CHOP alone (32%, n = 39); see Figure 1 and Table 1. In patients receiving R-CHOP, the number of cycles was reduced in 8% of patients (n = 3) because of previous tumour resection and treatment-related toxicity. The cumulative dosage of IFRT was 30 to 40 Gray. All patients with testicular localization received CNS prophylaxis with intrathecal methotrexate.
Patients with extranodal disease and those with elevated LDH more frequently received R-CHOP only ($P < .01$ and $P = .01$, Table 1).

### 3.3 | Clinical and biological characteristics of relapse

One patient had PD during the first 3 cycles of R-CHOP, and 18 patients experienced a relapse. Of these 18 patients, 28% of patients ($n = 5$) had a relapse more than 5 years after diagnosis; 3 of 14 patients treated with abbreviated R-CHOP plus IFRT and 2 of 4 patients treated with R-CHOP ($p < .01$) (Figure 1). In 79% of cases ($n = 15$), the relapse/progression occurred at a site distant from the initial tumour localization. In 32% of cases ($n = 6$), this involved the CNS, with either meningeal and/or parenchymal localization. Two of the CNS relapses occurred in patients with a testicular lymphoma, despite CNS prophylaxis. In the remaining cases, the primary tumour had nodal ($n = 2$) and nasopharyngeal localizations ($n = 2$) (Figure 2). The initial calculated CNS-IPI was low ($n = 3$) and intermediate ($n = 3$). In addition, 1 patient with initial nodal localization had a testicular relapse, another sanctuary site.

Of the 19 relapsed/progressive DLBCL, 58% of cases ($n = 11$) had tissue blocks with sufficient tumour material for MYC-FISH analysis. In 28% of cases ($n = 3$), an MYC translocation could be demonstrated. All these MYC positive DLBCL were observed in patients with an early relapse. None of the evaluable CNS relapses had a MYC translocation (Figure 2).

### 3.4 | Patient outcome

The median duration of follow-up of the 126 patients was 53 months (range 5-132). Twenty-seven patients died (11 of relapse; 16 unrelated). The 5-year TTP, DSS, and OS for the entire cohort were 85% (95% CI 79-91%), 92% (95% CI 87-97%), and 80% (95% CI 73-86%), respectively (Figure 3A and B). In univariate analysis of 122 patients completing therapy, age had the strongest association with shorter TTP (Table 2). Age > 60 years and elevated LDH, both composites of stage adjusted IPI, were associated with a shorter DSS (Table 2). Localization and treatment regimen were not found to be prognostic factors (Figure S2A). Univariate analysis showed that age > 60 years (HR 9.1, 95% CI 2.1-38, $P < .01$), but not localization, LDH, or treatment regimen was associated with a shorter OS (Figure S2B).

### 3.5 | Treatment after relapse

The median age of relapsing patients was 77 years (range 41-83). The median survival after relapse was 8 months (Figure 3C). Treatment of patients who relapsed consisted of rituximab, dexamethasone, cytarabine, and cisplatin salvage chemotherapy (16%, $n = 3$), retreatment with R-CHOP (10%, $n = 2$), palliative chemotherapy (16%, $n = 3$), radiotherapy (32%, $n = 6$), and palliative care (26%, $n = 5$). Only 1 patient (5%) underwent high dose chemotherapy followed by autologous stem cell transplantation as part of the salvage regimen. A second remission was achieved in 3 of 5 (60%) patients receiving curative chemotherapy, in 1 patient (33%) treated with "intended" palliative chemotherapy, and in 2 patients (33%) treated with radiotherapy. One-year survival after relapse for patients receiving salvage radiotherapy or chemotherapy was 53% (95% CI 27-79%).

### 4 | DISCUSSION

In this population-based cohort study with a long median follow-up, we followed all consecutive patients with newly diagnosed DLBCL stage (IE) during an 8-year period. We observed no differences in relapse localization, TTP, and DSS between patients treated with
abbreviated R-CHOP plus IFRT and R-CHOP only. Obviously, this was not a randomized comparison but a reflection of real-life approaches. Patients with extranodal disease more frequently received R-CHOP, reflecting physicians’ choice to avoid radiotherapy-induced toxicity.

By looking into the characteristics of relapsed patients, we made several observations that offer a reason for the occurrence of relapses in these good risk patients.

Regardless of initial therapy, one-third of relapses (26%) occurred more than 5 years after therapy. Although late relapses have been observed in the pre-rituximab era, we and others observed these late relapses in patients with limited stage DLBCL treated with rituximab as well. Although clonal relationship in late relapses is established, the biology underlying the long interval remains unclear.

Most relapses (73%, n = 15) arose at distant sites, indicative of good local tumour control with either abbreviated R-CHOP plus radiotherapy or R-CHOP. In nearly one-third of relapses, there was CNS involvement. Because rituximab and CHOP have only limited activity in the CNS, it is unlikely that either of the treatment regimens will prevent the CNS relapses. Even when initial CNS prophylaxis with intrathecal MTX is provided, CNS relapses can occur as illustrated by 2 patients with lymphoma of the testes in our study. Recently, the CNS-IPI as risk model for CNS relapse in patients with DLBCL was established to identify patients at highest risk for CNS relapse. In patients with a low-risk CNS-IPI, less than 1% showed a CNS relapse.
However, as shown in our study, with stage I(E) DLBCL, 5% of 126 patients with initial low-risk CNS-IPI had a CNS relapse.

It was recently reported that in contrast to advanced stage DLBCL, the cell-of-origin is not prognostic in limited stage disease. To impact prognosis of these good risk patients, a biomarker, such as MYC breaks, might be helpful. We found an MYC translocation in 3 of 11 evaluable relapsed cases. It is plausible that in the relapsed setting, 15 to 20% of DLBCL harbour an MYC translocation. Although the number of analysed patients is low, we found no MYC positive DLBCL in late relapses. Despite an increased risk of CNS dissemination in MYC positive DLBCL, no translocation was detected in the 2 evaluable CNS relapses.

Combined analysis showed that two-third of relapses could be assigned to either a late relapse, CNS relapse, or MYC positive DLBCL. In general, outcome of patients with relapsed or refractory DLBCL is very poor, with the exception of more favourable outcome in relapses more than 1 year after treatment. We observed a similar poor outcome in patients with relapsed stage I(E) DLBCL. This can partially be explained by the old age of the relapsed patients, limiting therapeutic options. Because the median age of patients with a DLBCL in the general population is 68 years, this is an observation in line with general practice. Furthermore, treatment options for relapsed DLBCL in the CNS are limited and patients with an MYC translocation tend to have a poor response to salvage chemotherapy. Salvage therapy for a relapse after stage I disease is not trivial and emphasizes the necessity to improve first line treatment in these patients as well.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Despite a general favourable outcome in stage I(E) DLBCL, 15% of patients relapsed despite previous R-CHOP therapy and survival after relapse was short. Analysis of relapses showed that more than half of cases could be assigned to either a late relapse or CNS relapse. Interestingly, there is a suggestion that MYC positive DLBCL was overrepresented in the relapse group. Although numbers are small, our results emphasize the necessity to improve first line treatment in these patients as well. Any relapse after stage I disease should be taken seriously, and patients need similar intensive salvage therapy as after advance stage disease relapses.
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| TABLE 2 | Univariate analysis of factors in time to tumour progression (TTP) and disease specific survival (DSS) of 122 stage I(E) diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients who completed therapy |
|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Gender    | %  | Hazard Ratio TTP | 95% CI | P value | Hazard Ratio DSS | 95% CI | P value |
| Male      | 56 | Reference        |         |         | Reference        |         |         |
| Female    | 44 | 0.97             | 0.4-2.5 | 0.94   | 0.94             | 0.3-3.1 | 0.92   |
| Age       |    |                  |         |         |                  |         |         |
| <60 years | 39 | Reference        |         |         | Reference        |         |         |
| >60 years | 61 | 4.1              | 1.2-14  | 0.03   | 3.6              | 0.8-17  | 0.06   |
| Localization |    |                  |         |         |                  |         |         |
| Nodal     | 41 | Reference        |         |         | Reference        |         |         |
| Extranodal| 59 | 1.2              | 0.5-3.0 | 0.72   | 1.2              | 0.3-4.4 | 0.80   |
| LDH       |    |                  |         |         |                  |         |         |
| Normal    | 81 | Reference        |         |         | Reference        |         |         |
| Elevated  | 19 | 2.0              | 0.7-5.8 | 0.19   | 4.4              | 1.3-15  | 0.02   |
| Stage-adjusted IPI |    |                  |         |         |                  |         |         |
| 0-1       | 81 | Reference        |         |         | Reference        |         |         |
| 2-3       | 19 | 2.7              | 1.0-7.5 | 0.05   | 4.5              | 1.3-15  | 0.02   |
| Treatment regimen |    |                  |         |         |                  |         |         |
| Abb R-CHOP + IFRT | 68 | Reference        |         |         | Reference        |         |         |
| R-CHOP    | 32 | 0.66             | 0.2-2.0 | 0.47   | 2.0              | 0.6-6.9 | 0.28   |
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