Decreased Immunity to Varicella Zoster Virus in Giant Cell Arteritis
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Introduction: Herpes zoster, which can have a major impact on quality of life, results from reactivation of a latent varicella zoster virus (VZV) infection. We hypothesized that giant cell arteritis (GCA) patients are at increased risk of herpes zoster because of treatment with high-dose glucocorticoids and advanced age. Aim of the study, therefore, was to determine cell-mediated and humoral immunity to VZV in patients with GCA, patients with closely related disease polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR; treated with lower doses of glucocorticoids) and healthy controls (HCs).

Methods: Cell-mediated immunity to VZV was determined by performing interferon-γ (IFNγ) enzyme-linked immunospot and intracellular cytokine flow cytometry measurements in 11 GCA and 15 PMR patients and in 26 age/sex-matched HCs. Immunoglobulin G antibodies to VZV glycoprotein (VZV-IgG) were measured in serum samples of 35 GCA and 26 PMR patients at different times of follow-up and in 58 age and sex-matched HCs by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

Results: The number of VZV-specific IFNγ spot-forming cells was significantly lower in GCA patients on treatment, than in age-matched HCs (p = 0.029), but was not different in PMR patients on treatment. Similar levels of VZV-IgG were found in GCA and PMR patients at baseline, compared to HCs.

Conclusion: The finding of a decreased cell-mediated immunity to VZV, known to be of great importance in defense to the virus, indicates an increased herpes zoster risk in GCA patients compared to an already at-risk elderly population. Herpes zoster vaccination is, therefore, of special importance in GCA patients, and would ideally be administered at time of diagnosis. Interestingly, as VZV was suggested to be the trigger in GCA pathogenesis, similar levels of VZV-IgG were found in GCA patients at time of diagnosis and age-matched HCs, indicating that GCA patients did not experience herpes zoster substantially more often in the months preceding diagnosis than controls.
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INTRODUCTION

Herpes zoster is caused by reactivation of a latent varicella zoster virus (VZV) infection (1). Almost the whole adult population of temperate countries has experienced a primary VZV infection in childhood (known as varicella or chickenpox) and is, therefore, at risk of herpes zoster (2). Postherpetic neuralgia (pain lasting >90 days after onset of rash) is the most common complication of herpes zoster occurring in 8–27% of patients (3–6). Impact on quality of life of herpes zoster and postherpetic neuralgia can be major (3, 4).

With advancing age, a rise in the incidence of herpes zoster occurs concomitantly with a decline in VZV-specific cell-mediated immunity. The estimated incidence of HZ is about 3.4–4.82 per 1,000 person years in the general population which increases to more than 11 per 1,000 person years in those above 80 years of age (7).

Giant cell arteritis (GCA) and the closely related polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR) are inflammatory rheumatic disorders that occur almost exclusively in older adults (8). In our hospital, GCA patients usually start on 40–60 mg of prednisolone daily, while PMR patients start at a lower dose of 15–20 mg prednisolone daily. In both patient groups, the prednisolone dose is slowly tapered. Use of glucocorticoids is associated with a higher herpes zoster risk (9–14). Both because of advanced age and high-dose glucocorticoid use, especially GCA patients could be expected to have an increased herpes zoster risk.

A live attenuated herpes zoster vaccine was licensed in the US in 2006 for use in immunocompetent persons above 60 years of age (15). In this group, it was shown to be safe and effective in preventing herpes zoster and postherpetic neuralgia (6). The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommends the zoster vaccine for all persons aged ≥60 years (16). Like immunocompetent older persons, GCA and PMR patients may benefit from zoster vaccination.

The ACIP in 2008, however, also stated that the vaccine should not be administered to persons on immunosuppressive therapy, including high-dose glucocorticoids (≥20 mg/day of prednisone or equivalent) lasting two or more weeks, although this recommendation was based on expert opinion only (16). The 2010 European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommendations for vaccination in patients with rheumatic diseases are inconclusive, stating that zoster vaccination may be considered in patients with rheumatic diseases (17).

Since the time of ACIP and EULAR recommendations, a large retrospective cohort study in patients with immune-mediated diseases, including patients using oral glucocorticoids and even biologicals, has shown that zoster vaccination is associated with a reduced incidence of herpes zoster, also within 42 days of vaccination (a safety concern, as the vaccine is live attenuated) (10). A randomized controlled trial in patients using glucocorticoids (with a limited number of included patients using more than 10 mg daily equivalent of prednisone), comparing live attenuated zoster vaccine to placebo vaccine, demonstrated that zoster vaccination was well tolerated (18).

When balancing pros and cons of zoster vaccination in GCA patients, information on the pre-existing cellular and humoral immunity to VZV is essential. The aim of this study, therefore, was to determine both cell-mediated immunity (most important in the defense to the virus) and humoral immunity to VZV in patients with GCA, the closely related PMR and age/sex-matched healthy controls (HCs).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population and Procedures

Prospectively collected serum samples and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from patients with GCA and PMR, and matched HCs were used. Study procedures have been described previously (19, 20).

Giant cell arteritis patients either had a positive temporal artery biopsy and/or positive 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography-computed tomography (FDG PET-CT). PMR patients fulfilled the Chuang/Hunder criteria or had a FDG PET-CT positive scan for PMR (21).

Serum was stored at –20°C, and PBMCs were stored in liquid nitrogen until use. After evaluating cell viability by trypan blue staining, PBMCs were used in enzyme-linked immunospot (ELISpot) assays and flow cytometry analyses.

Samples for humoral analyses were randomly selected, and samples from patients at different times of follow-up were used: $T = 0$, $T \leq 2$ months, $T$ = approximately 6 months, $T$ = approximately 1 year, and $T$ = approximately 2 years, or more. At $T = 0$, patients had not yet received treatment with prednisolone (or other immunosuppressive drugs). At $T \leq 2$ months, patients were within 2 months of follow-up and had all started prednisolone treatment.

Medical records from both patients and HCs were checked for a history of herpes zoster within 2 years before disease onset or sample collection. In The Netherlands, zoster vaccination is not advised and costs are not compensated in the national health-care system. Since all patients were Dutch, it could safely be presumed that none of the study subjects had been vaccinated against herpes zoster.

The study was approved by the institutional review board of the University Medical Centre Groningen (METc2012/375 for HCs and METc2010/222 for GCA and PMR patients), and written informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

Interferon-γ (IFNγ) ELISpot Assay

Interferon-γ (IFNγ) ELISpot assay was performed as described previously (22). PBMCs were stimulated for 48 h with ultraviolet (UV)-inactivated varicella vaccine (Provarivax; Sanofi Pasteur). PBMCs stimulated with concanavalin A were used as controls. A negative control consisted of PBMCs in culture medium alone. After staining, spots were counted using an automated reader (AID EliSpot Reader; Autoimmun Diagnostika GmbH). The mean number of spots in the negative control sample was subtracted from the mean number of spots in the VZV-stimulated wells. Results are referred to as the number of IFNγ spot-forming cells per $2 \times 10^5$ PBMCs.
Intracellular Cytokine Staining Flow Cytometry

Fluorescent T cell barcoding staining for tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), interleukin-2 (IL-2), and IFNγ was performed as described previously (23) after stimulating PBMCs using UV-inactivated varicella vaccine. PBMCs stimulated with staphylococcal enterotoxin B (SEB; Sigma-Aldrich) were used as positive controls. A negative control consisted of PBMCs in medium alone. PBMCs were stimulated for 18 h, of which the last 16 h in the presence of 10 µg/ml brefeldin A (Sigma-Aldrich). Kaluza software (Beckman Coulter) was used for analyses. Percentages of antigen-specific cells were expressed as the percentage of CD69+ cytokine-producing CD4+ or CD8+ T cells.

Antibody Response to VZV

For quantitative detection of VZV-IgG antibodies, an in-house glycoprotein enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay was previously developed and validated (22). VZV purified glycoproteins (EastCoastBio) were used as antigen, and pooled human serum with known levels of anti-glycoprotein VZV was used as standard.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS 23 (IBM) and graphs were made using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software). For correlations Spearman’s rho was used. To compare differences between either the GCA or the PMR group to the HC group, Mann–Whitney U tests were used. -Values less than 0.05 (2-tailed) were considered significant.

RESULTS

Characteristics of Patients and HCs

Characteristics of patients and controls are summarized in Table 1. Levels of VZV-IgG antibodies were measured in a larger number of samples, on different time points of follow-up. Patient and control characteristics are, therefore, separately summarized in Table S1 in Supplementary Material. All patients started with prednisolone and some relapsing patients used methotrexate or leflunomide as glucocorticoid sparing drug. No other immunosuppressive medication was used.

Cellular Immunity to VZV: IFNγ ELISpot Assay

The number of IFNγ spot-forming cells was significantly lower among GCA patients on treatment as compared to HCs (p = 0.029). PMR patients on treatment and HCs showed similar numbers of spot-forming cells. The median number of IFNγ spot-forming cells per 2 × 10⁶ PBMCs in response to VZV stimulation was 47.5 (range 0–255) among HCs, 7 (range 0–69) among GCA patients, and 83 (range 0–279) in PMR patients (Figure 1).

Cellular Immunity to VZV: Flow Cytometric Analysis of Cytokine Production

Upon stimulation with VZV, patients with GCA and HCs showed similar frequencies of cytokine-producing CD4+ T cells. Interestingly, higher frequencies of CD4+ T cells producing IFNγ and IL-2 were found in PMR patients compared to HC subjects (p = 0.019 and p = 0.048, respectively) (Figures 2A–C). Similar frequencies of cytokine-producing CD8+ T cells were observed in patient groups and controls following stimulation with VZV (Figures 3A–C).

### Table 1 | Characteristics of patients and healthy controls (HCs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of patients and healthy controls (HCs).</th>
<th>HCs (n = 26)</th>
<th>GCA patients (n = 11)</th>
<th>PMR patients (n = 15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, no. female/male</td>
<td>19/7</td>
<td>8/3</td>
<td>10/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at sample date, median (interquartile range) years</td>
<td>73.2 (63.2–76.9)</td>
<td>73.2 (67.0–74.8)</td>
<td>71.3 (63.3–78.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCA diagnosis: FDG PET-CT + TAB</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMR diagnosis: FDG PET-CT + Chuang</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since diagnosis, median (interquartile range) months</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>8.1 (4.9–9.1)</td>
<td>9.1 (8.0–10.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative prednisolone dosage, median (interquartile range) mg</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>5,843 (5,028–8,239)</td>
<td>3,385 (2,413–5,320)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of immunosuppressants other than prednisolone, no. (%)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2 (18)</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients that experienced relapse since diagnosis (%)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>1 (9)</td>
<td>4 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocyte count, median (interquartile range) 10⁶ cells/L</td>
<td>5.50 (5.00–6.53)</td>
<td>9.80 (9.45–12.38)**</td>
<td>8.30 (7.30–10.90)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte count, median (interquartile range) 10⁶ cells/L</td>
<td>1.75 (1.50–1.92)</td>
<td>1.68 (1.43–2.55)</td>
<td>1.37 (1.08–1.61)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3+ lymphocyte count, median (interquartile range) 10⁶ cells/L</td>
<td>1.24 (1.08–1.36)</td>
<td>1.30 (1.06–1.85)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.81–1.14)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin, median (interquartile range) mmol/L</td>
<td>8.7 (8.2–9.2)</td>
<td>7.9 (6.6–8.3)**</td>
<td>8.3 (7.5–9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR, median (interquartile range) mm/h</td>
<td>9 (4–19)</td>
<td>24 (14–42)*</td>
<td>13 (8–38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP, median (interquartile range) mg/L</td>
<td>&lt;5 (&lt;5–&lt;5)</td>
<td>6 (5&lt;24)*</td>
<td>6.4 (&lt;5–13)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GCA, giant cell arteritis; PMR, polymyalgia rheumatica; FDG-PET/CT, no. of patients with ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography-computed tomography supporting diagnosis; TAB, no. of patients with temporal artery biopsy positive for GCA; NR, not reported; Chuang, no. of patients fulfilling the Chuang and Hunder PMR criteria; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C-reactive protein.

*Two patients received methotrexate (both 15 mg/week).

†One patient received methotrexate (15 mg/week) and one patient received leflunomide (10 mg/day).

* p-value < 0.05; ** p-value < 0.01; *** p-value < 0.001 for comparison to HC group.
Upon SEB stimulation as positive control for stimulation, patients with GCA, PMR, and control subjects showed similar frequencies of IFNγ-, TNFα, and IL-2-producing CD4+ T cells (Figures 2D–F). For CD8+ T cell cytokine production upon stimulation with SEB there was a trend toward a lower frequency of CD8+ T cells producing TNFα in GCA patients compared to HCs (p = 0.077) (Figures 3D–F).

Humoral Immunity to VZV
Median VZV-specific antibody levels of patients at baseline, before starting treatment, were similar in patient groups compared to HCs (p = 0.931 for GCA and p = 0.777 for PMR, Figure 4). Course of VZV–IgG antibody levels in GCA and PMR patients are shown in Figure 4.

Reactivations of VZV
In the group of patients and controls in which VZV-specific IgG levels were determined (Figure 4), one patient was noted to have experienced herpes zoster about 3 months before GCA diagnosis. As can be expected, this patient showed a high VZV-IgG antibody level at time of diagnosis (7,100 IU/ml). In another patient, a very
high VZV-IgG antibody level of almost 40,000 IU/ml was found, but medical records did not mention herpes zoster or symptoms suggesting this diagnosis. The high antibody level suggests that this patient is likely to have had a (subclinical) VZV reactivation that escaped diagnosis.

**DISCUSSION**

Confirming our hypothesis, a reduced cellular immunity to VZV in GCA patients was demonstrated using IFN\(\gamma\) ELISpot, even when compared to an already at-risk age-matched HC group. Results in patients with PMR were found to be similar compared to age-matched controls. VZV-specific IgG levels were found to be similar when comparing the patient groups to the HC group.

It is widely accepted that cell-mediated immunity is an important measure of susceptibility to VZV (24–26). Since HCs and GCA patients were shown to have similar total numbers of CD3+ T lymphocytes, which probably are the main IFN\(\gamma\) producing cells among PBMCs (27), we can conclude that our results reflect a decreased cell-mediated immunity to VZV. Accordingly, GCA patients are even more prone to herpes zoster than the already at-risk healthy elderly population.

To date only one, for an epidemiological study relatively small, retrospective study investigated the incidence of herpes zoster in GCA patients (with 21 and 38 cases of herpes zoster in 204 GCA patients and 407 controls, respectively). In this study, GCA patients did not seem to be at higher risk of herpes zoster than age-matched controls. However, with an incidence of over 11 per 1,000 person years, the herpes zoster risk in GCA patients was found to be high (28). Interestingly, several large studies reported an increased risk of herpes zoster in persons (mostly diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis) receiving glucocorticoid therapy (9–14). In our hospital, GCA patients usually start on 40–60 mg of prednisolone daily, while PMR patients start at a lower dose of 15–20 mg prednisolone daily. In both patient groups, the prednisolone dose is slowly tapered.

Because of the high risk, herpes zoster vaccination needs to be considered in this GCA patients. Ideally, vaccination would be administered at time of diagnosis, before patients reach a high cumulative dose of glucocorticoids. Herpes zoster vaccination has been shown to be safe in subjects receiving glucocorticoids, although most subjects studied received relatively low doses (18). Efficacy of herpes zoster vaccination in glucocorticoid treated patients is a topic that needs to be studied further, as only...
humoral immune responses were evaluated in these patients previously (18).

Due to the limited number of patients included, no firm epidemiologic conclusions can be drawn on the incidence of clinically overt herpes zoster in GCA or PMR patients in the present report. Furthermore, herpes zoster could have been missed before diagnosis and during follow-up, as it does not necessarily come to attention of the treating rheumatology specialist, especially during more stable disease periods in which outpatient clinic visits are less frequent. As mentioned, for one patient notes on a herpes zoster period shortly before GCA disease onset were found in the medical records. In hindsight, another patient possibly had a VZV reactivation at time of GCA diagnosis.

No difference was found in the percentages of cytokine-producing CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in response to VZV stimulation between GCA patients and controls. When evaluating low-level cell-mediated immune responses, ELISpot is considered to be more sensitive than intracellular flow cytometric analysis while cytokine flow cytometry allows for phenotypic discrimination of responding cells (25, 27). The effector function of T cells upon VZV-specific activation is more clearly seen during active secretion of the cytokines, while flow cytometry shows the potential to react to VZV.

Polymyalgia rheumatica patients were shown to have a higher percentage of IL-2 and IFNγ producing CD4+ T cells in response to VZV stimulation using flow cytometry. As the lymphocyte count in PMR patients was lower than in the HC group, the difference in absolute numbers of CD4+ T cells producing cytokines in response to stimulation with VZV between these groups is probably less pronounced than suggested by the relative values.

Of note, as it is known that VZV-specific IgG levels only slowly decline after herpes zoster (29–31), the finding of similar VZV-specific IgG levels in patients at time of diagnosis and HCs, indicates that herpes zoster did not occur substantially more often in GCA and PMR patients in the months before onset of disease.

In recent years, several studies have investigated the link between VZV and GCA with the finding of VZV antigen in temporal artery biopsies of a majority of GCA patients as the pivotal evidence claiming an etiologic role for VZV in GCA (32–34). Other research groups, however, did not detect VZV antigen nor VZV-DNA in temporal arteries of GCA patients (35–37). A recent paper by Rhee et al., describing a large retrospective study using an electronic database, reported an only modest association between antecedent infections and the incidence of GCA. GCA patients were more likely to have had a prior herpes zoster infection (median time from zoster to GCA of 6 years) than control subjects, but also had significantly more other infections, e.g., respiratory and urinary tract infections. No higher occurrence of clinically overt herpes zoster infections at time of diagnosis was found (38). Our results, demonstrating similar humoral immunity in GCA and PMR patients when compared to HCs, are in line with these results.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first prospective study investigating both humoral and cellular immunity to VZV in GCA and PMR patients. Concluding with our most important result, a decreased cell-mediated immunity to VZV indicates an even higher risk to herpes zoster in GCA patients on glucocorticoid

**Figure 4** | Levels of IgG antibodies against varicella zoster virus (VZV) among 35 giant cell arteritis (GCA) patients and 26 polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR) patients at different time points of follow-up, and 58 healthy control (HC) subjects. Bars show the median. T = 0 = before start of treatment with prednisolone; ≤2 months, within 2 months after start of treatment; 6 months, at approximately 6 months of follow-up; 1 year, at approximately 1 year of follow-up; ≥2 year, at approximately 2 years of follow-up, or more.
treatment than in age-matched HCs. Herpes zoster vaccination, therefore, needs to be considered in patients with GCA and should ideally be administered at time of diagnosis, before reaching high cumulative doses of glucocorticoids.

ETHICS STATEMENT

All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the institutional review board of the University Medical Centre Groningen (METc2012/375 for healthy controls and METc2010/222 for GCA and PMR patients).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the design and discussion of the project. Acquisition of data was carried out by CR, KG, EE, and EB. CR analyzed data and drafted the manuscript. EE conducted most of the experiments and helped with analysis. All authors revised the article critically and approved the final version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES


ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. Suzanne Arends for her statistical assistance.

FUNDING

This work was supported by a grant from the Reumafonds/Dutch Arthritis Association (NC Smit Fonds) [Reumafonds grant 9-1-201] and an unrestricted grant from Merck Sharp & Dohme.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at http://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01377/full#supplementary-material.
Immunity to VZV in GCA and PMR


Conflict of Interest Statement: AB has received consulting fees from Grunenthal GmbH. No conflict of interest has been declared by the other authors.

Copyright © 2017 Rondaan, van der Geest, Eelsing, Boots, Bos, Westra and Brouwer. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.